

APPENDIX 14 A

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE EE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF EXTENSION OFFICER ACCORDING TO PERSONAL FACTORS

(a) TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME

N = 67

TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME AT B.Sc. LEVEL	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Specialized N = 13	8	61.54	5	38.46	0	0.00
General N = 54	19	35.19	35	64.81	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 3.025 with df = 1 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.20

(b) SOCIO -ECONOMIC STATUS

N = 67

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
High N = 32	11	34.37	21	65.63	0	0.00
Medium N = 24	13	54.17	11	45.83	0	0.00
Low N = 11	3	27.27	8	72.73	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 3.161 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.212

(c) OVERALL MODERNITY

N = 67

OVERALL MODERNITY	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Modern N = 39	18	46.15	21	53.85	0	0.00
Conservative N = 28	9	32.14	19	67.86	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 1.330 with df = 1 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.130

APPENDIX 14 B

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF EE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY OF
PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF EXTENSION OFFICER
ACCORDING TO INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS

(a) HUMAN RESOURCES

N = 67

HUMAN RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 37	13	35.14	24	64.86	0	0.00
Not adequate N = 30	14	46.67	16	53.33	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 0.916 with df = 1 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.110

(b) PHYSICAL RESOURCES

N = 90

PHYSICAL RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOME WHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	F	%	F	%	F	%
Adequate N = 30	14	46.67	16	53.33	0	0.00
Not adequate N = 47	13	35.14	24	64.86	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 0.918 with df = 1 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.110

(c) INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME

N = 67

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 58	26	44.83	32	55.17	0	0.00
Not adequate N = 9	1	11.11	8	88.89	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 3.681 with df = 1 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.220

(d) TYPE OF DEPARTMENT

N = 67

TYPE OF DEPARTMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Combined N = 17	7	41.18	10	58.82	0	0.00
Separate N = 50	20	40.00	30	60.00	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 0.007 with df = 1 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.01

(e) SYSTEM OF EDUCATION

N = 67

SYSTEM OF EDUCATION	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Semester N = 41	19	46.34	22	53.66	0	0.00
Annual N = 26	8	30.77	18	69.23	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 1.604 with df = 1 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.150

APPENDIX 14 C

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY OF
PREPARATION TO TAKE UP VOCATION OF ADMINISTRATOR
ACCORDING TO PERSONAL FACTORS

(a) TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME

N = 67

TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME AT B.Sc. LEVEL	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Specialized N = 13	5	38.46	7	53.85	1	7.69
General N = 54	9	16.67	39	72.22	6	11.11

χ^2 Calculated = 3.014 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.20

(b) ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

N = 67

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Excellent N = 1	0	0.00	1	100.00	0	0.00
Good N = 29	4	13.79	21	72.41	4	13.79
Average N = 37	10	27.03	24	64.86	3	8.11

χ^2 Calculated = 2.464 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.18

(b) SOCIO -ECONOMIC STATUS

N = 67

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
High N = 32	8	25.00	18	56.25	6	18.75
Medium N = 24	6	25.00	17	70.83	1	4.17
Low N = 11	0	0.00	11	100.00	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 9.225 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.347

(c) OVERALL MODERNITY

N = 67

OVERALL MODERNITY	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Modern N = 39	11	28.21	26	66.67	2	5.13
Conservative N = 28	3	10.71	20	71.43	5	17.86

χ^2 Calculated = 4.968 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.26

APPENDIX 14 D

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE EE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY
OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF ADMINISTRATOR
ACCORDING TO INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS

(a) HUMAN RESOURCES

N = 67

HUMAN RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 37	7	18.92	27	72.97	3	8.11
Not adequate N = 30	7	23.33	19	63.33	4	13.33

χ^2 Calculated = 0.812 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.10

(b) PHYSICAL RESOURCES

N = 67

PHYSICAL RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 30	5	16.67	20	66.67	5	16.67
Not adequate N = 37	9	24.32	26	70.27	2	5.41

χ^2 Calculated = 2.507 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.18

(c) INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME

N = 67

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 58	13	22.41	40	68.97	5	8.62
Not adequate N = 9	1	11.11	6	66.67	2	22.22

χ^2 Calculated = 1.862 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.16

(d) TYPE OF DEPARTMENT

N = 67

TYPE OF DEPARTMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Combined N = 17	6	35.29	10	58.82	1	5.88
Separate N = 50	8	16.00	36	72.00	6	12.00

χ^2 Calculated = 3.035 with df = 1 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.20

(e) SYSTEM OF EDUCATION

N = 67

SYSTEM OF EDUCATION	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Semester N = 41	7	17.07	31	75.61	3	7.32
Annual N = 26	7	26.92	15	57.69	4	15.38

χ^2 Calculated = 2.474 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.18

APPENDIX 15 A

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE FN RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY
OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF DIETITION
ACCORDING TO PERSONAL FACTORS

(a) TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME

N = 144

TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME AT B.Sc. LEVEL	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Specialized N= 65	34	43.04	45	56.96	0	0.00
General N = 79	21	32.31	42	64.62	2	3.08

χ^2 Calculated = 3.851 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.160

(b) ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

N = 144

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Excellent N = 22	6	27.27	16	72.73	0	0.00
Good N = 79	31	39.24	46	58.23	2	2.53
Average N = 43	18	41.86	25	58.14	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 3.158 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.141

(b) SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

N = 144

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
High N = 98	38	38.78	58	59.18	2	2.04
Medium N = 38	14	36.84	24	63.15	0	0.00
Low N = 8	3	37.50	5	62.50	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 1.044 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.08

(c) OVERALL MODERNITY

N = 144

OVERALL MODERNITY	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Modern N = 102	38	37.25	62	60.78	2	1.96
Conservative N = 42	17	40.48	25	59.52	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 0.912 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.07

APPENDIX 15 B

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY
OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF DIETITION
ACCORDING TO INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS

(a) HUMAN RESOURCES

N = 144

HUMAN RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 108	42	38.89	64	59.26	2	1.85
Not adequate N = 36	13	36.11	23	63.89	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 0.817 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.08

(b) PHYSICAL RESOURCES**N = 144**

PHYSICAL RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 95	36	37.89	57	60.00	2	2.11
Not adequate N = 49	19	38.78	30	61.22	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 1.046 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.08

(c) INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME**N = 144**

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 56	23	41.07	32	57.14	1	1.79
Not Adequate N = 88	32	36.36	55	62.50	1	1.14

χ^2 Calculated = 0.465 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.05

(d) TYPE OF DEPARTMENT**N = 144**

TYPE OF DEPARTMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Combined N = 71	32	45.07	39	54.93	0	0.00
Separate N = 73	23	31.51	48	65.75	2	2.74

χ^2 Calculated = 4.377 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.170

(e) SYSTEM OF EDUCATION

N = 144

SYSTEM OF EDUCATION	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Semester N = 75	26	34.67	48	64.00	1	1.33
Annual N = 69	29	42.03	39	56.52	1	1.45

χ^2 Calculated = 0.846 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.07

APPENDIX 15 C

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE FN RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY
OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF FOOD SERVICE
MANAGER ACCORDING TO PERSONAL FACTORS

(a) TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME

N = 144

TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME AT B.Sc. LEVEL	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Specialized N= 73	16	21.92	51	69.86	6	8.22
General N = 71	21	29.58	48	67.61	2	2.82

χ^2 Calculated = 2.739 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.730

(b) ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

N = 144

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Excellent N = 22	3	13.64	18	81.82	1	4.54
Good N = 79	24	30.38	50	63.29	35	6.33
Average N = 43	10	23.26	31	72.09	2	4.65

χ^2 Calculated = 3.167 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.146

(c) SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

N = 144

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
High N = 98	24	24.49	69	70.41	5	5.10
Medium N = 38	11	28.95	25	65.79	2	5.26
Low N = 8	2	25.00	5	62.50	1	12.50

χ^2 Calculated = 1.083 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.086

(d) OVERALL MODERNITY

N = 144

OVERALL MODERNITY	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Modern N = 102	26	25.49	69	67.65	7	6.86
Conservative N = 42	11	26.19	30	71.43	1	2.38

χ^2 Calculated = 1.143 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.08

APPENDIX 15 D

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE FN RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY
OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF FOOD SERVICE MANAGER
ACCORDING TO INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS

(a) HUMAN RESOURCES

N = 144

HUMAN RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 108	30	27.78	71	65.74	7	6.48
Not adequate N = 36	7	19.44	28	77.78	1	2.78

χ^2 Calculated = 1.965 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.11

(b) PHYSICAL RESOURCES

N = 144

PHYSICAL RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 95	26	27.37	62	65.26	7	7.37
Not adequate N = 47	11	22.45	37	75.51	1	2.04

χ^2 Calculated = 2.450 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.120

(c) INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME

N = 144

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 56	18	32.14	36	64.29	2	3.57
Not adequate N = 88	19	21.59	63	71.59	6	6.82

χ^2 Calculated = 2.398 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.12

(d) TYPE OF DEPARTMENT

N = 144

TYPE OF DEPARTMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Combined N = 71	21	29.58	48	67.61	2	2.82
Separate N = 73	16	21.92	51	69.86	6	8.22

χ^2 Calculated = 2.739 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.130

(e) SYSTEM OF EDUCATION

N = 144

SYSTEM OF EDUCATION	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOME WHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Semester N = 75	18	24.00	51	68.00	6	8.00
Annual N = 69	19	27.54	48	69.57	2	2.90

χ^2 Calculated = 1.871 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.11

APPENDIX 16 A

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE HM RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY
OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF EXECUTIVE HOUSEKEEPER
ACCORDING TO PERSONAL FACTORS

(a) TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME

N = 97

TYPE OF STUDY PROGRAMME AT B.Sc. LEVEL	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Specialized N= 17	5	29.41	11	64.71	1	5.88
General N = 80	22	27.50	56	70.00	2	2.50

χ^2 Calculated = 0.594 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.070

(b) ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

N = 97

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Excellent N = 6	1	16.67	5	83.33	0	0.00
Good N = 40	13	32.50	26	65.00	1	2.50
Average N = 51	13	25.49	36	70.59	2	3.92

χ^2 Calculated = 1.316 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.12

(b) SOCIO -ECONOMIC STATUS

N = 97

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
High N = 72	21	28.17	49	68.05	2	2.78
Medium N = 19	6	31.58	12	63.16	1	5.26
Low N = 6	0	0.00	6	100.00	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 3.248 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.179

(c) OVERALL MODERNITY

N = 97

OVERALL MODERNITY	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Modern N = 59	20	33.90	37	62.71	2	3.39
Conservative N = 38	7	18.42	30	78.95	1	2.63

χ^2 Calculated = 2.914 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.17

APPENDIX 16 B

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE HM RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY
OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF EXECUTIVE HOUSEKEEPER
ACCORDING TO INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS

(a) HUMAN RESOURCES

N = 144

HUMAN RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 43	16	37.21	26	60.47	1	2.33
Not adequate N = 54	11	20.37	41	75.93	2	3.70

χ^2 Calculated = 3.414 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.18

(b) PHYSICAL RESOURCES

N = 97

PHYSICAL RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 18	6	33.33	12	66.67	0	0.00
Not adequate N = 79	21	26.58	55	69.62	3	3.80

χ^2 Calculated = 0.942 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.09

(c) INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME

N = 97

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMME	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 25	9	36.00	15	60.00	1	4.00
Not adequate N = 72	18	25.00	52	72.22	2	2.78

χ^2 Calculated = 1.298 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.110

(d) TYPE OF DEPARTMENT

N = 97

TYPE OF DEPARTMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Combined N = 45	13	28.89	32	71.11	0	0.00
Separate N = 52	14	26.92	35	67.31	3	5.77

χ^2 Calculated = 2.680 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.160

(e) SYSTEM OF EDUCATION

N = 97

SYSTEM OF EDUCATION	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Semester N = 40	13	32.50	26	65.00	1	2.50
Annual N = 57	14	24.56	41	71.93	2	3.51

χ^2 Calculated = 0.773 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.08

APPENDIX 16 C

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE HM RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY
OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF INTERIOR DESIGNER
ACCORDING TO PERSONAL FACTORS

(b) ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

N = 97

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Excellent N = 6	1	16.67	5	83.33	0	0.00
Good N = 40	12	30.00	27	67.50	1	2.50
Average N = 51	13	25.50	38	74.50	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 2.103 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.15

(b) SOCIO -ECONOMIC STATUS

N = 97

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
High N = 72	21	29.17	51	70.83	0	0.00
Medium N = 19	5	26.32	13	68.42	1	5.26
Low N = 6	0	0.00	6	100.00	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 6.564 with df = 4 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.25

(c) OVERALL MODERNITY

N = 97

OVERALL MODERNITY	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Modern N = 59	16	27.12	43	72.88	0	0.00
Conservative N = 38	10	26.32	27	71.05	1	2.63

χ^2 Calculated = 1.569 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.12

APPENDIX 16 D

DIFFERENCES IN THE OPINIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS REGARDING ADEQUACY OF PREPARATION FOR THE VOCATION OF INTERIOR DESIGNER ACCORDING TO INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS

(a) HUMAN RESOURCES

N = 97

HUMAN RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 43	15	34.88	27	62.79	1	2.33
Not adequate N = 54	11	20.37	43	79.63	0	0.00

χ^2 Calculated = 4.078 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.20

(b) PHYSICAL RESOURCES

N = 97

PHYSICAL RESOURCES	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Adequate N = 18	7	38.89	11	61.11	0	0.00
Not adequate N = 79	19	24.05	59	74.68	1	1.27

χ^2 Calculated = 1.806 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.13

(c) TYPE OF DEPARTMENT

N = 97

TYPE OF DEPARTMENT	OPINIONS					
	FAVOURABLE		SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE		NOT FAVOURABLE	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
Combined N = 45	9	20.00	36	80.00	0	0.00
Separate N = 52	17	32.69	34	65.38	1	1.92

χ^2 Calculated = 3.029 with df = 2 is not significant
Coefficient of contingency = 0.17