	i.	
	T	
No.	LIST OF TABLES	Page
	Tick of year October October included	
1.	List of Home Science Colleges included in the present investigation	67
2.	Pattern of response of Teachers, Students and Administrators in Home Science Colleges of Agricultural and Non-agricultural	
	Universities	75
3.	Frequency and percentage distribution indicating the background information	
	of teachers	84
4.	Frequency and percentage distribution . 3 indicating the background information	
,	of students	89
5.	Frequency and percentage distribution including the background information of	
	administrators	90 .
6.	Mean score range indicating the level of role enactment and role contentment	94
7.	Categorisation of overall mean score according to the 'N' in different roles.	95
8.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teachers towards role enactment in	,
	instructors' role	104
9.	Mean score distribution showing response of teachers towards their role contentment	
	in instructor's role	105
10.	Mean score distribution showing response of teachers towards role enactment in communicator's role	106
11.		
	in communicator's role	107
	•	

-

	,	
	·	,
No.	LIST OF TABLES	Page
12.	Mean score distribution showing response of teachers towards role enactment in	,
•	evaluator's role	108
13.	Mean score distribution showing response of teachers towards their role contentment	
	in evaluator's role	110
14.	Percentage distribution showing the con- straints in teaching as responded by	
•	teachers	112
15.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teachers towards role enactment in researcher's role	118
16.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teachers towards their role contentment in researcher's role	119
17.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teachers towards role enactment in research guide's role	120
18.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teachers towards their role contentment in research guide's role	120
19.	Percentage distribution showing the constraints faced by teachers involved in research as reported by teachers	121
20.	Percentage distribution showing constraints faced by teachers not involved in research as reported by teachers	122
21.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teachers towards role enactment in extension worker's role	126
22.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teacher's towards their role contentment in extension worker's role	127
	•	

.

•

No.	LIST OF TABLES	Page
23.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teachers towards role enactment in extension work guide's role	128
24.	Mean score distribution showing the response of teachers towards their role contentment in extension work guide's role	
25.	Percentage distribution showing constraints for teachers involved in extension work as reported by teachers	130
26.	Percentage distribution showing constraints in extension for teachers not doing extension as responded by teachers	131
27.	Percentage distribution of Physical constraints as responded by teachers	133
28.	Mean score distribution showing the response of students towards role enactment by teachers in instructors' role	144
29.	Mean score distribution showing the role contentment of students with regards to instructors' role	145
30.	Mean score distribution showing the response of students towards role enactment by teacher in communicators role	
31.	Mean score distribution showing the role contentment of students regarding communicators role	147
32.	Mean score distribution showing the role contentment of students regarding evaluator's role	148

	•			J. W.		
0	LIST	OF TABL	es			Page
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mean score distrik response of studer enactment by teach	its towa	rds rol	e	ب د به حر	•
	role .	**	•		-	150
. 1	Percentage distrik teaching constrair as faced by teache	nts repo			nt	
	ro reced by ceacing		•	• • • •		152
	Mean score distrik of students toward				sponse	,
†	ceachers in resear	ch guid	e's rol	e :::		154
	Mean score distrib					
ç	guide's role	′ •••		****	***	155
	Percentage distrik constraints found					
k	y students	• • •		•••	• • •	156
·	Percentage distrib	eachers	not inv	olved		
2	ln resear c h as ide	entiriea	by stu	dents	• • • • •	. 157
, , ·	Mean score distrik students response by teachers in ext	towards	role e	nactme		• 157
	Mean score distrik					
	contentment of stuvork guide's role		•• egaroru	g exte		• 159
	Percentage distrib					
	extension constraidentified by stud			eacher		161
	Percentage distrik Constraints faced				lved	
	in extension work					-167

•	•	
		٠ ـــ
	•	
No.	LIST OF TABLES	Page
43,	Percentage distribution showing the	,
,	physical constraints faced by teachers	
	as identified by students	163
44.	Mean score distribution showing the	•
	response of administrators towards role	
	enactment of teachers in instructors role	• 176
45.	Méan score distribution showing the response	,
	of administrators' towards their role	
	contentment regarding instructors' role	• 177
46.	Mean score distribution showing the	
,	response of administrator's towards role	
	enactment of teachers in communicator's role.	. 17 8
47.	Mean score distribution showing the role	
,	contentment of administrators regarding	
	communicator's role	179
48.	. Mean score distribution showing the response	
•	of administrators towards role enactment of	
	teachers in evaluator's role	180
49,		
	showing the role contentment of administrators regarding evaluator's role	102
	administrators redarding evarageor s rore	102
50.		•
	of administrators towards teaching constraints faced by teachers	184
	Constitute recen by conchers,	104
51.	Mean score distribution showing the response	
	of administrators towards role enactment of teachers in researchers role	
	reduiers in fenementers from	100
52.		
	contentment of administrators regarding researchers' role	. 187
	repeatoners rote	, 10,
	•	
	•	
	•	

	•	
,		
No.	LIST OF TABLES P	age
740.	TIOI OF TUBERO	oge ∙
53.	Mean score distribution showing the response of administrators towards role enactment of teachers in research guide's role	187
54.	contentment of administrators regarding	
	the research guide's role	188
5 5 .	response of administrators towards research constraints faced by teachers	189
	THAOTAGG TH TGDEGTCH MOTY ***	109
56.	Percentage distribution showing the response of administrators' towards research constraints for teachers not involved in	
	research	190
57.	response of administrators towards role enactment of teachers in extension	
	worker's role	190
58.	Mean score distribution showing the role contentment of administrators regarding	
	extension worker's role	192
59.	Mean score distribution showing the response of administrators towards role énactment of teachers in extension work guide's	
	role	193
60.	Mean score distribution showing the role contentment of administrators regarding extension work guide's role	104
	evenioron mory Anthe prote *** ***	734
61.	for teachers not involved in extension work	
	as reported by administrators	196

 \supset

No.	LIST OF TABLES	Page
62.	Percentage distribution showing the extension constraints faced by teachers as reported by administrators	197
63 .	Percentage distribution showing the physical constraints faced by teachers as reported by administrators	198
64.	Scores indicating consensus among respondents with regards to role enactment and role contentment in teaching, research and extension	214
65.	Scores indicating consensus among respondents with regards to role constraints faced by teachers in teaching research and extension	215
66.	Overal mean score distribution of respondents	216
67.	Mean score distribution of respondents in agricultural and non-agricultural universities	217
68.	Simple correlation scores indicating relationship between the three role dimensions	225
69.	Partial correlation scores indicating relationship between the three role dimensions	226
70.	Relationship between teachers' variables and role dimensions in the role of teaching.	,23 1

			•		,
		•			•
	No.	LIST OF T	ABLES		Page
	71.	indicating relationship teachers area of speci	between the alisation and	l	
		role enactment in teach	ing	• • • •	232
	72.	Relationship between th and teacher variables i			
•		research	• • •	• • • •	233
	73.	Percentage and Chi-squa the relationship betwee experience of teachers profession and role ena	n years of in the teachi	ng	•235
	74.	Percentage and Chi-squa the relationship betwee experience of teachers profession and role con	n years of in the teachi	_	
		research	• • •	•••••	235
,	75.	and teacher variables i			
		extension	• • •	* * * * * *	236
	76.	Percentages and Chi-squ indicating relationship specialisation and enac	between area		,
		role in extension	* • •	*****	237
	77.	Relationship between thand students' variables			
		teaching	• • •	• • • • •	240
	7 8.	Percentages and Chi-squ indicating the relation area of specialisation	ship between	and	
		role enactment in teach			242

. .

,

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
No.	LIST OF TABLES	Page
79.	Percentage and Chi-square value indicating the relationship between area of specialisation of students and role contentment in the teaching	242
80.	Percentage and Chi-square value revealing the relationship between medium of instruction at school level of students and role enactment in teaching	-243
81.	Relationship between the role dimensions and students' variables in the role of research	244
82.	Percentage and Chi-square value indicating the relationship between area of specialisation of students and role enactment in research	245
83.	Percentage and Chi-square value indicating the relationship between area of specialisation of students and role contentment in research	245
, 84.,	Percentages and Chi-square value indicating relationship between medium of instruction at school level of students and role enactment in research role	246
85•	Relationship between the role dimensions and students variables in extension role of teachers	247
	Percentage and Chi-square value indicating the relationship between students area of specialisation of students and role enactment in extension	. 248

No.	LIST OF TABLES	Page
87.	Percentage and Chi-square value indicating the relationship between area of specialisation of students and role contentment in extension	248
88.	Percentages and Chi-square value indicating the relationships between medium of instructions at school level of students and role enactment in extension	250
89.	Percentage and Chi-square value indicating the relationship between medium of instruction at school level of students and role contentment in extension	249

ţ

• • • •

. ...