

Table Index

Table No.	Particular	Page No.
1.1	Likert Scale used in questionnaire	16
1.2	Likert Scale used in questionnaire	16
3.1	The Activities observed in the Laboratory	113
3.2	The Cost Structure of Laboratory	114
3.3	Statement of Time Requirement for Various Activities	116
3.4	Statement of required Time and Resource Costs	118
3.5	Statement of Used and Unused Capacity	119
3.6	Statement of Costs incurred on Biochemistry Test	119
3.7	Statement of Costs incurred on Hormone Test	120
3.8	Statement of Costs incurred on Serology Test	121
3.9	Statement of Costs incurred on Parasitology Test	122
3.10	Statement of Costs incurred on Hematology Test	123
3.11	Statement of Costs incurred on Microbiology Test	124
3.12	Statement of Costs incurred on various Tests/Cost Objects	125
3.13	Statement of Cost per Test	126
3.14	Statement of Profitability of each Test	127
3.15	Statement of estimated used capacity and Cost and Rate on various Activities	130
3.16	Statement of estimated used capacity and Cost on various Tests	130
3.17	Statement of Profitability of various tests	131
3.18	Statement of Activity Cost Pool and Total Cost	139
3.19	Statement of Cost by Resource and Activity	141
3.20	Statement of Activity Cost Driver Quantities and Rates	142
3.21	Statement of Activity Cost used by Cost Objects	143
3.22	Statement of Cost under Activity Based Costing for the Year 2014-2015	143
3.23	Statement of Total Activity Cost	147
3.24	Statement of Activity and Activity Cost Driver	148
3.25	Statement of Activity Cost Driver Quantities and Rates	148
3.26	Statement of Cost Objects costs	148
3.27	Statement of Cost, income and profit as percentage of Total amount of Loan and Investments	149
4.1	Summary of Indicators and Reliability Alpha Score	164
4.2	Comparison of Mean Scores of Extent of Respondents' Opinion on Activity Based Costing: An effective tool for Cost Management - A Study of Selected Service Sector Organisation	165

4.3	Demographic Details of the Individual Respondents : Age of the Respondent	166
4.4	Demographic Details of the Individual Respondents : Education Qualification (Graduation) of the Respondents	167
4.5	Demographic Details of the Individual Respondents : Education Qualification (Post Graduate) of the Respondents	168
4.6	Demographic Details of the Individual Respondents : Education Qualification (Ph.D) of the Respondents	169
4.7	Demographic Details of the Individual Respondents : Professional Qualification of the Respondents	169
4.8	Occupation Wise Distribution of Respondents	170
4.9	Specialization of the Respondents	170
4.10	Work Experience & Occupation of the Respondents	171
4.11	Respondents Understanding About Cost Accounting System	172
4.12	Evaluation of Cost Accounting System (CAS)	173
4.13	Comparative Evaluation of Traditional Costing System (TCS) and Activity Based Costing System (ABC)	175
4.14	Comparative Evaluation of Application of Traditional Costing System (TCS) and Activity Based Costing System (ABC)	177
4.15	Evaluation of Service Sector	179
4.16	Current Costing Method Used in Service Sector	180
4.17	Current System for Allocating Overheads in Service Sector	181
4.18	Need of Activity Based Costing System in Service Sector	182
4.19	Respondents' Reasons for Using Cost Accounting System Through KMO and Bartlett's Test	183
4.20	Total Variance on Respondents response on Reasons for Using Cost Accounting System	183
4.21	Total Variance on reasons for using cost accounting system	184
4.22	Communalities and Rotated Component Matrix of organisation's Reasons for using Cost Accounting System	185
4.23	Application of Activity Based Costing System Through KMO and Bartlett's Test	187
4.24	Total Variance Explained on Application of Activity Based Costing System	187
4.25	Total Variance on Application of Activity Based costing system	188
4.26	Communalities and Rotated Component Matrix (a) of Application of Activity Based costing system	189
4.27	Significance of Service Sector and Need for Redefined Cost Accounting System Through KMO and Bartlett's Test	191
4.28	Total Variance Explained on significance of service sector and need of redefined costing system	191

4.29	Total Variance on significance of service sector and need of redefined costing system	192
4.30	Communalities and Rotated Component Matrix (a) of significance of service sector and need of redefined costing system	193
4.31	Need of Activity Based Costing System in Service Sector Through KMO and Bartlett's Test	196
4.32	Total Variance Explained Need of Activity Based Costing System in Service Sector	196
4.33	Total Variance on Need of Activity Based Costing System in Service Sector	197
4.34	Communalities and Rotated Component Matrix (a) of Need of Activity Based Costing System in Service Sector	198
4.35	Case Processing Summary Graduate: * Cost Accounting Knowledge	200
4.36	Cross tabulation Graduate: * Cost Accounting Knowledge	200
4.37	Chi-Square Tests Graduate: * Cost Accounting Knowledge	200
4.38	Case Processing Summary Post Graduate * Cost Accounting Knowledge	201
4.39	Crosstabulation Post Graduate * Cost Accounting Knowledge	201
4.40	Chi-Square Tests Post Graduate * Cost Accounting Knowledge	201
4.41	Case Processing Summary Professional Degree * Cost Accounting Knowledge	202
4.42	Crosstabulation Professional Degree * Cost Accounting Knowledge	202
4.43	Chi-Square Tests Professional Degree * Cost Accounting Knowledge	202
4.44	Case Processing Summary PhD * Cost Accounting Knowledge	203
4.45	Crosstabulation PhD * Cost Accounting Knowledge	203
4.46	Chi-Square Tests PhD * Cost Accounting Knowledge	203
4.47	Case Processing Summary Occupation * Cost Accounting Knowledge	204
4.48	Crosstabulation Occupation * Cost Accounting Knowledge	204
4.49	Chi-Square Tests Occupation * Cost Accounting Knowledge	204
4.50	Case Processing Summary Specialization/ Department/ Expertise * Cost Accounting Knowledge	205
4.51	Crosstabulation Specialization/ Department/ Expertise * Cost Accounting Knowledge	205
4.52	Chi-Square Tests Specialization/ Department/ Expertise * Cost Accounting Knowledge	205
4.53	Case Processing Summary Work Experience: (in Years) * The accuracy of Cost accounting System	206
4.54	Crosstabulation Work Experience: (in Years) * The accuracy of Cost accounting System	206
4.55	Chi-Square Tests Work Experience: (in Years) * The accuracy of Cost accounting System	206

4.56	Case Processing Summary Costing method used in Service Sector * Work Experience	207
4.57	Cross tabulation Costing method used in Service Sector * Work Experience	207
4.58	Chi-Square Tests Costing method used in Service Sector * Work Experience	207
4.59	Case Processing Summary System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Work Experience: (in Years)	208
4.60	Cross tabulation System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Work Experience (in Years)	208
4.61	Chi-Square Tests System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Work Experience (in Years)	208
4.62	Case Processing Summary System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Professional Degree	209
4.63	Cross tabulation System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Professional Degree	209
4.64	Chi-Square Tests System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Professional Degree	209
4.65	Case Processing Summary System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Occupation	210
4.66	Cross tabulation System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Occupation	210
4.67	Chi-Square Tests System for allocating overheads in Service Sector Organisation * Occupation	210
4.68	Case Processing Summary Costing method used in Service Sector to determine cost * Occupation	211
4.69	Cross tabulation Costing method used in Service Sector to determine cost * Occupation	211
4.70	Chi-Square Tests Costing method used in Service Sector to determine cost * Occupation	211
4.71	Ranks of Increases accuracy of cost allocation with the help of Cost Driver	212
4.72	Comparison between ABC and TCS for objectively assigning costs based on cause-and-effect relationships	213
4.73	Comparison between ABC and TCS for Showing More realistic cost behavior	214
4.74	Comparison between ABC and TCS for reflecting cost actually consumed by cost object	215
4.75	Comparison between ABC and TCS for providing fact-based insight into the spending on cost object	216
4.76	Comparison between ABC and TCS for providing fact-based insight into the profitability of cost object	217

4.77	Comparison between ABC and TCS for providing accurate cost information in case of increased overheads	218
4.78	Comparison between ABC and TCS for separation of profitable and non-profitable activities	219
4.79	Comparison between ABC and TCS for separation of controllable and uncontrollable cost	220
4.80	Comparison between ABC and TCS for controlling costs based on tangible activities	221
4.81	Comparison between ABC and TCS for suitability for cost control	222
4.81	Comparison between ABC and TCS for cost efficiency	223