

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Name of Figures	Page No.
1.1	Electromagnetic waves in the order of wavelengths, frequencies, wave numbers, or energies.	2
2.1	SVM classification with a hyper plane that maximizes the separating margin between the two classes.	13
3.1	Geographical location of the study area Viswamitri river.	25
3.2	Study area Kerala.	26
3.3	Study area Assam.	27
4.1	Methodology adopted for watershed delineation.	31
4.2	Types of Skewness.	33
4.3	Types of Kurtosis.	33
4.4	Methodology adopted for prioritization of sub-watersheds for assessing the flood influencing characteristics of sub-watersheds.	37
4.5	Hypsometric curves – young, mature and old stages – showing toe, head and body.	38
4.6	Multi criteria decision making (MCDM) technique workflow using AHP for identification of potential runoff storage zones for water storage.	40
4.7	TPI variation is shown for arbitrary point elevations (A and B) in a DEM to the mean elevation of a specified neighbourhood around these point elevations.	43
4.8	Calculation of height above the nearest drainage raster for a hypothetical DEM and stream (blue cells): (a) DEM (b) flow direction (c) Nearest stream cell computed using flow direction, the coordinates (row, column) of the nearest stream cell, drained by each cell, are determined (d). HAND raster created by deducting the elevation of nearest stream cell from DEM.	45
4.9	Methodology of SAR workflow.	47
4.10	Selected Homogeneous area, linear feature and Edge from Kerala SAR data.	49
4.11	Methodology adopted to quantify the effects of urban land forms on land surface temperature and modeling the spatial variation using machine learning.	54
4.12	Euclidian distance between two data points in a 2-dimensional space.	60
4.13	Sketch of a typical neural network.	61
5.1	Map showing ASTER, SRTM, and Cartosat derived river deviation from the actual river.	65
5.2	Delineated watershed boundaries from ASTER, SRTM and Cartosat data.	66
5.3	Area and perimeter of delineated watersheds.	66
5.4	Visual Inspection of Watersheds derived from ASTER, SRTM, and Cartosat over the Shaded Relief map and Satellite imagery.	67
5.5	(a) Filled sinks in ASTER (b) Filled sinks in Cartosat (c) Filled sinks in SRTM.	68
5.6	Slope Classes of ASTER, SRTM and Cartosat.	68
5.7	(a) Histogram of ASTER data (b) Histogram of SRTM data (c) histogram of Cartosat data (d) Quantile-Quantile Plot of ASTER data (e) Quantile-Quantile Plot of SRTM data (f) Quantile-Quantile Plot of Cartosat data (g) Detrended Normal Q-Q Plot of ASTER data (h) Detrended Normal Q-Q Plot of SRTM data (i) Detrended Normal Q-Q Plot of Cartosat data.	72
5.8	(a) Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test for ASTER (b) Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test for SRTM.	73
5.9	(a) Scatterplot showing linear regression of ASTER derived elevation vs Cartosat derived elevation (b) Scatterplot showing linear regression of SRTM derived elevation vs Cartosat derived elevation.	73

5.10	a) Error map for ASTER (b) Histogram of error map of ASTER (c) Error map for SRTM (d) Histogram of error map of SRTM.	74
5.11	Loading plot of PCA component 1, 2 and 3.	77
5.12	Visual comparison of the principal component bands derived from the Sentinel-2 data (a) PCA band 1 (b) PCA band 2 (c) PCA band 3 and (d) Frequency distribution of principal component band 1 (e) Frequency distribution of principal component band 2 (f) Frequency distribution of principal component band 3.	79
5.13	Non-structured appearance of the scatter plots leads to the conclusion that there is very low relationship among the PCA bands (a) Scatter plot between PCA band 1 and 2 (b) Scatter plot between PCA band 1 and 3 (c) Scatter plot between PCA band 2 and 3.	80
5.14	(a) Sentinel-2 bands visualized in natural colors composite (Stack 1) (b) Spectral response curves of LULC classes in Sentinel-2 bands.	82
5.15	(a) The first three principal components visualized in false colors composite (Stack2) (b) Response curves of LULC classes in PCA bands.	82
5.16	Comparative visual analysis of classification using traditional approach in (a) MLE (b) RF (c) SVM classifiers and PCA based approach in (d) MLE (e) RF (f) SVM classifiers.	83
5.17	Classification accuracies obtained by the parametric (MLE) and non-parametric (SVM and RF) classifiers for traditional and PCA based approach.	83
5.18	Curve number for antecedent moisture condition II generated from traditional approach (a) MLE (b) RF (c) SVM classifiers and PCA based approach in (d) MLE (e) RF (f) SVM classifiers.	84
5.19	Curve number maps for antecedent moisture condition I, II and II generated from SVM classified LULC using PCA based approach.	85
5.20	Sub watersheds of Vishwamitri watershed.	86
5.21	Drainage network map showing stream order of the Vishwamitri watershed.	87
5.22	Hypsometry curve of Vishwamitri watershed showing Monadnock phase in landscape evolution.	92
5.23	Thiessen polygon of rain gauge stations for Vishwamitri watershed.	94
5.24	Spatial variation of topography wetness index across Vishwamitri watershed.	95
5.25	Variation of topography position index across the Vishwamitri watershed.	96
5.26	Comparison between the original DEM and TPI along the cross-sectional profile (red line).	97
5.27	Resulted Slope map of the study area using TPI as basis of landform classification.	97
5.28	Land use/land cover map of the Vishwamitri watershed.	99
5.29	Soil data based on soil texture collected from (NBSS & LUP).	99
5.30	Variation of curve number across the Vishwamitri watershed for AMC II condition.	101
5.31	Height above nearest drainage (HAND) map of the Vishwamitri watershed.	101
5.32	Potential runoff storage zones of the study area.	103
5.33	Identified sites for water storage structures on potential runoff storage zones.	104
5.34	Already built water storage structures on the derived potential runoff storage zones.	105
5.35	Mean Square Error.	108
5.36	Speckle Suppression Index.	108
5.37	Speckle Mean Preservation Index.	109
5.38	Equivalent Number of Looks.	109
5.39	Percent change in mean.	109
5.40	Percent change in standard deviation.	110
5.41	Visual comparison of de-noising methods on VV polarization over Kerala test data (a) Boxcar 3×3 (b) Frost 3×3 (c) Gamma map 3×3 (d) Lee 3×3 (e) Lee sigma 3×3 (f) Median 3×3 (g) Boxcar 5×5 (h) Frost 5×5 (i) Gamma map 5×5 (j) Lee 5×5 (k) Lee sigma 5×5 (l) Median 5×5 and (m) Unfiltered test image in VV polarization.	110

5.42	Visual comparison of de-noising methods on VV polarization over Assam test data (a) Boxcar 3×3 (b) Frost 3×3 (c) Gamma map 3×3 (d) Lee 3×3 (e) Lee sigma 3×3 (f) Median 3×3 (g) Boxcar 5×5 (h) Frost 5×5 (i) Gamma map 5×5 (j) Lee 5×5 (k) Lee sigma 5×5 (l) Median 5×5 and (m) Unfiltered test image in VV polarization.	111
5.43	Point target scattering on (a) Boxcar 3×3 (b) Lee 3×3 (c) Boxcar 5×5 and (d) Lee	111
5.44	Kerala (a) Raw VH amplitude data; (b) Raw VV amplitude data; (c) Multi-looked, calibrated, Filtered (Lee) VH data in dB; (d) Multi-looked, calibrated, Filtered (Lee) VV data in dB; (e) The Range-Doppler terrain corrected VH data; (f) The Range-Doppler terrain corrected VV data; (g) Histogram for Sigma0 VH in dB, and (h) Histogram for Sigma0 VV in dB.	113
5.45	Assam (a) Raw VH amplitude data; (b) Raw VV amplitude data; (c) Multi-looked, calibrated, Filtered (Lee) VH data in dB; (d) Multi-looked, calibrated, Filtered (Lee) VV data in dB; (e) The Range-Doppler terrain corrected VH data; (f) The Range-Doppler terrain corrected VV data; (g) Histogram for Sigma0 VH in dB, and (h) Histogram for Sigma0 VV in dB.	114
5.46	Kerala (a) Random forest tree classification on filtered VH; (b) Support vector machine classification on VH; (c) Random forest tree classification on filtered VV; (d) Support vector machine classification on VV.	116
5.47	Assam (a) Random forest tree classification on filtered VH; (b) Support vector machine classification on VH; (c) Random forest tree classification on filtered VV; (d) Support vector machine classification on VV.	117
5.48	(a) Natural colour composite R-B04 G-B03 B-B02, (b) Green band (c) Near-infrared (d) Calculated NDWI over cloud-free extent for Kerala region.	118
5.49	(a) Natural colour composite R-B04 G-B03 B-B02, (b) Green band (c) Near-infrared (d) Calculated NDWI over cloud-free extent for Assam region.	118
5.50	(a) Random forest tree classification on filtered VV data (Kerala) (b) Classified filtered VV data with threshold value of -10.96 (Kerala) (c) Support vector machine classification on filtered VH data (Assam) (d) Classified filtered VH data with threshold value of -19.58 (Assam).	120
5.51	Simulated peak flood for the storm event at Kalaghoda Bridge.	125
5.52	Inundation map of Vadodara city with sites visit.	126
5.53	Classification of flood hazards based on MLIT water depth for Vadodara city.	126
5.54	Estimated land surface temperatures for the summer and winter seasons using Landsat 8 data.	128
5.55	Spatial distribution of (A) NDVI, (B) NDWI, (C) DBSI and (D) Land use/land cover over Vadodara city.	131
5.56	Land surface temperature descriptive statistics of each land use/land cover category for both summer (A-G) and winter (B-H) in the study area.	132
5.57	Scatterplots of (A) LST-NDVI over vegetation, (B) LST-DBSI over builtup class, (C) LST-DBSI over baresoil class and (D) LST-NDVI over water class.	132
5.58	Classification of heat zones into UHI and non-UHI zones, and contribution index of land use/land cover classes in summer and winter seasons.	137
5.59	Coefficient of determination between the LST calculated from Landsat 8 and the predicted LST using the K-NN (2×2), K-NN (5×5), NN (2×2), NN(5×5) ,RT (2×2), RT (5×5), SVM (2×2) and SVM (5×5) models, respectively.	137
5.60	Absolute error maps A1-H1 and corresponding frequency histogram of error A2-H2 of predictive models.	138
5.61	Maps A,B, C, D,E,F,G and H show the predicted LST using the K-NN (2×2), K-NN (5×5), NN (2×2), NN(5×5) ,RT (2×2), RT (5×5), SVM (2×2) and SVM (5×5) models, respectively. Map I shows the observed LST estimated using Landsat 8.	139

5.62	Box plot of observed LST estimated using Landsat 8, K-NN (2×2), K-NN (5×5), NN (2×2), NN(5×5), RT (2×2), RT (5×5), SVM (2×2) and SVM (5×5) models, respectively.	139
------	--	-----