

# APPENDIX 13 A

## DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF GARMENT DESIGNER ACCORDING TO PERSONAL FACTORS

### (a) TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME

N = 90

TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME AT B.Sc. LEVEL	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Specialized N = 34	16	47.06	18	52.94	0	0.00
General N = 56	19	33.93	37	66.07	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 1.535 with df =1 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.120

### (b) ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

N = 90

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Excellent N = 12	5	41.67	7	58.33	0	0.00
Good N = 42	16	38.10	26	61.90	0	0.00
Average N = 36	14	38.89	22	61.11	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 0.050 with df =2 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.02

## (c) SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

N=90

SOCIO ECONOMIC STATUS	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
High N = 59	22	37.29	37	62.71	0	0.00
Medium N = 27	12	44.44	15	55.56	0	0.00
Low N = 4	1	25.00	3	75.00	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 0.739 with df = 2 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.09

## (d) OVERALL MODERNITY

N = 67

OVERALL MODERNITY	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Modern N = 59	26	44.07	33	55.93	0	0.00
Conservative = 31	9	29.03	22	70.79	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 1.933 with df = 1 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.140

# APPENDIX 13 B

## DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF GARMENT DESIGNER ACCORDING TO INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS

### (A) HUMAN RESOURCES

N = 90

HUMAN RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 15	4	26.67	11	73.33	0	0.00
Not adequate = 75	31	41.33	44	58.67	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 1.131 with df = 1 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.11

### (b) PHYSICAL RESOURCES

N = 90

PHYSICAL RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 28	9	32.14	19	67.86	0	0.00
Not adequate N = 62	26	41.94	36	58.06	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 0.778 with df = 1 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.090

## (c) INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME

N = 90

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 27	8	29.63	19	70.37	0	0.00
Not adequate N = 63	27	42.86	36	57.14	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 1.391 with df = 1 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.120

## (d) TYPE OF DEPARTMENT

N = 90

TYPE OF DEPARTMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Combined N = 24	8	33.33	16	66.67	0	0.00
Separate N = 66	27	40.91	39	59.09	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 0.425 with df = 1 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.060

## (e) SYSTEM OF EDUCATION

N = 90

SYSTEM OF EDUCATION	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Semester N = 32	13	40.63	19	59.38	0	0.00
Annual N = 58	22	37.93	36	62.07	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 0.063 with df = 1 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.02

# APPENDIX 13 C

## DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY OF PREPARATION TO TAKE UP VOCATION OF TEXTILE DESIGNER ACCORDING TO PRESONAL FACTORS

(a) TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME

N = 90

TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME AT B. Sc. LEVEL	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Specialized N = 34	12	35.29	19	55.88	3	8.82
General N = 56	15	26.79	40	71.43	1	1.79

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 3.648 with df = 2 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.190

(b) ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

N = 90

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Excellent N = 12	4	33.33	8	66.67	0	0.00
Good N = 42	11	26.19	30	71.43	1	2.38
Average N = 36	12	33.33	21	58.33	3	8.33

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 3.051 with df = 4 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.181

## (c) SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

N=90

SOCIO ECONOMIC STATUS	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
High            N = 59	17	28.81	41	69.49	1	1.70
Medium        N = 27	9	33.33	15	55.56	3	11.11
Low            N = 4	1	25.00	3	75.00	0	0.00

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 4.648 with df = 4 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.221

# APPENDIX 13 D

## DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE CT RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF TEXTILE DESIGNER ACCORDING TO INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS

### (a) HUMAN RESOURCES

N = 90

HUMAN RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 15	6	40.00	7	46.67	2	13.33
Not adequate = 75	21	28.00	52	69.33	2	2.67

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 4.780 with df = 2 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.220

### (b) PHYSICAL RESOURCES

N = 90

PHYSICAL RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 28	6	21.43	21	75.00	1	3.57
Not adequate = 62	21	33.87	38	61.29	3	4.84

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 1.618 with df = 2 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.130

(c) INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME

N = 90

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 27	5	18.52	22	81.48	0	0.00
Not adequate = 63	22	34.92	37	58.73	4	6.35

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 4.902 with df = 2 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.22

(d) TYPE OF DEPARTMENT

N = 90

TYPE OF DEPARTMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Combined N = 24	10	41.67	12	50.00	2	3.33
Separate N = 66	17	25.76	47	71.21	2	3.03

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 3.806 with df = 2 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.20

(e) SYSTEM OF EDUCATION

N = 90

SYSTEM OF EDUCATION	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Semester N = 32	9	28.13	22	68.75	1	3.13
Annual N = 58	18	31.03	37	63.79	3	5.17

$\chi^2$  Calculated = 0.330 with df = 2 is not significant  
Coefficient of contingency = 0.06