

CHAPTER 2

BASIC PROPERTIES OF STABLE DISTRIBUTIONS AND THE DISTRIBUTIONS ATTRACTED TO THEM

2.1 INTRODUCTION:

This chapter contains a summary of basic properties of stable distributions and of the distributions 'attracted' to them which are needed in the following chapters. The proofs of well-known statements are omitted; they can be found in cited literature.

All the r.v.s are assumed to be defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathbb{F}, P) .

We shall be discussing definitions and properties of stable distributions, domains of attractions, in Section 2.2. In Section 2.3, properties of distributions in the normal attraction of non-normal stable laws are discussed in detail.

2.2 STABLE DISTRIBUTIONS:

2.2.1 MAIN DEFINITIONS AND BASIC PROPERTIES:

Let (Ω, \mathbb{F}, P) be a probability space and let Y be a r.v. defined on it. Let G and g denote the d.f. and the corresponding c.f. of r.v. Y respectively.

Definition 2.2.1: A d.f. G (or a r.v. Y) is said to be **stable** if for any positive a_1 and a_2 there exist real

numbers $a > 0$ and b such that $g(a_1 t)g(a_2 t) = e^{i t b} g(a t)$.

If $b = 0$ then the d.f. G (or r.v. Y) is called **strictly stable**.

Theorem 2.2.1: *A r.v. Y is stable iff its c.f. $g(t)$ can be represented in the form*

$$g(t) = \exp[i\mu t - C|t|^\alpha \{1 - i\beta \operatorname{sgn}(t) w(t, \alpha)\}], \quad \dots (2.2.1)$$

where μ is a real constant, $C \geq 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $-1 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and

$$w(t, \alpha) = \begin{cases} \tan(\alpha\pi/2) & \dots \text{if } \alpha \neq 1 \\ -(2/\pi) \log|t| & \dots \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.2.1: For the proof, see [Bragimov and Linnik (1971, Canonical Representation of Stable Laws, Theorem 2.2.2, p.43)]. Also see P.Hall (1981) for his remark on this representation.

Remark 2.2.2: The sub-class with $\beta = 0 = \mu$ comprises of the **symmetric stable distributions** if $\alpha \leq 2$. The parameter α is called **characteristic exponent** or **index** or simply **exponent**.

It should be noted that a strictly stable distribution with $\alpha = 1$ is symmetric stable.

Theorem 2.2.2: *All proper stable distributions are absolutely continuous.*

Remark 2.2.3: For the proof, see Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1954, p.183).

Let $p_{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ denote the p.d.f. of the stable d.f. $G_{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ with parameters (α, β) . It is well known that the explicit expressions for stable densities in terms of elementary functions are known only in few cases viz. normal distribution ($\alpha = 2$), the Cauchy distribution ($\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$) and the Levy distribution ($\alpha = 1/2, \beta = \pm 1$).

Finally, if G is a stable d.f. with index $\alpha < 2$, absolute moment of order β exists iff $\beta < \alpha$.

2.2.2 DOMAINS OF ATTRACTIONS OF THE STABLE LAWS:

Let X, X_1, \dots, X_n be a sequence of independent r.v.s having the common d.f. F . Further, let $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ be sequences of constants such that $B_n > 0$, and the d.f.s of the normalized sums

$$Z_n = (S_n - A_n) / B_n \quad \dots (2.2.2)$$

converge weakly to some d.f. G . Then we say that d.f. F is **attracted** to d.f. G or d.f. F is in the domain of attraction of d.f. G .

It is well-known that the class of stable distributions coincides with the set of distributions that are limits of distributions of Z_n at (2.2.2).

Definition 2.2.2: The set of all d.f.s attracted to the stable law $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ is called the **domain of attraction** of $G_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Theorem 2.2.1 shows that these stable distributions form a four parameter family $G(\alpha, \beta, \mu, C)$. In view of the discussions in Section 2.3 (p. 47-48) of Ibragimov and Linnik (1971), we may restrict ourselves either to $\beta \geq 0$ or alternatively to $x \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.2.3: A d.f. F belongs to the domain of attraction

(i) of the standard normal law $\Phi(x)$ iff

$$R(x) = F(-x) + (1-F(x)) \\ = O(x^{-2} \int_{|u|<x} u^2 dF(u)) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad \dots (2.2.3)$$

(ii) of a non-normal stable d.f. $G_{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ iff

$$F(x) = (d_1 + O(1)) |x|^{-\alpha} h(|x|) \text{ as } x \rightarrow -\infty \text{ and} \\ 1-F(x) = (C_1 + O(1)) x^{-\alpha} h(x) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad \dots (2.2.4)$$

where $h(x)$ is a slowly varying function, and d_1 and C_1 are constants depending upon the parameters α, β and C , with the condition that $d_1 + C_1 > 0$.

Further, if F belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law then $B_n = n^{1/\alpha} h(n)$, where $h(n)$ is a slowly varying function. More precisely, B_n can be taken to be

the largest solution of the equation

$$\int_{|u| \leq B_n} u^2 dF(u) = B_n^2, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2. \quad \dots (2.2.5)$$

B_n can be replaced by other sequences B_n^* with $\lim_n \rightarrow \infty$
 $B_n^*/B_n = 1$.

Definition 2.2.3: A d.f. F (or r.v. X) belongs to the **domain of normal attraction** of a stable law $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ if the d.f. of sum Z_n in (2.2.2) weakly converges to $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ with $B_n = Cn^{1/\alpha}$.

Remark 2.2.4: When a d.f. F belongs to the domain of normal attraction of a stable law G with index α , we denote this by $F \in D_{NA}(\alpha)$.

Definition 2.2.4: A d.f. F (or r.v. X) belonging to the domain of attraction of the stable law $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ but not belonging to the domain of normal attraction of the stable law $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ is said to belong to the **domain of non-normal attraction** of the stable law $G_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Remark 2.2.5: When a d.f. F belongs to the domain of non-normal attraction of a stable law G with index α , we denote this by $F \in D_{NNA}(\alpha)$.

Remark 2.2.6: The domain of attraction of a stable law with index α , denoted as $D_A(\alpha)$, is defined by $D_A(\alpha) =$

$D_{NA}(\alpha) \cup D_{NNA}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2.2.4: A d.f. F (or r.v. X) belongs to domain of normal attraction

(i) of the standard normal law $\phi(x)$ iff $EX^2 < \infty$, and

(ii) of a stable law $G_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ with $\alpha < 2$ iff

$$F(x) = (d_1 + S_1(x))|x|^{-\alpha} \text{ as } x \rightarrow -\infty$$

$$1 - F(x) = (d_2 + S_2(x))x^{-\alpha} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad \dots (2.2.6)$$

where d_1, d_2 are constants depending on the parameters of the stable distribution in such a way that $d_1 + d_2 \geq 0$ and $S_i(x) \rightarrow 0, i = 1, 2$.

Following is a consequence of the above Theorem.

Theorem 2.2.5: If a r.v. X belongs to the domain of attraction of $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ then $E|X|^\delta < \infty \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \delta < \alpha \leq 2$.

Remark 2.2.7: For the proof, see Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1954, p.179).

If a d.f. F belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law G , then the structure of the c.f. f corresponding to d.f. F can be characterized in the neighbourhood of origin as follows:

Theorem 2.2.6: *In order that the d.f. F with c.f. $f(t)$ belongs to the domain of attraction of the stable law G with c.f. $g(t)$ at (2.2.1), it is necessary and sufficient that, in the neighbourhood of the origin,*

$$\log f(t) = i\mu t - C|t|^{\alpha} \tilde{h}(t) (1 - i\beta \operatorname{sgn}(t) w(t, \alpha)), \quad \dots (2.2.7)$$

where μ is a constant, and $\tilde{h}(t)$ is slowly varying as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 2.2.8: For the proof of this Theorem, see Ibragimov and Linnik (p.85, 1971).

2.3 D.F.S IN THE DOMAIN OF NORMAL ATTRACTION OF A NON-NORMAL STABLE LAW (FURTHER PROPERTIES):

Throughout this section we suppose that a d.f. F_1 of r.v. X_1 belongs to the domain of normal attraction of a stable law F_0 of r.v. X_0 with index α , $0 < \alpha < 2$. Here we study the behaviour of tail function, tail sum function and that of the truncated moments of the d.f.s F_k , $k = 0, 1$. Throughout the thesis we shall let $\gamma = 1/\alpha$.

Further, we assume, without any loss of generality, that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u dF_k(u) = 0$, $k = 0, 1$, whenever such an integral exists. Also, $v_k^*(\cdot)$ and $w_k(\cdot)$ will denote the p.d.f. and c.f. corresponding to the d.f. F_k , $k = 0, 1$, in this section.

Lemma 2.3.1: For $k = 0, 1$, and a r.v. X_k with d.f. F_k , as $z \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(i) \quad z^\alpha R_k(z) \equiv z^\alpha P(|X_k| > z) \rightarrow c_k > 0; \quad \dots (2.3.1)$$

(ii) whenever $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\int_{|u| \leq z} |u| dF_k(u) = O(z^{1-\alpha}); \quad \dots (2.3.2)$$

(iii) whenever $\alpha = 1$,

$$\int_{|u| > z} |u|^{1/2} dF_k(u) = O(z^{-1/2}) \quad \dots (2.3.3)$$

and

$$\int_{|u| \leq z} u^2 dF_k(u) = O(z); \quad \dots (2.3.4)$$

(iv) whenever $1 < \alpha < 2$,

$$\int_{|u| > z} |u| dF_k(u) = O(z^{1-\alpha}) \quad \dots (2.3.5)$$

and

$$\int_{|u| \leq z} u^2 dF_k(u) = O(z^{2-\alpha}). \quad \dots (2.3.6)$$

Proof: Since F_k , $k = 0, 1$, belongs to the domain of normal attraction of F_0 , $R_k(z) = O(z^{-\alpha})$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Simple calculations involving integration by parts and the relation $R_k(z) = O(z^{-\alpha})$ then lead to the results given above. \square

For each positive integer n and real number x , we define, for $k = 0, 1$,

$$\alpha_{k,n}(t, x) = \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} e^{itu} dF_k(u), \quad \dots (2.3.7)$$

$$\beta_{k,n}(t, x) = w_k(t) - \alpha_{k,n}(t, x), \quad \dots (2.3.8)$$

$$A_{k,n}(t,x) = \{\alpha_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma},x)\}^n, \quad \dots (2.3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{k,n}(t,x) &= \{w_k(tn^{-\gamma})\}^n - \{\alpha_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma},x)\}^n \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n \binom{n}{r} \{\alpha_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma},x)\}^{n-r} \{\beta_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma},x)\}^{r-1}. \quad \dots (2.3.10) \end{aligned}$$

The following result is given in Basu and Maejima (1980). This result being crucial for our further study we present it for completeness.

Lemma 2.3.2: Suppose that an absolutely continuous d.f F_1 is in the domain of normal attraction of a stable law F_0 with index α , $\alpha < 2$ (and in addition strictly stable for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$). There exist positive constants ε , c and C_1 such that for $k = 0, 1$,

$$|A_{k,n}(t,x)| \leq C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha} \quad \dots (2.3.11)$$

for all t with $|t| \leq \varepsilon n^\gamma$, all x with $|x| \geq 1$ and all large n .

Proof: We first observe that by the lemma in Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968, p.238), there exist positive constants ε and c such that, for $|t| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$|w_k(t)| \leq e^{-c|t|^\alpha}. \quad \dots (2.3.12)$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large n ,

$$|w_k(tn^{-\gamma})|^n \leq e^{-c|t|^\alpha} \quad \dots (2.3.13)$$

for all $|t| \leq \varepsilon n^\gamma$ for $k = 0, 1$.

Therefore, for all t with $|t| \leq \varepsilon n^\gamma$, all x with $|x| \geq 1$ and

sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_{k,n}(t,x)| &\leq |\{w_k(tn^{-\gamma})\} - \{\beta_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma},x)\}|^n \\
&\leq \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} |w_k(tn^{-\gamma})|^{n-r} |\beta_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma},x)|^r \\
&\leq \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} e^{-c|t|^\alpha(1-r/n)} \{P(|X_k| \geq n^\gamma)\}^r, \text{ using (2.3.13)} \\
&\leq \sum_{r=0}^n (n^r/r!) e^{-c|t|^\alpha} e^{c\epsilon r} C_1 n^{-r}, \text{ using (2.3.1)} \\
&\leq e^{-c|t|^\alpha} \sum_{r=0}^n (ne^{c\epsilon} (C_1 n^{-1}))^r / r! \\
&\leq C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha}. \square
\end{aligned}$$

In addition to the assumptions made at the beginning of this section, we make some or all of the following assumptions in the following lemmas.

[A1] F_k , $k = 0, 1$, are absolutely continuous and $F_k^{(1)}(u) = v_k^*(u)$.

[A2] $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|^{\alpha+1} |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du < \infty$.

[A3] The d.f. $F_1 \in D_{NA}(\alpha)$ and F_0 is stable with index $\alpha < 2$. In case $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, F_0 is strictly stable.

[A4] $\Xi = \{(t, n, x) : |t| \leq \epsilon n^\gamma, n \geq n_0, |x| \geq 1\}$ where ϵ is as determined in Lemma 2.3.2 and n_0 is large.

[A5] $\Theta = \{(t, n, x) : |t| > \varepsilon, n \geq n_0, |x| \geq 1\}$ where ε is as determined in Lemma 2.3.2 and n_0 is large.

The following result is stated in Basu, Maejima and Patra (1979) without proof. We prove the result.

Lemma 2.3.3: Under the assumptions [A1], [A2], [A3] and [A4], for all $(t, n, x) \in \Xi$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & | \{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-r} - \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-r} | \\ & \leq n^{1-(\alpha+1)\gamma} P(|t|) e^{-c|t|^\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.3.14)$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof: Write $\{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-r} - \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-r}$

$$= [\{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \} - \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}]$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-r} \{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-r-k} \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{k-1}$$

$$= \{ n [\{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \} - \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}] \}$$

$$\{ n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} \{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-r-k} \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{k-1} \}$$

$$= I_1 I_2, \text{ say.} \quad \dots (2.3.15)$$

Estimation of I_1 :

Consider the case $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Note that, in view of (2.3.7),

$$I_1 = n \int_{|u| \leq |x|/n^\gamma} e^{itun^{-\gamma}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u))$$

$$= n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} \{e^{itun^{-\gamma}} - 1\} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \\ - n \int_{|u| > |x|n^\gamma} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)).$$

Therefore,

$$|I_1| \\ \leq n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} |tun^{-\gamma}| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ + n \int_{|u| > |x|n^\gamma} |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ \leq n^{1-\gamma} |t| \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ + n^{1-\gamma} |x|^{-1} \int_{|u| > |x|n^\gamma} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ \leq n^{1-\gamma} |t| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ + n^{1-\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ \leq n^{1-\gamma} P(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.16a)$$

by the hypothesis of the lemma.

Now, consider the case $\alpha = 1$.

$$I_1 = n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} e^{itun^{-1}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \\ = n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} \cos(tun^{-1}) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \\ = n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} (\cos(tun^{-1}) - 1) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \\ - n \int_{|u| > |x|n} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\leq n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} ((tun^{-1})^2/2) |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&\quad + |x|^{-2} n^{-1} \int_{|u| > |x|n} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&\leq n^{-1} (t^2/2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2) |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&\quad + n^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&\leq n^{-1} P(|t|), \qquad \dots (2.3.16b)
\end{aligned}$$

by the hypothesis of the lemma.

Finally, consider the case $1 < \alpha < 2$.

Again note that in view of (2.3.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} e^{itun^{-\gamma}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \\
&= n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} (e^{itun^{-\gamma}} - 1 - itun^{-\gamma}) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \\
&\quad + n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) + itn^{1-\gamma} \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} u d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of the assumption of finiteness of

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|^{[\alpha]+1} |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\leq n \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} ((tun^{-\gamma})^2/2) |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&\quad + n \int_{|u| > |x|n} |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&\quad + |t| n^{1-\gamma} \int_{|u| > |x|n} (u^2/|u|) |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du,
\end{aligned}$$

because $EX_1 = EX_0 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq n^{1-2\gamma} (t^2/2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&+ |x|^{-2} n^{1-2\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&+ |x|^{-1} |t| n^{1-2\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\
&\leq n^{1-2\gamma} P(|t|). \quad \dots (2.3.16c)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in general, we get from (2.3.16a), (2.3.16b) and (2.3.16c),

$$|I_1| \leq n^{1-(\alpha+1)\gamma} P(|t|), \quad \text{for all } t. \quad \dots (2.3.16)$$

Estimation of I_2 : Note that, in view of Lemma 2.3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\leq |n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} \{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-r-k} \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{k-1}| \\
&\leq n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} \{C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha}\}^{(1-(r+k)/n)} \{C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha}\}^{((k-1)/n)} \\
&= n^{-1} C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} C_1^{-(r+1)/n} e^{c|t|^\alpha((r+1)/n)} \\
&\leq n^{-1} C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} C^* e^{c\epsilon(r+1)} \\
&= C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha}, \quad \text{for } |t| \leq \epsilon n^\gamma. \quad \dots (2.3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates of (2.3.16) and (2.3.17), we get

$$|I_1 I_2| \leq n^{1-(\alpha+1)\gamma} P(|t|) e^{-c|t|^\alpha}. \quad \square$$

Next we define two functions.

$$d_n(t, x) = n \{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) - \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \} \quad \dots (2.3.18)$$

$$S_n(t, x) = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-k} \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{k-1}. \quad \dots (2.3.19)$$

In what follows, Lemmas 2.3.4 - 2.3.6 give bounds on the functions $\alpha_n(t, x)$, $d_n(t, x)$, $S_n(t, x)$ and their first and second derivatives with respect to t .

Properties of the function $d_n(t, x)$

Lemma 2.3.4: Under the assumption [A1], [A2] and [A3], for all values of t, x with $|x| \geq 1$ and large n , we have

(i) whenever $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$|d_n(t, x)| \leq n^{1-\gamma} P_1(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.20)$$

$$|d_n^{(1)}(t, x)| \leq C_1 n^{1-\gamma}; \quad \dots (2.3.21)$$

(ii) whenever $1 \leq \alpha < 2$,

$$|d_n(t, x)| \leq n^{1-2\gamma} P_2(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.22)$$

$$|d_n^{(1)}(t, x)| \leq n^{1-2\gamma} P_3(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.23)$$

$$|d_n^{(2)}(t, x)| \leq C_2 n^{1-2\gamma}. \quad \dots (2.3.24)$$

Remark 2.3.1: Although in the assumptions $\alpha = 1$ is clubbed with interval $(0, 1)$, while discussing most properties, we notice that the case of $\alpha = 1$ can be clubbed with interval $(1, 2)$. Further we need second derivatives of certain functions in the case of $\alpha = 1$ as well.

Proof: Note that I_1 of Lemma 2.3.3 at (2.3.15) is $d_n(t, x)$. Therefore, from (2.3.16), we have $|d_n(t, x)| = |I_1| \leq n^{1-(\alpha+1)\gamma} P(|t|)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$ and (2.3.20) and (2.3.22) are proved. Now it remains to prove (2.3.21),

(2.3.23) and (2.3.24).

Consider the case $0 < \alpha < 1$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^{(1)}(t, x) &= (d/dt) \left\{ n \int_{|u| \leq |x|/n} e^{itun^{-\alpha}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right\} \\ &= n^{1-\alpha} i \int_{|u| \leq |x|/n} u e^{itun^{-\alpha}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)), \text{ using DCT.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |d_n^{(1)}(t, x)| &= |(d/dt) \left\{ n \int_{|u| \leq |x|/n} e^{itun^{-\alpha}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right\}| \\ &\leq n^{1-\alpha} \int_{|u| \leq |x|/n} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ &\leq n^{1-\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ &= C_1 n^{1-\alpha}, \text{ using the hypothesis of the lemma.} \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.3.21).

Now consider the case $\alpha = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^{(1)}(t, x) &= (d/dt) \left\{ n \int_{|u| \leq |x|/n} \cos(tun^{-1}) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right\} \\ &= \int_{|u| \leq |x|/n} u \sin(tun^{-1}) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)), \text{ using DCT.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |d_n^{(1)}(t, x)| &\leq \int_{|u| \leq |x|/n} |u| |tun^{-1}| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du, \text{ since } |\sin(x)/x| \leq 1. \\ &\leq |t| n^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \\ &= n^{-1} P(|t|), \text{ using the hypothesis of the lemma.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.3.23) is proved for $\alpha = 1$.

$$|d_n^{(2)}(t, x)|$$

$$= |(d/dt) \left\{ \int_{|u| \leq |x|_n} u \sin(tun^{-1}) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right\}|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{|u| \leq |x|_n} u \cdot un^{-1} \cos(tun^{-1}) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right|, \text{ using DCT.}$$

$$\leq n^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du$$

$$= C n^{-1},$$

using the hypothesis of the lemma, which proves (2.3.24)

for $\alpha = 1$.

Finally we consider the case $1 < \alpha < 2$.

Observe that

$$d_n^{(1)}(t, x)$$

$$= (d/dt) \left\{ n \int_{|u| \leq |x|_n} u^\gamma e^{itun^{-\gamma}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right\}$$

$$= n^{1-\gamma} \int_{|u| \leq |x|_n} u e^{itun^{-\gamma}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)), \text{ using DCT.}$$

Therefore,

$$|d_n^{(1)}(t, x)|$$

$$\leq n^{1-\gamma} \left| \int_{|u| \leq |x|_n} u (e^{itun^{-\gamma}} - 1) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right|$$

$$+ n^{1-\gamma} \left| \int_{|u| > |x|_n} u d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right|, \text{ because } EX_1 = EX_0 = 0.$$

$$\leq n^{1-2\gamma} |t| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du$$

$$+ n^{1-2\gamma} |x|^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du$$

$$\leq n^{1-2\gamma} P(|t|), \text{ which establishes (2.3.23).}$$

The inequality at (2.3.24) can be proved similarly. \square

Properties of the function $\alpha_n(t, x)$

Lemma 2.3.5: Under the assumptions [A1] and [A3], for each fixed n and x , $\alpha_n(tn^{-\gamma}, x)$ is differentiable any number of times under the integral sign. For all values of t and x with $|x| \geq 1$, we have, for $k = 0, 1$,

(i) whenever $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$|\alpha_{k,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)| \leq C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha} n^{\gamma-1}; \quad \dots (2.3.25)$$

(ii) whenever $1 \leq \alpha < 2$,

$$|\alpha_{k,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)| \leq n^{\gamma-1} P_1(|t|) \quad \dots (2.3.26)$$

$$\leq |x|^{2-\alpha} n^{\gamma-1} P_1(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.27)$$

$$|\alpha_{k,n}^{(2)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)| \leq C_1 |x|^{2-\alpha} n^{2\gamma-1}. \quad \dots (2.3.28)$$

(iii) If, in addition to assumptions [A1] and [A3], $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w_1(t)|^p dt < \infty$, for an integer $p \geq 1$, then, for all $x \neq 0$, $0 < \alpha < 2$ and every sufficiently large but fixed integer s , there exists a constant C such that for $k = 0, 1$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{k,n}(t, x)|^n dt = O(n^{-\gamma}) \quad \dots (2.3.29)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{k,n}(t, x)|^{2s} dt \leq C \quad \dots (2.3.30)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\beta_{k,n}(t, x)|^{2s} dt \leq C. \quad \dots (2.3.31)$$

Proof: Consider the case $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Note that $|\alpha_{k,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|$

$$= |(d/dt) \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} e^{itu} dF_k(u) |_{t \rightarrow tn^{-\gamma}}|$$

$$= | \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} u e^{itun^{-\gamma}} dF_k(u) |, \text{ using DCT}$$

$$= \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} |u| dF_k(u)$$

$$= O(|x|^{1-\alpha} n^{\gamma-1})$$

$$\leq C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha} n^{\gamma-1},$$

using (2.3.2) of Lemma 2.3.1, which proves (2.3.25).

The proof in case of $\alpha = 1$ is as follows.

Note that $|\alpha_{k,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-1}, x)|$

$$= \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} \cos(tu) dF_k(u) \right|_{t \rightarrow tn^{-1}}$$

$$= \left| - \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} u \sin(tun^{-1}) dF_k(u) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} |u| |\sin(tun^{-1}) / (tun^{-1})| |tun^{-1}| dF_k(u)$$

$$\leq n^{-1} |t| \int_{|u| \leq |x|n} |u|^2 dF_k(u), \text{ since } |\sin(x)/x| \leq 1$$

$$= n^{-1} |t| O(|x|n), \text{ using (2.3.4)}$$

$$= |x| P_1(|t|).$$

For the case of $1 < \alpha < 2$, we split the term

$\left| \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} u e^{itun^{-\gamma}} dF_k(u) \right|$ as follows:

$$\left| \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} u e^{itun^{-\gamma}} dF_k(u) \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} u (e^{itun^{-\gamma}} - 1) dF_k(u) + \int_{|u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} u dF_k(u) \right|$$

$$\leq |t| n^{-\gamma} \int_{|u| \leq n^\gamma} u^2 dF_k(u) + \int_{n^\gamma < |u| \leq |x|n^\gamma} |u| |e^{itun^{-\gamma}} - 1| dF_k(u)$$

$$+ \int_{|u| > |x|n^\gamma} |u| dF_k(u)$$

$$\leq |t| n^{-\gamma} \int_{|u| \leq n^\gamma} u^2 dF_k(u) + 3 \int_{|u| > |x|n^\gamma} |u| dF_k(u),$$

$$\text{as } |e^{itun^{-\gamma}} - 1| \leq 2.$$

Now using (2.3.5) and (2.3.6) we obtain the desired results. (2.3.27) follows from (2.3.26) since $|x| \geq 1$. The proof of (2.3.28) is also similar and needs no modifications. The proofs of (2.3.29), (2.3.30) and (2.3.31) are on the lines of Smith and Basu (1974, p.370). \square

Remark 2.3.2: Although (2.3.26) is sharper than (2.3.27) we mention it because of its utility later.

Properties of the function $S_n(t, x)$

Lemma 2.3.6: Under the assumptions [A1], [A3] and [A4], for all $(t, n, x) \in \Xi$, we have

(i) whenever $0 < \alpha < 1$

$$|S_n(t, x)| \leq C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha}, \quad \dots (2.3.32)$$

$$|S_n^{(1)}(t, x)| \leq C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha}; \quad \dots (2.3.33)$$

(ii) whenever $1 \leq \alpha < 2$,

$$|S_n(t, x)| \leq C e^{-c|t|^\alpha}, \quad \dots (2.3.34)$$

$$|S_n^{(1)}(t, x)| \leq |x|^{2-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_1(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.35)$$

$$|S_n^{(2)}(t, x)| \leq |x|^{2-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_2(|t|). \quad \dots (2.3.36)$$

Proof: Observe that for $r = 0$, the quantity I_2 of Lemma 2.3.3 is same as $S_n(t, x)$. And, therefore, using (2.3.17), we obtain (2.3.32) and (2.3.34). Next, in order to obtain an upper bound on $|S_n^{(1)}(t, x)|$, we find $S_n^{(1)}(t, x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_n^{(1)}(t, x) \\
&= n^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^n \{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-k} (k-1) \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{k-2} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \{ \alpha_{0,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \} n^{-\gamma} \\
&+ n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k) \{ \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-k-1} \{ \alpha_{1,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \{ \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{k-1} n^{-\gamma} \\
&= S_n^{(1)}(t, x, 1) + S_n^{(1)}(t, x, 2), \text{ say.} \qquad \dots (2.3.37)
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that, in view of the techniques used at (2.3.17) and (2.3.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |S_n^{(1)}(t, x, 1)| \\
&\leq n^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^n | \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) |^{n-k} (k-1) | \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) |^{k-2} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad | \alpha_{0,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) | n^{-\gamma} \\
&\leq n^{1-\gamma} \sum_{k=2}^n | \alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) |^{n(1-k/n)} | \alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) |^{n((k-2)/n)} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad | \alpha_{0,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) | \\
&\leq n^{1-\gamma} C_1 e^{-c|t|^\alpha} C_2 |x|^{1-\alpha} n^{\gamma-1}, \text{ using (2.3.11) and (2.3.25).} \\
&= C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha}. \qquad \dots (2.3.38)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly it can be shown that

$$|S_n^{(1)}(t, x, 2)| \leq C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha}. \qquad \dots (2.3.39)$$

Now, (2.3.33) follows from (2.3.37), (2.3.38) and (2.3.39). Inequalities (2.3.35) and (2.3.36) in case of $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ can similarly be proved. \square

Properties of the function $A_{k,n}(t,x)$

Lemma 2.3.7: Under the assumptions [A1], [A2], [A3] and [A4], for all $(t, n, x) \in \Xi$, there exist polynomials $P_1(\cdot)$ and $P_2(\cdot)$ in $|t|$ such that, we have the following:

(i) whenever $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$|A_{1n}(t,x) - A_{On}(t,x)| \leq n^{1-\gamma} e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_1(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_{1n}^{(1)}(t,x) - A_{On}^{(1)}(t,x)| \\ & \leq |x|^{1-\alpha} n^{1-\gamma} e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_2(|t|); \quad \dots (2.3.41) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) whenever $1 \leq \alpha < 2$,

$$|A_{1n}(t,x) - A_{On}(t,x)| \leq n^{1-2\gamma} e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_1(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_{1n}^{(1)}(t,x) - A_{On}^{(1)}(t,x)| \\ & \leq |x|^{2-\alpha} n^{1-2\gamma} e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_i(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.43) \end{aligned}$$

$i = 1, 2$.

Proof: In view of (2.3.18) and (2.3.19), we observe that

$$A_{1n}(t,x) - A_{On}(t,x) = d_n(t,x) S_n(t,x). \quad \dots (2.3.44)$$

On differentiating the above identity on both the sides with respect to t once or twice according as $0 < \alpha < 1$ or $1 \leq \alpha < 2$, and then using the estimates of $d_n(t,x)$, $S_n(t,x)$ and their first and second order derivatives from lemmas 2.3.4 and 2.3.6, we obtain the desired results. \square

Lemma 2.3.8: Under the assumptions [A1], [A3] and [A4], there exist polynomials $P_1(\cdot)$ and $P_2(\cdot)$ such that, for all $(t, n, x) \in E$ and $k = 0, 1$, we have

(i) whenever $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$|A_{k,n}^{(1)}(t, x)| \leq C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha}; \quad \dots (2.3.45)$$

(ii) whenever $1 \leq \alpha < 2$,

$$|A_{k,n}^{(1)}(t, x)| \leq e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_1(|t|) \quad \dots (2.3.46)$$

$$\leq |x|^{2-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_1(|t|), \quad \dots (2.3.47)$$

$$|A_{k,n}^{(2)}(t, x)| \leq |x|^{2-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_2(|t|). \quad \dots (2.3.48)$$

Proof: Note that $|A_{k,n}^{(1)}(t, x)| = |(d/dt) \{ \alpha_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^n|$

$$= |n^{1-\gamma} \{ \alpha_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}^{n-1} \{ \alpha_{k,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) \}|$$

$$\leq n^{1-\gamma} | \alpha_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) |^{n(1-(1/n))} | \alpha_{k,n}^{(1)}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) |$$

$$\leq n^{1-\gamma} \{ C e^{-c|t|^\alpha} \}^{(1-(1/n))} C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha} n^{\gamma-1},$$

using (2.3.12) and (2.3.25)

$$\leq C e^{-c|t|^\alpha} C^{-1/n} n^{1-\gamma} e^{c|t|^\alpha/n} C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha}$$

$$\leq C_1 |x|^{1-\alpha} e^{-c|t|^\alpha}, \text{ which proves (2.3.45).}$$

Results for the case $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ can be proved exactly on the same lines. \square

The following result is due to Smith and Basu (Lemma 2.4, p.370, 1974).

Lemma 2.3.9: Under the assumptions [A1], [A3] and [A5], let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let n_0 be a fixed integer. Let $\mu_k \equiv \sup |\alpha_{k,n}(t,x)|$. Then $0 \leq \mu_k < 1$.
 \square

Proof: First of all note that μ_k can not be greater than unity. If possible let $\mu_k = 1$. Then, there must be sequences of reals $\{t_n\}$ such that $t_n > t_0$, and $\{y_n\}$ such that $y_n \rightarrow \infty$, with the property that $\int_{-y_n}^{y_n} e^{it_n u} v_k^*(u) du \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; here v_k^* is the p.d.f. corresponding to d.f. F_k , $k = 0, 1$. But, since $\int_{|u| > y_n} v_k^*(u) du \rightarrow 0$, this implies $\phi_k(t_n) \rightarrow 1$, $k = 0, 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$; here $\phi_k(u)$ represents the c.f. corresponding to d.f. F_k , $k = 0, 1$. By the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma it follows that $\{t_n\}$ is a bounded sequence for otherwise $\phi_k(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $t_n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\{t_n\}$ must have a finite limit point t^* , say, and continuity of $\phi_k(t)$ then requires $\phi_k(t^*) = 1$. But we have $t^* \geq \varepsilon$ and are forced to the contradiction that $F_k(x)$ is lattice. \square

Properties of the function $B_{k,n}(t,x)$

Lemma 2.3.10: Assume that [A1], [A2] and [A3] hold. Let $g(t,x)$ be a complex-valued continuous function such that $|g(t,x)| \leq \max(1, c|x|^{-\alpha})$ for all x with $|x| \geq 1$ and for all t . If we have $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w_1(t)|^p dt < \infty$ for an integer $p \geq 1$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{B_{1,n}(t,x) - B_{0,n}(t,x)\} g(t,x) \exp(-itx) dt \right| \\
& = |x|^{-\alpha} O(n^{1-(\alpha+1)\gamma}). \qquad \dots (2.3.49)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: We shall prove the result for the case $0 < \alpha < 1$. The other case $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ follows analogously. In view of (2.3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{B_{1,n}(t,x) - B_{0,n}(t,x)\} g(t,x) \exp(-itx) dt \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} \{\beta_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j \\
& \quad - \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j g(t,x) \exp\{-itx\} dt. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \dots (2.3.50)
\end{aligned}$$

Split the summation in (2.3.50) into three parts as $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3$ where Σ_1 is over the range $1 \leq j \leq [n/2]$, Σ_2 is over the range $[n/2]+1 \leq j \leq n-2s$ and Σ_3 is over the range $n-2s+1 \leq j \leq n$; s being some fixed positive integer with $n > 6s$ for n large. Then denoting

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} \{\beta_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j \\
& \quad - \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j
\end{aligned}$$

$= W(t,x,n)$, we have the right hand side of (2.3.50) given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(t,x,n) g(t,x) \exp(-itx) dt \right| \\
& = \left| \Sigma_1 \binom{n}{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(t,x,n) g(t,x) \exp(-itx) dt \right. \\
& \quad + \Sigma_2 \binom{n}{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(t,x,n) g(t,x) \exp(-itx) dt \\
& \quad \left. + \Sigma_3 \binom{n}{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(t,x,n) g(t,x) \exp(-itx) dt \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$= |J_1(x) + J_2(x) + J_3(x)|, \text{ say.} \quad \dots (2.3.51)$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} & J_1(x) \\ &= \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} \\ & \quad [\{\beta_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j - \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j] g(t, x) \exp(-itx) dt \\ &+ \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j \\ & \quad [\{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} - \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j}] g(t, x) \exp(-itx) dt \\ &= J_{11}(x) + J_{12}(x), \text{ say.} \quad \dots (2.3.52) \end{aligned}$$

Let us first consider $J_{11}(x)$. In view of assumption **[A2]**,

we notice that, for $1 \leq j \leq [n/2]$ and $|x| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & | \{\beta_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j - \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j | \\ &= | \beta_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) - \beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x) | \\ & \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^j \{\beta_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{j-1} \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{i-1} \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|u| \geq |x|n} e^{itun^{-\gamma}} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^j \{R_1(|x|n^{-\gamma})\}^{j-1} \{R_0(|x|n^{-\gamma})\}^{i-1} \\ &\leq \{ |x|^{-1} n^{-\gamma} \int_{|u| \geq |x|n} |u| |v_1(u) - v_0(u)| du \} \\ & \quad [j (C_2 |x|^{-\alpha} n^{-1})^{j-1}], \text{ using (2.3.1)} \\ &\leq C_1 |x|^{-1} n^{-\gamma} j (C_2/n)^{j-1}. \quad \dots (2.3.53) \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3.6 and inequality at (2.3.53) and the fact that $|g(t, x)| \leq \max(1, c|x|^{-\alpha})$, we have for large n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |J_{11}(x)| \\
& \leq \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} C|x|^{-1} n^{-\gamma} j (C_2/n)^{j-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{n-j} dt \\
& \hspace{25em} (1+C|x|^{-\alpha}) \\
& \leq C_1 \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} C|x|^{-1} n^{-\gamma} j (C_2/n)^{j-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{n/2} dt \\
& \leq C_1 \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} C|x|^{-1} n^{-\gamma} j (C_2/n)^{j-1} n^{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1,n}(t, x)|^{n/2} dt \\
& \leq C_1 \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} C|x|^{-1} n^{-\gamma} j (C_2/n)^{j-1} n^{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1, \frac{n}{2}}(t, 2^{\gamma}x)|^{n/2} dt, \\
& \hspace{25em} \text{using (2.3.7)} \\
& \leq \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} C|x|^{-1} n^{-\gamma} j (C_2/n)^{j-1} \\
& \leq C|x|^{-1} n^{1-\gamma}, \hspace{15em} \dots (2.3.54)
\end{aligned}$$

the last but one inequality follows as a consequence of (2.3.29).

Now let us consider $J_{12}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_{12}(x) \\
& = \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j \\
& \quad [\{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} - \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j}] g(t, x) \exp(-itx) dt \\
& = \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} \int_{|t| \leq \varepsilon n^{\gamma}} \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j \\
& \quad [\{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} - \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j}] g(t, x) \exp(-itx) dt \\
& + \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} \int_{|t| > \varepsilon n^{\gamma}} \{\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^j \\
& \quad [\{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} - \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j}] g(t, x) \exp(-itx) dt. \\
& \hspace{25em} \dots (2.3.55)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3.3,

$$\int_{|t| \leq \varepsilon n^\gamma} [\{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} - \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j}] g(t, x) \exp\{-itx\} dt$$

$$= O(n^{1-\gamma}) \quad \dots (2.3.56)$$

because $1 \leq j \leq [n/2]$. Now we shall prove that the second integral in (2.3.55) is of the order $O(n^{1-\gamma})$.

Note that for each j , $1 \leq j \leq [n/2]$, $0 \leq m \leq n-j-1$

$$|\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{n-j-m-1} |\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^m$$

$$\leq |\alpha_{q,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{[n/4]} \quad \dots (2.3.57)$$

where $q = 0 \dots$ if $[n/4]+1 \leq m \leq n-j-1$

$= 1 \dots$ if $0 \leq m \leq [n/4]$.

And from the integrability of $|w_q(t)|^p$, $p \geq 1$, $q = 0, 1$ and (2.3.31), it follows that for all large n and some fixed $h > 0$, the right hand side of (2.3.57) is integrable in the range $|t| > \varepsilon n^\gamma$ and

$$\int_{|t| > \varepsilon n^\gamma} |\alpha_{q,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{[n/4]} dt \leq C \mu^{[n/8]-h} n^\gamma \quad \dots (2.3.58)$$

where $\mu = \max\{\mu_0, \mu_1\}$; and μ_0 and μ_1 being defined as in Lemma 2.3.9.

In view of Lemma 2.3.9, then (2.3.57) and (2.3.58) imply that

$$\left| \int_{|t| > \varepsilon n^\gamma} [\{\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j} - \{\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)\}^{n-j}] g(t, x) \exp\{-itx\} dt \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-j-1} \int_{|t| > \varepsilon n^\gamma} |\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{n-j-m-1} |\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^m dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-j-1} \int_{|t| > \varepsilon n^\gamma} |\alpha_{q,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{[n/4]} dt \\
&= O(n^{1-\gamma}). \qquad \dots (2.3.59)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (2.3.56) and (2.3.59) and Lemma 2.3.1, we now have for all $|x| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{12}(x)| &\leq C n^{1-\gamma} \sum_1 \binom{n}{j} R_0^j (|x| n^\gamma) \\
&\leq C |x|^{-\alpha} n^{1-\gamma} \qquad \dots (2.3.60)
\end{aligned}$$

for all large n .

Using (2.3.52), (2.3.54) and (2.3.60) one gets

$$|J_1(x)| \leq C |x|^{-\alpha} n^{1-\gamma}, \text{ for all large } n. \qquad \dots (2.3.61)$$

Again because of (2.3.30) and Lemma 2.3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_2(x)| &\leq \sum_2 \binom{n}{j} [\{R_1(|x| n^\gamma)\}^j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{n-j} dt \\
&\quad + \{R_0(|x| n^\gamma)\}^j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{n-j} dt] \\
&\leq \sum_2 \binom{n}{j} [\{R_1(|x| n^\gamma)\}^j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{2s} dt \\
&\quad + \{R_0(|x| n^\gamma)\}^j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{2s} dt] n^\gamma \\
&\leq C \sum_2 \binom{n}{j} [\{R_1(|x| n^\gamma)\}^j + \{R_0(|x| n^\gamma)\}^j] n^\gamma \\
&\leq C |x|^{-\alpha} n^{1-\gamma}, \qquad \dots (2.3.62)
\end{aligned}$$

for all x with $|x| \geq 1$.

Finally, we consider the estimate for $J_3(x)$. Observe that for $n > 6s$ and all x with $|x| \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_3(x)| &\leq \sum_3 \binom{n}{j} [\{R_1(|x|n^\gamma)\}^{j-2s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\beta_{1,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{2s} dt \\
&+ \{R_0(|x|n^\gamma)\}^{j-2s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\beta_{0,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{2s} dt] \\
&\leq \sum_3 \binom{n}{j} [\{R_1(|x|n^\gamma)\}^{j-2s} + \{R_0(|x|n^\gamma)\}^{j-2s}] n^\gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

using (2.3.31)

$$\leq C|x|^{-\alpha} n^{6s-n-1}$$

$$\leq |x|^{-\alpha} n^{1-\gamma}. \quad \dots(2.3.63)$$

The lemma now follows immediately from (2.3.61), (2.3.62) and (2.3.63). \square

Lemma 2.3.11: Under the assumptions [A1] and [A3], we have, for all the values of t , all x with $|x| \geq 1$, and n large,

$$|B_{k,n}(t, x)| \leq C|x|^{-\alpha}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1. \quad \dots(2.3.64)$$

Proof: Using Lemma 2.3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{k,n}(t, x)| &\leq \sum_{h=1}^n \binom{n}{h} |\alpha_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^{n-h} |\beta_{k,n}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)|^h \\
&\leq \sum_{h=1}^n n^h \{1 - F_k(|x|n^\gamma) + F_k(-|x|n^\gamma)\}^h / h! \\
&\leq C|x|^{-\alpha}. \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3.12: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c > 0$ be as same as in Lemma 2.3.2. Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.3.3, we have

$$|\{w_1(tn^{-\gamma})\}^n - w_0(t)| \leq n^{1-(\alpha+1)\gamma} P(|t|) e^{-c|t|^\alpha} \quad \dots(2.3.65)$$

for all $(t, n, x) \in \Xi$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\{ \int_{|u| > |x|n}^{\gamma} (e^{itun^{-\gamma}} - 1) d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right\} \\
&+ \left\{ \int_{|u| > |x|n}^{\gamma} d(F_1(u) - F_0(u)) \right\} \{m(c|x|^{-\alpha}n^{-1})^{m-1}\}, \\
&\hspace{20em} \text{using (2.3.1)} \\
&\leq \left\{ |t|n^{-\gamma} \int_{|u| > |x|n}^{\gamma} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \right. \\
&+ \left. \int_{|u| > |x|n}^{\gamma} |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \right\} \{m(c|x|^{-\alpha}n^{-1})^{m-1}\} \\
&\leq \left\{ |t|n^{-\gamma} \int_{|u| > |x|n}^{\gamma} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \right. \\
&+ |x|^{-1}n^{-\gamma} \int_{|u| > |x|n}^{\gamma} |u| |v_1^*(u) - v_0^*(u)| du \left. \right\} \{m(c|x|^{-\alpha}n^{-1})^{m-1}\} \\
&\leq P_2(|t|)n^{-\gamma} \{m(c|x|^{-\alpha}n^{-1})^{m-1}\}. \hspace{10em} \dots (2.3.69)
\end{aligned}$$

Last inequality follows from the assumption [A2].

Therefore, using (2.3.11) we get from (2.3.69),

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{1n} &\leq \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n}{m} \left\{ Ce^{-c|t|^\alpha} \right\}^{(1-m/n)} P(|t|)n^{-\gamma} \{m(c|x|^{-\alpha}n^{-1})^{m-1}\} \\
&\leq Ce^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_2(|t|)n^{-\gamma} \sum_{m=1}^n (n^m/m!) \\
&\hspace{10em} c^{-m/n} e^{cm(|t|/n^\gamma)^\alpha} c^{m-1} |x|^{-\alpha} n^{1-m} m \\
&\leq Ce^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_2(|t|)n^{1-\gamma} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (Ce^{c\varepsilon^\alpha})^{m-1} / ((m-1)!) \\
&\leq e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_2(|t|)n^{1-\gamma}. \hspace{10em} \dots (2.3.70)
\end{aligned}$$

Now direct use of (2.3.14) of Lemma 2.3.3 and the fact that $|\beta_{on}(tn^{-\gamma}, x)| \leq \{1 - F_0(|x|n^\gamma) + F_0(|x|n^\gamma)\}$, give us

$$T_{2n} \leq e^{-c|t|^\alpha} P_3(|t|)n^{1-\gamma}. \hspace{10em} \dots (2.3.71)$$

Combining results (2.3.68), (2.3.70) and (2.3.71), we obtain (2.3.67). \square

CONCLUDING REMARKS:

In this chapter we have discussed several results under the assumption that the limit law is non-normal stable (and strictly stable in case of $0 < \alpha < 1$). These will be used with slight modifications in Chapters 5 and 6. It was assumed that the d.f. F_1 of the summands X_j is in the domain of normal attraction of stable law here. We shall be relaxing the condition of normal attraction in Chapter 4 (but consider some special cases). In Chapter 3, we shall discuss the case where the limit law is normal.