

LIST OF FIGURES

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page No.</i> |
|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| Figure 1.1 | Classification of biodegradable polymer as per origin | 3 |
| Figure 1.2 | A schematic of the role of ion beam in materials science | 10 |
| Figure 2.1 | Schematic illustration for entire synthesis procedure | 27 |
| Figure 2.2 | Schematic diagram of 15UD Pelletron Accelerator | 29 |
| Figure 2.3 | Actual images of a ladder controlling unit, ladder and Material Science high vacuum chamber | 30 |
| Figure 2.4 | Bruker D8-Advance diffractometer at IUAC, New Delhi | 33 |
| Figure 2.5 | JASCO-4100 Spectrometer equipped with multi-reflection ATR PRO410-M at Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, MSU, Baroda | 35 |
| Figure 2.6 | A schematic diagram of allowed electronic transitions due to absorption of UV-visible radiations | 36 |
| Figure 2.7 | The wavelength region corresponds to molecular transitions due to interaction of UV-visible radiation with matter | 37 |
| Figure 2.8 | Hitachi Model U-3300 Spectrometer at IUAC, New Delhi | 38 |
| Figure 2.9 | (a) Agilent E4980A LCR meter equipped with Agilent 16451B solid dielectric test fixture at physics department, school of science, Ahmedabad and (b) Solartron SI-1260 impedance gain/phase analyzer at Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, MSU, Baroda | 40 |
| Figure 2.10 | FESEM device of Zeiss, Merlin VP Compact at FCIPT, Gandhinagar | 43 |
| Figure 2.11 | (a) Geometry between probe and surface (b) A schematic illustration of fundamental working principle of AFM | 44 |
| Figure 2.12 | The AFM setups at IUAC, New Delhi | 45 |
| Figure 3.1 | XRD of (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend matrices | 52 |
| Figure 3.2 | (a-d) XRD of chitosan irradiated with C^{+5} ions at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ² , 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² and Ni^{+7} ions at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ² 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² respectively; (e-h) | 53 |

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page No.</i> |
|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| | XRD of CP blend irradiated with C ⁺⁵ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ ions/cm ² , 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ ions/cm ² , 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² respectively | |
| Figure 3.3 | FTIR spectra of (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend | 55 |
| Figure 3.4 | FTIR spectra of (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ ions/cm ² and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² respectively | 56 |
| Figure 3.5 | UV-Visible absorption spectra of (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ ions/cm ² and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² respectively | 59 |
| Figure 3.6 | Tauc's plots of (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively. | 60 |
| Figure 3.7 | Plot of dielectric constant (ϵ') versus log frequency for pre and post C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions irradiated (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend matrices | 62 |
| Figure 3.8 | Plot of real electric modulus (M') versus log frequency for pre and post C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions irradiated (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend matrices | 63 |
| Figure 3.9 | Plot of imaginary electric modulus (M'') versus log frequency for pre and post C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions irradiated (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend matrices | 64 |
| Figure 3.10 | Plot of dielectric loss (ϵ'') versus log frequency for pre and post C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions irradiated (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend matrices | 66 |
| Figure 3.11 | Plot of conductivity (σ) versus log frequency for pre and post C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions irradiated (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend matrices | 67 |
| Figure 3.12 | Plot of log ac conductivity versus log angular frequency for pre and post C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions irradiated chitosan | 68 |
| Figure 3.13 | Plot of log ac conductivity versus log angular frequency for pre and post C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions irradiated CP blend | 69 |
| Figure 3.14 | SEM micrographs of chitosan (a) irradiated with C ⁺⁵ (b) and Ni ⁺⁷ (c) ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² ; CP blend (d) irradiated with C ⁺⁵ (e) and Ni ⁺⁷ (f) ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² | 70 |

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page No.</i> |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|
| Figure 3.15 | Variation in crystallite size, bandgap (E_g), dielectric constant (ϵ') and dc conductivity of chitosan and CP blend irradiated with C^{+5} and Ni^{+7} ions at fluence of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ion/cm ² . The symbols of square and circle referred to chitosan and CP blend, respectively | 71 |
| Figure 4.1 | XRD patterns of CS and SPE system with different concentrations | 78 |
| Figure 4.2 | FTIR spectrum of CS and CSC SPE samples | 79 |
| Figure 4.3 | The concentration-dependent FTIR of chitosan-based SPE system | 80 |
| Figure 4.4 | FTIR spectra of SPE samples irradiated with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluences of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² , respectively | 81 |
| Figure 4.5 | UV–Vis spectra of concentration-dependent SPE samples | 82 |
| Figure 4.6 | UV–Vis spectrum of CS and CSC samples irradiated with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluences of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² , respectively | 83 |
| Figure 4.7 | Plot of dielectric constant versus log frequency for pristine and irradiated SPE with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluences of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² , respectively | 86 |
| Figure 4.8 | Plot of real part of modulus versus log frequency for pristine and irradiated SPE with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluences of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² , respectively | 87 |
| Figure 4.9 | Bergman equation fit of imaginary part of modulus versus log frequency for pristine and irradiated SPE with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluences of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² , respectively | 88 |
| Figure 4.10 | Plot of dielectric losses versus log frequency for pristine and irradiated SPE with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluences of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² , respectively | 90 |
| Figure 4.11 | Scaling behavior of imaginary part of modulus for all the SPE samples | 91 |
| Figure 4.12 | Plot of conductivity versus log frequency for pristine and irradiated SPE with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluences of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² , respectively | 92 |

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page No.</i> |
|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| Figure 4.13 | Variation in dc conductivity as a function of salt concentration and ion fluence | 92 |
| Figure 4.14 | Plot of log ac conductivity versus log angular frequency for all the SPE samples | 93 |
| Figure 4.15 | AFM images of CS (a) and CSC (b) films and CSC films irradiated with 60-MeV C ⁺⁵ ions (c), 100-MeV Ni ⁺⁷ ions (d) at the fluence of 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² | 94 |
| Figure 5.1 | XRD of (a) CS, (b) CSNA, (c) CSNB, (d) CSNC, (e) CP, (f) CPNA, (g) CPNB, and (h) CPNC matrices | 102 |
| Figure 5.2 | XRD of (a-h) CSNA and CPNA, (i-p) CSNB and CPNB, and (q-x) CSNC and CPNC matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 103 |
| Figure 5.3 | FTIR spectra of concentration-dependent CSN matrices | 105 |
| Figure 5.4 | FTIR spectra of concentration-dependent CPN matrices | 106 |
| Figure 5.5 | FTIR spectra of (a) CSNC (b) CPNC matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluences of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 107 |
| Figure 5.6 | UV-Visible spectra of concentration-dependent (a) CSN and (b) CPN matrices, respectively | 109 |
| Figure 5.7 | UV-Visible spectra of (a) CSN (b) CPN matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluences of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 110 |
| Figure 5.8 | Plot of dielectric constant versus log frequency of Ag NPs dependent (a) CSN and (b) CPN matrices, respectively. Inset depict the variation of ε' at 1 kHz versus Ag NPs wt% for CSN and CPN matrices | 113 |
| Figure 5.9 | Plot of dielectric constant versus log frequency for (a) CSNA, (b) CSNB, (c) CSNC, (d) CPNA, (e) CPNB, and (f) CPNC matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 114 |
| Figure 5.10 | Plot of dielectric loss versus log frequency of Ag NPs dependent (a) CSN and (b) CPN matrices, respectively | 115 |
| Figure 5.11 | Plot of dielectric loss versus log frequency for (a) CSNA, (b) CSNB, (c) CSNC, (d) CPNA, (e) CPNB, and (f) CPNC matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 116 |

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page No.</i> |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|
| Figure 5.12 | Plot of real part of electric modulus versus log frequency of Ag NPs dependent (a) CSN and (b) CPN matrices, respectively | 117 |
| Figure 5.13 | Plot of real part of electric modulus versus log frequency for (a) CSNA, (b) CSNB, (c) CSNC, (d) CPNA, (e) CPNB, and (f) CPNC matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 118 |
| Figure 5.14 | Plot of imaginary part of electric modulus versus log frequency of Ag NPs dependent (a) CSN and (b) CPN matrices, respectively | 119 |
| Figure 5.15 | Plot of imaginary part of electric modulus versus log frequency for (a) CSNA, (b) CSNB, (c) CSNC, (d) CPNA, (e) CPNB, and (f) CPNC matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 120 |
| Figure 5.16 | Plot of conductivity versus log frequency of Ag NPs dependent (a) CSN and (b) CPN matrices, respectively | 122 |
| Figure 5.17 | Variation in dc conductivity for (a) CSN and (b) CPN matrices as a function of ion fluence. Variation in frequency exponent “s” for (c) CSN and (d) CPN matrices as a function of ion fluence | 123 |
| Figure 5.18 | Plot of conductivity versus log frequency for (a) CSNA, (b) CSNB, (c) CSNC, (d) CPNA, (e) CPNB, and (f) CPNC matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 124 |
| Figure 5.19 | Scaling of the conductivity spectra for (a) CSNA, (b) CSNB, (c) CSNC, (d) CPNA, (e) CPNB and (f) CPNC matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 125 |
| Figure 5.20 | Plot of log(fε') versus log frequency of (a) CSN and (b) CPN matrices irradiated with C ⁺⁵ and Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹¹ and 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² , respectively | 126 |
| Figure 5.21 | FESEM micrographs of (a) CSNC irradiated with (b) C ⁺⁵ ions, (c) Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² and (d) CPNC irradiated with (e) C ⁺⁵ ions (f) Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² | 127 |
| Figure 5.22 | AFM images of (a) CSNC irradiated with (b) C ⁺⁵ ions, (c) Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² and (d) CPNC irradiated with (e) C ⁺⁵ ions (f) Ni ⁺⁷ ions at the fluence of 1×10 ¹² ions/cm ² | 128 |

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page No.</i> |
|-------------------|---|-----------------|
| Figure 6.1 | Optical energy bandgap of chitosan, CP blend, SPE and polymer nanocomposites matrices as a function of beam parameters | 138 |
| Figure 6.2 | Dielectric constant at 10 kHz frequency of chitosan, CP blend, SPE and polymer nanocomposites matrices as a function of beam parameters | 140 |

LIST OF TABLES

| <i>Table No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page No.</i> |
|------------------|---|-----------------|
| Table 2.1 | The simulated value of S_e , S_n , and R_p for C^{+5} and Ni^{+7} ions in the respective matrix | 31 |
| Table 3.1 | Variation in crystallite size of pristine and irradiated CS and CP blend matrices with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluence of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² | 52 |
| Table 3.2 | Variation in E_g , N, and R^2 for (a) chitosan and (b) CP blend irradiated with C^{+5} and Ni^{+7} ions at the fluence of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² , respectively. | 60 |
| Table 4.1 | Variation in optical energy bandgap (E_g) and the number of carbon atoms per conjugation length (N) at different fluences of 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ion irradiation for SPE samples | 84 |
| Table 4.2 | Parameter values obtained from the Bergman equation fit and σ_{dc} | 89 |
| Table 5.1 | Variation in the crystallite size of pristine and irradiated CSN and CPN matrices with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluence of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² | 104 |
| Table 5.2 | Variation in optical energy bandgap (E_g), the number of carbon atoms per conjugation length (N) and (R^2) of pristine and irradiated CSN and CPN matrices with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluence of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² | 111 |
| Table 5.3 | Parameter values obtained from the Bergman equation fit of pristine and irradiated CSN matrices with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluence of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² | 121 |
| Table 5.4 | Parameter values obtained from the Bergman equation fit of pristine and irradiated CSN matrices with 60-MeV C^{+5} and 100-MeV Ni^{+7} ions at the fluence of 1×10^{11} and 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² | 121 |