

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1) Adler, A. The Neurotic Constitution. New York: Moffat, Yard, 1921.
- 2) Adorno, T. W.; Frenkel-Brunswik, Else; Levinson, D. J.; and Sandford, R. N. The Authoritarian Personality. New York: Harper and Bros., 1950.
- 3) Berger, E. M. "The relation between expressed acceptance of self and expressed acceptance of others", J. Abnorm. & Soc. Psychol. 1952, 47, 778-782.
- 4) Butler, J. M. & Haigh, G. V. "Changes in the relation between self-concepts and ideal concepts consequent upon client-centered counselling", Chap. 4 in Psychotherapy and Personality Change, (eds.) Rogers, C. R. and Dyomond, Rosalind F., University of Chicago Press, 1954.
- 5) Calvin, A. D., & Holtzman, Wayne H. "Adjustment and the discrepancy between self-concept and inferred self", J. Consult. Psychol., 1953, 17, 39-44.
- 6) Cattell, R.B. Factor Analysis. New York: Harper & Bros., 1952.
- 7) Chase, P. H. "Self-concepts in adjusted and maladjusted hospital patients", J. Consult. Psychol., 1957, 21, 495-497.
- 8) Chodorkoff, B. "Self-perception, perceptual defense, and adjustment", J. Abnorm. & Soc. Psychol., 1954, 49, 508-512.
- 9) Edwards, A. L. Experimental Design in Psychological Research. New York: Rinehart & Company, INC. 1957.
- 10) Fromm, E. "Selfishness and self love", Psychiatry, 1939, 2, 507-523.
- 11) Fuster, J. M. "The relationship between congruence of self-concept and self as judged by others and personality adjustment". Unpublished Master's thesis, The Catholic University of America, 1957.
- 12) Gordon, T. and Cartwright, D. "The effects of psychotherapy upon certain attitudes toward others",

Chap. 11 in Psychotherapy and Personality Change,
Rogers, C. R. and Dymond Rosalind F. (eds.),
University of Chicago Press, 1954.

- 13) Guilford, J. P. Psychometric Methods. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1954.
- 14) Guilford, J.P., & Lacey, J. I. "Printed Classification tests", Army Air Forces Aviation Psychology Program, Research Reports, No. 5. Wash. D.C. 1949, Pp. 919.
- 15) Hall, C. S. and Lindzey, G. Theories of Personality. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1957.
- 16) Horney, Karen The Neurotic Personality of Our Times. New York: Norton, 1937.
- 17) Horney, Karen Our Inner Conflicts. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1945.
- 18) Hanlon, T. E., Hofstaetter, P. R., O'Connor, J. P. "Congruence of self and ideal self in relation to personality adjustment", J. Consult. Psychol., 18, 3, 215-218, 1954.
- 19) Hoffman, A. E. "A study of reported behaviour changes in counselling", J. Consult. Psychol., 1949, 13, 190-195.
- 20) Lecky, P. Self-Consistency: A Theory of Personality. New York: Island Press, 1945.
- 21) McCabe, P. "Perception of self as related to personal adjustment". Unpublished Master's thesis, The Catholic University of America, 1956.
- 22) McIntyre, C. J. "Acceptance by others and its relation to acceptance of self and others", J. Abnorm. & Soc. Psychol., 1952, 47, 624-625.
- 23) McNemar, Q. "On the sampling errors of factor loadings", Psychometrika, 1941, 6, 141-152.
- 24) McNemar, Q. "On the number of factors", Psychometrika, 1942, 7, 9-18.
- 25) Phillips, E. L. "Attitudes toward self and others:

- a brief questionnaire report", J. Consult. Psychol., 1951, 15, 79-81.
- 26) Raimy, Victor C. "The self-concept as a factor in counselling and personality organization". Ph.D. Dissertation, Ohio State University, 1943.
 - 27) Rogers, C.R. Counselling and Psychotherapy. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1942.
 - 28) Rogers, C. R. Client-Centered Therapy. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1951.
 - 29) Rogers, C. R., Dymond, R. F. (eds.) Psychotherapy and Personality Change. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1954.
 - 30) Rogers, C. R. A Theory of Therapy, Personality (For private circulation only). University of Chicago, 1956.
 - 31) Rosenman, S. "The similarity and the coding of the self-concept and the other concept", J. Genetic Psychol., 1957, 56, 243-249.
 - 32) Spivack, Sarah S. "A study of a method of appraising self-acceptance and self-rejection", J. Genetic Psychol., 1956, 88, 183-202.
 - 33) Seeman, J. "A study of the process of non-directive therapy", J. Consult. Psychol., 1949, 13, 157-168.
 - 34) Sheerer, Elizabeth T. "The relationship between acceptance of self and acceptance of others", J. Consult. Psychol., 1949, 13, 169-175.
 - 35) Snyder, W. U. "An investigation of the nature of non-directive psychotherapy", J. Genetic Psychol., 1945, 33, 193-223.
 - 36) Snygg, D., and Combs, A.W. Individual Behaviour. New York: Harper, 1949.
 - 37) Stephenson, W. The study of behaviour. Chicago: Univ. of Chicago Press, 1953.
 - 38) Stephenson, W. A Factor Analysis of the Reliability Coefficient, British J. of Psychology XXIII (1933), 109-12.

- 39) Stock, Dorothy "An investigation into the interrelations between self-concept and feelings directed toward other persons and groups, J. Consult. Psychol., 1949, 13, 176-180.
- 40) Tarwater, J. W. "Self-understanding and the ability to predict another's response", Marriage Fam. Living, 1953, 15, 126-128.
- 41) Vargas, M. "Changes in self-awareness during client-centered therapy", Chap. 10 in Psychotherapy and Personality Change, Rogers, C. R. and Dymond, R. F. (eds.), University of Chicago Press, 1954.

TABLE XVI

CORRELATION BETWEEN CONGRUENCE OF PERCEIVED SELF ACCEPTANCE
AND IDEAL SELF ACCEPTANCE, IN TERMS OF PEARSON r 's AND FISHER
 z 's FOR EACH ZOROASTRIAN SUBJECT

Girls	r	z	Boys	r	z
1	-.166	-.171	31	.000	.000
2	.470	.510	32	.803	1.099
3	.372	.389	33	.617	.725
4	.274	.277	34	.882	1.376
5	.304	.309	35	.500	.549
6	.794	1.071	36	.450	.485
7	.323	.332	37	.245	.256
8	.353	.366	38	.490	.549
9	.323	.332	39	.450	.485
10	.637	.758	40	.784	1.045
11	.421	.448	41	.578	.663
12	.294	.299	42	.284	.288
13	.480	.523	43	.186	.192
14	.049	.050	44	.264	.266
15	.588	.678	45	.774	1.020
16	.705	.887	46	.705	.887
17	.764	.996	47	.274	.277
18	.343	.354	48	.323	.332
19	.029	.030	49	.509	.563
20	.882	1.376	50	.058	.060
21	.588	.648	51	.411	.436
22	.470	.510	52	.656	.793
23	.049	.050	53	.353	.366
24	.284	.288	54	.343	.354
25	.156	.161	55	.255	.256
26	.117	.121	56	.078	.080
27	.225	.234	57	.049	.050
28	.725	.908	58	.558	.633
29	.784	1.045	59	.578	.663
30	-.280	-.288	60	.656	.793

$M = .45$ $M = .49$

$\sigma = .34$ $\sigma = .35$

TABLE XVII

CORRELATION BETWEEN CONGRUENCE OF PERCEIVED SELF ACCEPTANCE
AND IDEAL SELF ACCEPTANCE, IN TERMS OF PEARSON r 's AND FISHER
 z 's FOR EACH HINDU SUBJECT

Girls	r	z	Boys	r	z
1	.509	.563	31	.656	.793
2	.705	.887	32	.598	.693
3	.480	.523	33	.147	.151
4	.539	.604	34	.088	.090
5	.549	.618	35	.343	.354
6	.304	.309	36	.245	.256
7	.441	.472	37	.245	.256
8	.225	.234	38	.284	.288
9	.382	.400	39	.500	.549
10	.176	.181	40	.372	.389
11	.274	.277	41	-.166	-.172
12	.215	.224	42	.294	.299
13	.235	.245	43	.823	1.157
14	.617	.725	44	.421	.448
15	.441	.472	45	.421	.448
16	.421	.448	46	.549	.618
17	.598	.693	47	.509	.563
18	.333	.343	48	.588	.678
19	.156	.161	49	.656	.793
20	.382	.400	50	.372	.389
21	.147	.151	51	.666	.811
22	.421	.448	52	.294	.299
23	.666	.811	53	.529	.590
24	.137	.141	54	.411	.436
25	.568	.648	55	.794	1.071
26	.196	.203	56	-.009	-.010
27	-.250	-.256	57	.656	.793
28	.500	.549	58	.578	.663
29	.078	.080	59	.656	.793
30	.607	.709	60	.088	.090

$$M = .42 \quad M = .446$$

$$\sigma = .26 \quad \sigma = .272$$

TABLE XVIII

CORRELATION BETWEEN CONGRUENCE OF PERCEIVED SELF ACCEPTANCE
AND IDEAL SELF ACCEPTANCE, IN TERMS OF PEARSON r 's AND FISHER
 z 's FOR EACH CATHOLIC SUBJECT

Girls	r	z	Boys	r	z
1	-.107	-.110	31	.470	.510
2	.598	.693	32	.088	.090
3	.460	.497	33	.735	.950
4	.333	.343	34	-.009	-.010
5	.156	.161	35	.382	.400
6	-.529	-.590	36	.490	.549
7	.039	.040	37	.039	.040
8	.441	.472	38	.156	.161
9	.049	.050	39	.813	1.127
10	.088	.090	40	.745	.973
11	-.029	-.030	41	.460	.497
12	-.039	-.040	42	.147	.151
13	.372	.400	43	.274	.288
14	.058	.090	44	-.058	-.060
15	.205	.214	45	.245	.256
16	.058	.060	46	-.147	-.151
17	.049	.050	47	.107	.110
18	.529	.590	48	.156	.161
19	.568	.648	49	.098	.100
20	.245	.256	50	.490	.536
21	.225	.234	51	.450	.485
22	.058	.060	52	.117	.121
23	.078	.080	53	.264	.266
24	.107	.110	54	.441	.472
25	-.205	-.214	55	.460	.497
26	-.058	-.060	56	.627	.741
27	.750	.973	57	.646	.775
28	.431	.460	58	.598	.693
29	.823	1.157	59	-.098	-.100
30	.186	.192	60	.107	.110

$$M = .28 \quad M = .292$$

$$\sigma = .35 \quad \sigma = .367$$

TABLE XIX

CORRELATION BETWEEN CONGRUENCE OF PERCEIVED SELF ACCEPTANCE
AND IDEAL SELF ACCEPTANCE, IN TERMS OF PEARSON r 's AND FISHER
 z 's FOR EACH MUSLIM SUBJECT

Girls	r	z	Boys	r	z
1	.333	.343	31	.264	.266
2	.588	.678	32	.794	1.071
3	.617	.725	33	.441	.472
4	.333	.343	34	.519	.577
5	.019	.020	35	.774	1.020
6	-.117	-.121	36	.441	.472
7	.578	.663	37	.274	.277
8	.176	.181	38	.549	.618
9	.372	.389	39	.147	.151
10	.255	.266	40	.117	.121
11	.764	.996	41	.441	.472
12	.196	.203	42	.058	.060
13	.117	.121	43	-.029	-.030
14	.696	.867	44	.598	.693
15	.705	.887	45	.186	.192
16	.617	.725	46	.509	.563
17	.500	.549	47	.333	.343
18	.294	.299	48	.460	.497
19	.098	.100	49	.049	.050
20	.676	.829	50	-.019	-.020
21	.558	.633	51	.402	.424
22	.039	.040	52	.656	.793
23	.137	.141	53	.450	.485
24	.509	.563	54	.098	.100
25	.539	.604	55	.500	.549
26	-.058	-.060	56	.304	.309
27	.098	.100	57	.274	.277
28	.127	.131	58	-.225	-.234
29	.627	.741	59	.509	.563
30	.460	.497	60	.078	.090

$$M = .38 \quad M = .402$$

$$\sigma = .28 \quad \sigma = .294$$

TABLE XX

AGE AND SCORES OF ACCEPTANCE OF OTHERS FOR EACH ZOROASTRIAN
SUBJECT.

Girls	Age	Scores	Boys	Age	Scores
1	19	128	31	17	101
2	21	160	32	17	136
3	19	149	33	19	145
4	21	124	34	17	160
5	20	128	35	17	142
6	19	156	36	17	133
7	21	154	37	17	123
8	19	146	38	16	159
9	19	151	39	19	136
10	19	134	40	18	136
11	19	154	41	18	129
12	20	150	42	18	111
13	19	128	43	18	141
14	18	136	44	18	120
15	20	140	45	20	156
16	20	138	46	20	136
17	20	172	47	21	148
18	21	117	48	20	142
19	20	112	49	19	156
20	18	155	50	19	121
21	19	143	51	18	142
22	21	152	52	19	146
23	18	128	53	19	142
24	21	118	54	18	146
25	18	131	55	22	126
26	20	118	56	17	124
27	19	113	57	17	132
28	20	153	58	24	131
29	20	157	59	18	148
30	20	131	60	21	146

$$M = 19.1 \quad M = 138.16$$

$$\sigma = 1.47 \quad \sigma = 14.66$$

TABLE XXI

AGE AND SCORES OF ACCEPTANCE OF OTHERS FOR EACH HINDU SUBJECT

Girls	Age	Scores	Boys	Age	Scores
1	18	148	31	20	146
2	19	147	32	19	126
3	19	136	33	20	120
4	18	135	34	19	143
5	20	165	35	21	159
6	20	154	36	20	133
7	18	158	37	22	145
8	20	141	38	20	137
9	18	155	39	30	125
10	20	134	40	15	119
11	20	141	41	18	144
12	19	135	42	17	132
13	18	135	43	19	161
14	19	139	44	17	116
15	20	157	45	21	139
16	19	146	46	17	167
17	19	144	47	19	144
18	21	136	48	22	162
19	18	102	49	16	160
20	19	146	50	20	149
21	19	166	51	19	136
22	21	144	52	22	124
23	17	129	53	15	124
24	21	144	54	17	131
25	18	149	55	18	168
26	18	150	56	17	132
27	19	136	57	19	132
28	20	132	58	19	136
29	19	127	59	19	118
30	20	151	60	17	123

$M = 19.1$ $M = 140.55$

$\sigma = 2.0$ $\sigma = 13.91$

TABLE XXII

AGE AND SCORES OF ACCEPTANCE OF OTHERS FOR EACH CATHOLIC SUBJECT

Girls	Age	Scores	Boys	Age	Scores
1	20	138	31	17	128
2	18	135	32	17	134
3	19	127	33	18	128
4	20	143	34	22	126
5	19	166	35	20	124
6	18	126	36	21	119
7	20	116	37	20	128
8	19	141	38	19	121
9	20	134	39	18	129
10	19	138	40	20	134
11	19	135	41	18	118
12	20	125	42	18	122
13	21	156	43	26	140
14	19	110	44	20	124
15	18	124	45	20	138
16	21	148	46	21	130
17	18	106	47	23	123
18	20	120	48	17	129
19	18	120	49	17	133
20	17	138	50	21	128
21	18	124	51	19	132
22	18	150	52	21	149
23	18	145	53	20	129
24	18	126	54	20	117
25	18	138	55	16	144
26	19	140	56	23	111
27	20	136	57	18	141
28	18	127	58	17	130
29	18	153	59	19	112
30	27	170	60	17	118

M = 19.3 M = 131.51

σ = 2.00 σ = 12.68

TABLE XXIII

AGE AND SCORES OF ACCEPTANCE OF OTHERS FOR EACH MUSLIM SUBJECT

Girls	Age	Scores	Boys	Age	Scores
1	22	157	31	19	156
2	18	131	32	20	138
3	18	142	33	16	140
4	19	146	34	18	131
5	19	126	35	21	115
6	19	140	36	20	108
7	18	135	37	18	139
8	19	140	38	18	137
9	22	169	39	20	103
10	20	165	40	19	121
11	20	144	41	20	141
12	19	142	42	18	146
13	20	146	43	21	154
14	18	135	44	18	169
15	18	137	45	17	141
16	20	135	46	22	128
17	18	149	47	22	126
18	20	138	48	21	138
19	24	158	49	19	129
20	19	158	50	18	132
21	21	149	51	17	128
22	19	141	52	21	149
23	20	136	53	18	124
24	20	155	54	20	134
25	20	143	55	18	143
26	20	140	56	17	136
27	20	117	57	20	134
28	20	133	58	19	117
29	19	158	59	19	157
30	20	138	60	21	152

$$M = 19.4 \quad M = 139.40$$

$$\sigma = 1.46 \quad \sigma = 13.56$$