

List of Figures

1.1	(a) Recording geometry for Gabor holograms (on-axis geometry). (b) Hologram resulting from superposition of scattered and unscattered wavefronts at the detector plane (object was a random distribution of transparent microspheres).	3
1.2	Image retrieval from Gabor (on-axis) holograms	3
1.3	(a) Recording of off-axis holograms (b) Hologram resulting from superposition of object and reference wavefronts (object was a distribution of transparent microspheres).	4
1.4	Image reconstruction from off-axis holograms	5
1.5	Gabor DHIM using a magnifying lens. The detector plane is situated near the image plane of the magnifying lens.	9
1.6	On-axis DHIM with a separate reference beam. Here also the detector plane is situated near the image plane of the magnifying lens.	10
1.7	Off-axis two-beam DHIM. Detector plane is situated near the image plane of the magnifying lens making the phase retrieval possible by the use of a filtered Fourier transform.	11
1.8	Common path Off-axis two-beam DHIM. A separate reference wave is created by spatial filtering of one of the duplicated object wavefronts.	13
1.9	Common path off-axis self-referencing DHIM. Portion of the object wavefront un-modulated by the object information act as the reference wave field and the modulated portion act as the object wave field. Angular separation between them is introduced by the beam duplicator.	16

2.1	Formation of hologram due to superposition of the object and the reference wavefronts at the detector plane. Carrier fringes are modulated in the region where the object exists.	20
2.2	Digital hologram reconstruction. Reference wave gets scattered from the structures of the hologram. It is numerically propagated to the position where the object existed (virtual image).	22
2.3	Position of the object (magnified image) and the hologram (detector) plane in DHIM. The object plane (magnified image plane) is situated either at the detector or very near to it.	23
2.4	Scattered wavefront as a collection of plane waves travelling in different directions (angle). The scattering angle is decided by the spatial frequency of the object (smaller objects, higher spatial frequencies) scatter at higher angles. An object with sinusoidal intensity variation (like a hologram), will give rise to only three scattered components (only one frequency component).	24
2.5	Numerical reconstruction of digital holograms using ASP approach. Reference wave illuminating the hologram generates the angular spectrum at the hologram plane ($z=0$), which is filtered (to obtain angular spectrum of object wavefront at the hologram plane) and then propagated to the image plane using free space propagation function. . . .	25
2.6	(a) Hologram illuminated by the reference wavefront. (b) Power spectrum of the hologram obtained after Fourier transform, where three components (un-diffracted reference, real object and virtual object) can be seen. (c) Filtered spectrum, which contains only the spatial frequencies corresponding to object alone. This is then propagated to the image plane.	26
2.7	Retrieved intensity profile at the image plane for the hologram shown in Fig.2.6a	28
2.8	(a) Object hologram of the 20 μm diameter polystyrene sphere immersed in oil. (b) Background hologram of the background (oil). Inset in both the images shows the same area in the field of view.	29

2.9	(a) Object phase distribution (object is a $20\mu\text{m}$ diameter polystyrene sphere immersed in oil). (b) Background (oil) phase distribution. (c) Phase difference.	30
2.10	Continuous phase distribution for the wrapped phase distribution shown in Fig.2.9c.	30
2.11	Quantitative phase reconstruction from digital holograms. (a) Continuous phase difference obtained in the case of $20\mu\text{m}$ diameter polystyrene micro-spheres. This is the region of interest shown by the rectangular area in Fig.2.10. (b) Thickness distribution of the micro-sphere obtained by plugging in the refractive index values in Eqn.(2.5.3). (c) Cross sectional thickness profile of the micro-sphere along the solid line shown in Fig.2.11a.	32
3.1	(a) Off-axis two-beam DHIM using Mach-Zehnder interferometer geometry. Object beam trans-illuminates the object. Two microscope objective (MO) lenses are used in the setup. Sample is mounted on a translation stage for focusing. (b) Wavefront passing through the object gets modulated because of the spatially varying optical path length distribution of the cells. (c) Object and reference beams are combined by a beamsplitter and allowed to interfere at the detector plane at an angle creating the off-axis geometry. Image plane of the magnifying lens is situated either at the detector plane or very near to it.	36
3.2	Photograph of the table-top arrangement of MZ-DHIM	37
3.3	(a) Object hologram recorded with of 8-form diatom test slide (Surirella Gemma). (b) Background hologram for the same.	39
3.4	(a) Retrieved object intensity distribution at the image plane. (b) Phase difference (quantitative phase image of the object) obtained after phase unwrapping. (c) Optical thickness distribution of the object.	40

3.5	Spatial phase stability. (a) Phase difference profile without any object in the field of view. (b) Three dimensional rendering of the phase variation in the rectangular area shown in Fig.3.5a. (c) Histogram of the phase profile inside the rectangular area.	41
3.6	Temporal phase stability. (a) Phase fluctuation profile for an area of 300×300 pixels. (b) temporal evolution of phase along the different spatial points shown in Fig.3.6a. (c) Histogram of the phase fluctuation profile for the analyzed area.	43
3.7	Onion layers. Image on the right shows the region inside the rectangle on the left. Inner and outer surfaces of a layer are marked.	44
3.8	(a) Recorded digital hologram of onion cell. (b) Interference fringes inside the area of interest in Fig.3.7a.	45
3.9	Reconstructed intensity patterns at different axial plane. (a) $-28\mu\text{m}$ outside focus plane, (b) at the focus plane, (c) $15\mu\text{m}$ inside focus plane and (d) $27.5\mu\text{m}$ outside focus plane [100].	46
3.10	Reconstructed phase profile at the best focus plane for onion cells just after it is mounted on the glass slide. (a) Wrapped phase distribution, (b) Optical thickness distribution of the cell determined from the unwrapped phase. (c) Phase profile image after 24 hours. (d) Thickness profile after 24 hours [100].	46
3.11	Optical thickness profile of onion skin cells. (a) Obtained optical thickness profile for fresh cell. (b) Optical thickness profile after 24 hours [100].	47
3.12	Variation in maximum optical thickness as a function of time.	47

3.13	(a) Phase contrast image (unwrapped) of onion cells before introduction of water. Phase profile for the cell inside the rectangular area is used to determine effect of hydrostatic pressure on the cells. (b) Time evolution of phase inside region of interest (shown in Fig.3.13a). (c) Phase profile at different time instances (along the solid black line shown in first figure of Fig.3.13b), showing that there is only minor change in thickness of the cell even after 10 minutes of introduction of water.	48
3.14	Intensity profile of the cells at different time instances as the sugar solution was spreading into the cells. Phase profile of the cell inside the green rectangle in the first figure is used to find the effect of osmotic pressure on the cells. Flow front of sugar solution is shown as white dashed line.	50
3.15	Quantitative phase profile of the cells as a function of time. As the sugar solution comes into contact with the cell, its thickness drastically decreases.	51
3.16	Cross-sectional phase profiles at different time instances (along the solid black line shown in first phase image in Fig.3.15), showing that the cell almost flattens after it is completely immersed in sugar solution due to osmotic pressure.	51
3.17	Quantitative phase contrast images of un-germinated onion cells, (a) outer surface and (c) inner surface. Thickness profile distribution of these cells are shown in (b) for outer surface and (d) for inner surface. Phase profile along the solid red line in (a) and (c) are compared to estimate the effect of germination on cells.	52
3.18	Quantitative phase contrast images in the case of germinated onion cells, (a) cells of outer surface and (c) cells on the inner surface. (b) Thickness profile of cells in outer surface and (d) Thickness profile of cells on inner surface. Phase profile along the solid red line in (a) and (c) are compared to estimate the effect of germination on cells.	53

3.19	Cross-sectional of thickness profile. (a) Before germination. (b) After germination	54
3.20	(a) hologram of onion cells showing the region of nucleus. (b) Region inside the blue rectangle showing the modulation of the interference fringes in the region of the nucleus as well as at the cell walls.	55
3.21	(a) Quantitative phase image (unwrapped) of the onion cell, with the cell nucleus visible. (b) Optical thickness profile of the cell nucleus obtained after global thresholding of the thickness profile by the average of the phase value just around the cell nucleus (shaded ring shaped region in Fig.3.21a). (c) Three dimensional rendering of the optical thickness profile of the cell nucleus. (d) Cross sectional thickness profile along the line in Fig.3.21b.	56
3.22	(a) Quantitative phase image (unwrapped) of the wall of onion cells from the outer surface of a layer and (c) 3D profile of the cell wall. (b) Phase image of the wall of onion cells from the inner surface of a layer and (d) three dimensional rendering of the phase profile of the wall. (e) and (f) represents the cross sectional phase profile of the cells from the outer and inner surfaces respectively, along the lines shown in Fig.3.22a and Fig.3.22b.	57
4.1	Structure of human red blood cell	61
4.2	Recoded holograms in the case of red blood cells. (a) Object hologram (red blood cells). (b) Background holograms (blood plasma).	62
4.3	Reconstructed intensity profile of red blood cells	62
4.4	Reconstructed profile of red blood cells. (a) Wrapped phase distribution. (b) Optical thickness distribution obtained after phase unwrapping.	63
4.5	Thickness profile of Red blood cells. (a) Computed optical thickness distribution of red blood cells using the reconstructed phase profile in Fig.4.4b in Eqn.(2.5.3). (b) Optical thickness profile of the cell inside red circle in Fig.4.5b. (c) Cross sectional optical thickness profile of the same cell.	64

4.6	Improved temporal phase stability. Histogram of the phase fluctuation obtained for the microscope used for 3D imaging of red blood cells.	66
4.7	Phase fluctuation profile of red blood cells quantified using MZ-DHIM. This is computed using 600 holograms recorded at the rate of 20Hz.	67
4.8	Time varying phase profile of red blood cell (for the cell inside the white rectangle in Fig.4.7	68
4.9	Phase, phase fluctuation and optical thickness fluctuation amplitude of red blood cell inside the area of interest shown in Fig.4.7. (a) Phase profile of the cell. (b) Phase fluctuation profile of the cell. (c) Optical thickness fluctuation profile of the cell.	69
4.10	Time variation of optical thickness (OPL). Temporal evolution of optical thickness at the points indicated in Fig.4.9a along with the standard deviation of each plot (which indicates the optical thickness fluctuation at that point).	70
4.11	variation in optical thickness fluctuation amplitude across the whole cell as a function of time. Dynamic sub-domain across the cell can be seen in the image. These can be quantified and their coherence (temporal and spatial) can be determined.	70
4.12	Frequency spectrum of time variation of optical thickness (OPL). Frequency spectrum of the optical path length change for the points shown in Fig.4.9a	71
4.13	Distribution of thickness fluctuation frequency across the cell.	71
4.14	Time evolution of amplitude and frequency of optical thickness fluctuation at different points on the cell shown in Fig.4.9a	72
5.1	Self-referencing Lateral shearing Digital Holographic Microscope [82, 42]	76
5.2	Holograms resulting in self-referencing Lateral shearing Digital Holographic Microscope using a glass plate. (a) Recorded hologram (b) and (c) images from the front and back surface of the glass plate (areas inside the blue rectangles).	77

5.3	Phase contrast images obtained from self-referencing DHIM (a) Phase contrast image (for the area inside the green rectangle) obtained after phase subtraction. (b) Thickness profile of the microspheres. (c) Cross sectional thickness profile of the microsphere.	78
5.4	(a) Optical path length variation at a single spatial point in the thickness profile; (b) Histogram of the optical path fluctuations at 2500 random spatial points.	79
5.5	Wide field of view Lateral shearing Digital Holographic Interference Microscope. (a) Schematic of the setup. (b) Photograph of the actual setup. (c) Configuration of the pin-hole mounted on a microscope slide used to convert the wavefront reflected from the back surface of the shearing glass plate into the reference wavefront.	80
5.6	Recorded images in the wide field of view lateral shearing digital holographic microscope. (a) Reference beam intensity at the detector plane. (b) Object beam intensity recorded by the detector. (c) Holograms formed at the detector plane.	82
5.7	Calibration of the wide field of view lateral shearing digital holographic microscope using polystyrene microspheres of $10\mu\text{m}$ diameter. (a) Quantitative phase profile obtained after phase subtraction. (b) Three dimensional thickness distribution profile of the microsphere obtained from the phase profile. (c) Cross-sectional thickness profile of the microsphere long the line shown in Fig.5.7a.	83
5.8	Spatial stability of the wide field of view common path digital holographic microscope. Spatial variation of phase computed from holograms recorded using a CCD array and a VGA webcam sensor are shown in (a) and (c) respectively. Histograms of the phase distributions for obtained using CCD array and webcam sensor are shown in (b) and (d) respectively.	85

5.9	Temporal phase stability of the wide field of view common path digital holographic microscope using CCD array (a-c) and VGA webcam sensor (d-f). Spatial variation in the temporal phase stability using a CCD array and a VGA webcam sensor are shown in (a) and (d) respectively. (b) and (e) shows the time evolution of phase. (c) and (f) is the histogram of the temporal phase variation for CCD array and webcam respectively.	86
5.10	(a) Hologram recorded with CCD array. (b) Zoomed region inside the blue rectangle. (c) Quantitative phase distribution inside the white (dashed) rectangle. (d) Optical thickness distribution computed from the quantitative phase information.	88
5.11	Results obtained with Webcam array as the recording device. (a) Hologram recorded with webcam array. (b) Zoomed region inside the black rectangle. (c) Quantitative phase distribution for the hologram shown in Fig. 5.11a. (d) Optical thickness distribution computed from the quantitative phase information.	89
5.12	Imaging of cell dynamics. (a) Optical path length distribution of the cells in the field of view reconstructed from the phase profile. Inset shows the three dimensional optical thickness profile of the cell inside the region of interest marked by the white rectangle. (b) Optical thickness fluctuation at each point on the cell along with the three dimensional rendering of the optical fluctuation profile for the cell inside the white rectangle. (c) Time variation of optical volume (for the cells marked in Fig. 5.12a). (d) Frequency of thickness oscillations at each point on the cell (inset shows the 3D rendering of the frequency profile for the cell inside the white rectangle.	90

5.13	Imaging of cell dynamics using webcam array. (a) Optical thickness distribution of the cells in the field of view obtained from the phase profile along with the three dimensional optical thickness profile of the cell inside the region of interest marked by the white rectangle. (b) Optical thickness fluctuation profile of the cells along with the three dimensional rendering of the optical fluctuation profile. (c) Optical volume as a function of time (for the cells marked in Fig.5.13a). (d) Change in frequency of thickness fluctuation with position inside the cells.	91
6.1	LSDHM phase tomography system using single laser source.	96
6.2	LSDHM phase tomography system using multiple diode laser sources. (a) Schematic of the setup. (b) Photograph of the setup.	98
6.3	Collection of projection data using LSDHM	100
6.4	Data acquisition and phase reconstruction. (a) - (e) Holograms of $20\mu\text{m}$ diameter glass microsphere for beam angles of -36° , -18° , 0° , 18° and 36° respectively. (f) - (j) reconstructed object phase at these projection angles.	102
6.5	(a) Sinogram for 36 projections spanning total angle of 72° obtained for $20\mu\text{m}$ glass microsphere immersed in microscope oil. (b) Phase profile for the projection shown by the line in Fig.6.5a	103
6.6	Iterative algorithm for tomographic reconstruction	105
6.7	Sectional images (y-z sections) of the $20\mu\text{m}$ diameter glass microsphere. Different sections can be understood from Fig.6.8. The colour bar shown in the last figure (for $x=22\mu\text{m}$) is common for all	106
6.8	Co-ordinate system used in the representation of back projection data	107
6.9	Refractive index profile along the direction of beam propagation for $x=10\mu\text{m}$ plane	107
6.10	Holograms and reconstructed phases for setup employing array of laser diode modules. (a) - (g) Holograms of $10\mu\text{m}$ diameter glass microsphere for beam angles of -21° , -14° , -7° , 0° , 7° , 14° and 21° respectively. (h) - (n) reconstructed object phase at these projection angles.	108

6.11 Sinogram of the $10\mu\text{m}$ glass microsphere obtained from 7 projections in the case of laser diode array.	109
6.12 Sectional images (y-z sections) of the $10\mu\text{m}$ diameter glass microsphere imaged with laser diode array. The colour bar shown in the last figure (for $x=9\mu\text{m}$) is common for all.	110
6.13 Refractive index profile using the setup employing the laser diode array. The profile is in the direction of beam propagation for $x=5\mu\text{m}$ plane .	110
6.14 (a) - (c) Holograms of red blood cells for beam angles of -21° , 0° and 21° respectively. (d) - (f) reconstructed object phase at these projection angles.	111
6.15 Sectional images (x-y sections) of human red blood cells for different z planes separated by $0.2\mu\text{m}$	112