List of Figures

1.1 Schematic diagram of Accelerator Driven Sub-critical System (ADSs) [6] . . . 3
1.2 Schematic diagram of International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) [13] . . . 5
1.3 A chart illustrating various nuclear reactions and their outgoing particle spectra. The tags, D, C and P corresponds to the tags given in Figure 1.4 [18]. . . . 6
1.4 Schematic diagram of an outcoming particle spectra contributing from direct (D), compound nucleus (C) and pre-equilibrium (P) reactions [18] . . . 7
1.5 A general depiction of nuclear reaction on a target nucleus with neutron being the projectile particle. . . . 8

2.1 A flow chart of nuclear models available in TALYS-1.9 code. . . . 19
2.2 Events occurs from the neutron interact history. . . . 22
2.3 Flow chart of MCNP code. . . . 23
2.4 Program allows predefined or user-specified media. . . . 30
2.5 The program checks to ensure the fractions sum to unity. . . . 30
2.6 Generate Z_{eff} as a function of energy. . . . 31
2.7 Elect a predefined spectrum or insert a single valued energy of interest. 31

3.1 A schematic diagram of 14UD Pelletron Accelerator installed at BARC-TIFR Facility. . . . 39
3.2 A pictorial view of BARC-Purnima neutron generator facility [23, 24]. 41
3.3 A pictorial view of the 6 meter irradiation setup at BARC-TIFR Pelletron accelerator [21]. . . . 42
3.4 Schematics of a typical HPGe detector connected to a cooling dewar [28] . . . 44
3.5 A typical recorded spectrum of a standard ^{152}Eu γ-ray source denoted with prominent γ-lines . . . 45
3.6 Pictorial view of typical source-detector counting setup at 14 UD Pelletron facility . . . 46
3.7 Pictorial view of a typical detector setup used at Purnima . . . 47
3.8 Measured efficiencies for the detector used for (A) the $^{159}Tb(n,\gamma)^{160}Tb$, $^{113}In(n,n')^{113m}In$, $^{115}In(n,2n)^{114m}In$, $^{115}In(n,n')^{115m}In$ reaction cross-sections at Pelletron BARC-TIFR, (B) $^{181}Ta(n,2n)^{180}Ta$ reaction at Purnima, BARC, . . . 47

3.9 A schematic diagram of the target irradiation setup at BARC-TIFR Pelletron with ^{nat}Li metal foil [21]. 56
3.10 Schematic diagram showing the arrangements used for the neutron irradiation. 57
3.11 Typical neutron fluxes used for the present measurements reproduced by using the liturature data [74] 59
3.12 Neutron spectra generated from ^{nat}Li(p,n) reaction using 13, 16, 19 and 22 MeV protons 60
3.13 Neutron flux correction for the threshold energy reactions where ^{27}Al(n, α)^{24}Na reaction has threshold energy of 3.24 MeV labeled as A and $^{115}In(n,2n)^{114m}In$ reaction has threshold energy 9.11 MeV labeled as B and maximum neutron energy labeled as C 62
3.14 Typically recorded γ-ray spectrum for the Terbium sample 63
3.15 Typically recorded γ-ray spectrum for the Indium sample 63
3.16 Typically recorded γ-ray spectrum for the Tantalum sample 64
3.17 Comparison of the experimentally measured $^{159}Tb(n,\gamma)^{160}Tb$ reaction cross-section with literature data and the theoretical model codes . 64
3.18 The experimentally measured ^{113}In(n, n′)^{113m}In reaction cross-section compared with the literature data [4, 57–66], and theoretical nuclear models of TALYS-1.9 The default value of ldmodel 1 have been chosen to fit the present and previous data. 66
3.19 The experimentally measured ^{115}In(n, 2n)^{114m}In reaction cross-section compared with the literature data [60, 64, 65, 67–72], and theoretical nuclear models of TALYS-1.9 The default value of ldmodel 1 have been chosen to fit the present and previous data. 68
3.20 The experimentally measured ^{115}In(n, n′)^{115m}In reaction cross-section compared with the literature data [60, 63, 64, 67–69, 73–78], and theoretical nuclear models of TALYS-1.9 The default value of ldmodel 2 have been chosen to fit the present and previous data. 69
3.21 Geometry used to simulate the neutron spectrum by using MCNP [32] code 70
3.22 Excitation function of $^{181}Ta(n,2n)^{180}Ta$ reaction. A comparison of present data with the literature data [13–15, 87, 88] and the theoretical predictions using the TALYS-1.9 [46] code. 72

4.1 γ-ray interaction with atoms. 85
4.2 A typical arrangement of the experimental setup used for the γ-ray (^{60}Co) measurements. 92
4.3 A cross-sectional view of simulation setup for neutron (^{252}Cf) measurements obtained from the CAD-based Monte Carlo program: SuperMC [43–45]. 93
4.4 Mass attenuation coefficient of prepared concrete samples at energies 10^{-2} to 10^{5} MeV using XCOM program. 95
4.5 Mass attenuation coefficient of samples using MCNP code and XCOM program. 96
4.6 Comparisons of MCNP, XCOM, and experimental outcomes of samples using ^{60}Co source. 98

4.7 The effective atomic number of prepared concrete samples using Auto-Z_{eff} software and direct method 99
4.8 The effective electron density of prepared concrete samples using Auto-Z_{eff} software and direct method. 100
4.9 Variation in half-value layer of concrete samples using the XCOM program. 102
4.10 Variation in tenth value layer of concrete samples using the XCOM program. 102
4.11 Variation in mean free path of concrete samples using the XCOM program. 103
4.12 Removal cross-section of concrete samples using the NXcom program. 104
4.13 Comparison of measured removal cross-section of concrete sample with literature Bashter [50] 105