

List of Figures

1.1	Historic nuclear chart with color indications of year of discovery. Note that many nuclei discovered since 1978.	04
1.2	Distant, grazing and close collisions in the classical picture of heavy Ion collisions, from [5].	05
1.3	Schematic classification of heavy-ion reactions, from [6].	06
1.4	The illustration of the forces that form a Coulomb barrier between the participating nuclei in a nuclear reaction.	10
1.5	Schematic representations of the fusion and breakup processes that can take place in the collision of a weakly bound projectile. For the sake of simplicity it is assumed that the breakup produces two fragments.	13
1.6	Best-fit real and imaginary potentials, at the strong absorption radius, for the elastic scattering of ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{208}\text{Pb}$ (left) and ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{208}\text{Pb}$ (right) [19].	16
1.7	Best-fit real and imaginary central (left) and transition (right) terms of the nuclear potential, at the strong absorption radius, for the elastic scattering of polarized ${}^7\text{Li}$ on ${}^{208}\text{Pb}$ [24].	17
1.8	Best-fit real and imaginary potentials, at the strong absorption radius, for the elastic scattering of ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{138}\text{Ba}$. The solid lines are calculations using the dispersion relation for the optical potential [25].	18
1.9	Best-fit real and imaginary potentials, at the strong absorption radius, for the elastic scattering of ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{138}\text{Ba}$. The open points correspond to optical model calculations and the solid lines are results using the dispersion relation for the optical potential. The crosses correspond to CC calculations including the ${}^7\text{Li}$ first excited state, and the dashed curves are results using the dispersion relation and CC calculations [26].	19
1.10	Reaction cross sections for the ${}^{6,7}\text{Li} + {}^{138}\text{Ba}$ systems [25].	21
1.11	Energy dependence of the normalization factors N_R and N_I	

	of the São Paulo potential which best fit the data, for the elastic scattering of ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{138}\text{Ba}$. The solid lines are compatible with the dispersion relation [27,28].	22
1.12	Energy dependence of the normalization factors N_R and N_I of the São Paulo potential which best fit the data, for the elastic scattering of ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{138}\text{Ba}$. The solid lines are compatible with the dispersion relation [27,28].	23
2.1	The arrangement of four alpha particle clusters in the nucleus ${}^{16}\text{O}$ [2].	33
3.1	Schematic diagram of the Pelletron accelerator facility, Mumbai. The left panel of the figure shows the 5 beam lines.	50
3.2	Photograph of the experimental area at TIFR where the all five beam lines are located.	52
3.3	(a) Three solid state silicon surface barrier detectors placed on the one arm (movable) of the scattering chamber. The target mounted in a target ladder is also shown in the picture.	59
3.3	(b) Two monitor detectors for absolute normalization were kept hanging (fixed) in the scattering chamber at $\pm 20^\circ$	60
3.4	A typical bi-parametric E- ΔE spectrum for the ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system at $E_{\text{lab}} = 35$ MeV and $\theta = 35^\circ$. The Projection of the ${}^6\text{Li}$ elastic peak of the bi-parametric E- ΔE spectrum is shown in the inset.	61
3.5	The electronics set up for the ΔE -E telescopes used for the data collection.	63
3.6	Several potentials which produce similar fits of the data, for 35 MeV. The crossing points are the derived real (a) and imaginary (b) sensitivity radii.	65
3.7	Experimental elastic scattering cross sections normalized to the Rutherford cross sections for the ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system and their best fits from optical model calculations. The curves correspond to best fits were obtained using the Woods-Saxon potential (WSP).	67

3.8	Experimental elastic scattering cross sections normalized to the Rutherford cross sections for the ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$ system and their best fits from optical model calculations. The curves correspond to best fits were obtained using the Woods-Saxon potential (WSP).	68
3.9	Energy dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the optical potential obtained for the ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system at an average radius $R_S = 9.40$ fm. The energy V_b of the Coulomb barrier is 22.07 MeV in the centre of mass frame calculated using the Bass formula.	69
3.10	Best fits for N_R and N_I as a function of the bombarding energy obtained from fits with the São Paulo potential for the ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system. The energy V_b of the Coulomb barrier is 22.07 MeV in the centre of mass frame calculated using the Bass formula.	72
3.11	Reduced reaction cross section versus reduced projectile energy for the ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{116,112}\text{Sn}$ reactions using the prescription given in Ref. [64] compared to other systems of similar masses: (a) from Ref. [66], (b) from Ref. [18], (c) from Ref. [67], (d) from Ref. [71]. The reaction cross sections were obtained from optical model fits of the experimental angular distributions.	74
3.12	Reduced reaction cross section versus reduced projectile energy for the ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{116,112}\text{Sn}$ reactions using the prescription given in Ref. [65] compared to other systems of similar masses: (a) from Ref. [66], (b) from Ref. [18], (c) from Ref. [67], (d) from Ref. [71]. The reaction cross sections were obtained from optical model fits of the experimental angular distributions.	75
3.13	Angular distributions predicted by CDCC calculations.	78
3.14	Effects of Coulomb and nuclear breakup on the elastic angular distributions.	79
3.15	Exclusive breakup cross section measured at various energies.	

	Full circles represent experimental data and the two different lines shows CDCC calculations (see text for details).	80
4.1	Collaborators along with the author doing experimental setup.	91
4.2	A typical bi-parametric E- Δ E spectrum for the ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system at $E_{\text{lab}} = 35$ MeV and $\theta = 40^\circ$. The Projection of the ${}^7\text{Li}$ elastic peak of the bi-parametric E- Δ E spectrum is shown in the inset.	92
4.3	Different families of potential parameters that produce similar fits of the data, at 23 MeV. The real and imaginary sensitivity radii are the values where they intersect each other, respectively in Fig. (a) and (b).	95
4.4	(a) Experimental elastic scattering cross sections normalized to the Rutherford cross sections for the ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system at energies $E_{\text{lab}} = 18 - 20$ MeV and their best fits from optical model calculations. The curves correspond to best fits were obtained using the Woods – Saxon potential (WSP).	96
4.4	(b) Same as Fig. 4.4 (a) but for energies $E_{\text{lab}} = 21 - 23$ MeV.	97
4.4	(c) Same as Fig. 4.4 (a) but for energies $E_{\text{lab}} = 24 - 35$ MeV.	98
4.5	Energy dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the optical potential obtained for the ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system at an average radius $R_s = 9.685$ fm. The energy V_b of the Coulomb barrier is shown by the arrow.	100
4.6	Experimental elastic scattering cross sections normalized to Rutherford cross sections for the ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system and their best fits from optical model calculations. The curves correspond to best fits were obtained using the Sao Paulo potential (SPP).	103
4.7	Best fits for N_R and N_I as a function of the bombarding energy obtained from fits with the São Paulo potential for the ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ system. The energy V_b of the Coulomb barrier is around 21.2 MeV in the centre of mass frame calculated using the Bass formula. The solid line is just a trend line to show the dependence of	

	interacting potential on energy.	104
4.8	Total reaction cross sections for the $^{6,7}\text{Li} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$ systems. On the upper panel (a) the reduction method is proposed in Ref. [34] and on the lower panel (b), the reduction method is proposed in ref [35 – 37].	107
4.9	Reduced reaction cross section vs reduced projectile energy for the $^7\text{Li} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$ reaction using the prescription given in Ref. [34]. The reaction cross sections were obtained from optical model fits of the experimental angular distributions.	108
4.10	Reduced reaction cross section vs reduced projectile energy for the $^7\text{Li} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$ reaction using the prescription given in Ref. [35 – 37]. The reaction cross sections were obtained from optical model fits of the experimental angular distributions.	109
4.11	Values of the imaginary and real parts of the optical potential at the average sensitive radius, equal to 9.40 fm, for the system $^6\text{Li} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$. The solid line corresponds to the dispersion relation calculations.	111
4.12	Values of the imaginary and real parts of the optical potential at the average sensitive radius, equal to 9.685 fm, for the system $^7\text{Li} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$. The solid line corresponds to the dispersion relation calculations.	112
5.1	Overview of the Pelletron Laboratory of the Institute of Physics of the University of São Paulo, Brazil, RIBRAS system.	121
5.2	Schematic diagram of the sputtering process.	122
5.3	RIBRAS solenoid and target system for the production of radioactive ion beams.	124
5.4	Electronics used in data acquisition.	126
5.5	A typical 2D particle identification spectrum of the elastic scattering angular distribution obtained for the $^8\text{Li} + ^9\text{Be}$ system at 19.6 MeV.	129
5.6	(a) Elastic scattering angular distribution for the $^8\text{Li} + ^9\text{Be}$ system at 19.6 MeV, and (b) Elastic scattering angular distribution for	

	the ${}^8\text{Li} + {}^{51}\text{V}$ system at 18.5 MeV, measured in the present work. The solid line corresponds to best fit using the Sao Paulo potential (SPP) and the dashed line using the Woods – Saxon potential (WSP) form factors. See text for details.	130
5.7	Total reaction cross-sections for systems with different projectiles and the same ${}^9\text{Be}$ target, reduced by the two different reduction methods described in the text. The curve in (b) is the universal fusion function.	138
5.8	Total reaction cross-sections for systems with different projectiles and targets from $A = 51$ to 64, reduced by the two different reduction methods described in the text. The curve in (b) is the universal fusion function.	138

List of Tables

3.1	Parameters used with Wood-Saxon potential calculations for ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ System and the derived total reaction cross sections.	66
3.2	Parameters used with Wood-Saxon potential calculations for ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$ System and the derived total reaction cross sections.	66
3.3	Parameters used with the Sao Paulo potential calculations for ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ System and the derived total reaction cross sections.	71
3.4	Parameters used with the Sao Paulo potential calculations for ${}^6\text{Li} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$ System and the derived total reaction cross sections.	71
4.1	Parameters used with Woods – Saxon potential calculations for ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ System and the derived total reaction cross sections.	99
4.2	Parameters used with the Sao Paulo potential calculations for ${}^7\text{Li} + {}^{116}\text{Sn}$ System and the derived total reaction cross sections.	105
5.1	Possible radioactive ion beams obtained from the system RIBRAS.	124
5.2	Barrier parameters obtained from the Sao Paulo potential (SPP) and derived total reaction cross-sections for the systems investigated in the present work. The cross-sections obtained from data measured in the present work are in bold.	133
5.3	Barrier parameters obtained from the Sao Paulo potential (SPP) and derived total reaction cross-sections for the	