

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PARTICLUARS	Page No
CERTIFICATE	I
DECLARATION	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	V
LIST OF CASES	VIII
<b>CHAPTER 1 - AN INTRODUCTION TO ARBITRATION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Statement of Problem	5
1.3 Rationale of the Study	5
1.4 Object of the Study	7
1.5 Scope and Delimitation	9
1.6 Significance and Utility of the Study	9
1.7 Hypothesis of the Study	10
1.8 Research Methodology	11
1.9 Scheme of the Study	12
1.10 Review of Related Literature	15
<b>CHAPTER 2 - TRACING ARBITRATION THROUGH THE TIMES</b>	
2.1 Origins of Arbitration	24
2.1.1 Christian References to Arbitration	24
2.1.2 Islamic References to Arbitration	26
2.1.3 Hindu References to Arbitration	26
2.2 Development of Arbitration Law in India	27
2.2.1 The Arbitration Act, 1940	29
2.2.2 The Arbitration Act, 1996	32
2.2.3 The Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Act, 2015	53
2.2.4 Amendments in 2019	60
2.2.5 The Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Ordinance, 2020	68
2.3 Conclusion	68
<b>CHAPTER 3 - AN ANALYSIS OF ARBITRAL LAWS</b>	
3.1 An Introduction to the Arbitration Regime in London and Singapore	71

3.2 A comparison between India, Singapore and London on arbitration	74
3.2.1 Domestic Arbitration vs. International Arbitration	74
3.2.2 What Constitutes an Arbitration Agreement?	76
3.2.3 Adopting a Pro-arbitration Stance	78
3.2.4 What disputes are arbitrable?	81
3.2.5 Rules of Arbitration	84
3.2.6 Power of the tribunal to rule on its own jurisdiction	85
3.2.7 Appointment of Arbitrator	88
3.2.8 Judicial Review	90
3.2.9 Time and Cost of Arbitration	93
3.2.10 Non-signatories	96
3.2.11 Multi-instance arbitration	99
3.2.12 Position on Foreign Lawyers and Foreign Arbitrators	102
3.2.13 Conflicts by Arbitrators and Challenges to Appointment	104
3.2.14 Code of Conduct for Arbitrators	106
3.2.15 Interim measures by courts	108
3.2.16 Disclosure of evidence	112
3.2.17 Court assistance in taking evidence	113
3.2.18 Digital Evidence	114
3.2.19 The Concept of Confidentiality	116
3.2.20 Rules of Privilege	117
3.2.21 Arbitral Secretaries	119
3.3 Conclusion	121
<b>CHAPTER 4 - AN ANALYSIS OF JUDICIAL DECISIONS</b>	
4.1 Cases pertaining to appointment of arbitrator	126
4.1.1 Dominant Offset v. Adamovske	126
4.2 Cases pertaining to the application of Part 1 to foreign arbitrations	128
4.2.1 Olex Focas vs. Skoda Export Company	128
4.2.2 Bhatia International v. Bulk Trading SA	129
4.3 Cases pertaining to the interpretation of ‘public policy’	130
4.3.1 Renusagar Power Co. Ltd. v. General Electric Company	131
4.3.2 ONGC v. Saw Pipes	132

4.3.3 ONGC Ltd. v. Western GECO Ltd.	134
4.3.4 Cruz City 1 Mauritius v. Unitech	135
4.3.5 Daiichi Sankyo v. Malvinder Mohan	136
4.3.6 Vijay Karia v. Prysmian Cavi E Sistemi SRL	138
4.4 Cases pertaining to court intervention in foreign awards	141
4.4.1 Venture Global Engineering v. Satyam Computer Services	141
4.5 Other cases that are pro-arbitration in India	144
4.5.1 The BALCO Judgement	145
4.5.2 Shri Lal Mahal v. Progetto Gramo Spa	147
4.5.3 Phulchand Exports v. Ooo Patriot	148
4.5.4 Antrix Corp. v. Devas Multimedia	149
4.6 Cases pertaining to arbitrability of fraud	150
4.6.1 World Sport Group (Mauritius) v. Msm Satellite (Singapore) Pte & similar cases	151
4.7 Cases pertaining to pathological arbitration clauses	155
4.7.1 Pricol v. Johnson Controls Enterprise	155
4.7.2 Enercon v. Enercon GMBH	156
4.8 Other important judicial pronouncements	158
4.9 Conclusion	163
<b>CHAPTER 5 - CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION IN INDIA</b>	
5.1 Developments from 2016	165
5.2 Challenges and Opportunities	167
5.2.1 Costs incurred in Arbitration in India	167
5.2.2 Time consumed in Arbitration in India	172
5.2.3 No power to remove a biased arbitrator	176
5.2.4 Third party funding in Arbitration	177
5.2.5 Anti-Arbitration Injunctions in India	178
5.2.6 Res Judicata in International Arbitration	180
5.2.7 Finality of Arbitral Awards in India	182
5.2.8 Judicial Interference	183
5.2.9 The Seat v. Venue Debate	186

5.2.10 Foreign seat of Arbitration	188
5.2.11 Intervention limited to higher courts	189
5.2.12 Time-lines	190
5.2.13 Powers of the tribunal under S.17 widened	191
5.2.14 Grounds to challenge awards limited	192
5.2.15 No automatic stay of award	192
5.2.16 Awarding Costs	193
5.2.17 Bias in Arbitration	194
5.2.18 Arbitral Immunity	196
5.2.19 The Stamp Duty Conundrum	198
5.2.20 Entry of Foreign Lawyers	198
5.2.21 Challenges in Confidentiality	199
5.2.22 The Government – A friend or foe?	199
5.2.23 Investment Treaty Arbitrations in India – The need for a change in attitude	201
5.2.24 Expanding the arbitrator pool	203
5.2.25 Opportunities for virtual hearings	204
5.3 Conclusion	205
<b>CHAPTER 6 - DATA ANALYSIS</b>	209
<b>CHAPTER 7 - CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION</b>	232
7.1 Findings of the Study	233
7.2 Conclusions drawn on the basis of the Hypothesis	237
7.3 Suggestions for a change	239
7.3.1 Timelines in International Commercial Arbitration – Why not?	239
7.3.2 Suggestions for Third Party Funding	240
7.3.3 A need to resolve the Seat v. Venue perplexity	242
7.3.4 Lesser intervention by the judiciary	243
7.3.5 Arbitral Immunity – Just on paper?	244
7.3.6 Arbitration – Does one size fit all?	245
7.3.7 The long arm of the Government	246
7.3.8 Change in attitude of the government	247
7.3.9 A Move on from Retired Judges	248

7.3.10 Arbitration in times of Covid – 19	250
7.3.11 Suggestions for Creating Awareness about Institutional Arbitrations	250
7.3.12 A Dedicated Court for Arbitration	252
7.3.13 The need for two separate legislations	253
7.4 A proposed solution – a ray of hope	253
7.5 The International Arbitration Act 2021	255
BIBLIOGRAPHY	269