

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Schematic diagram of different mechanisms involving electron emission during ion-atom collision. Here 'P' denotes the projectile, 'T' the target nucleus and e^- stands for the active electron. Figure adapted and redrawn from [1].	2
1.2	Relative depth dose profiles of ^{12}C ions, megavolt photons and $^{60}\text{Co} \gamma$ radiation in water. Figure adapted and redrawn from [31].	5
1.3	Molecular structure of uracil ($\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$) and 5-bromouracil ($\text{C}_4\text{H}_3\text{BrN}_2\text{O}_2$). Figure drawn using Inkscape.	6
2.1	ECR ion accelerator showing (a) high voltage (HV) deck, (b) ceramic insulators (c) high voltage power supply (d) accelerating column.	11
2.2	Picture of different beam optics elements (a) Einzel lens, (b) X-Y deflectors, (C1 to C5) apertures of various dimensions.	12
2.3	3-D mechanical drawing of the scattering chamber housing the turntable spectrometer, differential assembly along with the focussing elements and the electron gun chamber housing the electron gun (made using SolidWorks-2014). Three turbo pumping stations attached with these three chambers are not shown.	13
2.4	3-D mechanical drawing of the magnified, cross sectional view of the beamline components (not to scale) along with the spectrometer and Faraday Cup. E1 and D1 are the Einzel lens and electrostatic deflectors, respectively, mounted in the e-gun assembly; similarly, another set of Einzel lens (E2) and deflectors (D2) are mounted in the beamline. Several apertures, placed at different points along the beamline are also shown, (made using SolidWorks-2014).	14
2.5	Count rate as a function of jet nozzle movement with respect to the projectile beam. The effusive jet nozzle is moved perpendicular to the beam direction.	16
2.6	3-D mechanical drawing of the oven assembly (made using SolidWorks-2014); (a) side view, along the beamline (b) view perpendicular to the beamline, red spot showing the beam perpendicular to the plane of the paper.	17
2.7	Rate of flow of evaporated bromouracil as a function of time.	18

2.8	Mechanical drawing of the oven showing all the different parts (cross sectional view).	18
2.9	Target holder assembly connected to XYZ stage manipulator.	19
2.10	3-D mechanical drawing of the cutaway view of the electron spectrometer (made using SolidWorks-2014).	20
2.11	CEM biasing circuit	22
2.12	CEM output pulse.	22
2.13	Schematic diagram of the data acquisition system	23
2.14	Variation of count rate with change in pre-acceleration voltage.	24
2.15	Scattering chamber seen from top along with turntable and spectrometer: (a) X-Y deflectors close to the spectrometer and (b) deflectors covered with non-magnetic stainless steel cap. Two layers of thin μ metal sheets are seen at the circumference of the chamber.	25
2.16	DDCS spectra for 7 keV e^- impact on N_2 , collected for different combinations of lens and deflector voltages. Inset : Variation of count rate for 3 eV electrons as a function of Einzel lens voltage.	26
2.17	Single differential cross section of elastic scattering for 2 keV electron impact on N_2 . Solid line corresponds to the Rutherford formula of elastic scattering fitted to the experimental data.	27
2.18	Solid angle geometry for the calculation of path length integral (Taken from [58])	29
4.1	Absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 7 keV $e^- + N_2$, at different emission angles. The red solid line and the blue dashed line represent the calculations for N_2 and 2N, respectively (using $Z_T = 1$). Using $Z_T = Z_{bk}$, the theoretical cross sections are shown for N_2 (orange dash dotted line) and 2N (green dotted line). For either of the effective charges (Z_T), the calculations for N_2 and 2N are almost identical and cannot be distinguished.	45
4.2	Experimental-to-theoretical DDCS ratios ($\frac{d^2\sigma_{N_2}}{d\Omega de} / \frac{d^2\sigma_{2N}}{d\Omega de}$) at eight different emission angles. Theoretical cross section for 2N using $Z_T = 1$. Solid line corresponds to the analytical fitting in Equation 4.16.	50
4.3	DDCS ratios using $Z_T = Z_{bk}$ for atomic N cross section. Solid line shows a linear function of negative slope used for fitting the ratios.	51
4.4	DDCS ratios obtained after dividing by the linear fitted line in Figure 4.3. Solid line shows the fitted function in Equation 4.16.	53

4.5	Frequency parameter $c(\theta)$ plotted as a function of emission angles. Black solid line : guide to eye.	54
4.6	Absolute electron DDCS at different emission energies, collision system : 7 keV $e^- + N_2$. Legends are similar to those in Figure 4.1.	55
4.7	Forward-backward asymmetry parameter from measured DDCS for N_2 at 35^0 and 145^0 . Solid line shows the model fitting given by Equation 4.20. Inset : Asymmetry parameter divided by the first order model fitting.	57
4.8	Absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 7 keV $e^- + O_2$ shown for different emission angles. The red solid line correspond to the theoretical DDCS for twice of atomic oxygen calculated using $Z_T = 1$	60
4.9	Experimental-to-theoretical DDCS ratios ($\frac{d^2\sigma_{O_2}}{d\Omega de} / \frac{d^2\sigma_{2O}}{d\Omega de}$) along with the blue solid lines showing the fitting in Equation 4.16.	62
4.10	Collision system : 7 keV $e^- + O_2$, DDCS at different emission energies, solid line showing theoretical DDCS for 2O.	63
4.11	Forward-backward asymmetry parameter from measured DDCS for O_2 shown for (a) 45^0 and 135^0 , (b) 35^0 and 145^0 and (c) 30^0 and 145^0 . Solid line in each panel represents the model fitting given by Equation 4.20. Inset of (a) : Asymmetry parameter for atomic target, He using 45^0 and 135^0 , black dashed line is for guide to eye.	64
5.1	The absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 3 keV $e^- + N_2$, at different emission angles. The black solid line and the magenta dash-dotted line represent the CB1 calculations using the RHF/6-311G and CCSD/cc-pVTZ descriptions of the target wave functions respectively. The red dashed line corresponds to the DDCS predictions of the CTMC model. Inset : DDCS ratio using RHF and ccpVTZ descriptions of target wave functions.	70
5.2	Absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 4 keV $e^- + N_2$, at different emission angles; CB1 calculations using the RHF/6-311G (black solid line) and CCSD/cc-pVTZ (magenta dash-dotted line) descriptions of the target wave functions. Red dashed lines represent the DDCS using CTMC model.	72
5.3	Absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 5 keV $e^- + N_2$. Black solid line shows CB1 calculations using the RHF/6-311G description of the target wave function and the red dashed lines are those using the CTMC model.	73
5.4	Absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 6 keV $e^- + N_2$. CB1 calculations using the RHF/6-311G target wave function are shown by the black solid lines.	74
5.5	Same as Figure 5.4, but for the collision system 8 keV $e^- + N_2$	75

5.6	Theoretical (CB1) DDCS for different emission angles for 3 keV projectile electron beam	76
5.7	Absolute e-DDCS for the collision system 3 keV $e^- + N_2$, at different emission energies. Legends are similar to those described in Figure 5.1.	77
5.8	e-DDCS for the collision system 4 keV $e^- + N_2$, at different emission energies. Black solid line : CB1 calculations using the RHF/6-311G description of the target wave functions, red dashed line : CTMC model for 2N.	78
5.9	Same as Figure 5.8, except for collision system : 5 keV $e^- + N_2$	79
5.10	Absolute e-DDCS for the collision system 6 keV $e^- + N_2$, at different emission energies. The black solid line represent the CB1 calculations using the RHF/6-311G description of the target wave functions.	80
5.11	Same as Figure 5.10, except for collision system : 8 keV $e^- + N_2$	81
5.12	The absolute electron SDCS as a function of emission energies (all the panels on the left (a to e)) and function of emission angles (all the panels to the right (f to j)) for different energies of primary electrons colliding with N_2 . The black solid lines in all the panels represent the CB1 predictions using RHF/6-311G description of the target wave functions. Red dashed lines show the CTMC calculations.	83
5.13	Total ionization cross section as a function of incident electron energy along with the predictions of three different models, i.e. the CB1 (black solid line), CTMC (red dashed line) and CSP-ic (green dash-dotted line).	84
6.1	Absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 150 keV proton + He shown at eight different emission angles. The CDW-EIS calculations are represented by the red solid lines.	89
6.2	Absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 200 keV proton + He along with the CDW-EIS calculations shown by the red solid lines.	90
6.3	e-DDCS for the collision system 200 keV proton + CH_4 ; the prior form of the CDW-EIS calculations are shown by the red solid lines.	92
6.4	e-DDCS for the collision system 200 keV proton + O_2 along with the CDW-EIS calculations.	93
6.5	Absolute electron DDCS for the collision system 66 MeV $C^{6+} + O_2$, solid lines showing the CDW-EIS calculations.	95
6.6	Absolute electron DDCS as a function of emission angles for the collision system 150 keV H^+ ion impact on He along with the CDW-EIS calculations.	97

6.7	Angular distribution of electron DDCS for 200 keV proton impact on He at fixed electron emission energies as indicated in panels along with the theoretical calculations.	98
6.8	Same as Figure 6.7, except for the collision system : 200 keV proton impact on CH ₄	99
6.9	Angular distribution of the DDCS for the collision system : 200 keV proton impact on O ₂ , red line showing the CDW-EIS calculations.	100
6.10	Same as Figure 6.9, except for collision system : 66 MeV C ⁶⁺ + O ₂	101
6.11	Asymmetry parameter ($\alpha(k)$) for different collision systems (a) 150 keV H ⁺ + He (b) 200 keV H ⁺ + He (c) 200 keV H ⁺ + CH ₄ (d) 200 keV H ⁺ + O ₂ (e) 200 keV H ⁺ + O ₂ and 66 MeV C ⁶⁺ + O ₂ (f) three different targets bombarded by the same projectile.	103
6.12	SDCS as a function of emission angle (a) 150 keV H ⁺ + He (b) 200 keV proton impact on He, CH ₄ and O ₂ (c) 66 MeV C ⁶⁺ on O ₂ . The solid and dashed lines correspond to the CDW-EIS calculations.	105
7.1	3D schematic diagram of ion beam interacting with the vapour target effusing from the jet nozzle placed above the metallic oven (made using Solidworks 2014).	110
7.2	Electron spectroscopy setup at ECRIA : (a) Switching magnet (b) 50 ⁰ N beam-line of ECR ion accelerator (c) Scattering chamber housing the electron spectrometer (d) differential pumping assembly (e) 3D translation stage manipulator holding the oven assembly, blue tubing are for water flow to the jacket surrounding the oven (f) Faraday Cup, electrically isolated from the scattering chamber.	111
7.3	Absolute electron DDCS at fixed emission angles for 66 MeV C ⁶⁺ ion impact on bromouracil (black open circles) and uracil (blue open circles). The black solid and red dash-dotted lines correspond to the CDW-EIS calculations for bromouracil and uracil, respectively. Inset of (b) : magnified view of the K-LL Auger lines for C, N and O.	113
7.4	Same as Figure 7.3, except for different emission angles.	114
7.5	Absolute electron DDCS at fixed emission angles for 42 MeV C ⁶⁺ ion impact on bromouracil. The red dash-dotted lines correspond to the CDW-EIS calculations for bromouracil. Inset of (e) : magnified view of the K-LL Auger lines for C, N and O, inset of (f) : L-MM Auger peak of Br.	116

7.6 Ratio of DDCS between bromouracil and uracil for different emission angles; Projectile : 66 MeV bare C ions. The red solid line shows the DDCS ratio from the CDW-EIS predictions, whereas the blue dashed line represent the ratio value at 1.0.	117
7.7 Absolute electron DDCS at fixed emission angles for 200 keV proton impact on bromouracil (blue open circles) and uracil (red open triangles). Inset of panel (f) show the K-LL Auger peaks for carbon, nitrogen and oxygen. The blue solid and red dash-dotted lines show the CDW-EIS predictions for bromouracil and uracil, respectively. The total absolute error bars are shown for some data points for both the target molecules.	120
7.8 Ratio of DDCS between bromouracil and uracil for different emission angles; Projectile : 200 keV protons. The red solid line shows the DDCS ratio obtained from CDW-EIS calculations. Blue dashed line represent the ratio value at 1.0.	121
7.9 Asymmetry parameter ($\alpha(k)$) for different collision systems under investigation : (a) 200 keV H^+ + BrU (b) 200 keV H^+ + Uracil (c) 5.5 MeV/u C^{6+} + BrU (d) 5.5 MeV/u C^{6+} + Uracil. The solid lines in all the four panels represent the $\alpha(k)$ values obtained from the CDW-EIS calculations. Panels (e) and (f) show the experimentally obtained $\alpha(k)$ values of BrU and uracil plotted together for 200 keV protons and 66 MeV bare C ions, respectively.	123