## List of Figures

| Figure 1-1 Tissue differentiation in normal lung, adenocarcinoma and squamous cel        |
|--|
| carcinoma. Reference: S.S.G hospital, Vadodara.  |
| Figure 1-2 RNAi mechanism (29)   |
| Figure 2-1 Pathogenesis of lung cancer18   |
| Figure 2-2 Demographic representation of Docetaxel mechanism of action                   |
| Figure 2-3 Cellular factors responsible for drug resistance                              |
| Figure 2-4 Physiological factors responsible for drug resistance                         |
| Figure 2-5 Gene silencing mechanism through RNA interference technology (RNAi            |
| (32)   |
| Figure 2-6 Difference between gene silencing effect of siRNA and shRNA                   |
| Figure 2-7 Two-step method   |
| Figure 2-8 Single step method  |
| Figure 2-9 Seven-phase ladder for optimizing drug delivery systems                       |
| Figure 2-10 Different types of responses as functions of factor settings; (a) linear; (b |
| quadratic; (c) cubic   |
| Figure 2-11 Types of Dry Powder Inhalers42   |
| Figure 2-12 Particle size distribution and cascade impactor4                             |
| Figure 3-1 Docetaxel $\lambda_{max}$ determination                                       |
| Figure 3-2 Calibration plot of Docetaxel in Acetonitrile by UV-VIS spectrophotometry     |
|  |
| Figure 3-3 Calibration plot of Docetaxel in Acetonitrile                                 |
| Figure 3-4 Overlay Calibration plot of Docetaxel in Phosphate buffer 7.4by UV            |
| spectrophotometry  |
| Figure 3-5 Calibration plot of Docetaxel in Phosphate buffer 7.47                        |
| Figure 3-6 Overlay Calibration plot of Docetaxel in Phosphate buffer 6.6 by UV           |
| spectrophotometry  |
| Figure 3-7 Calibration plot of Docetaxel in Phosphate buffer 6.6                         |
| Figure 3-8 Overlay Calibration plot of Docetaxel in Acetate buffer 5.5by UV              |
| spectrophotometry  |
| Figure 3-9 Calibration plot of Docetaxel in Acetate buffer 5.5                           |
| Figure 3-10 Overlay Chromatogram of Docetaxel by RP-HPLC                                 |
| Figure 3-11 Overlay Chromatogram of Docetaxel by RP-HPLC                                 |
|  |

| F        | Figure 3-12 Calibration plot of Docetaxel by RP-HPLC                                 |
|----------|--|
| F        | Figure 3-13 Overlay Chromatogram of Docetaxel in rat plasma by RP-HPLC               |
| I        | Figure 3-14 Overlay Chromatogram of Docetaxel in rat plasma by RP-HPLC.81            |
| I        | Figure 3-15 Calibration plot of Docetaxel by RP-HPLC in rat plasma                   |
| F        | Figure 3-16 Overlay Chromatogram of Docetaxel in rat Lung homogenate by RP-          |
| HPLC     |  |
| F        | Figure 3-17 Overlay Chromatogram of Docetaxel in rat Lung homogenate by RP-          |
| HPLC     |  |
| F        | Figure 3-18 Calibration plot of Docetaxel by RP-HPLC in rat Lung homogenate 85       |
| F        | Figure 3-19 Overlay Calibration plot of total phospholipids mixture in chloroform 87 |
| F        | Figure 3-20 Calibration plot of total phospholipids mixture in chloroform            |
| F        | Figure 3-21 Graph of analytical interference study of Docetaxel and Formulation      |
| excipien | ts   |
| F        | Figure 3-22 UV absorption profile of isolated pDNA                                   |
| F        | Figure 3-23 Correlation of actual concentration of pDNA vs observed concentration91  |
| F        | Figure 3-24 Determination of quantifiable range of pDNA                              |
| F        | Figure 3-25 Band densities at 200 ng Lane 1 to Lane 6: 200 ng pDNA                   |
| F        | Figure 3-26 Calibration plot of DNA gel retardation                                  |
| F        | Figure 4-1 DSC Thermogram of Docetaxel   |
| F        | Figure 4-2 a) IR spectra of Docetaxel sample Vs. b) IR spectra of standard Docetaxel |
|          |  |
| F        | Figure 4-3 DSC thermogram for Compatibility study                                    |
| F        | Figure 4-4 Compatibility study by infrared spectroscopy 102                          |
| F        | Figure 4-5 Stability of shRNA pDNA stock after repetitive sampling 103               |
| F        | Figure 4-6 Effect of temperature and pH on shRNA pDNA 104                            |
| F        | Figure 5-1 Particle size distribution of L/P ratio 35                                |
| Ι        | Figure 5-2 Particle size distribution of L/P ratio 25                                |
| F        | Figure 5-3 Pareto Chart for the selected factorial model of Encapsulation efficiency |
|          |  |
| F        | Figure 5-4 Pareto Chart for a selected factorial model of particle size 121          |
| F        | Figure 5-5 Normal plot of residuals for encapsulation efficiency 125                 |
| F        | Figure 5-6 Residual vs. Predicted plot for encapsulation efficiency                  |
| F        | Figure 5-7 Residual vs. Run plot for encapsulation efficiency                        |

| Figure 5-8 Predicted vs. Actual plot for the encapsulation efficiency 12             | 28 |
|--|----|
| Figure 5-9 Box-Cox plot for power transformation for the encapsulation efficiency 12 | 29 |
| Figure 5-10 Residual vs. Individual factor plots12                                   | 29 |
| Figure 5-11 Piepel's plot1   | 30 |
| Figure 5-12 Effects of various factors on DTX entrapment by 3D surface curve 12      | 31 |
| Figure 5-13 Normal plot of residuals for particle size                               | 34 |
| Figure 5-14 Residual vs. Predicted plot for particle size12                          | 35 |
| Figure 5-15 Residual vs. Run plot for particle size12                                | 36 |
| Figure 5-16 Predicted vs. Actual plot for the particle size                          | 37 |
| Figure 5-17 Box-Cox plot for Power transformation for the particle size              | 38 |
| Figure 5-18 Residual vs. Individual factor plots12                                   | 38 |
| Figure 5-19 Piepel's plot for particle size12  | 39 |
| Figure 5-20 Effects of various factors on PLHNCs particle size by 3D surface cur     | ve |
|  | 40 |
| Figure 5-21 Desirability plot14  | 41 |
| Figure 5-22 Size and PDI of optimized PLHNCs batch                                   | 48 |
| Figure 5-23 Zeta potential of optimized PLHNCs batch14                               | 49 |
| Figure 5-24 SEM images of optimized batch of PLHNCs                                  | 50 |
| Figure 5-25 TEM images of optimized batch of PLHNCs                                  | 50 |
| Figure 5-26 Docetaxel drug release pattern in different release media 12             | 51 |
| Figure 5-27 Release of shRNA pDNA from PLHNCs1                                       | 52 |
| Figure 5-28 In-Vitro Release model of Docetaxel                                      | 52 |
| Figure 5-29 In-Vitro Release model of shRNA pDNA 12                                  | 53 |
| Figure 5-30 Graph of residual solvent (acetonitrile) estimation                      | 54 |
| Figure 5-31 A) 3D image of PLHNC surface B) Z-surface histogram for estimation       | of |
| PEGylation layer   | 55 |
| Figure 6-1 A) Cryo-TEM of lyophilized PLHNCs (B) freeze fracture TEM                 | of |
| lyophilized PLHNCs   | 66 |
| Figure 6-2 Weight fraction of Docetaxel at different stages and plates in Anderse    | on |
| cascade impactor   | 68 |
| Figure 6-3 SEM images of developed PLHNCs  | 69 |
| Figure 6-4 Comparison of PXRD graph of Docetaxel and PLHNC DPI formulation           | on |
| 1'   | 70 |

| Figure 6-5 DSC graph comparison of Docetaxel and D-PLHNCs DPI 171                            |
|--|
| Figure 6-6 IR graph comparison of Docetaxel and developed D-PLHNCs DPI 171                   |
| Figure 6-7 Integrity of shRNA pDNA172  |
| Figure 7-1 MTT Dye Reduction by Mitochondrial Reductase Enzyme of Viable Cells               |
|  |
| Figure 7-2 Change in % viability of the parent A549 cells and DR-A549 cells on               |
| treatment with Docetaxel solution  |
| Figure 7-3 Cytotoxicity studies on DR-A549 cell line   |
| Figure 7-4 Cell migration assay  |
| Figure 7-5 Live confocal microscopy of cellular uptake at different time intervals for       |
| naked shRNA pDNA, sh-PLHNCs, FA-sh-PLHNCs, and Lipofectamine 2000-shRNA pDNA                 |
| complex  |
| Figure 7-6 Quantification of live confocal images at the period of 5- min, 10-min, 15-       |
| min, 20-min, and 25 min interval with various treatment using ImageJ software 195            |
| Figure 7-7 Quantitative uptake using FACS histogram  |
| Figure 7-8 Estimation of P-gp inhibition using Rh123   |
| Figure 7-9 A) Qualitative measurement of endocytic uptake using Confocal images B)           |
| Quantitative measurement of fluorescence intensity using a fluorescent microplate reader 198 |
| Figure 7-10 Detection of caspase-3 level in DR-A549 cell line using CASP-3-C kit,            |
| treated with different docetaxel and hybrid formulation and incubated for 6 and 12 h 199     |
| Figure 7-11 Apoptotic analysis of DR-A549 cells in a time-dependent manner with              |
| different treatment  |
| Figure 7-12 Apoptosis cell analysis of DR-A549 cell line after different Docetaxel           |
| formulation upon 24h incubation  |
| Figure 7-13 Distribution of cell cycle in DR-A549 cells after 24 h incubation 202            |
| Figure 7-14 Cell cycle histogram after 24 h incubation with different Docetaxel              |
| formulation  |
| Figure 7-15 Impact on MDR1 mRNA upon treatment with various concentrations of                |
| ABCB1 shRNA in DR-A549 cells   |
| Figure 8-1 Histogram images of Sprague Dawley rat lungs after intratracheal instillation     |
|  |
| Figure 8-2 Concentration of Docetaxel in BAL and LH  |
| Figure 8-3 Enzyme activity and L/B ratio   |

| Figure 8-4 Metabolism of Docetaxel solution, D-PLHNCs, and | FA-D-PLHNCs in |
|--|----------------|
| Sprague Dawley lung and liver microsomes                   |                |
| Figure 8-5 Haemolysis by UV spectroscopy                   |                |
| Figure 8-6 Hemolysis by Optical method                     |                |