

LIST OF FIGURES

S. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1.	Area production and productivity of mango in India.	60
Figure 2.	Area and production of Mango in different states of India.	60
Figure 3.	Study area of Gujarat state selected for burl study.	61
Figure 4.	Variation in burl morphology (A) Small size burl, (B) Gummosis in burl, (C) Size and no of burl increasing, (D, E) Burls with different size, shape and colour.	62
Figure 5.	Large size of burl with globose shape in <i>Langra</i> variety (A) Single burl on main trunk with big size, (B, C) Globose shape burl on main and primary branches, (D) Large size of burls.	63
Figure 6.	Morphology of burl in different mango varieties (A) Burl in Bathua germplasm entirely cover stem and lower branches, (B, C, D) burl showing rough surface and scaly bark with different shape, colour and size.	64
Figure 7.	Variation in burl; (A) Entire plant covered with small burls, (B) Burl shape, colour and size in Mahmood Vikarabad, (C) Young burl on <i>Rajapuri</i> × <i>Kesar</i> , (D, E) Single burl in grey and brown to dark brown colour, (F) Burl in globular shape with smooth surface (G) Small elongated burl on <i>Langra</i> .	65
Figure 8.	Burl morphology in various germplasm; (A) <i>Rajapuri</i> , (B) <i>Langra</i> , (C) <i>Olour</i> , (D) <i>Krishan Bhog</i> , (E) <i>Arka Punit</i> , (F) <i>Seedling</i> , (G) <i>Seedling 307</i> , (H) <i>Hybrid</i> , (I) <i>Rajapuri</i> .	66
Figure 9.	Burl on main trunk and primary branches showing various size, shape and colour; (A) <i>Krishan Bhog</i> , (B) <i>Langra</i> , (C) <i>Sindhu</i> , (D) <i>Arka Punit</i> , (E) <i>Rajapuri</i> and (G) <i>Alphanso</i> × <i>Sabja</i> .	67

Figure 10.	Termite or insects attack on mango germplasm in Gujarat.	68
Figure 11.	Burl colour and surface in Mango germplasm; (A) <i>Langra</i> , (B, C, E) <i>Rajapuri</i> , (D) <i>Arka Punit</i> , (F) <i>Joshiपुरa Junagadh</i>	69
Figure 12.	Comparison of burl size and shape in <i>Langra</i> (A, B) and <i>Rajapuri</i> germplasm (C, D).	70
Figure 13.	Comparative study of burl morphology in <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> varieties.	71
Figure 14.	Bacteria isolation and their morphology on different media, Bacterial suspension (a, b), different media (c), colony on MacConkey media (d), (e) Hoffer's media, (f) NASA media (g) MGY media (h) Gram staining.	72
Figure 15.	Phylogenetic analysis of <i>Agrobacterium</i> isolated from mango burl with ex-type retrieved from NCBI GenBank	73
Figure 16.	Pathogen isolation from wood blocks and suspension in distilled water (A, B, C), Bacterial growth on Hofers and NASA medium (D, E) and morphological identification by Gram staining (F).	74
Figure 17.	Pathogenicity test on carrot disc assay, (A) Suspension preparation, (B) Bacterial colony isolation on Hofers media, (C) Gram staining, (D) Inoculation of bacterial colony on Carrot disc, (E, F) callus formation/initiation of tumour cells	75
Figure 18.	Pathogenicity test on tomato and mango plant, (A) Inoculation of <i>Agrobacterium</i> , (B) Control plant, (C) Small galls initiation after inoculation, (D, E) Burl initiation on mango plant, (F) Gummosis formation in young inoculated mango plant.	76
Figure 19.	Incidence, burl size and yield loss in <i>Langra</i> cultivar throughout India.	77

Figure 20.	Correlation of burl disease with different age group from 10 to 100 year.	77
Figure 21.	Biochemical analysis of fruits in burl infected and non-infected trees of <i>Mangifera indica</i> cv. <i>Langra</i> .	78
Figure 22.	Biochemical analysis of fruits in burl infected and non-infected trees of <i>Mangifera indica</i> cv. <i>Rajapuri</i> .	78
Figure 23.	Comparatively changes in Moisture content (%) in fresh, adjoin and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	79
Figure 24.	Comparatively changes in Ash content (%) in fresh, adjoin and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	79
Figure 25.	Comparatively changes in Cellulose content (%) in fresh, adjoined and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	80
Figure 26.	Comparatively changes in Lignin content (%) in fresh, adjoin and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	80
Figure 27.	Comparatively changes in fibre content (%) in fresh, adjoin and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	81
Figure 28.	Changes in Fibre length and width of fresh and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	81
Figure 29.	Comparatively changes in percent of Total soluble sugar (A), Reducing sugar (B) and Non-reducing sugar (C) in fresh, adjoin and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	82
Figure 30.	Comparatively changes in Starch (%) in fresh, adjoin and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	83
Figure 31.	Comparatively changes in Ascorbic acid (%) in fresh, adjoin and infected stem of <i>Langra</i> and <i>Rajapuri</i> variety.	83

- Figure 32.** Comparatively changes in Phenol (%) in fresh, adjoin and infected stem of *Langra* and *Rajapuri* variety. 84
- Figure 33.** Transverse (A, B), Tangential (C, D) and radial (E) view of secondary xylem of healthy (i.e., free from burl) wood of *Mangifera indica* collected from *Langra* and *Rajapuri* variety. 85
- A:** Structure of secondary xylem from healthy (i.e., free from burl) tree. Note the outline and arrangement of vessels (V) and lozenge-aliform axial parenchyma cells (arrowhead) in the *Langra* variety.
- B:** Secondary xylem of the healthy (i.e., burl free) tree of *Rajapuri* variety showing the distribution of vessels and axial parenchyma. Note the species-specific variation in the arrangement of axial parenchyma around the vessel elements.
- C:** Arrangement of axial elements of secondary xylem and structure of rays in secondary xylem of healthy trees. Arrowheads indicate variations in the shape and size of ray cells in the variety *Langra*.
- D:** Relatively enlarged view of secondary xylem in tangential longitudinal view of the secondary xylem in variety *Rajapuri* showing variations in the ray size.
- E:** Radial view of healthy secondary xylem of variety *Langra*. Arrowhead indicates ray cells.
- Scale bars: A, E = 200 μm ; B = 100 μm ; C = 100 μm ; D = 50 μm .**
- Figure 34.** Tangential longitudinal (A-D) view of burl wood of *Mangifera indica* variety *Langra* and *Rajapuri* showing alterations in the axial arrangement of the xylem derivatives. 86

A: Increase in the number of ray parenchyma, absence of wide diameter vessels while some of the fibres showing circular arrangement (arrowheads).

B: Deformed xylem from the burl region showing complete loss of axial elements like fibres and vessels in the xylem. Note the increased level of axial and ray parenchyma cells.

C: Structure of burl xylem showing an increased level of ray cells intermixed with axial parenchyma while vessels and xylem fibres are absent. Note the size of the ray cells (arrowhead).

D. Deformed xylem from the burl region showing complete loss of axial polarity of the xylem derivatives while some of the fibres oriented circularly (arrowheads).

Scale bars: A-D = 200 μ m.

Figure 35.

Tangential longitudinal (A, B) and transverse (C) view of secondary xylem of burl wood of *Mangifera indica* variety *Langra* and *Rajapuri*.

87

A: Deformed xylem from the burl region showing irregular and circular orientation of fibres (arrowheads).

B: Transition portion of the xylem of the healthy and point of burl initiation showing the arrangement of xylem derivatives. Extreme left and right-side portion of the image (arrowheads) and central portion showing part of the xylem towards healthy and burl wood, respectively. Xylem portion marked with an asterisk shows the junction zone.

C: Transverse view of xylem connecting the burl showing the orientation of xylem derivatives. Note their orientation in different plains like transverse (TS)

and radial (RLS) view in the same sections. Note the size of the ray cells (arrowheads). V = vessel.

Scale bar: A = 200 μm , B = 500 μm ; C = 50 μm .

Figure 36. Tangential longitudinal (A-D) view of the deformed secondary xylem of burl portion of *Mangifera indica* variety *Langra* and *Rajapuri*. 88

A: Composition of burl xylem. Note the wide vessel (V) while arrowheads indicate tracheid like narrow cells with tyloses. F = Fibres, R = ray cell.

B: Xylem portion of the tumour showing rhomboidal crystal in ray cell (arrowheads).

C: Enlarged view of Figure 36A showing tracheid like/narrow vessels occluded with tyloses (arrowheads). Note the pit size of these elements.

D: Narrow vessel element (arrow). One of the narrow vessels occluded with tyloses (arrowhead) and structure of other xylem derivatives in the *Rajapuri* variety.

Scale bar: A – D = 100 μm .

Figure 37. Transverse (A, B) and the tangential view of the deformed secondary xylem of the burl portion of *Mangifera indica* variety *Langra* and *Rajapuri*. 89

A: Transition portion of the secondary xylem of the burl showing the irregular orientation of axial parenchyma. Note the large-sized simple pits on their lateral walls (arrowhead).

B: Transition portion of the burl xylem showing vessels occluded with tyloses. Note the polarity of the vessel elements (arrowheads). Arrow showing axial arrangement.

C: Burl portion of the burl xylem showing short fibres and ray cells. Arrowhead indicates tyloses in the ray cells

D: Enlarged view of secondary xylem portion of Figure 37C showing tyloses in one of the ray cells (arrowheads).

E: One of the vessels occluded with tyloses (arrowheads) and structure of other xylem derivatives in the *Rajapuri* variety

F: One of the deformed, large parenchyma cells occluded with tyloses (arrowhead).

Scale bar: A = 100µm; B =100 µm; C = 50µm; D = 20 µm;