

# **CHAPTER V**

## **DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATIONS**

# INDEX

Sr. No.	CHAPTER V:- DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	PAGE NO.
<b>SECTION I</b>		
5	Introduction	81
5.1.	Socio – Economic Background of the Respondents	82
5.2.	Health Related Programmes: Awareness, Utilization And Satisfaction	86
5.3.	Educational Programmes	116
5.4.	Training Programmes	128
5.5.	Environmental Programmes	149
5.6.	Animal Husbandry	160
<b>SECTION II</b>		
5.1.	Socio – Economic Background of the Respondents	175
5.2.	Perception of the Employees Regarding CSR	179
5.3.	Perception of the Employees regarding CSR in General Policy	181
5.4.	Perception regarding CSR & Relationship with other Stake holders	183
5.5.	Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Brand Image	185
5.6.	Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Quality of Life	187
5.7.	Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Community as a whole (Local Needs)	189
5.8.	Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Its Impact on Organizational Productivity	191
5.9.	Perception regarding Promotion of Social Integration through CSR	193

5.10.	Promotion of Sustainability through CSR	194
5.11.	Perception regarding Ethical Value based CSR activities	195
5.12.	Perception regarding promotion of Health and Environment Safety	197
5.13.	Perception regarding CSR & Corporate Governance	198

## **CHAPTER –V**

### **DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION**

#### **5. Introduction**

This chapter is very important in the research study. It is based on fact information and data that is collected by researcher in the field. In this section researcher explained the process of work, including collecting of the information and the methods of choosing particular for data analysis. After collecting and analyzing the data, the researcher has to accomplish the task of drawing inferences followed by report writing. This has to be done very carefully, otherwise misleading conclusion may be drawn and the whole purpose of doing research may get vitiated. It is only through interpretation that the researcher can expose relation and processes that underline his findings.

There are two types of interview schedule in this research used. The first section researcher have been covered about Villagers, Farmers, Women, Dairy Co-operative, Chairmen, Secretary and Dairy Member for their opinion regarding CSR activities of Amul in different villages to know perception, awareness, utilization and satisfaction of stakeholders. In the second section researcher have been covered employees of Amul Dairy, Researcher wanted to know the perception of employee regarding CSR activities Conducted by Amul.

## SECTION -I

### 5.1: Socio – Economic Background of the Respondents:

**Table: - 1 Age Group of the Respondents**

Sr. No	Age Group	Frequency	%age
1	18 to 25 Year	93	23.25
2	25 to 35 Year	117	29.25
3	35 to 45 Year	88	22
4	45 to 55 Year	75	18.75
5	More than 55 Year	27	6.75
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

Table 1 shows the age group frequency distribution of the Respondents. The total numbers of Respondents for the purpose of the study were 400. In the age group of 18-25 years there are 23.25 % (93) of the Respondents, in the age group of 25-35 years there 29.25 % (117) of the Respondents, in the age group of 35-45 years there are 22 % (88) of the Respondents, in the age group of 45-55 years there are 18.75 % (75) of the Respondents, and among more than 55 years there are 6.75 % (27) of the Respondents.

MF: Maximum numbers of Respondents were in the age group of 25-35 years there are 29.25 % (117) of the total respondents.

**Table: - 2 Gender wise distribution of the Respondents**

Sr. No	Gender	Frequency	%age
1	Male	237	59.25
2	Female	163	40.75
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

Table 2 shows the gender wise frequency distribution of the Respondents. Out of 400 Respondents, 237 Respondents constituting 59.25 % (237) were male and 163 Respondents constituting 40.75 % (163) were females.

MF: Majority of the Respondents were male.

**Table: - 3 Educational Qualification of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Educational Qualification</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%age</b>
1	Illiterate	73	18.25
2	Primary	81	20.25
3	Secondary	94	23.5
4	Higher Secondary	78	19.5
5	Graduate	40	10
6	Post Graduate	34	8.5
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

Table 3 shows the educational qualification of the Respondents. It was found from the data that 18.25 % (73) of the Respondents were illiterate, 20.25 % (81) were having qualification up to primary level, 23.5 % (94) were having qualification up to secondary level, 19.5 % (78) were having qualification up to higher secondary level, 10 % (40) were graduates and 8.5 % (34) were post graduates.

MF: With regard to the educational qualification of 400 Respondents, 38.50 % were below primary level and 61.50 % were qualified above the secondary level.

**Table: - 4 Category wise Distribution of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%age</b>
1	General	167	41.75
2	OBC/SEBC	102	25.5
3	SC	67	16.75
4	ST	54	13.5
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

Table 4 shows the Category wise frequency distribution of the Respondents. It was found from the data that 41.75 % (167) of the Respondents belong to the general category, followed by 25.5 % (102) from OBC/SEBC category, 16.75 % (67) from SC category, and 13.5 % (54) from ST category.

**Table: - 5 Religious Background of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Religious Group</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%age</b>
1	Hindu	213	53.25
2	Muslim	94	23.5
3	Christian	66	16.5
4	Others	27	6.75
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

Table 5 shows the religious background of the Respondents. It was found from the data that 213 Respondents constituting 53.25 % (213) were Hindus, 94 Respondents constituting 23.5 % (94) were Muslims, 66 Respondents constituting 16.5 % (66) were Christians and 27 Respondents constituting 6.75 % (27) belong to other religion.

MF: With regard to the religious background of 400 Respondents, 53.25 % were Hindu and 46.75 % of the Respondents were from other religious group.

**Table: - 6 Marital Status of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Marital Status</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%age</b>
1	Married	247	61.75
2	Unmarried	153	38.25
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

With regard to the marital status of the Respondents, it was found that out of 400 Respondents, 247 Respondents constituting regarding 61.75 % were married and 153 Respondents constituting regarding 38.25 % were unmarried.

MF: More than 61.75 % (247) of the Respondents were married.

**Table: - 7 Family Background (category) of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Types of Family Group</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%age</b>
1	Nuclear	116	29
2	Joint	284	71
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

With regard to the family category of the Respondents, it was found that out of 400 Respondents, 116 Respondents constituting 29 % (116) were have nuclear families and 284 Respondents constituting 71 % (284) resided in joint families.

**Table: - 8 Family Size of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Family Size Group</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%age</b>
1	1 to 3	133	33.25
2	4 to 5	192	48
3	More than 5	75	18.75
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

With regard to family size of the Respondents, it was found that 33.25 % (133) of the Respondents have 1-3 family members, 48 % (192) Respondents have 4-5 family members and 18.75 % have more than 5 family members in their families.

**Table: - 9 Ownership Status of the House**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Status of Home</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%age</b>
1	Own	326	81.5
2	Rent	74	18.5
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

Table 9 shows the status of home of the Respondents. The data reveals that 81.5 % (326) of the Respondents have their own home and 18.5 % (74) of the Respondents stay in rented accommodations.

**Table: - 10 Monthly Family Income of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Family Income Group</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%age</b>
1	Below 5000	114	28.5
2	5001 to 10, 000	138	34.5
3	10001 to 15, 000	103	25.75
4	More than 15, 000	45	11.25
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>

Table 10 shows the family income of the Respondents. It was found that 28.5 % (114) of the Respondents' families have monthly income below Rs 5000 per month, 34.5 % (138) of the Respondents' families have monthly income between Rs 5001-10,000, 25.75 % (103) of the Respondents' families have monthly income between Rs 10001-15000 and 11.25 % (45) of the Respondents' families have monthly income more than Rs 15000 per month.



## 5.2: Health Related Programmes: Awareness, Utilization and Level of Satisfaction

**Table: - 11 – Level of Awareness Regarding Blood Donation Camp**

Sr. No	Responses	Frequency	%age
1	Poor Level of Awareness	12	3.0
2	Fair Level of Awareness	25	6.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	90	22.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	141	35.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	132	33.0
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Table 11 shows awareness level of the Respondents regarding blood donation camp in the community organized by AMUL. It was observed from the data that 03 % (12) of the Respondents have very poor level of awareness Regarding blood donation camp, 6.3 % (25) Respondents have fair awareness Regarding blood donation camp, 22.5% (90) Respondents have good level of awareness regarding blood donation camp, 35.3 % (141) Respondents have very good level of awareness regarding blood donation camp, and 33.0 % (132) Respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding blood donation camp.

MF: 90.8 % of the Respondents have good level of awareness regarding the blood donation camps organized by AMUL as part of CSR.

**Table: - 12 – Utilization of Blood Donation Camp**

Sr. No	Responses	Frequency	%age
1	No utilization	1	0.3
2	Average utilization	13	3.3
3	Fair utilization	101	25.3
4	Good utilization	158	39.5
5	Very Good utilization	127	31.8
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Table 12 shows the utilization of blood donation camp in the community. It was found from the data that 0.3 % (1) of the Respondents felt blood donation camp not useful at all for them , 3.3 % (13) Respondents felt that it was average utilization, 25.3 % (101) Respondents felt it is fair utilization, 39.5% (158) Respondents felt it is moderately useful for them and 31.8 % (127) Respondents felt the camp extreme useful.

MF: 96.6 % Respondents felt the utilization of blood donation camps organized by Amul as part of CSR.

**Table: - 13 –Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Blood Donation Camp**

Sr. No	Responses	Frequency	%age
1	Very Dissatisfied	4	1.0
2	Dissatisfied	21	5.3
3	Unsure	75	18.8
4	Satisfied	128	32.0
5	Very Satisfied	172	43.0
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

Table 13 shows the level of Level of satisfaction regarding the blood donation camp organized by AMUL in community. It was found from the data that only 1.0 % (4) of the Respondents were very dissatisfied regarding blood donation camp, 5.3 % (22) Respondents were dissatisfied regarding the camp, 18.08 % (75) of the Respondents were not sure regarding the blood donation camp. The table also shows that 32 % (128) Respondents were satisfied regarding the blood donation camp and 43 % (172) of the Respondents were very satisfied Regarding Blood Donation camp.

MF: 75 % of the Respondents were satisfied regarding the blood donation camp organized by the AMUL.

**Table: - 14 –Level of Awareness regarding Hygienic Food Training Programme**

Sr. No	Responses	Frequency	%age
1	Poor Level of Awareness	5	1.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	44	11.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	102	25.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	156	39.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	93	23.3
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

Table 14 shows the level of awareness among the Respondents regarding the hygienic food training programme. It was found from the data that 1.3 % (5) Respondents level of awareness was poor regarding the program, 11% (44) of the Respondents were fairly aware, 25.5 % (102) Respondents contains good level of awareness regarding the programme. The data also reveals that 39 % (156) of the Respondents' level of awareness was good followed by 23.3 % (93) Respondents excellent level of awareness regarding hygienic food training.

MF: 87.8 % of the Respondents were aware regarding the hygienic food training programme.

**Table: - 15 – Utilization of Hygienic Food Training Programme**

Sr. No	Responses	Frequency	%age
1	No utilization	3	0.8
2	Average utilization	38	9.5
3	Fair utilization	98	24.5
4	Good utilization	196	49.0
5	Very Good utilization	65	16.3
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The table 15 shows the utilization and usefulness of hygienic food training programme of AMUL. The data shows that 0.8 % (3) Respondents considered the utilization of the programme as not useful at all, 9.5 % (38) of the Respondents felt that the programme was average utilization for them, 24.5 % (98) Respondents felt the programme was somewhat useful, 49 % (196) of the Respondents felt that the programme was moderately useful for them and 16.3 % (65) of the Respondents felt that the hygienic food training was extreme useful.

MF: 65.3 % Respondents felt the utilization of hygienic food training programme useful organized by AMUL as part of CSR.

**Table: - 16 –Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Hygienic Food Training**

Sr. No	Responses	Frequency	%age
1	Very Dissatisfied	8	2.0
2	Dissatisfied	30	7.5
3	Unsure	101	25.3
4	Satisfied	160	40.0
5	Very Satisfied	101	25.3
<b>Total</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Table 16 shows the level of Level of satisfaction regarding the hygienic food training programme in the Community. It was found from the data that only 2.0 % (8) Respondents were very dissatisfied, 7.5 % (30) of the Respondents were dissatisfied, 25.3 % (101) Respondents were unaware regarding the hygienic food training programme. The data also reveals that 40 % (160) of the Respondents were satisfied and 25.3 % (101) of the Respondents were very satisfied Regarding Amul's hygienic food training.

MF: 65.3 % Respondents were satisfied regarding Amul's Hygienic Food Training programme.

**Table: - 17 –Level of Awareness Regarding Disaster and Rehabilitation Programme**

Sr. No	Responses	Frequency	%age
1	Poor Level of Awareness	6	1.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	45	11.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	145	36.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	146	36.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	58	14.5
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

Table 17 shows the level of awareness regarding disaster and rehabilitation programme in the community. It was found from the study that 1.5 % (6) Respondents' level of awareness was very poor, 11.3 % (45) of the Respondents' level of awareness regarding the programme was fair, and 36.3 % (145) Respondents' level of awareness was good. The study also shows that 36.5 % (146) of the Respondents' level of awareness was very good and 14.5 % (58) Respondents' level of awareness was excellent regarding the disaster and rehabilitation programme.

MF: 87.3 % of the Respondents' level of awareness was good regarding disaster and rehabilitation program.

**Table: - 18 – Utilization of Disaster and Rehabilitation Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	0.5
2	Average utilization	41	10.3
3	Fair utilization	134	33.5
4	Good utilization	179	44.8
5	Very Good utilization	44	11.0
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

Table 18 shows the utilization of Disaster and Rehabilitation programme in the community. It was found from the data that 0.5 % (2) of the Respondents felt Disaster and rehabilitation programme not useful at all for them, 10.3 % (41) Respondents felt that it was average utilization, 33.5 % (134) Respondents felt it is somewhat useful, 44.8% (179) Respondents felt it is moderately useful for them and 11 % (44) Respondents felt the camp extreme useful.

Overall the table indicates that 44.8 % (179) Respondents were good Utilized of Amul's Disaster and Rehabilitation programme.

**Table: - 19 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Disaster Health Program and Rehabilitation**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	9	2.3
2	Dissatisfied	27	6.8
3	Unsure	137	34.3
4	Satisfied	140	35.0
5	Very Satisfied	87	21.8
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

Table 19 shows the level of Level of satisfaction regarding the Disaster and Rehabilitation programme organized by AMUL in community. It was found from the data that only 2.3 % (9) of the Respondents were very dissatisfied Regarding Disaster and Rehabilitation camp, 6.8 % (27) Respondents were dissatisfied Regarding the Disaster and Rehabilitation camp, 34.3 % (137) of the Respondents were not sure regarding the Disaster and Rehabilitation camp. The table also shows that 35 % (140) Respondents were satisfied Regarding the Disaster and Rehabilitation camp and 21 % (87) of the Respondents were very satisfied Regarding Disaster and Rehabilitation camp.

Overall the table indicates s that 56.8 % Respondents were appraised regarding Amul's Disaster Health Program and Rehabilitation is satisfied activity for them.

**Table: - 20 – Level of Awareness Regarding T.B. And DOT's Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	1	0.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	17	4.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	83	20.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	131	32.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	168	42.0
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

Table 20 shows awareness level of the Respondents Regarding T.B. And DOT's Programme in the community organized by AMUL. It was observed from the data that 0.3 % (1) of the Respondents have very poor level of awareness Regarding T.B.

and DOT's Programme, 4.3 % (17) Respondents have fair awareness Regarding T.B. and DOT's Programme, 20.8% (83) Respondents have good level of awareness regarding T.B. and DOT's Programme, 32.8 % (131) Respondents have very good level of awareness regarding T.B. and DOT's Programme, and 42.0 % (168) Respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding T.B. and DOT's Programme.

Overall table indicate that 95.6 % (299) Respondents were good level of awareness regarding T.B. And DOT's Health checks Programme.

**Table: - 21 – Level of Utilization of T.B. and DOT's Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	00	00%
2	Average utilization	19	4.8%
3	Fair utilization	72	18.0%
4	Good utilization	121	30.3%
5	Very Good utilization	188	47.0%
	Total	400	100.0%

Table 21 shows the utilization of Utilization of T.B. and DOT's Programme in the community. It was found from the data that not only a single Respondents felt that Utilization of T.B. and DOT's Programme not useful at all for them, 4.8 % (19) Respondents felt that it was slightly useful, 18 % (72) Respondents felt it is fair utilization, 30.3% (121) Respondents felt it is moderately useful for them and 47 % (188) Respondents felt the camp extreme useful.

Overall the table indicates that 77.3 % (n=309) Respondents were good Utilized of Amul's T.B. and DOT's Health checks Programme.

**Table: - 22 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding T.B. and DOT's Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	1	.3
2	Dissatisfied	20	5.0
3	Unsure	67	16.8
4	Satisfied	117	29.3
5	Very Satisfied	195	48.8
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

Table 22 shows the level of Level of satisfaction regarding the T.B. and DOT's Programme organized by AMUL in community. It was found from the data that only 0.3 % (1) of the Respondents were very dissatisfied Regarding T.B. and DOT's Programme, 5.0 % (20) Respondents were dissatisfied Regarding the T.B. and DOT's Programme, 16.8 % (67) of the Respondents were not sure regarding the T.B. and DOT's Programme. The table also shows that 29.3 % (117) Respondents were satisfied Regarding the T.B. and DOT's Programme and 48.8 % (195) of the Respondents were very satisfied Regarding T.B. and DOT's Programme.

Overall the table indicates s that 78.1 % (312) Respondents were very satisfied of Amul's T.B. and DOT's Health checks Programme.

**Table: - 23 – Level of Awareness Regarding Health Checkup Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	0.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	20	5.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	97	24.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	180	45.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	101	25.3
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

The table 23 Shows the level of Awareness among the Respondents regarding the Health checkup Programme in the Community, there are 0.5 % (2) Respondents has very poor level of awareness regarding Health checkup Programme, there are 5.0 % (20) Respondents has fair level of awareness Regarding Health checkup Programme, there are 24.3 % (97) Respondents has good level of awareness regarding Health checkup Programme, there are 45 % (180) Respondents has very good level of awareness regarding Health checkup Programme, there are 25.3 % (101) Respondents has excellent level of awareness Regarding Health checkup Programme.

Overall the table indicates s that 94.6 % (281) Respondents were good awareness regarding Amul's Health check Programme.

**Table: - 24 – Level of Utilization of Health Checkup Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	01	0%
2	Average utilization	19	4.8
3	Fair utilization	87	21.8
4	Good utilization	173	43.3
5	Very Good utilization	121	30.3
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0

The table 24 shows the utilization of blood donation camp in the community. It was found from the data that 0.3 % (01) of the Respondents felt blood donation No utilization for them, 4.8 % (19) Respondents felt that it was slightly useful, 21.8 % (87) Respondents felt it is fair utilization, 43.3 % (01) Respondents felt it is Good utilization for them and 30.3 % (121) Respondents felt the camp Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates s that 73.6 % (294) Respondents were good Utilized of Amul's Health checks Programme.

**Table: - 25 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Health Checkup Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	0.5
2	Dissatisfied	23	5.8
3	Unsure	89	22.3
4	Satisfied	142	35.5
5	Very Satisfied	144	36.0
<b>Total</b>		400	100.0



The table 25 shows the level of Satisfaction among the Respondents regarding the Health check Programme in the Community, there are 0.5 % (02) Respondents are very dissatisfied Regarding Health check Programme, 5.8 % (23) of the Respondents were dissatisfied Regarding Health check Programme. There were 22.3 % (89) Respondents those who were unsure Regarding Health check Programme. There was 35.5 % (142) Respondents satisfied Regarding Health check Programme. There was 36 % (144) Respondents very satisfied Regarding Health check Programme.

Overall the table indicates s that 71.5 % (286) Respondents were evaluated regarding Amul's Health checks Programme is satisfied activity for them.

**Table: - 26 – Level of Awareness Regarding Safe Delivery Kit**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	1	.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	34	8.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	134	33.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	137	34.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	94	23.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 26 Shows the level of Awareness among the Respondents regarding the Safe Delivery Kit in the Community, there are 0.3 % (1) Respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Safe Delivery Kit, there are 8.5 % (34) Respondents have Fair level of awareness Regarding Safe Delivery Kit, there are 33.5 % (134) Respondents have good level of awareness Regarding Safe Delivery Kit, there are 34.3 % (137) Respondents have very good level of awareness Regarding Safe Delivery Kit, there are 23.0 % (94) Respondents have excellent level of awareness Regarding Safe Delivery Kit.

Overall the table indicates that 91.3 % Respondents were good Awareness Regarding Safe Delivery Kit Programme.

**Table: - 27 – Level of Utilization Regarding Safe Delivery Kit**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	1	0.3
2	Average utilization	36	9.0
3	Fair utilization	122	30.5
4	Good utilization	143	35.8
5	Very Good utilization	98	24.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 27 shows the level of utilization among the Respondents regarding the safe delivery kit programme in the community, there are 0.3 % (1) Respondents has said the programme was No utilization for them, there are 9.0 % (36) Respondents said that the programme is average utilization, there are 30.5 % (122) Respondents said that the programme is somewhat useful, there are 35.8 % (143) Respondents said that safe delivery kit programme is moderately useful, there are 24.5 % (98) Respondents said that safe delivery kit programme is Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates s that 60.3 % (241) Respondents were good usefulness of safe delivery kit programme.

**Table: - 28 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Safe Delivery Kit**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	0.5
2	Dissatisfied	37	9.3
3	Unsure	118	29.5
4	Satisfied	124	31.0
5	Very Satisfied	119	29.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 28 shows the level of satisfactions regarding the safe delivery kit programme in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) Respondents are very dissatisfied regarding safe delivery kit programme. There are 9.3 % (37) respondents are a dissatisfied Regarding safe delivery kit programme, 29.5 % (118) respondents are not give any kind of response for Regarding safe delivery kit programme and they were unsure. The data also shows that there are 31 % (124) respondents who were satisfied regarding safe delivery kit program and 29.8 % (119) Respondents are a very satisfied regarding safe delivery kit programme.

Overall the table indicates s that 60.8 % (243) Respondents were evaluated regarding Very satisfied regarding safe delivery kit.

**Table: - 29 – Level of Awareness Regarding Health Related Programme**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	0.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	27	6.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	127	31.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	144	36.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	99	24.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 29 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding the health related programme in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents have poor aware Regarding health check programme, there are 6.8 % (27) respondents have fair level of awareness Regarding health check programme, there are 31.8 % (127) respondents have good level of awareness Regarding health check programme, there are 36 % (144) respondents have very good level of awareness Regarding health check programme, there are 24.8 % (99) respondents have excellent level of awareness Regarding health checks programme.

Overall the table indicates that 92.6 % (243) Respondents were good Awareness Regarding Health Related Programme.

**Table: - 30 – Level of Utilization of Health Related Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	1	0.3
2	Average utilization	27	6.8
3	Fair utilization	113	28.3
4	Good utilization	148	37.0
5	Very Good utilization	111	27.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 30 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding the health related programme, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents has said Regarding health related programme No utilization, there are 6.8 % (27) respondents said that average utilization of health related programme, there are 28.3 % (113) respondents said that somewhat useful of health related programme, there are 37% (148) respondents said that health related programme is moderately useful, there are 27.8 % (111) respondents said that health related programme is too much Very Good utilization. Overall the table indicates that 64.8 % (259) respondents were good utilization of health related programme.

**Table: - 31 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Health Related Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	0	00
2	Dissatisfied	26	6.5
3	Unsure	117	29.3
4	Satisfied	144	36.0
5	Very Satisfied	113	28.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 31 shows the level of satisfaction of health check programme in the community, there are 6.5 % (26) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding checks programme. There are 29.3 % (117) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding health checks programme. There are 36 % (144) respondents are satisfied regarding health checks programme. There are 28.3 % (113) respondents are a very satisfied regarding health checks programme.

Overall the table indicates that 64.3 % (257) respondents were evaluated and Very satisfied regarding health related programme.

**Table: - 32 – Level of Awareness Regarding Milk Day Celebration program**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	1	0.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	15	3.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	103	25.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	126	31.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	155	38.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 32 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding milk day celebration programme in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding milk day celebration programme, there are 3.8 % (15) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding milk day celebration programme, there are 25.8 % (103) respondents have good level of awareness regarding milk day celebration programme, there are 31.5 % (126) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding milk day celebration programme, there are 38.8 % (155) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding milk day celebration programme.

Overall the table indicates that 96.1 % (176) respondents were good awareness regarding milk day celebration program.

**Table: - 33 – Level of Utilization of Milk Day Celebration Program**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	2	0.5
2	Average utilization	20	5.0
3	Fair utilization	85	21.3
4	Good utilization	137	34.3
5	Very Good utilization	156	39.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 33 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding the milk day celebration program, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding milk day celebration program at all useful, there are 5 % (20) respondents said that somewhat useful of milk day celebration program, there are 21.3 % (85) respondents said that moderately useful of milk day celebration program, there are 34.3% (137) respondents said that milk day celebration program is Very Good utilization, there are 39 % (156) respondents said that milk day celebration program is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 73.3 % (293) respondents were good utilization of milk day celebration program.

**Table: - 34 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Milk Day Celebration Program**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	.5
2	Dissatisfied	16	4.0
3	Unsure	94	23.5
4	Satisfied	117	29.3
5	Very Satisfied	171	42.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 34 Level of satisfaction regarding milk day celebration programme in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding milk day celebration programme. There are 4 % (16) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding milk day celebration programme. There are 23.5 % (94) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding milk day celebration programme. There are 29.3 % (117) respondents are satisfied regarding milk day celebration programme. There are 42.8 % (171) respondents are a satisfied regarding milk day celebration programme. Overall the table indicates that 72.1 % (288) respondents were Very satisfied regarding milk day celebration program.

**Table: - 35 – Level of Awareness Regarding Mother and Child Care Programme**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	0.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	24	6.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	71	17.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	144	36.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	158	39.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 35 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding mother and child care programme in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding mother and child care programme , there are 6 % (24) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding mother and child care programme, there are 17.8 % (71) respondents have good level of awareness regarding mother and child care programme, there are 36 % (144) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding mother and child care programme, there are 39.5 % (158) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding mother and child care programme.

Overall the table indicates that 93.3 % (302) respondents were good awareness regarding mother and child care programme.

**Table: - 36 – Level of Utilization of Mother and Child Care Programme**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	0.0	00%
2	Average utilization	17	4.3
3	Fair utilization	76	19.0
4	Good utilization	139	34.8
5	Very Good utilization	168	42.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 36 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding mother and child care programme, the data shows that there was not even a single respondents who consider the programme No utilization, there are 4.3 % (17) respondents said that average utilization of mother and child care programme, there are 19 % (76) respondents said that somewhat useful of mother and child care programme, there are 34.8% (139) respondents said that of mother and child care programme is moderately useful, there are 42 % (168) respondents said that of mother and child care programme is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 76.8 % (307) respondents were good utilization of mother and child care programme.

**Table: - 37 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Mother and Child Care Programme**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	0.0	00%
2	Dissatisfied	18	4.5
3	Unsure	67	16.8
4	Satisfied	153	38.3
5	Very Satisfied	162	40.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0



The table 37 shows the level of Level of satisfaction regarding of mother and child care programme in the community, no one is very dissatisfied with the programme of mother and child care, there are 4.5 % (18) respondents are dissatisfied regarding mother and child care programme. There are 16.08 % (67) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding mother and child care programme. There are 38.3 % (153) respondents are satisfied regarding mother and child care programme. There are 40.5 % (162) respondents are a very satisfied regarding mother and child care programme.

Overall the table indicates that 78.8 % (315) respondents were Very satisfied regarding mother and child care programme.

**Table: - 38 – Level of Awareness Regarding Health Related Social Worker Training program**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	29	7.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	89	22.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	156	39.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	123	30.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 38 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding health related social worker training program in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding health related social worker training program, there are 7.3 % (29) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding health related social worker training program, there are 22.3 % (89) respondents have good level of awareness regarding health related social worker training program, there are 39 % (156) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding health related social worker training program, there are 30.8 % (123) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding health related social worker training program.

Overall the table indicates that 92.1 % (279) respondents were good awareness regarding health related social worker training program.

**Table: - 39 – Level of Utilization of Health Related Social Worker Training program**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	28	7.0
3	Fair utilization	83	20.8
4	Good utilization	162	40.5
5	Very Good utilization	125	31.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 39 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding health related social worker training program in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding health related social worker training program No utilization, there are 7 % (28) respondents said that average utilization of health related social worker training program, there are 20.8 % (83) respondents said that fair utilization of health related social worker training program, there are 40.5% (162) respondents said that health related social worker training program is Good utilization, there are 31.3 % (125) respondents said that health related social worker training program is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 92.6 % (277) respondents were good utilization of health related social worker training program.

**Table: - 40 – level of Level of satisfaction regarding Health Related Social Worker Training Program**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	3	0.8
2	Dissatisfied	22	5.5
3	Unsure	92	23.0
4	Satisfied	153	38.3
5	Very Satisfied	130	32.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 40 shows the level of satisfaction of health related social worker training programme in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding mother and child care kangaroo programme. There are 5.5 % (22) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding health related social worker training programme. there are 23 % (92) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding health related social worker training programme. There are 38.3 % (153) respondents are satisfied regarding health related social worker training programme. There are 32.5 % (130) respondents are a very satisfied regarding health related social worker training programme.

Overall the table indicates that 70.8 % (283) respondents were Very satisfied regarding health related social worker training program.

**Table: - 41 – Level of Awareness Regarding Primary Health Protection**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	1	0.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	18	4.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	104	26.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	155	38.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	122	30.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 41 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding primary health protection in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding primary health protection, there are 4.5 % (18) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding primary health protection, there are 26 % (104) respondents have good level of awareness regarding primary health protection, there are 38.8 % (155) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding primary health protection, there are 30.5 % (122) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding primary health protection.

Overall the table indicates that 95.3 % (277) respondents were good awareness regarding primary health protection.

**Table: - 42 – Level of Utilization of Primary Health Protection**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	1	0.3
2	Average utilization	15	3.8
3	Fair utilization	96	24.0
4	Good utilization	160	40.0
5	Very Good utilization	128	32.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 42 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding primary health protection in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents has said regarding primary health protection No utilization, there are 3.8 % (15) respondents said that average utilization of primary health protection, there are 24 % (96) respondents said that somewhat useful of primary health protection, there are 40% (160) respondents said that primary health protection is very useful, there are 32 % (128) respondents said that primary health protection is too much very useful. Overall the table indicates that 96 % (288) respondents were good utilization of primary health protection program.

**Table: - 43 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Primary Health Protection**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	0.5
2	Dissatisfied	17	4.3
3	Unsure	84	21.0
4	Satisfied	149	37.3
5	Very Satisfied	148	37.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 43 shows the level of Level of satisfaction regarding primary health protection in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding primary health protection. There are 4.3 % (17) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding primary health protection. There are 21 % (84) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding primary health protection. There are 37.3 % (149) respondents are satisfied regarding primary health protection. There are 37 % (148) respondents are a satisfied regarding primary health protection.

Overall the table indicates that 74.3 % (297) respondents were Very satisfied regarding primary health protection.

**Table: - 44 – Level of Awareness Regarding Family Planning Programme**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	10	2.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	76	19.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	148	37.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	164	41.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 44 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding the family planning programme in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding family planning programme, there are 2.5 % (10) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding family planning programme, there are 19 % (76) respondents have good level of awareness regarding family planning programme, there are 37 % (148) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding family planning programme, there are 41 % (164) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding family planning programme.

Overall the table indicates that 97 % (312) respondents were good awareness regarding family planning programme.

**Table: - 45 – Level of Utilization of Family Planning Programme**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>1</b>	No utilization	<b>0</b>	<b>00%</b>
2	Average utilization	11	2.8
3	Fair utilization	79	19.8
4	Good utilization	140	35.0
5	Very Good utilization	170	42.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 45 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding the family planning programme in the community, there is no one respondents said that No utilization of family planning programme, there are 2.8 % (11) respondents said that average utilization of family planning programme, there are 19.8 % (79) respondents said that fair utilization of family planning programme, there are 35 % (140) respondents said that family planning programme is very useful, there are 42.5 % (170) respondents said that family planning programme is too Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 97.3 % (320) respondents were good utilization of family planning programme.

**Table: - 46 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Family Planning Programme**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	0.5
2	Dissatisfied	10	2.5
3	Unsure	74	18.5
4	Satisfied	139	34.8
5	Very Satisfied	175	43.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 46 shows the level of satisfaction of family planning programme in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding family planning programme. There are 2.5 % (10) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding family planning programme. There are 18.5 % (74) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding family planning programme. There are 34.8 % (139) respondents are satisfied regarding family planning programme. There are 43.8 % (175) respondents are a very satisfied regarding family planning programme.

Overall the table indicates that 78.6 % (314) respondents were Very satisfied regarding family planning programme.

**Table: - 47 – Level of Awareness Regarding Eye Check Up and Operation**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	13	3.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	80	20.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	153	38.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	152	38.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 47 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding eye checkup and operation in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding eye checkup and operation, there are 3.3 % (13) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding eye checkup and operation, there are 20 % (80) respondents have good level of awareness regarding eye checkup and operation, there are 38.3 % (153) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding eye checkup and operation, there are 38 % (152) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding eye checkup and operation.

Overall the table indicates that 96.3 % (305) respondents were good awareness regarding eye checkup and operation programme.

**Table: - 48 – Level of Utilization of Eye Check up and Operation**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	0	0
2	Average utilization	19	4.8
3	Fair utilization	61	15.3
4	Good utilization	167	41.8
5	Very Good utilization	153	38.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 48 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding eye checkup and operation in the community, there are no one respondents said that No utilization of eye checkup and operation, there are 4.8 % (19) respondents said that average utilization of eye checkup and operation, there are 15.3 % (61) respondents said that somewhat useful of eye checkup and operation, there are 41.8 % (167) respondents said that eye checkup and operation is moderately useful, there are 38.3 % (153) respondents said that eye checkup and operation is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 95.4 % (320) respondents were good utilization of eye checkup and operation programme.

**Table: - 49 – level of Level of satisfaction regarding Eye Checkup and Operation**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>1</b>	Very Dissatisfied	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
2	Dissatisfied	17	4.3
3	Unsure	62	15.5
4	Satisfied	163	40.8
5	Very Satisfied	158	39.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0



The table 49 shows the level of Level of satisfaction regarding eye checkup and operation in the community, there is no one respondents said that very dissatisfied regarding eye checkup and operation. There are 4.3 % (17) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding eye checkup and operation. There are 15.5 % (62) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding eye checkup and operation. There are 40.8 % (163) respondents are satisfied regarding eye checkup and operation. There are 39.5 % (158) respondents are a satisfied regarding eye checkup and operation.

Overall the table indicates that 80.3 % (321) respondents were Very satisfied regarding eye checkup and operation programme.

**Table: - 50 – Level of Awareness Regarding Mother Day Celebration**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	20	5.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	81	20.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	154	38.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	143	35.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 50 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding mother day celebration in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding mother day celebration, there are 5 % (20) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding mother day celebration, there are 20.3 % (81) respondents have good level of awareness regarding mother day celebration, there are 38.5 % (154) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding mother day celebration, there are 35.8 % (143) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding mother day celebration.

Overall the table indicates that 94.6 % (297) respondents were good awareness regarding mother day celebration programme.

**Table: - 51 – Level of Utilization of Mother Day Celebration**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	1	.3
2	Average utilization	19	4.8
3	Fair utilization	86	21.5
4	Good utilization	151	37.8
5	Very Good utilization	143	35.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 51 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding mother day celebration in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents has said regarding mother day celebration No utilization, there are 4.8 % (19) respondents said that average utilization of mother day celebration, there are 21.5 % (86) respondents said that somewhat useful of mother day celebration, there are 37.8% (151) respondents said that mother day celebration moderately very useful, there are 35.8 % (143) respondents said that mother day celebration is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 95.1 % (304) respondents were good utilization of mother day celebration programme.

**Table: - 52 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding Mother Day Celebration**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	3	.8
2	Dissatisfied	25	6.3
3	Unsure	82	20.5
4	Satisfied	141	35.3
5	Very Satisfied	149	37.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 52 level of Level of satisfaction regarding g mother day celebration in the community, there are 0.8 % (02) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding mother day celebration. There are 6.3 % (25) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding mother day celebration. There are 20.5 % (82) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding mother day celebration mother day celebration. There are 35.3 % (141) respondents are satisfied regarding mother day celebration. There are 37.3 % (149) respondents are a satisfied regarding mother day celebration.

Overall the table indicates that 72.6 % (290) respondents were Very satisfied regarding mother day celebration programme.

**Table: - 53 – Level of Awareness Regarding Food and Nutrition**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	24	6.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	104	26.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	143	35.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	126	31.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 53 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding food and nutrition in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding food and nutrition, there are 6 % (24) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding food and nutrition, there are 26 % (104) respondents have good level of awareness regarding food and nutrition, there are 35.8 % (143) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding food and nutrition, there are 31.5 % (126) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding food and nutrition.

Overall the table indicates that 93.3 % (269) respondents were good awareness regarding food and nutrition programme.

**Table: - 54 – Level of Utilization of Food and Nutrition**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	0.0%	00%
2	Average utilization	28	7.0
3	Fair utilization	105	26.3
4	Good utilization	130	32.5
5	Very Good utilization	137	34.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 54 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding food and nutrition in the community, there is no one respondents said that No utilization of food and nutrition, there are 7 % (28) respondents said that average utilization of food and nutrition, there are 26.3 % (105) respondents said that somewhat useful of food and nutrition, there are 32.5 % (130) respondents said that food and nutrition is very useful, there are 34.3 % (137) respondents said that food and nutrition is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 93.1 % (267) respondents were good utilization of food and nutrition programme.

**Table: - 55 – Level of satisfaction regarding Food and Nutrition**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	3	0.8
2	Dissatisfied	30	7.5
3	Unsure	100	25.0
4	Satisfied	124	31.0
5	Very Satisfied	143	35.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 55 shows levels of Level of satisfaction regarding food and nutrition in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding food and nutrition. There are 7.5 % (30) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding food and nutrition. There are 25 % (100) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding food and nutrition. There are 31 % (124) respondents are satisfied regarding food and nutrition. There are 35.8 % (143) respondents are a satisfied regarding food and nutrition.

Overall the table indicates that 66.6 % (167) respondents were Very satisfied regarding food and nutrition programme.

**Table: - 56 – Level of Awareness Regarding All Kind of Vaccination**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	0.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	27	6.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	101	25.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	163	40.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	107	26.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 56 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding all kind of vaccination programme in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding all kind of vaccination programme, there are 6.8 % (27) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding all kind of vaccination programme, there are 25.3 % (101) respondents have good level of awareness regarding all kind of vaccination programme, there are 40.8 % (163) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding all kind of vaccination programme, there are 26.8 % (107) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding all kind of vaccination programme.

Overall the table indicates that 92.9 % (270) respondents were good awareness regarding all kind of vaccination programme.

**Table: - 57 – Level of Utilization of All Kind of Vaccination**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	34	8.5
3	Fair utilization	92	23.0
4	Good utilization	158	39.5
5	Very Good utilization	114	28.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 57 shows the utilization among the respondents regarding all kind of vaccination programme in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding all kind of vaccination programme No utilization, there are 8.5 % (34) respondents said that average utilization of all kind of vaccination programme, there are 23 % (92) respondents said that fair utilization of all kind of vaccination programme, there are 39.5.% (158) respondents said that all kind of vaccination programme is moderately useful, there are 28.5 % (114) respondents said that all kind of vaccination programme is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 91 % (272) respondents were good utilization of all kind of vaccination programme.

**Table: - 58 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding All Kind of Vaccination**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	1	.3
2	Dissatisfied	27	6.8
3	Unsure	103	25.8
4	Satisfied	152	38.0
5	Very Satisfied	117	29.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 58 shows the level of Level of satisfaction regarding all kind of vaccination programme in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding all kind of vaccination programme. There are 6.8 % (27) respondents are a little dissatisfied regarding all kind of vaccination programme. There are 25.8 % (103) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding all kind of vaccination programme. There are 38 % (152) respondents are satisfied regarding all kind of vaccination programme. There are 29.3 % (117) respondents are a satisfied regarding all kind of vaccination programme.

Overall the table indicates that 67.3 % (269) respondents were Very satisfied regarding all kind of vaccination programme.

**Table: - 59 – Level of Awareness Regarding Amul's Scholarship**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	9	2.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	41	10.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	95	23.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	118	29.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	137	34.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 59 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Amul's scholarship programme in the community, there are 2.3 % (09) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Amul's scholarship programme, there are 10.3 % (41) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Amul's scholarship programme, there are 23.8 % (95) respondents have good level of awareness regarding Amul's scholarship programme, there are 29.5 % (118) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Amul's scholarship programme, there are 34.3 % (137) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Amul's scholarship programme.

Overall the table indicates that 87.3 % (255) respondents were good awareness regarding Amul's scholarship programme.

**Table: - 60 – Level of Utilization of Amul's Scholarship**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	6	1.5
2	Average utilization	32	8.0
3	Fair utilization	90	22.5
4	Good utilization	138	34.5
5	Very Good utilization	134	33.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 60 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Amul's scholarship programme in the community, there are 1.5 % (6) respondents has said regarding Amul's scholarship programme No utilization, there are 8 % (32) respondents said that average utilization of Amul's scholarship programme, there are 22.5 % (90) respondents said that fair utilization of Amul's scholarship programme, there are 34.5% (138) respondents said that Amul's scholarship programme is moderately useful, there are 33.5 % (134) respondents said that Amul's scholarship programme is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 90.5 % (272) respondents were good utilization of Amul's scholarship programme.

**Table: - 61 – Level of satisfaction regarding Amul's Scholarship**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	6	1.5
2	Dissatisfied	27	6.8
3	Unsure	91	22.8
4	Satisfied	130	32.5
5	Very Satisfied	146	36.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 61 level of Level of satisfaction regarding Amul's scholarship programme in the community, there are 1.5 % (06) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding Amul's scholarship programme. There are 6.8 % (27) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding Amul's scholarship programme. There are 22.08 % (91) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Amul's scholarship programme. There are 32.5 % (130) respondents are satisfied regarding Amul's scholarship programme. There are 36.5 % (146) respondents are a very satisfied regarding Amul's scholarship programme.

Overall the table indicates s that 69 % (176) respondents were Very satisfied regarding Amul's scholarship programme.



**Table: - 62 – Level of Awareness Regarding “Amul’s Vidya Shree” Award**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	13	3.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	36	9.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	107	26.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	160	40.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	84	21.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 62 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme in the community, there are 3.3 % (13) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme, there are 9 % (36) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme, there are 26.8 % (137) respondents have good level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme, there are 40 % (160) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme, there are 21 % (84) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding.

Overall the table indicates that 87.8 % (224) respondents were good awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme.

**Table: - 63 – Level of Utilization of “Amul’s Vidya Shree” Award**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	4	1.0
2	Average utilization	40	10.0
3	Fair utilization	118	29.5
4	Good utilization	154	38.5
5	Very Good utilization	84	21.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 63 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme in the community, there are 1 % (04) respondents has said regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme No utilization, there are 10 % (40) respondents said that average utilization of “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme, there are 29.5 % (118) respondents said that fair utilization of “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme, there are 38.5 % (154) respondents said that “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme is moderately useful, there are 21 % (84) respondents said that “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 89 % (238) respondents were good utilization of “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme.

**Table: - 64 – Level of Level of satisfaction regarding “Amul’s Vidya Shree” Award**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	12	3.0
2	Dissatisfied	29	7.3
3	Unsure	121	30.3
4	Satisfied	155	38.8
5	Very Satisfied	83	20.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 64 level of Level of satisfaction regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme in the community, there are 3 % (12) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme. There are 7.3 % (29) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme. There are 30.3 % (121) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme. There are 38.8 % (155) respondents are satisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme. There are 20.8 % (83) respondents are a very satisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme.

Overall the table indicates that 59.6 % (238) respondents were Very satisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya shree” award programme.

**Table: - 65 – Level of Awareness Regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” Award**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	13	3.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	33	8.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	113	28.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	153	38.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	88	22.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 65 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme in the community, there are 3.3 % (13) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme, there are 8.3 % (33) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme, there are 28.3 % (113) respondents have good level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme, there are 38.3 % (153) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme, there are 22.0 % (88) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme.

Overall the table indicates that 88.6 % (241) respondents were good awareness regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme.

**Table: - 66 – Level of Utilization of “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” Award**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	8	2.0
2	Average utilization	37	9.3
3	Fair utilization	101	25.3
4	Good utilization	157	39.3
5	Very Good utilization	97	24.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 66 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme in the community, there are 2 % (08) respondents has said regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme No utilization, there are 9.3 % (37) respondents said that average utilization of “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme, there are 25.3 % (101) respondents said that fair utilization of “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme, there are 39.3 % (157) respondents said that “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme is very useful, there are 24.3 % (97) respondents said that “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 88.9 % (254) respondents were good utilization of “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme.

**Table: - 67 – Level of satisfaction regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” Award**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	9	2.3
2	Dissatisfied	37	9.3
3	Unsure	107	26.8
4	Satisfied	145	36.3
5	Very Satisfied	102	25.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 67 shows the level of satisfaction regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme in the community, there are 2.3 % (09) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme. There are 9.3 % (37) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme. There are 26.08 % (107) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme. There are 36.3 % (145) respondents are satisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme. There are 25.5 % (102) respondents are a satisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme.

Overall the table indicates that 61.8 % (247) respondents were Very satisfied regarding “Amul’s Vidya Bhushan” award programme.

**Table: - 68 – Level of Awareness Regarding Amul's Awareness and Refreshers Training program**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	10	2.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	39	9.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	102	25.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	141	35.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	108	27.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 68 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme in the community, there are 2.5 % (10) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme, there are 9.8 % (39) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme, there are 25.5 % (102) respondents have good level of awareness regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme, there are 35.3 % (141) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme, there are 27.0 % (108) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme.

Overall the table indicates that 87.8 % (249) respondents were good awareness regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme.

**Table: - 69 – Level of Utilization of Amul's Awareness and Refreshers Training Programme**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	7	1.8
2	Average utilization	39	9.8
3	Fair utilization	93	23.3
4	Good utilization	146	36.5
5	Very Good utilization	115	28.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 69 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme in the community, there are 1.8 % (07) respondents has said regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme No utilization, there are 9.8 % (39) respondents said that average utilization of Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme, there are 23.3 % (93) respondents said that fair utilization of Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme, there are 36.5 % (146) respondents said that Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme is moderately useful, there are 28.8 % (146) respondents said that Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 88.6 % (261) respondents were good utilization of Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme.

**Table: - 70 – Level of satisfaction regarding Amul's Awareness and Refreshers Training program**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	7	1.8
2	Dissatisfied	36	9.0
3	Unsure	100	25.0
4	Satisfied	135	33.8
5	Very Satisfied	122	30.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 70 shows the level of satisfaction regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme in the community, there are 1.8 % (7) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme. There are 9 % (36) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme. There are 25 % (100) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme. There are 33.8 % (135) respondents are satisfied regarding Amul's awareness and

refreshers training programme. There are 30.5 % (122) respondents are a very satisfied regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme.

Overall the table indicates s that 64.3 % (275) respondents were Very satisfied regarding Amul's awareness and refreshers training programme.

**Table: - 71 – Level of Awareness Regarding Balwadi**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	7	1.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	26	6.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	66	16.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	118	29.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	183	45.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 71 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Balwadi programme in the community, there are 1.8 % (07) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Balwadi programme, there are 6.5 % (26) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Balwadi programme, there are 16.5 % (66) respondents have good level of awareness regarding Balwadi programme, there are 29.5 % (13) (118) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Balwadi programme, there are 45.8 % (183) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Balwadi programme.

Overall the table indicates that 91.8 % (301) respondents were good awareness regarding Balwadi training programme.

**Table: - 72 – Level of Utilization of Balwadi**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	4	1.0
2	Average utilization	25	6.3
3	Fair utilization	63	15.8
4	Good utilization	127	31.8
5	Very Good utilization	181	45.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 72 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Balwadi programme in the community, there are 1 % (04) respondents has said regarding Balwadi programme No utilization, there are 6.3 % (25) respondents said that average utilization of Balwadi programme, there are 15.8 % (63) respondents said that fair utilization of Balwadi programme, there are 31.8% (127) respondents said that Balwadi programme is very useful, there are 45.3 % (181) respondents said that Balwadi programme is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 92.9 % (308) respondents were good utilization of Balwadi training programme.

**Table: - 73 – Level of satisfaction regarding Balwadi**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	5	1.3
2	Dissatisfied	22	5.5
3	Unsure	66	16.5
4	Satisfied	118	29.5
5	Very Satisfied	189	47.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0



The table 73 shows level of satisfaction regarding of Balwadi programme Balwadi programme in the community, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding Balwadi programme. There are 5.5 % (22) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding Balwadi programme. There are 16.05 % (66) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Balwadi programme. There are 29.5 % (118) respondents are satisfied regarding Balwadi programme. There are 47.3 % (189) respondents are a very satisfied regarding Balwadi programme.

Overall the table indicates s that 76.8 % (309) respondents were Very satisfied regarding **Balwadi** programme.

**Table: - 74 – Level of Awareness Regarding Amul’s Employment Program for Students**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	8	2.0
2	Fair Level of Awareness	45	11.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	106	26.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	123	30.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	118	29.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 74 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Amul’s employment program for students programme in the community, there are 2 % (08) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Amul’s employment program for students programme, there are 11.3 % (45) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Amul’s employment program for students programme, there are 26.5 % (106) respondents have good level of awareness regarding Amul’s employment program for students programme, there are 30.8 % (123) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Amul’s employment program for students programme, there are 29.5 % (118) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Amul’s employment program for students programme.

Overall the table indicates that 86.8 % (241) respondents were good awareness regarding Amul’s employment program for students programme.

**Table: - 75 – Level of Utilization of Amul's employment program for students**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	3	.8
2	Average utilization	44	11.0
3	Fair utilization	108	27.0
4	Good utilization	133	33.3
5	Very Good utilization	112	28.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 75 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Amul's employment programme for students in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents has said regarding Amul's employment programme for students No utilization, there are 11 % (44) respondents said that average utilization of Amul's employment programme for students, there are 27 % (108) respondents said that fair utilization of Amul's employment programme for students, there are 33.3 % (133) respondents said that Amul's employment programme for students is moderately useful, there are 28 % (112) respondents said that Amul's employment programme for students is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 88.3 % (245) respondents were good utilization of Amul's employment program for students programme.

**Table: - 76 – Level of satisfaction regarding Amul's employment program for students**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	4	1.0
2	Dissatisfied	41	10.3
3	Unsure	116	29.0
4	Satisfied	123	30.8
5	Very Satisfied	116	29.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 76 satisfaction of Amul's employment programme for students in the community, there are 1 % (04) respondents are dissatisfied regarding Amul's employment programme for students. There are 10.3 % (41) respondents are a little dissatisfied regarding Amul's employment programme for students. There are 29 % (116) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Amul's employment programme for students. There are 30.8 % (123) respondents are satisfied regarding Amul's employment programme for students. There are 29 % (116) respondents are a satisfied regarding Amul's employment programme for students.

Overall the table indicates that 59.8 % (239) respondents were Very satisfied regarding Amul's employment program for students programme.

**Table: - 77 – Level of Awareness Regarding Amul's Zonal Meeting**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	4	1.0
2	Fair Level of Awareness	50	12.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	106	26.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	121	30.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	119	29.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 77 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme in the community, there are 1 % (04) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme, there are 12.5 % (50) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme, there are 26.5 % respondents have good level of awareness regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme, there are 30.3 % (106) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme, there are 29.8 % (119) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme.

Overall the table indicates that 86.6 % (240) respondents were good awareness regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme.

**Table: - 78 – Level of Utilization of Amul's Zonal Meeting**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	48	12.0
3	Fair utilization	105	26.3
4	Good utilization	126	31.5
5	Very Good utilization	119	29.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 78 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme for community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme for community No utilization, there are 12 % (48) respondents said that average utilization of Amul's zonal meeting programme for community, there are 26.3 % (105) respondents said that fair utilization of Amul's zonal meeting programme for community, there are 31.5 % (126) respondents said that Amul's zonal meeting programme for community is moderately useful, there are 29.8 % (119) respondents said that Amul's zonal meeting programme for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 87.6% (245) respondents were respondents were good utilization of Amul's zonal meeting programme.

**Table: - 79 – Level of satisfaction regarding Amul's Zonal Meeting**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	0.5
2	Dissatisfied	42	10.5
3	Unsure	98	24.5
4	Satisfied	135	33.8
5	Very Satisfied	123	30.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 79 shows the level of satisfaction of Amul's zonal meeting programme community, there is 0.5 % (02) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme. There are 10.5 % (42) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme. There are 24.5 % (98) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme. There are 33.8 % (135) respondents are satisfied regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme. There are 30.8 % (123) respondents are a very satisfied regarding Amul's zonal meeting programme.

Overall the table indicates that 64.6% (258) respondents were Very satisfied regarding Amul's employment program for students programme.

**Table: - 80 – Level of Awareness Regarding Amul's Program Development Review**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	6	1.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	45	11.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	82	20.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	150	37.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	117	29.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 80 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Amul's program development review in the community, there are 1.5 % (06) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Amul's program development review, there are 11.3 % (45) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Amul's program development review, there are 20.5 % (82) respondents have good level of awareness regarding Amul's program development review, there are 37.5 % (150) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Amul's program development review, there are 29.3 % (117) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Amul's program development review.

Overall the table indicates that 87.3 % (267) respondents were good awareness regarding Amul's program development review programme.

**Table: - 81 – Level of Utilization of Amul's Program Development Review**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	5	1.3
2	Average utilization	36	9.0
3	Fair utilization	93	23.3
4	Good utilization	153	38.3
5	Very Good utilization	113	28.3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The table 81 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Amul's program development review in the community, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents has said regarding Amul's program development review in the community No utilization, there are 9 % (36) respondents said that average utilization of Amul's program development review in the community, there are 23.3 % (93) respondents said that fair utilization of Amul's program development review in the community, there are 38.3 % (153) respondents said that Amul's program development review in the community is moderately useful, there are 28.3 % (113) respondents said that Amul's program development review in the community is too much Very Good utilization. Overall the table indicates that 89.9% (266) respondents were good utilization of Amul's program development review programme.

**Table: - 82 – Level of satisfaction regarding Amul's Program Development Review**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	5	1.3
2	Dissatisfied	36	9.0
3	Unsure	84	21.0
4	Satisfied	159	39.8
5	Very Satisfied	116	29.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The table 82 shows the level of satisfaction of Amul's program development review in the community, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding Amul's program development review in the community. There are 9 % (36) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding Amul's program development review in the community. There are 21 % (84) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Amul's program development review in the community. There are 39.8 % (159) respondents are satisfied regarding Amul's program development review in the community. There are 29 % (116) respondents are a very satisfied regarding Amul's program development review in the community.

Overall the table indicates that 68.8% (275) respondents were Very satisfied regarding Amul's program development review programme.

**Table: - 83 – Level of Awareness Regarding Amul's in-house Newsletter Amul's Patrika**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	0.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	41	10.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	87	21.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	135	33.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	134	33.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 84 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika, there are 10.3 % (41) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika, there are 21.8 % (87) respondents have good level of awareness regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika, there are 33.8 % (135) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika, there are 33.5 % (134) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika.

Overall the table indicates that 89.1 % (269) respondents were good awareness regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika programme.

**Table: - 84 – Level of Utilization of Amul's in-house Newsletter Amul's Patrika**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	3	0.8
2	Average utilization	37	9.3
3	Fair utilization	80	20.0
4	Good utilization	130	32.5
5	Very Good utilization	150	37.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 84 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika for community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents has said regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika for community No utilization, there are 9.3 % (37) respondents said that average utilization of Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika for community, there are 20 % (80) respondents said that fair utilization of Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika for community, there are 32.5 % (130) respondents said that Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika for community is moderately useful, there are 37.5 % (150) respondents said that Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 70% (280) respondents were good utilization of Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika programme.

**Table: - 85 – Level of satisfaction regarding Amul's in-house Newsletter Amul's Patrika**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	1	0.3
2	Dissatisfied	30	7.5
3	Unsure	87	21.8
4	Satisfied	133	33.3
5	Very Satisfied	149	37.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0



The table 85 shows the level of satisfaction regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika. There are 7.5 % (30) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika. There are 21.8 % (87) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika. There are 33.3 % (133) respondents are satisfied regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika. There are 37.3 % (149) respondents are a very satisfied regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika.

Overall the table indicates s that 70.6% (282) respondents were Very satisfied regarding Amul's in-house newsletter Amul's Patrika programme.

**Table: - 86 – Level of Awareness Regarding Amul's organize Self Managing Leadership Programme**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	52	13.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	104	26.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	130	32.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	112	28.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 86 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme, there are 13 % (52) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme, there are 26 % (104) respondents have good level of awareness regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme, there are 32.5 % (130) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme, there are 28 % (112) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme.

Overall the table indicates that 86.5 % (142) respondents were good awareness regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme.

**Table: - 87 – Level of Utilization of Amul’s organize Self Managing Leadership Programme**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	00	00%
2	Average utilization	39	9.8
3	Fair utilization	118	29.5
4	Good utilization	134	33.5
5	Very Good utilization	109	27.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 87 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Amul’s organize self-managing leadership programme for community, there are 9.8 % (39) respondents has said regarding Amul’s organize self-managing leadership programme for community is average utilization of Amul’s organize self-managing leadership programme, there are 29.5 % (118) respondents said that somewhat useful of Amul’s organize self-managing leadership programme for community, there are 33.5 % (134) respondents said that Amul’s organize self-managing leadership programme for community is moderately useful, there are 27.3 % (109) respondents said that Amul’s organize self-managing leadership programme for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 90.3% (246) respondents were good utilization of Amul’s organize self-managing leadership programme.

**Table: - 88 – Level of satisfaction regarding Amul’s organize Self Managing Leadership Programme**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	0	0%
2	Dissatisfied	42	10.5
3	Unsure	106	26.5
4	Satisfied	142	35.5
5	Very Satisfied	110	27.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 88 shows the level of satisfaction regarding Amul's organizing self-managing leadership programme community. There are 10.5 % (42) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme. There are 26.5 % (106) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme. There are 35.5 % (142) respondents are satisfied regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme. There are 27.5 % (110) respondents are a very satisfied regarding Amul's organize self-managing leadership programme.

Overall the table indicates that 63% (252) respondents were Very satisfied regarding Amul's organizing self-managing leadership programme.

**Table: - 89 – Level of Awareness Regarding Improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozagar Yojana**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	0.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	50	12.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	102	25.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	145	36.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	100	25.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 89 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding the improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana, there are 12.5 % (50) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana, there are 25.5 % (102) respondents have good level of awareness regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana, there are 36.3 % (145) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana, there are 25 % (100) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana.

Overall the table indicates that 86.8 % (245) respondents were good awareness regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana programme.

**Table: - 90 – Level of Utilization of Improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozagar Yojana**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	41	10.3
3	Fair utilization	102	25.5
4	Good utilization	155	38.8
5	Very Good utilization	100	25.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 90 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana for community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana for community No utilization, there are 10.3 % (41) respondents said that average utilization of improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana for community, there are 25.5 % (102) respondents said that fair utilization of improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana for community, there are 38.8 % (155) respondents said that improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana for community is moderately useful, there are 25 % (100) respondents said that improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 89.3% (255) respondents were good use of improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana programme.

**Table: - 91 – Level of satisfaction regarding Improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozagar Yojana**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	5	1.3
2	Dissatisfied	36	9.0
3	Unsure	99	24.8
4	Satisfied	154	38.5
5	Very Satisfied	106	26.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table s 91 shows the level of satisfaction regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana community, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana. There are 9 % (36) respondents are a little dissatisfied regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana. There are 24.8 % (99) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana. There are 38.5 % (154) respondents are satisfied regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana. There are 26.5 % (106) respondents are a very satisfied regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana. Overall the table indicates s that 65% (260) respondents were Very satisfied regarding improve the socio-economic conditions by Swarnjayanti gram Swarozagar Yojana programme.

**Table: - 92 – Level of Awareness Regarding Loan or Subsidiary loan for buying animal**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	5	1.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	35	8.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	109	27.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	153	38.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	98	24.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 92 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal in the community, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal, there are 8.8 % (35) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal, there are 27.3 % (109) respondents have good level of awareness regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal, there are 38.3 % (153) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal, there are 24.5 % (98) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal.

Overall the table indicates that 90.1 % (251) respondents were good awareness regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal programme.

**Table: - 93 – Level of Utilization of Loan or Subsidiary loan for buying animal**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	6	1.5
2	Average utilization	40	10.0
3	Fair utilization	107	26.8
4	Good utilization	152	38.0
5	Very Good utilization	95	23.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 93 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal for community, there are 1.5 % (06) respondents has said regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal for community No utilization, there are 10 % (40) respondents said that average utilization of loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal for community, there are 26.8 % (107) respondents said that fair utilization of loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal for community, there are 38 % (152) respondents said that loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal for community is moderately useful, there are 23.8 % (95) respondents said that loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 88.6% (248) respondents were good utilization of loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal programme.

**Table: - 94 – Level of satisfaction regarding Loan or Subsidiary loan for buying animal**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	5	1.3
2	Dissatisfied	39	9.8
3	Unsure	101	25.3
4	Satisfied	154	38.5
5	Very Satisfied	101	25.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 94 shows the level of satisfaction regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal community, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal. There are 9.8 % (39) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal. There are 25.3 % (101) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal. There are 38.5 % (154) respondents are satisfied regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal. There are 25.3 % (101) respondents are a very satisfied regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal. Overall the table indicates that 63.8% (255) respondents were Very satisfied regarding loan or subsidiary loan for buying animal programme.

**Table: - 95 – Level of Awareness Regarding Total Quality Management**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	9	2.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	36	9.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	105	26.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	148	37.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	102	25.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 95 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding total quality management in the community, there are 2.3 % (09) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding total quality management, there are 9 % (36) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding total quality management, there are 26.3 % (105) respondents have good level of awareness regarding total quality management, there are 37 % (148) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding total quality management, there are 25.5 % (102) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding total quality management.

Overall the table indicates that 88.8 % (250) respondents were good awareness regarding total quality management programme.

**Table: - 96 – Level of Utilization of Total Quality Management**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	7	1.8
2	Average utilization	31	7.8
3	Fair utilization	105	26.3
4	Good utilization	156	39.0
5	Very Good utilization	101	25.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 96 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding total quality management for community, there are 1.8 % (07) respondents has said regarding total quality management for community No utilization, there are 7.8 % (31) respondents said that average utilization of total quality management for community, there are 26.3 % (105) respondents said that fair utilization of total quality management for community, there are 39 % (156) respondents said that total quality management for community is moderately useful, there are 25.3 % (101) respondents said that total quality management for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 64.3% (257) respondents were good utilization of total quality management programme.



**Table: - 97 – Level of satisfaction regarding Total Quality Management**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	6	1.5
2	Dissatisfied	28	7.0
3	Unsure	105	26.3
4	Satisfied	163	40.8
5	Very Satisfied	98	24.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 97 shows the level of satisfaction regarding total quality management community, there are 1.5 % (06) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding total quality management. There are 7 % (28) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding total quality management. There are 26.3 % (105) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding total quality management. There are 40.8 % (163) respondents are satisfied regarding total quality management. There are 24.5 % (98) respondents are a very satisfied regarding total quality management.

Overall the table indicates s that 65.3% (261) respondents were Very satisfied regarding total quality management programme.

**Table: - 98 – Level of Awareness Regarding Training for Women Empowerment**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	0.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	25	6.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	119	29.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	146	36.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	107	26.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 98 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding training for women empowerment in the community, there are 0.8 % (3) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding training for women empowerment, there are 6.3 % (25) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding training for women empowerment, there are 29.8 % (119) respondents have good level of awareness regarding training for women empowerment, there are 36.5 % (146) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding training for women empowerment, there are 26.8 % (107) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding training for women empowerment.

Overall the table indicates that 93.1 % (254) respondents were good awareness regarding training for women empowerment programme.

**Table: - 99 – Level of Utilization of Training for Women Empowerment**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	3	.8
2	Average utilization	36	9.0
3	Fair utilization	97	24.3
4	Good utilization	161	40.3
5	Very Good utilization	103	25.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 98 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding training for women empowerment for community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents has said regarding training for women empowerment for community No utilization, there are 9 % (36) respondents said that average utilization of training for women empowerment for community, there are 24.3 % (97) respondents said that fair utilization of training for women empowerment for community, there are 40.3 % (161) respondents said that training for women empowerment for community is moderately useful, there are 25.8 % (103) respondents said that training for women empowerment for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 90.4% (264) respondents were good utilization of training for women empowerment programme.

**Table: - 100 – Very Satisfied Regarding Training for Women Empowerment**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	5	1.3
2	Dissatisfied	23	5.8
3	Unsure	107	26.8
4	Satisfied	150	37.5
5	Very Satisfied	115	28.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 100 shows the level of satisfaction regarding training for women empowerment, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents are dissatisfied regarding training for women empowerment. There are 5.8 % (23) respondents are a little dissatisfied regarding training for women empowerment. There are 26.8 % (107) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding training for women empowerment. There are 37.5 % (150) respondents are satisfied regarding training for women empowerment. There are 28.8 % (115) respondents are a very satisfied regarding training for women empowerment.

Overall the table indicates that 66.3% (265) respondents were Very satisfied regarding training for women empowerment programme.

**Table: - 101 – Level of Awareness Regarding though a dairy program Below Poverty Line People's social and economic development**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	40	10.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	93	23.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	166	41.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	99	24.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 101 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development, there are 10 % (40) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development, there are 23.3 % (93) respondents have good level of awareness regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development, there are 41.5 % (166) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development, there are 24.8 % (99) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development.

Overall the table indicates that 89.6 % (265) respondents were good awareness regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic growth programme.

**Table: - 102 – Level of Utilization of though a dairy program Below Poverty Line People's social and economic development**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	24	6.0
3	Fair utilization	99	24.8
4	Good utilization	168	42.0
5	Very Good utilization	107	26.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 102 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development for community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development for community No utilization, there are 6 % (24) respondents said that average utilization of though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development for community, there are 24.8 % (99) respondents said that fair

utilization of though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development for community, there are 42 % (168) respondents said that though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development for community is moderately useful, there are 26.8 % (107) respondents said that though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 93.6% (275) respondents were good utilization of though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic growth programme.

**Table: - 103 – Level of satisfaction regarding though a dairy program Below Poverty Line People's social and economic development**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	1	.3
2	Dissatisfied	28	7.0
3	Unsure	98	24.5
4	Satisfied	168	42.0
5	Very Satisfied	105	26.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 103 satisfaction of dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents are dissatisfied regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development. There are 7 % (28) respondents are a little dissatisfied regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development. There are 24.5 % (98) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic development. There are 42 % (168) respondents are satisfied regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic growth. There are 26.3 % (105) respondents are a very satisfied regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic growth.

Overall the table indicates that 68.3% (273) respondents were Very satisfied regarding though a dairy program below poverty line people's social and economic growth programme.

**Table: - 104 – Level of Awareness Regarding Training for Employment**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	34	8.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	100	25.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	167	41.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	97	24.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 104 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding training for employment in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding training for employment, there are 8.5 % (34) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding training for employment, there are 25 % (100) respondents have good level of awareness regarding training for employment, there are 41.8 % (167) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding training for employment, there are 24.3 % (97) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding training for employment.

Overall the table indicates that 91.1 % (264) respondents were good awareness regarding training for employment programme.

**Table: - 105 – Level of Utilization of Training for Employment**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	1	0.3
2	Average utilization	33	8.3
3	Fair utilization	99	24.8
4	Good utilization	180	45.0
5	Very Good utilization	87	21.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 105 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding training for employment for community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents has said regarding training for employment for community No utilization, there are 8.3 % (33) respondents said that average utilization of training for employment for community, there are 24.8 % (99) respondents said that fair utilization of training for employment for community, there are 45 % (180) respondents said that training for employment for community is moderately useful, there are 21.8 % (87) respondents said that training for employment for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 91.1% (267) respondents were good utilization of training for employment programme.

**Table: - 106 – Level of satisfaction regarding Training for Employment**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	3	0.8
2	Dissatisfied	39	9.8
3	Unsure	93	23.3
4	Satisfied	170	42.5
5	Very Satisfied	95	23.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 106 shows the level of satisfaction regarding training for employment, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents are dissatisfied regarding training for employment. There are 9.8 % (39) respondents are a little dissatisfied regarding training for employment. There are 23.3 % (93) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding training for employment. There are 42.5 % (170) respondents are satisfied regarding training for employment. There are 23.8 % (95) respondents are a very satisfied regarding training for employment.

Overall the table indicates s that 66.3% (263) respondents were Very satisfied regarding training for employment programme.

**Table: - 107 – Level of Awareness Regarding Tree plantation**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	39	9.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	108	27.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	162	40.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	89	22.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 107 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding tree plantation in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding tree plantation, there are 9.8 % (39) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding tree plantation, there are 27 % (108) respondents have good level of awareness regarding tree plantation, there are 40.5 % (162) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding tree plantation, there are 22.3 % (89) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding tree plantation.

Overall the table indicates that 89.8 % (251) respondents were good awareness regarding tree plantation programme.

**Table: - 108 – Level of Utilization of Tree plantation**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	4	1.0
2	Average utilization	31	7.8
3	Fair utilization	113	28.3
4	Good utilization	164	41.0
5	Very Good utilization	88	22.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 108 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding tree plantation for community, there are 1 % (04) respondents has said regarding tree plantation for community No utilization, there are 7.8 % (31) respondents said that average utilization of tree plantation for community, there are 28.3 % (113) respondents said that fair utilization of tree plantation for community, there are 41 % (164) respondents said that tree plantation for community is moderately useful, there are 22 % (88) respondents said that tree plantation for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 91.3% (252) respondents were good utilization of tree plantation programme.



**Table: - 109 – Level of satisfaction regarding Tree plantation**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	5	1.3
2	Dissatisfied	33	8.3
3	Unsure	115	28.8
4	Satisfied	154	38.5
5	Very Satisfied	93	23.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 109 shows the level of satisfaction regarding tree plantation, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding tree plantation. There are 8.3 % (33) respondents are a little dissatisfied regarding tree plantation. There are 28.8 % (115) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding tree plantation. There are 38.5 % (154) respondents are satisfied regarding tree plantation. There are 23.3 % (93) respondents are a very satisfied regarding tree plantation.

Overall the table indicates that 61.7% (147) respondents were Very satisfied regarding tree plantation programme.

**Table: - 110 – Level of Awareness Regarding Village People's Group Discussion for Environmental improvement**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	1	.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	18	4.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	102	25.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	178	44.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	101	25.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 110 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement, there are 4.5 % (18) respondents

have fair level of awareness regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement, there are 25.5 % (102) respondents have good level of awareness regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement, there are 44.5 % (178) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement, there are 25.3 % (101) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement.

Overall the table indicates that 69.8 % (278) respondents were good awareness regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement programme.

**Table: - 111 – Level of Utilization of Village People's Group Discussion for Environmental improvement**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	17	4.3
3	Fair utilization	81	20.3
4	Good utilization	187	46.8
5	Very Good utilization	113	28.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 111 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement for community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding village people's group discussion for environmental improvement for community No utilization, there are 4.3 % (17) respondents said that average utilization of village people's group discussion for environmental improvement for community, there are 20.3 % (81) respondents said that fair utilization of village people's group discussion for environmental improvement for community, there are 46.8 % (187) respondents said that village people's group discussion for environmental improvement for community is moderately useful, there are 28.3 % (113) respondents said that village people's group discussion for environmental improvement for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 95.4% (300) respondents were good utilization of village people's group discussion for environmental progress programme.

**Table: - 112 – Level of satisfaction regarding Village People’s Group Discussion for Environmental improvement.**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	5	1.3
2	Dissatisfied	23	5.8
3	Unsure	80	20.0
4	Satisfied	171	42.8
5	Very Satisfied	121	30.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 112 shows the level of satisfaction regarding village people’s group discussion for environmental improvement, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding village people’s group discussion for environmental improvement. There are 5.8 % (23) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding village people’s group discussion for environmental improvement. There are 20 % (80) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding village people’s group discussion for environmental improvement. There are 42.8 % (171) respondents are satisfied regarding village people’s group discussion for environmental improvement. There are 30.3 % (121) respondents are a very satisfied regarding village people’s group discussion for environmental improvement.

Overall the table indicates that 73.1% (292) respondents were Very satisfied regarding village people’s group discussion for environmental progress programme.

**Table: - 113 – Level of Awareness Regarding Save Earth Workshop**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	4	1.0
2	Fair Level of Awareness	34	8.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	114	28.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	151	37.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	97	24.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 113 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding save earth workshop in the community, there are 1 % (04) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding save earth workshop, there are 8.5 % (34) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding save earth workshop, there are 28.5 % (114) respondents have good level of awareness regarding save earth workshop, there are 37.8 % (151) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding save earth workshop, there are 24.3 % (97) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding save earth workshop.

Overall the table indicates that 90.6 % (362) respondents were good awareness regarding save earth workshop programme.

**Table: - 114 – Level of Utilization of Save Earth Workshop**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	3	.8
2	Average utilization	31	7.8
3	Fair utilization	108	27.0
4	Good utilization	170	42.5
5	Very Good utilization	88	22.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 114 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding save earth workshop for community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents has said regarding save earth workshop for community No utilization, there are 7.8 % (31) respondents said that average utilization of save earth workshop for community, there are 27 % (108) respondents said that fair utilization of save earth workshop for community, there are 42.5 % (170) respondents said that save earth workshop for community is moderately useful, there are 22 % (88) respondents said that save earth workshop for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 91.5% (258) respondents were good utilization of save earth workshop programme.

**Table: - 115 – Level of satisfaction regarding Save Earth Workshop**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	4	1.0
2	Dissatisfied	32	8.0
3	Unsure	111	27.8
4	Satisfied	152	38.0
5	Very Satisfied	101	25.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 115 shows the level of satisfaction regarding save earth workshop, there are 1 % (04) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding save earth workshop. There are 8 % (32) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding save earth workshop. There are 27.8 % (111) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding save earth workshop. There are 38 % (152) respondents are satisfied regarding save earth workshop. There are 25.3 % (101) respondents are a very satisfied regarding save earth workshop.

Overall the table indicates that 63.3% (253) respondents were Very satisfied regarding save earth workshop programme.

**Table: - 116 – Level of Awareness Regarding Save Water, Water Management and Water Cleanliness Programme**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	10	2.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	43	10.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	134	33.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	142	35.5
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	71	17.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 116 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme in the community, there are 2.5 % (10) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme, there are 10.8 % (43) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme, there are 33.5 % (134) respondents have good level of awareness regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme, there are 35.5 % (142) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme, there are 17.8 % (71) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme.

Overall the table indicates that 86.8 % (213) respondents were good awareness regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme.

**Table: - 117 – Level of Utilization of Save Water, Water Management and Water Cleanliness Programme**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	6	1.5
2	Average utilization	39	9.8
3	Fair utilization	131	32.8
4	Good utilization	150	37.5
5	Very Good utilization	74	18.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 117 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme for community, there are 1.5 % (06) respondents has said regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme for community No utilization, there are 9.8 % (39) respondents said that average utilization of save water, water management and water cleanliness programme for community, there are 32.8 % (131) respondents said that fair utilization of save water, water management and water cleanliness programme for community, there are 37.5 % (150) respondents said that save water, water management and water cleanliness programme for community is very useful, there are 18.5 % (74) respondents said that save water, water management and water cleanliness programme for community is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 88.8% (224) respondents were good utilization of save water, water management and water cleanliness programme.

**Table: - 118 – Level of satisfaction regarding Save Water, Water Management and Water Cleanliness Programme**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	7	1.8
2	Dissatisfied	51	12.8
3	Unsure	118	29.5
4	Satisfied	139	34.8
5	Very Satisfied	85	21.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 118 shows the level of satisfaction regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme, there are 1.8 % (07) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme. There are 12.8 % (51) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme. There are 29.5 % (118) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme. There are 34.8 % (139) respondents are satisfied regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme. There are 21.3 % (85) respondents are a very satisfied regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme.

Overall the table indicates that 56.1% (224) respondents were Very satisfied regarding save water, water management and water cleanliness programme.

**Table: - 119 – Level of Awareness Regarding Discuss Regarding Global Warming and Increase Environmental Temperature**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	5	1.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	36	9.0
3	Good Level of Awareness	108	27.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	171	42.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	80	20.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 119 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature in the community, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature, there are 9 % (36) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature, there are 27 % (108) respondents have good level of awareness regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature, there are 42.8 % (171) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature, there are 20 % (80) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature.

Overall the table indicates that 89.8 % (251) respondents were good awareness regarding discuss regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature programme.

**Table: - 120 – Level of Utilization of Discuss Regarding Global Warming and Increase Environmental Temperature**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	4	1.0
2	Average utilization	37	9.3
3	Fair utilization	91	22.8
4	Good utilization	179	44.8
5	Very Good utilization	89	22.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 120 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature for community, there are 1 % (04) respondents has said regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature for community No utilization, there are 9.3 % (37) respondents said that average utilization of global warming and increase environmental temperature for community, there are 22.8 % (13) respondents said that fair utilization of global warming and increase environmental temperature for community, there are 44.8 % (179) respondents said that global warming and increase environmental temperature for community is moderately useful, there are 22.3 % (89) respondents said that global warming and increase environmental temperature for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 89.9% (269) respondents were good utilization of discuss regarding global warming and increase ecological temperature programme.



**Table: - 121 – Level of satisfaction regarding Discuss Regarding Global Warming and Increase Environmental Temperature**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	5	1.3
2	Dissatisfied	38	9.5
3	Unsure	103	25.8
4	Satisfied	146	36.5
5	Very Satisfied	108	27.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 121 shows the level of satisfaction regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature, there are 1.3 % (05) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature. There are 9.5 % (38) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature. There are 25.8 % (103) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature. There are 36.5 % (146) respondents are satisfied regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature. There are 27 % (108) respondents are a very satisfied regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature.

Overall the table indicates s that 63.5% (254) respondents were Very satisfied regarding discuss regarding global warming and increase environmental temperature programme.

**Table: - 122 – Level of Awareness Regarding Elocution Competition for Save Environment**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	7	1.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	61	15.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	117	29.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	137	34.3
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	78	19.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 122 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding elocution competition for save environment in the community, there are 1.8 % (07) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding elocution competition for save environment, there are 15.3 % (61) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding elocution competition for save environment, there are 29.3 % (117) respondents have good level of awareness regarding elocution competition for save environment, there are 34.3 % (137) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding elocution competition for save environment, there are 19.5 % (78) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding elocution competition for save environment.

Overall the table indicates that 83.1 % (215) respondents were good awareness regarding elocution competition for save environment programme.

**Table: - 123 – Level of Utilization of Elocution Competition for Save Environment**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	62	15.5
3	Fair utilization	119	29.8
4	Good utilization	135	33.8
5	Very Good utilization	82	20.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 123 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding elocution competition for save environment for community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding elocution competition for save environment for community No utilization, there are 15.5 % (62) respondents said that average utilization of elocution competition for save environment for community, there are 29.8 % (119) respondents said that fair utilization of elocution competition for save environment for community, there are 33.8 % (135) respondents said that elocution competition for save environment for community is moderately useful, there are 20.8 % (82) respondents said that elocution competition for save environment for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 84.1% (217) respondents were good utilization of elocution competition for save environment programme.

**Table: - 124 – Level of satisfaction regarding Elocution Competition for Save Environment**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	6	1.5
2	Dissatisfied	63	15.8
3	Unsure	113	28.3
4	Satisfied	124	31.0
5	Very Satisfied	94	23.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 124 shows the level of satisfaction regarding elocution competition for save environment, there is 1.5 % (06) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding elocution competition for save environment. There are 15.8 % (63) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding elocution competition for save environment. There are 28.3 % (113) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding elocution competition for save environment. There are 31 % (124) respondents are satisfied regarding elocution competition for save environment. There are 23.5 % (94) respondents are very satisfied regarding elocution competition for save environment. Overall the table indicates that 54.5% (218) respondents were Very satisfied regarding elocution competition for save environment programme.

**Table: - 125 – Level of Awareness Regarding Distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	45	11.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	111	27.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	140	35.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	101	25.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 125 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes, there are 11.3 % (45) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes, there are 27.8 % (111) respondents have good level of awareness regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes, there are 35 % (140) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes, there are 25.3

% (101) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes.

Overall the table indicates that 88.1 % (352) respondents were good awareness regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes programme.

**Table: - 126 – Level of Utilization of Distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	3	.8
2	Average utilization	36	9.0
3	Fair utilization	109	27.3
4	Good utilization	146	36.5
5	Very Good utilization	106	26.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 126 shows level of utilization among the respondents regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes for community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents has said regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes for community No utilization, there are 9 % (36) respondents said that average utilization of distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes for community, there are 27.3 % (109) respondents said that fair utilization of distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes for community, there are 36.5 % (146) respondents said that distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes for community is moderately useful, there are 26.5 % (106) respondents said that distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 90.3% (361) respondents were good utilization of distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes programme.

**Table: - 127 – Level of satisfaction regarding Distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	.5
2	Dissatisfied	37	9.3
3	Unsure	98	24.5
4	Satisfied	174	43.5
5	Very Satisfied	89	22.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 127 shows the level of satisfaction regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes. There are 9.3 % (37) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes. There are 24.5 % (98) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes. There are 43.5 % (174) respondents are satisfied regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes. There are 22.3 % (89) respondents are a very satisfied regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes.

Overall the table indicates that 65.8% (263) respondents were Very satisfied regarding distributed of cotton seeds for cows and buffaloes programme.

**Table: - 128 – Level of Awareness Regarding Cotton Seed for Cow and Bullock**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	1	.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	26	6.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	94	23.5
4	Very Good level of Awareness	159	39.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	120	30.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 128 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock, there are 6.5 % (26) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock, there are 23.5 % (94) respondents have good level of awareness regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock, there are 39.8 % (159) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock, there are 30 % (120) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock.

Overall the table indicates that 93.3 % (373) respondents were good awareness regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock programme.

**Table: - 129 – Level of Utilization of Cotton Seed for Cow and Bullock**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization		
2	Average utilization	29	7.3
3	Fair utilization	82	20.5
4	Good utilization	164	41.0
5	Very Good utilization	125	31.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 129 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock for community, there are 7.3 % (29) respondents said that average utilization of cotton seed for cow and bullock for community, there are 20.5 % (82) respondents said that fair utilization of cotton seed for cow and bullock for community, there are 41 % (164) respondents said that cotton seed for cow and bullock for community is very useful, there are 31.3 % (125) respondents said that cotton seed for cow and bullock for community is too much very useful.

Overall the table indicates that 92.8% (299) respondents were good utilization of cotton seed for cow and bullock programme.

**Table: - 130 – Level of satisfaction regarding Cotton Seed for Cow and Bullock**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Very Dissatisfied	0	0%
2	Dissatisfied	24	6.0
3	Unsure	87	21.8
4	Satisfied	142	35.5
5	Very Satisfied	147	36.8
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 130 shows the level of satisfaction regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock, there is no one respondents is very dissatisfied regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock, there are 6 % (24) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock. There are 21.8 % (87) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock. There are 35.5 % (142) respondents are satisfied regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock. There are 36.8 % (147) respondents are a very satisfied regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock.

Overall the table indicates that 72.3% (289) respondents were Very satisfied regarding cotton seed for cow and bullock programme.

**Table: - 131 – Level of Awareness Regarding Scientific Animal Husbandry Practices**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	Poor Level of Awareness	1	0.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	27	6.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	83	20.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	155	38.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	134	33.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 131 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding scientific animal husbandry practices in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding scientific animal husbandry practices, there are 6.8 % (27) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding scientific animal husbandry practices, there are 20.8 % (83) respondents have good level of awareness regarding scientific animal husbandry practices, there are 38.8 % (155) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding scientific animal husbandry practices, there are 33.5 % (134) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding scientific animal husbandry practices.

Overall the table indicates that 93.1 % (372) respondents were good awareness regarding scientific animal husbandry practices programme.

**Table: - 132 – Level of Utilization of Scientific Animal Husbandry Practices**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	1	0.3
2	Average utilization	24	6.0
3	Fair utilization	80	20.0
4	Good utilization	161	40.3
5	Very Good utilization	134	33.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 132 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding scientific animal husbandry practices for community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents has said regarding scientific animal husbandry practices for community No utilization, there are 6 % (24) respondents said that average utilization of scientific animal husbandry practices for community, there are 20 % (80) respondents said that fair utilization of scientific animal husbandry practices for community, there are 40.3 (161) % respondents said that scientific animal husbandry practices for community is moderately useful, there are 33.5 % (134) respondents said that scientific animal husbandry practices for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 93.8% (375) respondents were good utilization of scientific animal husbandry practices programme.



**Table: - 133 – Level of satisfaction regarding Scientific Animal Husbandry Practices**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied		
2	Dissatisfied	24	6.0
3	Unsure	83	20.8
4	Satisfied	143	35.8
5	Very Satisfied	150	37.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 133 shows the level of satisfaction regarding scientific animal husbandry practices, there are 6 % (24) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding scientific animal husbandry practices. There are 20.8 % (83) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding scientific animal husbandry practices. There are 57.8 % (143) respondents are satisfied regarding scientific animal husbandry practices. There are 37.5 % (150) respondents are a very satisfied regarding scientific animal husbandry practices.

Overall the table indicates that 73.3% (336) respondents were Very satisfied regarding scientific animal husbandry practices programme.

**Table: - 134 – Level of Awareness Regarding Animal Disease Diagnostics Laboratories**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	2	0.5
2	Fair Level of Awareness	29	7.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	69	17.3
4	Very Good level of Awareness	147	36.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	153	38.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 134 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories in the community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories, there are 7.3 % (29) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories, there are 17.3 % (69) respondents have good level of awareness regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories, there are 36.8 % (147) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories, there are 38.3 % (153) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories.

Overall the table indicates that 92.4 % (369) respondents were good awareness regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories programme.

**Table: - 135 – Level of Utilization of Animal Disease Diagnostics Laboratories**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	19	4.8
3	Fair utilization	75	18.8
4	Good utilization	144	36.0
5	Very Good utilization	160	40.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 135 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories for community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories for community No utilization, there are 4.8 % (19) respondents said that average utilization of animal disease diagnostics laboratories for community, there are 18.8 % (75) respondents said that fair utilization of animal disease diagnostics laboratories for community, there are 36 % (144) respondents said that animal disease diagnostics laboratories for community is moderately useful, there are 40 % (160) respondents said that animal disease diagnostics laboratories for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 94.8% (379) respondents were good utilization of animal disease diagnostics laboratories programme.

**Table: - 136 – Level of satisfaction regarding Animal Disease Diagnostics Laboratories**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	1	.3
2	Dissatisfied	25	6.3
3	Unsure	71	17.8
4	Satisfied	134	33.5
5	Very Satisfied	169	42.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 136 shows the level of satisfaction regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories. There are 6.3 % (25) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories. There are 17.8 % (71) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories. There are 33.5 % (134) respondents are satisfied regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories. There are 42.3 % (169) respondents are a very satisfied regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories.

Overall the table indicates that 75.8% (303) respondents were Very satisfied regarding animal disease diagnostics laboratories programme.

**Table: - 137 – Level of Awareness Regarding High-Quality Good Nutritional Requirement of Cows and Buffaloes**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	3	0.8
2	Fair Level of Awareness	22	5.5
3	Good Level of Awareness	63	15.8
4	Very Good level of Awareness	139	34.8
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	173	43.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 137 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes in the community, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes, there are 5.5 % (22) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes, there are 15.8 % (63) respondents have good level of awareness regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes, there are 34.8 % (139) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding high-quality

good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes, there are 43.3 % (173) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes.

Overall the table indicates that 93.3 % (375) respondents were good awareness regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes programme.

**Table: - 138 – Level of Utilization of High-Quality Good Nutritional Requirement of Cows and Buffaloes**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	1	0.3
2	Average utilization	19	4.8
3	Fair utilization	61	15.3
4	Good utilization	162	40.5
5	Very Good utilization	157	39.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 138 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes for community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents has said regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes for community No utilization, there are 4.8 % (19) respondents said that average utilization of high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes for community, there are 15.3 % (61) respondents said that fair utilization of high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes for community, there are 40.5 % (162) respondents said that high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes for community is moderately useful, there are 39.3 % (157) respondents said that high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 95.1% (380) respondents were good utilization of high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes programme.

**Table: - 139 – Satisfaction High-Quality Good Nutritional Requirement of Cows and Buffaloes**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	3	0.8
2	Dissatisfied	22	5.5
3	Unsure	57	14.3
4	Satisfied	154	38.5
5	Very Satisfied	164	41.0
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 139 shows the level of satisfaction regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes, there are 0.8 % (03) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes. There are 5.5 % (22) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes. There are 14.3 % (57) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes. There are 38.5 % (154) respondents are satisfied regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes. There are 41 % (164) respondents are a very satisfied regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes.

Overall the table indicates that 79.5% (318) respondents were Very satisfied regarding high-quality good nutritional requirement of cows and buffaloes programme.

**Table: - 140 – Level of Awareness Regarding Health Checkup Programme for Animal**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	1	0.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	29	7.3
3	Good Level of Awareness	68	17.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	164	41.0
5	Excellent Level of Awareness	138	34.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 140 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding health checkup programme for animal in the community, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents have poor level of awareness regarding health checkup programme for animal, there are 7.3 % (29) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding health checkup programme for animal, there are 17 % (68) respondents have good level of awareness regarding health checkup programme for animal, there are 41 % (164) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding health checkup programme for animal, there are 34.5 % (138) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding health checkup programme for animal.

Overall the table indicates that 92.5 % (370) respondents were good awareness regarding health checkup programme for animal programme.

**Table: - 141 – Level of Utilization of Health Checkup Programme for Animal**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	23	5.8
3	Fair utilization	84	21.0
4	Good utilization	162	40.5
5	Very Good utilization	129	32.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 141 Shows the level of utilization among the Respondents regarding Health Check Up Programme for Animal for Community, there are 0.5 % (02) Respondents has said Regarding Health Check Up Programme for Animal for Community No utilization, there are 5.8 % (23) Respondents said that Average utilization of Health Check Up Programme for Animal for Community, there are 21 % (84) Respondents said that Fair utilization of Health Check Up Programme for Animal for Community, there are 40.5 % (162) Respondents said that Health Check Up Programme for Animal for Community Is moderately Useful, there are 32.3 % (129) Respondents said that Health Check Up Programme for Animal for Community Is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 93.8% Respondents were Good Utilization of Health Checkup Programme for Animal Programme.

**Table: - 142 – Level of satisfaction regarding Health Checkup Programme for Animal**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	.5
2	Dissatisfied	28	7.0
3	Unsure	82	20.5
4	Satisfied	146	36.5
5	Very Satisfied	142	35.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 142 shows the level of satisfaction regarding health checkup programme for animal, there are 0.5 % (05) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding health checkup programme for animal. There are 7 % (28) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding health checkup programme for animal. There are 20.5 % (146) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding health checkup programme for animal. There are 36.5 % (146) respondents are satisfied regarding health checkup programme for animal. There are 35.5 % (142) respondents are a very satisfied regarding health checkup programme for animal.

Overall the table indicates that 72% (288) respondents were Very satisfied regarding health checkup programme for animal programme.

**Table: - 143 – Level of Awareness Regarding Amul's Help for Animal Husbandry**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	Poor Level of Awareness	21	5.3
2	Fair Level of Awareness	91	22.8
3	Good Level of Awareness	176	44.0
4	Very Good level of Awareness	112	28.0
5	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 143 shows the level of awareness among the respondents regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry in the community, there are 5.3 % (21) respondents have fair level of awareness regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry, there are 22.8 % (91) respondents have good level of awareness regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry, there are 44 % (176) respondents have very good level of awareness regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry, there are 28 % (112) respondents have excellent level of awareness regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry.

Overall the table indicates that 94.8 % (389) respondents were good awareness regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry programme.

**Table: - 144 – Level of Utilization of Amul's Help for Animal Husbandry**

Sr. No.	Response	Frequency	%
1	No utilization	2	.5
2	Average utilization	19	4.8
3	Fair utilization	90	22.5
4	Good utilization	171	42.8
5	Very Good utilization	118	29.5
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 144 shows the level of utilization among the respondents regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry for community, there are 0.5 % (02) respondents has said regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry for community No utilization, there are 4.8 % (19) respondents said that average utilization of Amul's help for animal husbandry for community, there are 22.5 % (90) respondents said that fair utilization of Amul's help for animal husbandry for community, there are 42.8 % (171) respondents said that Amul's help for animal husbandry for community is moderately useful, there are 29.5 % (118) respondents said that Amul's help for animal husbandry for community is too much Very Good utilization.

Overall the table indicates that 94.8 % (379) respondents were good utilization of Amul's help for animal husbandry programme.

**Table: - 145 – Level of satisfaction regarding Amul's Help for Animal Husbandry**

Sr. No	Response	Frequency	%
1	Very Dissatisfied	1	.3
2	Dissatisfied	22	5.5
3	Unsure	90	22.5
4	Satisfied	162	40.5
5	Very Satisfied	125	31.3
	<b>Total</b>	400	100.0

The table 145 shows the level of satisfaction regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry, there are 0.3 % (01) respondents are very dissatisfied regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry. There are 5.5 % (22) respondents are a dissatisfied regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry. There are 22.5 % (90) respondents are not give any kind of response for regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry. There are 40.5 % (162) respondents are satisfied regarding Amul's help for animal



husbandry. There are 31.3 % (125) respondents are a very satisfied regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry.

Overall the table indicates that 71.8% (287) respondents were Very satisfied regarding Amul's help for animal husbandry programme.

## SECTION -II

**Table No: 1 – Age Wise Distribution of the Respondents**

Sr. No	Age Group	Frequency	Percent
1	18 to 25 Year	16	10.67
2	25 to 35 Year	37	24.67
3	35 to 45 Year	41	27.33
4	45 to 55 Year	26	17.33
5	More than 55 Year	30	20
	Total	150	100

As shown in table 1 age wise frequency distribution of this investigation; Age group among 18 years to 25 years is 16 people cover up that is 10.67 % of people of the this research, same as in that order age group of 25 years to 35 years is 37 people is covered for this study that is 24.67% people of the this study, there is 35 years to 45 years age group people is 41 that is 27.33% people of the this research, there is 45 years to 55 years age group people is 26 that is 17.33% people of the this research, remaining people is age group between is 55 years and above that is only 30 peoples covered that is 20% people of this research.

**Table No: 2 - Gender Wise Distribution of the Respondents**

Sr. No	Gender	Frequency	Percent
1	Male	127	84.67
2	Female	23	15.33
	Total	150	100

As shown in table 2 Gender wise frequency distribution of this investigation; there is male and female was taken for this research, respectively percentage is 84.67% for male and 15.33% for female that is 127 Male and 23 Female was taken.

**Table No: 3- Educational Background of the Respondents**

No	Educational Qualification Group	Frequency	Percent
1	Primary	06	04
2	Secondary	27	18
3	Higher Secondary	41	27.33
4	Graduate	39	26
5	Post Graduate	37	24.67
6	Total	150	100

As shown in table 3 Educational Qualification wise frequency distribution of this investigation; Educational Qualification group of people who are illiterate cover up that is 00 people that is 00% of people of the this research, there are Literate educated people is 09 that is 06% of the this study, there are Primary educated people is 18 that is 12% of the this study, there are Higher Secondary educated people is 41 that is 27.33% of the this study, there are graduate educated people is 39 that is 26% of the this study, there are Post Graduate educated people is 37 that is 24.67% of the this study.

**Table No: 4 – Category wise distribution of the Respondents**

Sr. No	Category Group	Frequency	Percent
1	General	57	38
2	OBC/SEBC	43	28.67
3	SC	32	21.33
4	ST	18	12
	Total	150	100

As shown in table 4 Caste Category wise frequency distribution of this investigation; Caste Category group of people who are belong to general category cover up that is 57 people that is 38 % of people of the this research, there are group of people who are belong to SEBC/OBC category cover up that is 43 people that is 28.67 % of people of the this research, there are group of people who are belong to SC category cover up that is 32 people that is 21.33 % of people of the this research, there are group of people who are belong to ST category cover up that is 18 people that is 12 % of people of the this research

**Table No 5 Religious Backgrounds of the Respondents**

Sr. No	Religious Group	Frequency	Percent
1	Hindu	79	52.67
2	Muslim	27	18
3	Christian	34	22.67
4	Others	10	06.66
	Total	150	100

As shown in table 5 Religious Background Category wise frequency distribution of this investigation; Religious Background Category group of people who are belong to Hindu Religious category cover up that is 79 people that is 52.67 % of people of the this research, there are group of people who are belong to Muslim Religious category cover up that is 27 people that is 18 % of people of the this research, there are group of people who are belong to Christian Religious category cover up that is 34 people that is 22.67 % of people of the this research, there are group of people who are belong to Others Religious category cover up that is 10 people that is .06.66 % of people of the this research.

**Table No 6 Marital Status of the Respondents**

Sr. No	Marital Status Group	Frequency	Percent
1	Married	113	75.33
2	Unmarried	37	24.67
	Total	150	100

As shown in table 6 is Marital Status wise frequency distribution of this study. There are 113 people is married that is 75.33% of the research and 37 people is unmarried that is also 24.67% of the total study.

**Table No 7 Type of Family of the Respondents**

Sr. No	Types of Family Group	Frequency	Percent
1	Nuclear	94	62.67
2	Joint	56	37.33
	Total	150	100

As shown in table 7 families wise frequency distribution of this study, There is two types of family was taken that is joint and nuclear family. The percentage of nuclear family is 62.67% of this research that is covered up 94 people of the research and joint family's percentage is 37.33% of this study that is covered up 56 people of the research.

**Table No: 8 - Family Size of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Family Size</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
1	1 to 3	54	36
2	4 to 5	78	52
3	More than 5	18	12
	Total	150	100

As shown in table 8 size of family wise frequency distribution of this study, there is 54 families has only 1 to 3 member in the family respectively just 36% of this study. There is 78 families has 4 to 5 member in the family that is 52 % of the research. There is 18 families has more than 5 members in the family that is 12% of the research.

**Table No: 9 - Total Experience of the Respondents**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Experience in Year</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
1	Below 05	46	30.67
2	5 to 10	78	52
3	More than 10	26	17.33
	Total	150	100

As shown in table 9 Job Experience in Year wise frequency distribution of this study, there is 46 peoples has Job Experience in Year has only Below 5 Years of Job Experience that is 30.67% of the total sample. There is 78 peoples has Job Experience in Year has between 5 to 10 Years of Job Experience that is 52% of the total sample. There is 26 peoples has Job Experience in Year has more than 10 Years of Job Experience that is 17.33% of the total sample.

**Table No: 10- Perception of the Employees Regarding CSR**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Responses</b>	<b>Very Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Unsure</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Very Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	CSR fulfilling the interest of the shareholder	46 (30.7%)	57 (38%)	30 (20%)	13 (8.7%)	04 (2.7%)	150 (100%)
2	Employees Interest are fulfilled through CSR	41 (27.3%)	65 (43.3%)	00	34 (22.7%)	10 (6.7%)	150 (100%)
3	Stakeholder interest are fulfilled by CSR	04 (2.7%)	64 (42.7%)	51 (34%)	27 (18%)	04 (2.6%)	150 (100%)
4	CSR has Environmental impact	58 (38.7%)	72 (48.0%)	00	15 (10.0%)	05 (3.3%)	150 (100%)
5	CSR is helpful in Social upliftment	57 (38.0%)	72 (48.0%)	00	19 (12.7%)	02 (1.3%)	150 (100%)
6	CSR is helping in building rapport with community	44 (29.3%)	77 (51.3%)	00	25 (16.7%)	04 (2.7%)	150 (100%)
7	CSR is helpful in promoting Educational status in the community	41 (27.3%)	76 (50.7%)	00	28 (18.7%)	5 (3.3%)	150 (100%)
8	CSR promoting overall development of the village	48 (32%)	72 (48.0%)	00	24 (16%)	6 (4.0%)	150 (100%)
9	CSR is helpful in integrating social and environmental concerns in business	36 (24.0%)	81 (54.0%)	28 (18.7%)	3 (2.0%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)

The table 10 shows the perception of the employee regarding the CSR. The data shows that 68.6% of the employees were agreed and stated that the CSR is fulfill the interest of the stakeholders through the activities and program while 20% of the employees were unsure about the statements, only 11.4% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR is fulfilling the interest of the stakeholders.

The data also shows that 70.6% of the employees consider that employees interest are fulfilling through CSR, 29.4% of the employee stated that CSR is not fulfilling the interest of the employee and they were disagreed with the statement; with regard to how much the interest of the employee are fulfilling by CSR.

The study reveals that 45.3% of the employee considered that CSR is fulfilling the stakeholder's interest and they were agreed with the statement, while 34% of the employee were unsure; only 20.7 % of the employee were disagreed with the statement that CSR fulfilled the interest of the stakeholders.

The data also reveals that 86.7% of the employee considered that CSR has an environmental impact and only 13.3% f the employees were disagreed with the statement.

The data shows that 86% of the employee admitted that CSR activities and programs are helpful in social development and they were agree with statement, only 14% of the respondents were disagreed with the statement CSR is not helpful in social development.

With regard to CSR and its impact on rapport building it was found that 80.7 % of the respondents agreed that it is helpful while 19.3% of the employee were disagreed with the statement that CSR is helping in rapport building.

The data also shows that 78% of the employee considered that CSR is promoting and improving they educational status of the community while 22% of the employee were disagreed with the statement.

With regard to CSR impact on overall development of the villages it was found that 80% of the respondents were agree while 20% of the respondents were disagree.

The study also reveals that 78% of the employee considered that CSR in helpful in integrating social and environmental concern while 18.7% of the employee were unsure about the impact, only 03.3% of the employee were disagree with the statement.

Overall data shows that major of the respondents have positive perception regarding the CSR and its impact.

**Table No: 11-Perception of the Employees regarding CSR in General Policy**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Responses</b>	<b>Very Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Unsure</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Very Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	CSR Policy Respecting Sovereign right of the state	23 (15.3%)	101 (67.3%)	00	25 (16.7%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
2	CSR Policy following National laws and Policy	19 (12.7%)	95 (63.3%)	29 (19.3%)	6 (4.0%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
3	CSR Policy maintains relevant standard	21 (14.0%)	82 (54.7%)	39 (26.0%)	7 (4.7%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
4	CSR Policy is Commitment towards stakeholders	17 (11.3%)	68 (45.3%)	00	53 (35.3%)	12 (8%)	150 (100%)
5	CSR activities are as per developmental priorities of the state	16 (10.7%)	74 (49.3%)	52 (34.7%)	7 (4.7%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
6	CSR Policy is supplementing in the fulfillment of social aims	22 (14.7%)	72 (48.0%)	45 (30.0%)	9 (6.0%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)

The table 11 shows the perception of the employee regarding the CSR in General Policy. The data shows that 82.7% of the employees were agreed and stated that the CSR Policy Respecting Sovereign right of these state while 17.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR Policy Respecting Sovereign right of these state.

The data also shows that 76% of the employees consider that CSR Policy following National laws and Policy, 19.35% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding CSR Policy following National laws and Policy or not and only 04.7% of the employees disagreed with the statement that CSR Policy following National laws and Policy.



The study reveals that 67.7% of the employee considered that CSR Policy maintain relevant standard and they were agreed with the statement, while 26% of the employee were unsure; only 5.3 % of the employee were disagreed with the statement that CSR Policy maintain relevant standard.

The study reveals that 56.7% of the employee considered that CSR Policy is Commitment towards stakeholders and they were agreed with the statement, only 43.3 % of the employees were disagreed with the statement that CSR Policy is Commitment towards stakeholders.

The data also reveals that 60% of the employee considered that CSR activities are as per developmental priorities of the state and while 34.7 of the employee were unsure; only 5.3% of the employees were disagreed with the statement.

The data shows that 62.7% of the employee admitted that CSR Policy is supplementing in the fulfillment of social aims and they were agree with statement, while 30% of the employee were unsure only 7.3% of the respondents were disagreed with the statement CSR is not helpful in social development.

Overall data shows that major of the respondents have positive perception regarding the Perception of the Employees regarding CSR in General Policy.

**Table No: 12- Perception regarding CSR & Relationship with other Stake holders**

Sr. No	Responses	Very Agree	Agree	Unsure	Disagree	Very Disagree	Total
1	CSR is helpful in maintaining relationship with Stakeholders	29 (19.3%)	98 (65.3%)	00	16 (10.7%)	7 (4.7%)	150 (100 %)
2	CSR activities improved the socio-economic conditions of stakeholders	22 (14.7%)	97 (64.7%)	22 (14.7%)	8 (5.3%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100 %)
3	CSR is Strive for highest returns to shareholders	9 (6.0%)	81 (54%)	00	47 (31.3%)	13 (8.7%)	150 (100 %)
4	CSR is helping in the Promotion of Welfare and development	17 (11.3%)	80 (53.3%)	00	44 (29.3%)	9 (6.0%)	150 (100 %)
5	CSR is helping in the development of Linkages with community	17 (11.3%)	80 (53.3%)	00	44 (29.3%)	9 (6.0%)	150 (100 %)
6	CSR is helpful in the fulfillment of community needs	33 (22.0%)	74 (49.3%)	00	32 (21.3%)	11 (7.3%)	150 (100 %)

The table 12 shows the Perception regarding CSR & Relationship with other Stake holders. The data shows that 84.7% of the employees were agreed and stated that the CSR is helpful in maintaining relationship with Stakeholders while 15.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR is helpful in maintaining relationship with Stakeholders.

The data also shows that 79.3% of the employees consider that CSR activities improved the socio-economic conditions of stakeholders, 14.7% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding CSR activities improved the socio-economic conditions of stakeholders or not and only 06% of the employees disagreed with the statement that CSR activities improved the socio-economic conditions of stakeholders.

The study reveals that 60% of the employee considered that CSR is Strive for highest returns to shareholders and they were agreed with the statement, only 40 % of the employees were disagreed with the statement that CSR is Strive for highest returns to shareholders.

The study reveals that 62.7% of the employee considered that CSR is helping in the Promotion of Welfare and development and they were agreed with the statement, only 37.3 % of the employees were disagreed with the statement that CSR is helping in the Promotion of Welfare and development.

The data also reveals that 64.7% of the employee considered that CSR is helping in the development of Linkages with community and while 35.3 of the employee were was disagreed with the statement that CSR is helping in the development of Linkages with community.

The data shows that 71.3% of the employee admitted that CSR is helpful in the fulfillment of community needs and they were agree with statement, only 28.7% of the respondents were disagreed with the statement CSR is helpful in the fulfillment of community needs.

Overall data shows that major of the respondents have positive Perception regarding CSR & Relationship with other Stake holders.

**Table No: 13- Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Brand Image**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Responses</b>	<b>Very Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Unsure</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Very Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Improving the brand images	35 (23.3%)	88 (58.7%)	23 (15.3%)	2 (1.3%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)
2	CSR concern towards society leads towards brand image	19 (12.7%)	84 (56.0%)	41 (27.3%)	5 (3.3%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
3	CSR promoting linkages with Government organizations	14 (9.3%)	78 (52.0%)	46 (30.7%)	11 (7.3%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
4	CSR promoting multi sectors collaboration	17 (11.3%)	69 (46.0%)	52 (34.7%)	11 (7.3%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
5	Relevance of CSR strategies in image branding	31 (20.7%)	65 (43.3%)	00	46 (30.7%)	8 (5.3%)	150 (100%)

The table 13 shows the Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Brand Image. The data shows that 82% of the employees were agreed and stated that the Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Brand Image while 15.3% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding CSR & Impact on Brand Image or not and only 2.7% of the employees were disagreeing regarding that Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Brand Image.

The data also shows that 68.7% of the employees consider that CSR concern towards society leads towards brand image, 27.3% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding CSR concern towards society leads towards brand image or not and only 04% of the employees disagreed with the statement that CSR concern towards society leads towards brand image.

The study reveals that 61.3% of the employee considered that CSR promoting linkages with Government organizations and they were agreed with the statement, while 30.7% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding CSR promoting

linkages with Government organizations only 08 % of the employees were disagreed with the statement that CSR is Strive for highest returns to shareholders.

The study reveals that 57.3% of the employee considered that CSR promoting multi sectors alliance and they were agreed with the statement, while 34.7% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding CSR promoting multi sectors collaboration only 08 % of the employees were disagreed with the statement that CSR promoting multi sectors collaboration.

The data also reveals that 64% of the employee considered that Relevance of CSR strategies in image branding and while 36 of the employee were disagreed with the statement that Relevance of CSR strategies in image branding.

Overall data shows that major of the respondents have positive Perception regarding Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Brand Image.

**Table No: 14- Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Quality of Life**

Sr. No	Responses	Very Agree	Agree	Unsure	Disagree	Very Disagree	Total
1	Level of participation of the stakeholders has increased	18 (12.0%)	101 (67.3%)	00	23 (15.3%)	8 (5.3%)	150 (100%)
2	CSR helping in preservation of natural environment	19 (12.7%)	85 (56.7%)	39 (26.0%)	5 (3.3%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)
3	CSR promoting agriculture development	23 (15.3%)	80 (53.3%)	00	38 (25.3%)	9 (6.0%)	150 (100%)
4	Mitigation of natural disaster through CSR	12 (8.0%)	61 (40.7%)	60 (40.0%)	15 (10.0%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)
5	Family based intervention through CSR	40 (26.7%)	51 (34.0%)	26 (17.3%)	24 (16.0%)	9 (06%)	150 (100%)
6	CSR impact on asset building	13 (8.7%)	61 (40.7%)	60 (40.0%)	00	16 (10.7%)	150 (100%)

The table 14 shows the Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Quality of Life. The data shows that 79.3 % of the employees were agreed and stated that the Level of participation of the stakeholders has increased, while 20.6% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR is helpful in maintaining relationship with Stakeholders.

The study reveals that 69.3% of the employee considered that CSR helping in preservation of natural environment and they were agreed with the statement, only 26 % of the employees were unsure with the statement that CSR helping in preservation of natural environment while only 4.7 % of the employees were unsure with the statement that CSR helping in preservation of natural environment.

The data also shows that 68.7% of the employees consider that CSR promoting agriculture development, only 31.3% of the employees disagreed with the statement that CSR promoting agriculture development.

The study reveals that 48.7% of the employee considered that Mitigation of natural disaster through CSR and they were agreed with the statement, 40% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding Mitigation of natural disaster through CSR, only 11.3 % of the employees were disagreed with the statement that Mitigation of natural disaster through CSR.

The data also reveals that 60.7 % of the employee considered that Family based intervention through CSR and while 17.3 of the employee were was unsure with the statement that Family based intervention through CSR only 22% of the employees were disagree with the statement that Family based intervention through CSR.

The data shows that 49.3% of the employee admitted that CSR impact on assess building and they were agree with statement, only 40% of the respondents were unsure with the statement CSR impact on assess building while only 22% of the respondents were disagree with the statement CSR impact on assess building.

Overall data shows that majority of the respondents have positive Perception regarding CSR & Impact on Quality of Life

**Table No 15 – Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on  
Community as a whole (Local Needs)**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Responses</b>	<b>Very Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Unsure</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Very Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	CSR impact on community resources	20 (13.3%)	99 (66.0%)	00	22 (14.7%)	9 (6.0%)	150 (100%)
2	Strives for betterment of community through CSR	23 (15.3%)	96 (64%)	00	20 (13.3%)	11 (7.3%)	150 (100%)
3	Capacity development of stakeholders through CSR	10 (6.7%)	83 (55.3%)	37 (24.7%)	19 (12.7%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
4	Promotion of community partnership through CSR	11 (7.3%)	70 (46.7%)	56 (37.3%)	12 (8.0%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
5	Promotion of social development through CSR	25 (16.7%)	59 (39.3%)	00	58 (38.7%)	8 (5.3%)	150 (100%)
6	Handling community issues through CSR	16 (10.7%)	69 (46.0%)	52 (34.7%)	12 (8.0%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)

The table 15 shows the Perception of the Employees regarding CSR & Impact on Community as a whole (Local Needs). The data shows that 79.3% of the employees were agreed and stated that the CSR impact on community resources, while 20.7% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR impact on community resources.

The data shows that 79.3% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Strives for betterment of community through CSR, while 20.7% of the employees were disagree regarding that Strives for betterment of community through CSR.

The data shows that 62% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Capacity development of stakeholders through CSR, while 24.7% of the employees were unsure regarding that Capacity development of stakeholders through CSR and only 13.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that Capacity development of stakeholders through CSR.



The data shows that 54% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of community partnership through CSR, while 37% of the employees were unsure regarding that Promotion of community partnership through CSR and only 8.7% of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of community partnership through CSR.

The study reveals that 56% of the employee considered that Promotion of social growth through CSR and they were agreed with the statement, only 44 % of the employees were disagreed with the statement that Promotion of social development through CSR.

The data shows that 56.7% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Handling community issues through CSR, while 34.7% of the employees were unsure regarding that Handling community issues through CSR and only 8.6% of the employees were disagree regarding that Handling community issues through CSR.

**Table No: 16- Perception of the Employees regarding CSR &Its Impact on Organizational Productivity**

Sr. No	Responses	Very Agree	Agree	Unsure	Disagree	Very Disagree	Total
1	CSR activities improving the organization's output	20 (13.3%)	87 (58.0%)	31 (20.7%)	10 (6.7%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)
2	CSR positive impact on employees motivation	17 (11.3%)	85 (56.7%)	00	35 (23.3%)	13 (8.7%)	150 (100%)
3	CSR promoting the values of sharing responsibilities	13 (8.7%)	77 (51.3%)	00	47 (31.3%)	13 (8.7%)	150 (100%)
4	CSR is helpful in skill development	31 (20.7%)	77 (51.3%)	00	37 (24.7%)	05 (3.3%)	150 (100%)
5	Promotion of competitiveness in market through CSR	21 (14.0%)	80 (53.3%)	00	46 (30.7%)	03 (2%)	150 (100%)
6	Achievement of organizational goal through CSR	24 (16%)	91 (60.7%)	10 (6.7%)	22 (14.7%)	3 (2.0%)	150 (100%)

The table 16 shows the Perception of the Employees regarding CSR &Its Impact on Organizational Productivity. The data shows that 71.3% of the employees were agreed and stated that the CSR activities improving the organization's output, while 20.7% of the employees were unsure regarding those CSR activities improving the organization's output. Only 08% of the employees were disagree regarding those CSR activities improving the organization's output.

The data shows that 68% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR positive impact on employees motivation, while 48% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR positive impact on employees motivation.

The data shows that 60% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR promoting the values of sharing responsibilities, while 40% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR promoting the values of sharing responsibilities.

The data shows that 72% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR is helpful in skill development, while 28% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR is helpful in skill development.

The data shows that 67.3% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of competitiveness in market through CSR, while 32.7% of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of competitiveness in market through CSR.

The data shows that 76.7% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Achievement of organizational goal through CSR, while 6.7% of the employees were unsure regarding that Achievement of organizational goal through CSR, only 16.6% of the employees were disagree regarding that Achievement of organizational goal through CSR.

**Table No: 17- Perception regarding Promotion of Social Integration though CSR**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Responses</b>	<b>Very Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Unsure</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Very Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Promotion of social security through CSR	10 (6.7%)	73 (48.7%)	47 (31.3%)	19 (12.7%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
2	Promotion of social integration through sports events	9 (6.0%)	78 (52.0%)	40 (26.7%)	17 (11.3%)	6 (4.0%)	150 (100%)
3	Promotion of social solidarity through CSR	20 (13.3%)	82 (54.7%)	00	40 (26.7%)	8 (5.3%)	150 (100%)
4	Promotion of social integration through cultural activities	19 (12.7%)	74 (49.3%)	37 (24.7%)	18 (12.0%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)

The table 17 shows the Perception regarding Promotion of Social Integration though CSR. The data shows that 55.3% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of social security through CSR, while 31.3% of the employees were unsure regarding that Promotion of social security through CSR, only 13.4% of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of social security through CSR.

The data shows that 58% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of social integration through sports events, while 26.7% of the employees were unsure regarding that Promotion of social integration through sports events, only 15.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of social security through CSR.

The data shows that 68% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of social solidarity through CSR, only 32 % of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of social solidarity through CSR.

The data shows that 62% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of social integration through cultural activities, while 24.7% of the employees were unsure regarding that Promotion of social integration through cultural activities, only 13.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of social integration through cultural activities.

**Table No: 18- Promotion of Sustainability though CSR**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Responses</b>	<b>Very Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Unsure</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Very Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Promotion of Sustainability though CSR	13 (8.7%)	86 (57.3%)	43 (28.7%)	6 (4.0%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)
2	Promotion of collaboration with VO's	18 (12.0%)	82 (54.7%)	00	41 (27.3%)	9 (6.0%)	150 (100%)
3	Sustainable nature of CSR activities	34 (22.7%)	57 (38.0%)	44 (29.3%)	12 (8.0%)	3 (2%)	150 (100%)

The table 18 shows the Promotion of Sustainability though CSR. The data shows that 66% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of Sustainability though CSR, while 28.7% of the employees were unsure regarding that Promotion of Sustainability though CSR, only 5.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of Sustainability though CSR.

The data shows that 66.7% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of collaboration with VO's, only 33.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of collaboration with VO's.

The data shows that 60.7% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Sustainable nature of CSR activities, while 29.3% of the employees were unsure regarding that Sustainable nature of CSR activities, only 10% of the employees were disagree regarding that Sustainable nature of CSR activities.

**Table No: 19- Perception regarding Ethical Value based CSR activities**

Sr. No	Responses	Very Agree	Agree	Unsure	Disagree	Very Disagree	Total
1	CSR activities within societal framework	26 (17.3%)	73 (48.7%)	42 (28.0%)	8 (5.3%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
2	CSR following state rules and regulations	19 (12.7%)	84 (56.0%)	00	34 (22.7%)	13 (8.7%)	150 (100%)
3	CSR concern with ethical norms of the society	73 (48.7%)	21 (14.0%)	42 (28.0%)	13 (8.7%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
4	CSR activities based on the approached of right, fair and justice	20 (13.3%)	60 (40.0%)	00	60 (40.0%)	10 (6.7%)	150 (100%)
5	Promotion of gender equality though CSR	24 (16.0%)	53 (35.3%)	58 (38.7%)	13 (8.7%)	2 (1.3%)	150 (100%)
6	Sharing of information with stakeholders	20 (13.3%)	70 (46.7%)	00	52 (34.7%)	8 (5.3%)	150 (100%)

The table 19 shows the Perception regarding Ethical Value based CSR activities. The data shows that 66% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR activities within societal framework, while 28% of the employees were unsure regarding that CSR activities within societal framework, only 06% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR activities within societal framework.

The data shows that 68.7% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR following state rules and regulations, only 31.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR following state rules and regulations.

The data shows that 62.7% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR concern with ethical norms of the society, while 28% of the employees were unsure regarding that CSR concern with ethical norms of the society, only 09.3% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR concern with ethical norms of the society.

The data shows that 60% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR activities based on the approached of right, fair and justice, only

40% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR activities based on the approached of right, fair and justice.

The data shows that 51.3% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of gender equality though CSR, while 38.7% of the employees were unsure regarding that Promotion of gender equality though CSR, only 06.6of the employees were disagree regarding that Promotion of gender equality though CSR.

The data shows that 60% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR Sharing of information with stakeholders, only 40% of the employees were disagree regarding that CSR Sharing of information with stakeholders.

**Table No: 20- Perception regarding promotion of Health and Environment Safety**

Sr. No	Responses	Very Agree	Agree	Unsure	Disagree	Very Disagree	Total
1	Protection of natural environments though CSR	14 (9.3%)	78 (52.0%)	00	52 (34.7%)	6 (4.0%)	150 (100%)
2	Promotion of human health and safety	14 (9.3%)	76 (50.7%)	00	47 (31.3%)	13 (8.7%)	150 (100%)
3	Promotion of eco-friendly environment	18 (12%)	93 (62.0%)	26 (17.3%)	12 (8.0%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
4	Ensuring environmental safety though activities	13 (8.7%)	91 (60.7%)	00	30 (20%)	16 (10.7%)	150 (100%)
5	Maintain contingency plans for environmental and health damages in emergencies	14 (9.3%)	71 (47.3%)	53 (35.3%)	11 (7.3%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)

The table 20 shows the Perception regarding promotion of Health and Environment Safety. The data shows that 61.3% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Protection of natural environments though CSR, only 38.7% of the employees were disagree regarding that Protection of natural environments though CSR.

The study reveals that 60% of the employee considered that Promotion of human health and safety and they were agreed with the statement, 40% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding Promotion of human health and safety.

The data shows that 74% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Promotion of eco-friendly environment, while 17.3 employees were unsure with the statement and stated that the Promotion of eco-friendly environment, only 18.7 % of employees were disagree with the statement and stated that the Promotion of eco-friendly environment.

The study reveals that 68.3% of the employee considered that Ensuring environmental safety though activities and they were agreed with the statement, 31.7% of the employee stated that they are disagree regarding Ensuring environmental safety though activities.



The data shows that 56.7% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Maintain contingency plans for environmental and health damages in emergencies, while 35.3 employees were unsure with the statement and stated that the Maintain contingency plans for environmental and health damages in emergencies, only 08 % of employees were disagree with the statement and stated that the Maintain contingency plans for environmental and health damages in emergencies.

**Table No 21 Perception regarding CSR & Corporate Governance**

Sr. No	Responses	Very Agree	Agree	Unsure	Disagree	Very Disagree	Total
1	Maintenance of accountability in non-financial issues	7 (4.7%)	86 (57.3%)	44 (29.3%)	12 (8.0%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
2	Cross cultural stakeholder engagement though CSR	4 (2.7%)	78 (52.0%)	00	54 (36.0%)	14 (9.3%)	150 (100%)
3	CSR follow Overall business customs	13 (8.7%)	66 (44.0%)	59 (39.3%)	11 (7.3%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
4	CSR provides Humanitarian relief	40 (26.7%)	79 (52.7%)	24 (16%)	6 (4.0%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
5	CSR offer Corporate partnership for national development	15 (10.0%)	95 (63.3%)	10 (6.7)	18 (12%)	12 (8.0%)	150 (100%)
6	CSR Emphasize corporate citizenship	10 (6.7%)	71 (47.3%)	57 (38.0%)	11 (7.3%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
7	CSR maintains Social audit and reporting	11 (7.3%)	77 (51.3%)	00	51 (34.0%)	11 (7.3%)	150 (100%)
8	Relevance of professional social work and HR education for CSR	6 (4.0%)	84 (56.0%)	49 (32.7%)	10 (6.7%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)
9	Qualities like empathy, reacting with people, extroversion, understating social issue are vital for CSR	12 (8.0%)	62 (41.3%)	68 (45.3%)	7 (4.7%)	1 (0.7%)	150 (100%)

The table 21 shows the Perception regarding CSR & Corporate Governance. The data shows that 62% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Maintenance of accountability in non-financial issues, while 29.3 employees were unsure with the statement and stated that the Maintenance of accountability in non-financial issues, only 08.7 % of employees were disagree with the statement and stated that the Maintenance of accountability in non-financial issues.

The study reveals that 54.7% of the employee considered that Cross cultural stakeholder engagement though CSR and they were agreed with the statement, 45.3% of the employee stated that they are disagree regarding Cross cultural stakeholder engagement though CSR.

The study reveals that 52.7% of the employee considered that CSR follow Overall business customs and they were agreed with the statement; while 39.3% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding CSR follow Overall business customs; only 8.1% of the employee stated that they are disagree regarding CSR follow Overall business customs.

The data shows that 79.3% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR provides Humanitarian relief, while 22% employees were unsure with the statement and stated that the CSR provides Humanitarian relief, only 07 % of employees were disagree with the statement and stated that the CSR provides Humanitarian relief.

The data shows that 73.3% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the CSR offer Corporate partnership for national development, while 6.7% employees were unsure with the statement and stated that the CSR offer Corporate partnership for national development, only 12% of employees were disagree with the statement and stated that the CSR offer Corporate partnership for national development.

The study reveals that 54% of the employee considered that CSR Emphasize corporate citizenship and they were agreed with the statement; while 38% of the employee stated that they are unsure regarding CSR Emphasize corporate citizenship; only 08% of the employee stated that they are disagree regarding CSR Emphasize corporate citizenship.

The study reveals that 58.7% of the employee considered that CSR maintains social audit and reporting and they were agreed with the statement; only 62% of the

employee stated that they are disagree regarding CSR maintains social audit and reporting.

The data shows that 60% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Relevance of professional social work and HR education for CSR, while 32.7% employees were unsure with the statement and stated that the Relevance of professional social work and HR education for CSR, only 07.3 % of employees were disagree with the statement and stated that the Relevance of professional social work and HR education for CSR.

The data shows that 49.3% of the employees were agreed with the statement and stated that the Qualities like empathy, reacting with people, extroversion, understating social issue are vital for CSR, while 45.3% employees were unsure with the statement and stated that the Qualities like empathy, reacting with people, extroversion, understating social issue are vital for CSR, only 08 % of employees were disagree with the statement and stated that the Qualities like empathy, reacting with people, extroversion, understating social issue are vital for CSR.