

List of Figures

1.1	A plot showing a country wise data of total number of operational reactors with their net energy production capacity (GWe) [1]	3
1.2	Country wise nuclear share to their total produced electricity combining all the resources [1].	3
1.3	A schematic diagram showing the reactions and the products in a typical MOX fuel.	4
1.4	A schematic diagram of Accelerator Driven Sub-critical System (ADSs) [11]	5
1.5	An illustration showing the thorium deposits (in thousand tonnes) around the world [10].	6
1.6	A schematic diagram of International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) [7]	8
1.7	A chart showing different nuclear reactions and their outgoing particle spectra. The labels, C, P and D corresponds to the labels given in Figure 1.8	11
1.8	Schematic diagram of an outgoing particle spectra contributing from direct (D), compound nucleus (C) and pre-equilibrium (P) reactions. The dashed line separates the compound nucleus contribution from rest of the spectrum.	11
1.9	A general representation of nuclear reaction on a target nucleus with neutron being the incident particle.	13
1.10	Excitation function of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n, \gamma)^{233}\text{Th}$ reaction cross-sections within the energy range of 1-25 MeV. The plot has been taken directly from the EXFOR website [15].	14
2.1	A schematic diagram of 14UD Pelletron Accelerator at BARC-TIFR Facility.	25
2.2	A schematic diagram of Folded Tandem Ion Accelerator (FO-TIA) at Nuclear Physics Division, BARC.	26
2.3	A pictorial view of the 6 meter irradiation setup at BARC-TIFR Pelletron accelerator.	30

LIST OF FIGURES

2.4	Schematics of a typical HPGe detector connected to a cooling dewar [9]	32
2.5	A typical recorded spectrum of a standard ^{152}Eu γ -ray source marked with prominent γ -lines	33
2.6	Pictorial view of typical source-detector counting setup at BARC-TIFR Pelletron facility	34
2.7	Measured efficiencies for the detector used for (a) the $^{232}\text{Th}(n, \gamma)$ reaction at BARC, (b) $^{58}\text{Ni}(n, p)$ reaction at FOTIA, BARC, (c) $^{58}\text{Ni}(n, 2n)$ and $^{100}\text{Mo}(n, 2n)$ reactions at TIFR and (d) for p-induced reaction measurements at TIFR at the 5 cm source to detector distance	35
3.1	A pictorial representation of the uncertainties present in the (n, γ) and $(n, 2n)$ reaction cross-sections of ^{232}Th and ^{238}U isotopes. The data were taken from the EXFOR [6] and ENDF/B-VII.1 [7] libraries.	44
3.2	A pictorial representation of the uncertainties present in the commonly used monitor reactions. The data were taken from the EXFOR [6] and ENDF/B-VII.1 [7] libraries.	45
4.1	A flow chart representation of the TALYS model calculations.	57
4.2	Reaction flow of PE equilibrium in Exciton Model [1, 18]. . .	59
4.3	A flow chart representation of the EMPIRE-3.2.3-Malta calculations [3].	60
5.1	A schematic diagram of the target irradiation setup at BARC-FOTIA with ^{nat}LiF tablet [23].	71
5.2	A schematic diagram of the target irradiation setup at BARC-TIFR Pelletron with ^{nat}Li metal foil.	74
5.3	Typical neutron fluxes used for the present measurements reproduced by using the literature data and parameters given in Refs. [41–46].	77
5.4	Demonstration of the neutron flux correction applied when the monitor and sample reactions have different thresholds [46].	79
5.5	Typically recorded spectrum for the $^{232}\text{Th}(n, f)$ monitor and $^{232}\text{Th}(n, \gamma)$ sample reaction at 16 MeV incident proton energies [10].	80
5.6	Typically recorded spectrum for the $^{27}\text{Al}(n, \alpha)$ monitor and $^{100}\text{Mo}(n, 2n)$ sample reaction at 19 MeV incident proton energies [22].	80

LIST OF FIGURES

5.7	The typical recorded spectra for (a) $^{197}\text{Au}(n, \gamma)$, (b) $^{115}\text{In}(n, n')$, (c) $^{27}\text{Al}(n, \alpha)$, and (d) $^{58}\text{Ni}(n, x)$ reactions at 19 MeV incident proton energy [23].	81
5.8	Demonstration of the neutron tailing correction applied to remove the contribution coming from the low energy neutron continuum from the measured data.	83
5.9	A comparison of the present results with the literature data [58–69], TALYS-1.8 [26] theoretical data (default as well as with modified parameter set) and the evaluated data from ENDF/B-VII.1 [50] and JENDL-4.0 [51] libraries.	91
5.10	A comparison of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n, 2n)^{231}\text{Th}$ data [58–61, 70–74] with the default and modified input parameter set of TALYS-1.8 [26], the evaluated data from ENDF/B-VII.1 [50] and JENDL-4.0 [51] libraries.	91
5.11	A comparison of the present results, literature data [79–87], and the theoretical results from different level density models (ldmodel 1-6) [40–45] of TALYS-1.9 [26] for $^{100}\text{Mo}(n, 2n)^{99}\text{Mo}$ reaction.	96
5.12	A comparison of the present results, literature data [79–87], evaluated data from ENDF/B-VII.1 [50], JENDL-4.0 [51], JEFF-3.2 [52], CENDL-3.1 [53] data libraries and the theoretical results from default and modified input level density parameters in TALYS-1.9 [26] for $^{100}\text{Mo}(n, 2n)^{99}\text{Mo}$ reaction.	96
5.13	A comparison of the literature data [79–87] with PE calculations of TALYS-1.9 [26] and ALICE-2014 [77, 78] (default) values.	97
5.14	A comparison of present data with the literature data [11, 94–122] and the theoretical reaction cross-section calculations using the TALYS-1.9 [26] code.	103
5.15	A comparison of present data with the literature data [11, 94–122], the evaluated data [50–53], and the theoretical reaction cross-section calculations using the EMPIRE-3.2.2-Malta [27] code.	103
5.16	A comparison of present data with the literature data [11, 112–130] and the theoretical reaction cross-section calculations using the TALYS-1.9 [26] code.	104
5.17	A comparison of present data with the literature data [11, 112–130], the evaluated data [50–53], and the theoretical reaction cross-section calculations using the EMPIRE-3.2.2-Malta [27] codes.	104

LIST OF FIGURES

5.18	A comparison of present data with the literature data [11, 94–122], and the theoretical reaction cross-section calculations (default and by switching off the PE contribution) using the TALYS-1.9 [26] and EMPIRE-3.2.2-Malta [27] codes.	105
5.19	A comparison of present data with the literature data [11, 112–130], and the theoretical reaction cross-section calculations (default and by switching off the PE contribution) using the TALYS-1.9 [26] and EMPIRE-3.2.2-Malta [27] code.	105
5.20	Experimental cross-sections for $^{116}\text{Sn}(n, p)^{116}\text{In}$ reaction fitted with ENDF/B-VII.1 [50] and different level density parameters using TALYS-1.8 [26] and EMPIRE-3.2.2 [27].	109
5.21	Experimental cross-sections for $^{117}\text{Sn}(n, p)^{117}\text{In}$ reaction fitted with ENDF/B-VII.1 [50] and different level density parameters using TALYS-1.8 [26] and EMPIRE-3.2.2 [27].	110
5.22	Experimental cross-sections for $^{117}\text{Sn}(n, p)^{117m}\text{In}$ reaction fitted with ENDF/B-VII.1 [50] and different level density parameters using TALYS-1.8 [26] and EMPIRE-3.2.2 [27].	110
5.23	Experimental cross-sections for $^{118}\text{Sn}(n, 2n)^{117m}\text{Sn}$ reaction fitted with ENDF/B-VII.1 [50] and different level density parameters using TALYS-1.8 [26] and EMPIRE-3.2.2 [27].	111
5.24	Experimental cross-sections for $^{120}\text{Sn}(n, 2n)^{119m}\text{Sn}$ reaction fitted with ENDF/B-VII.1 [50] and different level density parameters using TALYS-1.8 [26] and EMPIRE-3.2.2 [27].	111
5.25	Experimental cross-sections for $^{124}\text{Sn}(n, 2n)^{123m}\text{Sn}$ reaction fitted with ENDF/B-VII.1 [50] and different level density parameters using TALYS-1.8 [26] and EMPIRE-3.2.2 [27].	112
6.1	A schematic diagram of the stack foil arrangement of the target assembly	126
6.2	Typical γ -ray energy spectrum obtained from the interaction of (from top) [A] $p + ^{nat}\text{Nb}$ at $E_{Lab} \approx 19$ MeV, [B] $p + ^{nat}\text{Ag}$ at $E_{Lab} \approx 19$ MeV, and [C] $p + ^{nat}\text{Ti}$ at $E_{Lab} \approx 19$ MeV	127
6.3	Excitation function of the $^{93}\text{Nb}(p, n)^{93m}\text{Mo}$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [50–55] with different level density model parameters in TALYS-1.9 [37].	133
6.4	Excitation function of the $^{93}\text{Nb}(p, pn)^{92m}\text{Nb}$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [50–55] with different level density model parameters in TALYS-1.9 [37].	133
6.5	Excitation function of the $^{93}\text{Nb}(p, \alpha n)^{89g}\text{Zr}$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [50–55] with different level density model parameters in TALYS-1.9 [37].	135

LIST OF FIGURES

6.6	The comparison of the excitation function of the $^{nat}Ag(p, x)^{107}Cd$ reaction with the literature data [56] and the theoretical model codes TALYS-1.9 [37] and ALICE-2014 [38,39]	135
6.7	The comparison of the excitation function of the $^{nat}Ag(p, x)^{106m}Ag$ reaction with the literature data [56] and the theoretical model codes TALYS-1.9 [37] and ALICE-2014 [38,39]	136
6.8	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{48}V$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [63–65,68–73,75] with different level density model parameters (ldmodel 1-6) [40–45] in TALYS-1.9 [37].	136
6.9	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{48}V$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [63–65,68–73,75] with different level density model parameters (Fermi Gas and Kataria-Ramamurthy) in ALICE-2014 [38,39] and TALYS-1.9 [37] default values.	139
6.10	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{47}Sc$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [61–64,66,71,75] with different level density model parameters (ldmodel 1-6) [40–45] in TALYS-1.9 [37].	139
6.11	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{47}Sc$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [61–64,66,71,75] with different level density model parameters (Fermi Gas and Kataria-Ramamurthy) in ALICE-2014 [38,39] and TALYS-1.9 [37] default values.	140
6.12	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{46}Sc$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [61,63,72,74,75] with different level density model parameters (ldmodel 1-6) [40–45] in TALYS-1.9 [37].	140
6.13	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{46}Sc$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [61,63,72,74,75] with different level density model parameters (Fermi Gas and Kataria-Ramamurthy) in ALICE-2014 [38,39] and TALYS-1.9 [37] default values.	141
6.14	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{44m}Sc$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [61,62,64,65,67,71,72,75] with different level density model parameters (ldmodel 1-6) [40–45] in TALYS-1.9 [37].	141

6.15	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{44m}Sc$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [61,62,64,65,67,71,72,75] with different level density model parameters (Fermi Gas and Kataria-Ramamurthy) in ALICE-2014 [38,39] and TALYS-1.9 [37] default values.	143
6.16	Excitation function of the $^{93}Nb(p, n)^{93m}Mo$ reaction. The comparison of the literature data [50–55] with pure CN and CN+PE reaction cross-sections from TALYS-1.9 [37]	144
6.17	Excitation function of the $^{93}Nb(p, pn)^{92m}Nb$ reaction. The comparison of the literature data [50–55] with pure CN and CN+PE reaction cross-sections from TALYS-1.9 [37]	144
6.18	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ag(p, x)^{107}Cd$ reaction.. The comparison of the present and the literature data [60] with pure CN and CN+PE reaction cross-sections from TALYS-1.9 [37] and default values from ALICE-2014 [38,39].	145
6.19	Excitation function of $^{nat}Ag(p, x)^{106m}Ag$ reaction. The comparison of the present and the literature data [56,60] with pure CN and CN+PE reaction cross-sections from TALYS-1.9 [37] and default values from ALICE-2014 [38,39].	145
6.20	The comparison of the excitation function of the (a) $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{48}V$, (b) $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{47}Sc$, (c) $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{46}Sc$, and (d) $^{nat}Ti(p, x)^{44m}Sc$ reactions in the present work with pure CN and CN+PE cross-sections from TALYS-1.9 [37].	146
6.21	The estimated PE contribution (PE%) from the present data as a function of projectile energy.	147