

B I B L I O G R A P H Y

1. S. Bernstein : Sur la convergence absolue des séries trigonométriques, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Vol.158 (1914), pp. 1661-1663.
2. " : Sur la convergence absolue des séries trigonométriques, Communications de la Société Mathématique de Kharkoff, Vol.14 (1914), pp. 139-144. (in Russian)
3. " : Sur la convergence absolue des séries trigonométriques, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Vol.199 (1934), pp. 397-400.
4. A. Beurling : On the spectral synthesis of bounded functions, Acta Math., Vol.81 (1949), pp.225-238.
5. R.P.Boas, Jr. : Beurling's test for absolute convergence of Fourier series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.66 (1960), pp.24-27.
6. R. Bojanic : A lecture on 'The absolute convergence of Fourier Series' under the auspices of the Mathematics Seminar, M.S.University of Baroda, December, 1958 (unpublished).
7. K.K.Chen : On the class of functions with absolutely convergent Fourier series, Proc. Imp. Acad., Vol.4 (1928), p.517.
8. Min-Teh Cheng : On the absolute convergence of Fourier series, Duke Math. Journal, Vol.9 (1942), pp.803-810.
9. L. Fejér : Über gewisse Minimumproblem der Functionentheorie, Mathematische Annalen, Vol.97 (1926), pp.104-123.
10. G.H.Hardy : Weierstrass's non-differentiable function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.17 (1916), pp.301-325.

11. G.H.Hardy and J.E.Littlewood : Some new properties of Fourier constants, *Mathematische Annalen*, Vol.97 (1926), pp.159-209.
12. " : Notes on the theory of series (IX): On the absolute convergence of Fourier series, *J.London Math. Soc.* Vol.3 (1928), pp.250-253.
13. " : A convergence criterion for Fourier series, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol.28 (1928), pp.612-634.
14. " : On the partial sums of Fourier series, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, Vol.40 (1944), pp.103-107.
15. S. Izumi : Absolute convergence of some trigonometric series II, *J.Math. Analysis Appl.*, Vol.1 (1960), pp.184-194.
16. A.N.Kolmogorov and G. Seliverstov : Sur la convergence des séries de Fourier, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Vol.178 (1925), pp.303-305.
17. " : Sur la convergence des séries de Fourier, *Acc. Lincei.*, Vol.3 (1926), pp. 307-310.
18. Ching-tsün Loo : On a problem of Zygmund, *Sci. recod. (N.S.)*, Vol.1 (1957), pp.363-368.
19. R. Mohanty : A criterion for the absolute convergence of Fourier series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*(2), Vol.51 (1949), pp.186-196.
20. L. Neder : Ein Satz. über die absolute Konvergenz der Fourier-Reihe, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol.49 (1944), pp.644-646.
21. A. Flessner : Über die Konvergenz von trigonometrischen Reihen, *J.für reine und angewandte Mathematik*, Vol.155 (1926), pp.15-25.

22. R. Salem : Sur certaines fonctions continues et les propriétés de leurs séries de Fourier, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Vol.201(1935), pp. 703 - 705.
23. O.Szasz : Über den Konvergenzexponent der Fourierschen Reihen, Münchener Sitzungsberichte, 1922, pp. 135 - 150.
24. " : Über die Fourierschen Reihen Gewisser Functionenklassen, Mathematische Annalen, Vol.100 (1928), pp. 530 - 536.
25. " : Fourier series and mean moduli of continuity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.42(1937), pp. 366 - 395.
26. E.C.Titchmarsh : A note on Fourier transforms, J.London Math. Soc., Vol. 2 (1927), pp. 148 - 150.
27. " : Theory of Fourier Integrals, Oxford, 1950.
28. L. Tonelli : Sulla convergenza assoluta delle serie di Fourier, A.L., Vol.II(1925), pp. 145 - 149.
29. Z. Waraszkiewicz : Sur un théorème de M.Zygmund, Bull. de l'Académie Polonaise, Class A, Cracovie, 1929, pp. 275 - 279.
30. B.S.Yadav : On a theorem of Titchmarsh, Publications de l'Institut Mathématique, Nouvelle série, tome 2(16) (1962), pp.87-92.
31. " : A test for the almost everywhere convergence of Fourier series, Abstracts: Indian Math. Soc. Conf., December, 1962.

32. B.S.Yadav : On the absolute convergence of Fourier series, Abstracts: Indian Sci. Congress Assoc., Conf., January, 1962.
 33. " : On the class of Young's continuous functions, Abstracts: Indian Math. Soc. Conf., December, 1963.
 34. B.S.Yadav and O.P.Goyal : On the absolute convergence of Fourier series, Jour. M.S. Univ. Baroda, Vol.X(1961), pp. 29 - 34. MR 25(1963), p. 462
 35. W.H.Young : On a class of parametric integrals and their application in the theory of Fourier series, Proc. Roy. Soc., A, Vol.25 (1911), p. 401.
 36. A.C.Zaanen : On the absolute convergence of Fourier series, Nederl. Acad. Wetensch. Proc. Vol.48(1945), pp. 211 - 215.
 37. A. Zygmund : Sur la convergence absolue des séries de Fourier, J.London Math. Soc. Vol.3 (1928), pp. 194 - 196.
 38. " : Sur les fonctions conjuguées, Fundamenta Mathematicae, Vol.13 (1929), pp. 284 - 303.
 39. " : Some points in the theory of trigonometric and power series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol.36 (1934), pp. 586 - 617.
 40. " : Trigonometric Series, Vol. I and II, Cambridge, 1959.
-

INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE

B. S. YADAV

ON A THEOREM OF TITCHMARSH

Extrait
des

PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE T. 2 (16), 1962

BEOGRAD
1963

ON A THEOREM OF TITCHMARSH

B. S. Yadav

(Received 18. I 1963)

1. E. C. Titchmarsh [5] proved the following

Theorem A. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p < 2$ and $h > 0$. If $f(x)$ is even and belongs to $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$, i. e.

$$\int_0^{\infty} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h^{\alpha p}),$$

then the Fourier cosine transform of $f(x)$ belongs to L^{β} , for

$$p/(p + \alpha p - 1) < \beta < p/(p - 1).$$

The theorem analogous to this on Fourier series is the following

Theorem B. Let $f(x)$ be L -integrable in $(0, 2\pi)$ and periodic outside with period 2π and let

$$(1) \quad f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx).$$

If $f(x) \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$, i. e.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h^{\alpha p}),$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p < 2$, $h > 0$, then

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n|^{\beta} + |b_n|^{\beta}) < \infty,$$

for $\beta > p/(p + \alpha p - 1)$. For $\beta = p/(p + \alpha p - 1)$, (2) need not hold; see O. Szász [4]. The case for $p = 2$ was proved by S. Bernstein [1] and O. Szász [3].

If we take $\alpha p > 1$, then $p/(p + \alpha p - 1) < 1$ and hence Theorem B implies the absolute convergence of the Fourier series of $f(x)$ in this case. However, Min-Teh Cheng [2] has proved the following

Theorem C. If $\varepsilon > 0$, $1 < p < 2$, $h > 0$ and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h(\log h^{-1})^{-p-\varepsilon}),$$

then the Fourier series of $f(x)$ converges absolutely. For $\varepsilon=0$, this is no longer true. In fact, this theorem has been proved by Min-Teh Cheng in the following more general form:

Theorem D. If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $h > 0$ and

$$(3) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h(\log h^{-1})^{-1-\alpha p}),$$

then

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) \log^T n < \infty,$$

for $T < \alpha + p^{-1} - 1$. Moreover, (4) may not hold for $T = \alpha + p^{-1} - 1$.

In order to prove Theorem D, Min-Teh Cheng has first established an inequality for $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ classes corresponding to Hausdorff — Young inequality [7; Vol. II, p. 101] and with the help of the inequality he has also given an alternative proof of Theorem B. But, as suggested by A. Zygmund [7; Vol. I, p. 251], Theorem B can be proved directly with the help of Hausdorff — Young inequality. One of the objects of this paper is to provide an alternative proof for Theorem D, based merely on Hausdorff — Young inequality, by suitably amending the classical method of S. Bernstein and without appealing to the inequality for $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ classes obtained by Min-Teh Cheng. Thus the proof which we shall have is comparatively short and more direct. We shall also generalize Theorem D to the following

Theorem 1. If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $h > 0$ and

$$(5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h^\delta (\log h^{-1})^{-1-\alpha p}),$$

where $\delta = 1 + p(1-\beta)/\beta$, then

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n|^\beta + |b_n|^\beta) \log^T n < \infty,$$

for $\beta > p(T+1)/(1+\alpha p)$. For $\beta = p(T+1)/(1+\alpha p)$, (6) does not hold. We also state that Theorem C is contained in the following more general result already proved by the author in [6]:

Theorem 2. If for $h > 0$,

$$l_1(h) = \log(e + h^{-1}),$$

$$l_2(h) = \log \log(e^e + h^{-1}), \text{ etc.},$$

and if for certain $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = O \left(\frac{h^\alpha}{(l_1(h) l_2(h) \dots l_k^{1+\varepsilon}(h))^\gamma} \right),$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\gamma = (p + \alpha p - 1)/p$, then (2) holds for $\beta = p/(p + \alpha p - 1)$.

2. In Theorem D, we may naturally ask: what condition must $f(x)$ satisfy in order that (4) may hold for $T = \alpha + p^{-1} - 1$? In this connection, we shall prove the following

Theorem 3. If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $h > 0$ and

$$(7) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h(\log h^{-1})^{-(1+\alpha p)} (\log \log h^{-1})^{-(1+\varepsilon)p}),$$

then (4) holds for $T = \alpha + p^{-1} - 1$.

3. Alternative proof of Theorem D

From (1), it follows that

$$f(x+h) - f(x-h) \sim 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-a_n \sin nx + b_n \cos nx) \sin nh.$$

Therefore, by Hausdorff—Young inequality, we get

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\rho_n |\sin nh|)^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} < \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x-h)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\rho_n^{p'} = |a_n|^{p'} + |b_n|^{p'}$ and p' is given by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Putting $n = \frac{\pi}{2N}$, we get from (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\rho_n \left| \sin \frac{n\pi}{2N} \right| \right)^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} &< \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\rho_n \left| \sin \frac{n\pi}{2N} \right| \right)^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= O\left(\frac{N^{-1/p}}{(\log(N/\pi))^{(1+\alpha p)/p}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $N = 2^v$ and taking into account only the terms with indices exceeding

$\frac{1}{2}N$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \left(\rho_n \left| \sin \frac{n\pi}{2^{v+1}} \right| \right)^{p'} = O\left(\frac{2^{-vp'/p}}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)p'/p}} \right).$$

Since $\sin \frac{n\pi}{2^{v+1}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, for $2^{v-1} < n \leq 2^v$, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{p'} = O\left(\frac{2^{-vp'/p}}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)p'/p}} \right).$$

Now, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n &\leq \left(\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \left(\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} 1 \right)^{1-1/p'} \\ &= O \left(\frac{2^{-v/p}}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)/p}} \cdot 2^{v/p} \right) = O \left(\frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)/p}} \right); \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n \log^T n &\leq \log^T 2^v \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n \\ &= O \left(\frac{\log^T 2^v}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)/p}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \rho_n \log^T n &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n \log^T n = O \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log^T 2^v}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)/p}} \right) \\ &= O \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{T-(1+\alpha p)/p} \right) = O(1), \end{aligned}$$

for $T < \alpha + p^{-1} - 1$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. As in the proof of Theorem D, we obtain from (5)

$$\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+p}^{2^v} \rho_n^{p'} = O \left(\frac{2^{-v\delta p'/p}}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)p'/p}} \right).$$

Now, by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{\beta} &\leq \left(\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{p'} \right)^{\beta/p'} \left(\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} 1 \right)^{1-\beta/p'} \\ &= O \left(\frac{2^{-v\delta\beta/p}}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{\beta(1+\alpha p)/p}} \cdot 2^{v(1-\beta/p')} \right) = O \left(\frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{\beta(1+\alpha p)/p}} \right); \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{\beta} \log^T n &\leq \log^T 2^v \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{\beta} \\ &= O \left(\frac{\log^T 2^v}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{\beta(1+\alpha p)/p}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \rho_n^{\beta} \log^T n &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{\beta} \log^T n \\ &= O \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log^T 2^v}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{\beta(1+\alpha p)/p}} \right) = O(1),\end{aligned}$$

for $\beta > p(T+1)/(1+\alpha p)$.

This proves the first part of the theorem.

Proof of theorem 3. We shall sketch the proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem D, we can obtain by using (7),

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\rho_n \left| \sin \frac{n\pi}{2N} \right| \right)^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} &< \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= O \left(\frac{N^{-1/p}}{\left(\log \frac{N}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)/p} \left(\log \log \frac{N}{\pi} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}} \right);\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{p'} = O \left(\frac{2^{-v/p}}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)/p} \left(\log \log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\varepsilon)/p'}} \right).$$

Now, applying Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n &\leq \left(\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \left(\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} 1 \right)^{1-1/p'} \\ &= O \left(\frac{2^{-v/p}}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)/p} \left(\log \log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}} \cdot 2^{v/p} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n \log^T n &\leq \log^T 2^v \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n \\ &= O \left(\frac{\log^T 2^v}{\left(\log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{(1+\alpha p)/p} \left(\log \log \frac{2^v}{\pi} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}} \right) \\ &= O \left(\frac{1}{v \log^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p}} v} \right), \text{ putting } T = \alpha + p^{-1} - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \rho_n \log^T n = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \rho_n \log^T n = O \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v \log^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p}} v} \right) = O(1).$$

The proof is complete.

We omit the proof of the second part of Theorem 1.

In fact, the method of proofs which we have developed above can be used to prove each and every one of the results proved above in their more general forms. Thus we have

Theorem 4. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$ and $h > 0$.

(i) If

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h(\log_1 h^{-1})^{-p} (\log_2 h^{-1})^{-p} \dots (\log_{k-1} h^{-1})^{-p} (\log_k h^{-1})^{-(1+\alpha p)}),$$

where $\log_1 x = \log x$ and $\log_n x = \log \log_{n-1} x$, then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) \log^T n < \infty, \text{ for } T < \alpha + p^{-1} - 1,$$

but not for $T = \alpha + p^{-1} - 1$ [2; Theorem 3].

(ii) If

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h^\delta (\log_1 h^{-1})^{-p} (\log_2 h^{-1})^{-p} \dots (\log_{k-1} h^{-1})^{-p} (\log_k h^{-1})^{-(1+\alpha p)}),$$

$\delta = 1 + p(1-\beta)/\beta,$

then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n|^\beta + |b_n|^\beta) \log^T n < \infty, \text{ for } \beta > p(T+1)/(1+\alpha p),$$

but not necessarily for $\beta = p(T+1)/(1+\alpha p)$.

(iii) If

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h(\log_1 h^{-1})^{-p} (\log_2 h^{-1})^{-p} \dots (\log_{k-1} h^{-1})^{-p} (\log_k h^{-1})^{-(1+\alpha p)} (\log_{k+1} h^{-1})^{-(1+\epsilon p)}),$$

then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) \log^T n < \infty,$$

for

$$T = \alpha + p^{-1} - 1.$$

I wish to thank Dr. U. N. Singh for his valuable suggestions and guidance which I received from him during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bernstein: *Sur la convergence absolue des séries trigonométriques*. C. R. 158 (1914), 1661—1664.
- [2] Min-Teh Cheng: *The absolute convergence of Fourier series*. Duke Math. Journal, 9 (1942), 803—810.
- [3] Otto Szász: *Über den Konvergenzexponent der Fourierschen Reihen*. Münchener Sitzungsberichte, (1922), 135—150.
- [4] Otto Szász: *Über die Fourierschen Reihen Gewisser Functionenklassen*. M. A. 100 (1928), 530—536.
- [5] E. C. Titchmarsh. *A note on Fourier Transforms*. J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1927), 148—150.
- [6] B. S. Yadav: *On the absolute convergence of Fourier series*. (To appear elsewhere).
- [7] A. Zygmund: *Trigonometric series*. Vol. I and II, Cambridge (1959).

ON THE ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES

B. S. Yadav and O. P. Goyal

1. Let $f(x)$ be L-integrable in $(0, 2\pi)$ and periodic outside with period 2π and let

$$(1) \quad f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx).$$

S. Bernstein [1] and A. Zygmund [7] proved the following two theorems respectively:

THEOREM A. If $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, then the Fourier series of $f(x)$ converges absolutely, that is

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) < \infty.$$

For $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, this is no longer true. [9].

THEOREM B. If $f(x)$ is of bounded variation and belongs to $\text{Lip } \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, then (2) holds.

These theorems were generalized by O. Szász [4] and Waraszkiewicz [5] and A. Zygmund [8, 9] respectively to the following theorems:

THEOREM C. If $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n|^{\beta} + |b_n|^{\beta}) < \infty,$$

for $\beta > \frac{2}{2\alpha + 1}$, but not necessarily for $\beta = \frac{2}{2\alpha + 1}$.

THEOREM D. If $f(x)$ is, in addition, of bounded variation in Theorem C, then (3) holds for $\beta > \frac{2}{\alpha + 2}$, but not necessarily for $\beta = \frac{2}{\alpha + 2}$.

L. Neder [3] and A. C. Zaanen [6] have respectively made the following additions to the Theorems A and C:

THEOREM E. If for $h > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} l_1(h) &= \log(e + h^{-1}) \\ l_2(h) &= \log \log(e + h^{-1}) \\ &\text{etc.,} \end{aligned}$$

and if for certain $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq \frac{ch^{\alpha}}{l_1(h) l_2(h) \dots l_k^{1+\varepsilon}(h)},$$

then (2) holds also for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

THEOREM F. If

$$|f(x+h)-f(x)| \leq \frac{ch^\alpha}{[l_1(h) l_2(h) \dots l_k^{1+\varepsilon}(h)]^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}}},$$

then (3) is true for $\beta = \frac{2}{2\alpha+1}$.

The aim of this paper is to make a similar addition to Theorem D. More precisely we intend to prove the following

THEOREM 1. If $f(x)$ is of bounded variation and satisfies the condition

$$(4) \quad |f(x+h)-f(x)| \leq \frac{ch^\alpha}{[l_1(h) l_2(h) \dots l_k^{1+\varepsilon}(h)]^{\alpha+2}},$$

then (3) holds for $\beta = \frac{2}{\alpha+2}$.

If we put $\alpha = 0$ and $k = 1$, then this theorem reduces to the more general form of Zygmund's Theorem B [Remark; (7)].

2. G. H. Hardy [2] proved that, if $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} (|a_n| + |b_n|) < \infty,$$

for $\beta < \alpha$. If $f(x)$ is, in addition, of bounded variation, then

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta/2} (|a_n| + |b_n|) < \infty,$$

for $\beta < \alpha$. A. C. Zaenen [6; Theorem 3] has proved the following addition:

$$\text{If } |f(x+h)-f(x)| \leq \frac{ch^\alpha}{l_1(h) l_2(h) \dots l_k^{1+\varepsilon}(h)}, \text{ then (5)}$$

holds for $\beta = \alpha$. In this connection, we shall prove the following

THEOREM 2. If $f(x)$ is of bounded variation and satisfies the condition

$$(7) \quad |f(x+h)-f(x)| \leq \frac{ch^\alpha}{[l_1(h) l_2(h) \dots l_k^{1+\varepsilon}(h)]^2}, \text{ then (6) holds}$$

for $\beta = \alpha$.

3. **PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** We shall prove the theorem for $k=2$. From (1) it follows that

$$f(x+h)-f(x-h) \sim 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) \sin nh.$$

Therefore

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [f(x+h) - f(x-h)]^2 dx = 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^2 \sin^2 nh,$$

where $P_n^2 = a_n^2 + b_n^2$.

$$\text{Now} \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [f(x+h) - f(x-h)]^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \omega(h) \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x-h)| dx,$$

where ω denotes the modulus of continuity of $f(x)$. Putting $h = \frac{\pi}{2N}$,

we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) \right]^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) \right| dx; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad & \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) \right| dx = \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{N}\right) - f(x) \right| dx \\ & \leq \sum_{v=0}^{2N-1} \int_{v\pi/N}^{(v+1)\pi/N} \left| f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{N}\right) - f(x) \right| dx \\ & \leq \int_0^{\pi/N} \sum_{v=0}^{2N-1} \left| f\left(x + \frac{v+1}{N}\pi\right) - f\left(x + \frac{v}{N}\pi\right) \right| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{v=0}^{2N-1} \left| f\left(x + \frac{v+1}{N}\pi\right) - f\left(x + \frac{v}{N}\pi\right) \right| \leq V$,

where V is the total variation of $f(x)$ in $(0, 2\pi)$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2N}\right) \right| dx \leq \frac{\pi V}{N}.$$

Therefore it follows from (8) and (9) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^2 \sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{2N} \leq \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) \frac{V}{N}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^N P_n^2 \sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{2N} \leq \omega \left(\frac{\pi}{N} \right) V N^{-1}.$$

Putting $N = 2^\nu$, where ν is an integer $\geq \nu_0 \geq (\log_2 2)^{-2} + 3$ and taking into account only the terms with indices n exceeding $\frac{1}{2} N$, we have from the last inequality

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\substack{n=2^{\nu-1} \\ n=2^{\nu-1}+1}}^{2^\nu} P_n^2 \leq 2 \omega \left(\frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) V 2^{-\nu}.$$

Hence by (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n=2^{\nu-1} \\ n=2^{\nu-1}+1}}^{2^\nu} P_n^2 &\leq \frac{2 V 2^{-\nu} c (2^{-\nu} \pi)^\alpha}{\left[l_1 \left(\frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right)^{1+\varepsilon} l_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) \right]^{\alpha+2}} \\ &\leq C_1 \frac{2^{-\nu(1+\alpha)}}{\left[l_1 \left(\frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right)^{1+\varepsilon} l_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) \right]^{\alpha+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

As shown in [6],

$$l_1 \left(\frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) > (\nu-2) \log 2$$

$$\text{and } l_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \log (\nu-2);$$

hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{n=2^{\nu-1} \\ n=2^{\nu-1}+1}}^{2^\nu} P_n^2 \leq \frac{c_2 2^{-\nu(1+\alpha)}}{\left[(\nu-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon} (\nu-2) \right]^{\alpha+2}}.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n=2^{\nu-1} \\ n=2^{\nu-1}+1}}^{2^\nu} P_n^p &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{n=2^{\nu-1} \\ n=2^{\nu-1}+1}}^{2^\nu} P_n^2 \right)^{\beta/2} \left(\sum_{\substack{n=2^{\nu-1} \\ n=2^{\nu-1}+1}}^{2^\nu} 1 \right)^{1-\beta/2} \\ &\leq C_3 \frac{2^{-\nu(1+\alpha)\beta/2}}{\left[(\nu-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon} (\nu-2) \right]^{(\alpha+2)\beta/2}} \cdot 2^{\nu(1-\beta/2)} \\ &= \frac{c_3}{(\nu-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon} (\nu-2)}, \text{ for } \beta = \frac{2}{\alpha+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{P_n^\beta}{n^{\beta-1}} = \sum_{v=v_0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{P_n^\beta}{n^{\beta-1}} \leq c_3 \sum_{v=v_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(v-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon}(v-2)} < \infty;$$

since $|a_n|^\beta$, as also $|b_n|^\beta$, does not exceed P_n^β , it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n|^\beta + |b_n|^\beta) < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. *PROOF OF THEOREM 2.* We shall prove this theorem also for $k=2$.

From (10) we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{P_n^2}{n^{2-1}} \leq c_4 \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{2^v}\right) 2^{-v},$$

hence by (7) we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{P_n^2}{n^{2-1}} \leq \frac{c_5 2^{-v} 2^{-v\alpha}}{\left[l_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2^v}\right) l_2^{1+\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\pi}{2^v}\right)\right]^2} \leq \frac{c_6 2^{-v}(1+\alpha)}{\left[(v-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon}(v-2)\right]^2}.$$

By Schwarz's inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{P_n}{n^{1-1/2}} \leq \left(\sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{P_n^2}{n^{2-1}}\right)^{1/2} 2^{v/2} \leq \frac{c_7 2^{-v\alpha/2}}{(v-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon}(v-2)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{n^{\alpha/2} P_n}{n^{1-1/2}} \leq \frac{c_7}{(v-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon}(v-2)},$$

and hence

$$\sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{n^{\alpha/2} P_n}{n^{1-1/2}} = \sum_{v=v_0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{2^v} \frac{n^{\alpha/2} P_n}{n^{1-1/2}} \leq c_7 \sum_{v=v_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(v-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon}(v-2)} < \infty.$$

This proves that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha/2} (|a_n| + |b_n|) < \infty.$$

We are very thankful to Dr. U. N. Singh for his valuable suggestions and criticism which we received so generously from him during the preparation of this paper.

References

1. S. Berstein, Sur la convergence absolue des séries trigonometrique, C. R., 158 (1914), 1661-1664.
2. G. H. Hardy, Weierstrass's non-differentiable function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 17 (1916), 301-325.
3. L. Néder, Ein Satz über die absolute Konvergenz der Fourier-Reihe, Math. Zeitschrift, 49 (1944), 644-646.
4. O. Szász, Über den Konvergenzexponent der Fourierschen Reihen, Münchener Sitzungsberichte, (1922), 135-150.
5. Z. Waraszkiewicz, Sur un théorème de M. Zygmund, Bulletin Inter. de l'Académie Polonaise, class A de sciences math. et naturelles, Cracovie.
6. A. C. Zaanan, On the absolute convergence of Fourier series, Nederl. Akad. Wetensehen Proc. 48, 211-215.
7. A. Zygmund, Sur la convergence absolue des séries de Fourier, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3 (1928).
8. ————— Some points in the theory of trigonometric and power series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1934), 586-617.
9. ————— Trigonometric Series, Vol. I, Cambridge (1959).

Department of Mathematics,
The M. S. University of Baroda,
Baroda (INDIA).