

CHAPTER V

ON STRONG BOUNDED STABILITY OF ORTHOGONAL SERIES

5.1 Let $\{\phi_n(x)\}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) be an orthogonal and normal function system in the interval (a, b) . We consider the orthogonal series

$$(5.1.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n(x)$$

with real coefficients sequence $\{c_k\}$. We denote the n^{th} partial sum of (5.1.1) by $S_n(x)$.

Let $T = (\alpha_{nk})$ ($n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) be an infinite matrix of positive elements satisfying the condition

$$(5.1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} = 1.$$

We say that the series (5.1.1) is T -summable to $S(x)$ at a point $x \in (a, b)$ if

$$(5.1.3) \quad t_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} S_k(x)$$

exists for all n (except perhaps finitely many of them) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n(x) = S(x).$$

The series (5.1.1) is called strongly T -summable with an index $p > 0$ to the sum $S(x)$ if the relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} |S_k(x) - S(x)|^p = 0$$

holds.

In (5.1.3) if we put

$$\alpha_{nk} = \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{2^n} \text{ for } k \leq n$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{for } k > n,$$

then the T -means are the classical $(E,1)$ -means.

The strong Cesàro summability of orthogonal series, as well as that of Fourier series, has been studied in great details by several authors such as : A. Zygmund, G. Kozmar, J. Lorgon, G. Alonita, R. Tandori, G. Amouchi, B. H. Prasad and U. G. Singh. Strong Miesz summability as well as strong Hölder summability of orthogonal series has been discussed by Hader^{*}, whereas strong T -summability as applied to orthogonal series has been investigated by Morien^{**}. Zygmund^{***} has proved the following theorem :

Theorem A: If the series (5.1.1) satisfying

$$(5.1.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^2 < \infty$$

is summable $(C,1)$ a.o. to a function $S(x)$, then it is strongly summable $(C,1)$ to this function $S(x)$.

In this chapter we generalize this theorem of Zygmund transferring to the more general Euler-method of summation.

* Hader [55, 56]

** Morien [66]

*** Zygmund [106]

We prove :

THEOREM 1: If the orthogonal series (5.1.1) with coefficients satisfying the condition

$$(5.1.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^2 \sqrt{n} < \infty$$

is $(2,1)$ -summable a.e. to $S(x)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (S_k(x) - S(x))^2 = 0,$$

that is, the series (5.1.1) is strongly $(2,1)$ -summable a.e. to $S(x)$ with index 2.

We intend to generalize further our theorem 1, for any index $p > 0$, to the following form.

THEOREM 2: If the orthogonal series (5.1.1) under the condition (5.1.5) is $(2,1)$ -summable a.e. to $S(x)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |S_k(x) - S(x)|^p = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < p \leq 2.$$

THEOREM 3: Let $\{\phi_n(x)\}$ be an orthonormal system in (a, b) and

$$|\phi_n(x)| \leq M \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \quad a < x < b.$$

Further, let the coefficients of (6.1.1) satisfy the condition

$$(6.1.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q n^{q-\frac{3}{2}} < \infty, \quad q \geq 2.$$

Suppose that the series (6.1.1) under these assumptions is $(q, 1)$ -summable to $S(x)$ a.e. in (a, b) , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |S_k(x) - S(x)|^q = 0$$

($q \geq 2$) a.e. in (a, b) .

6.2 For the proof of the theorem we need some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 1: If

$$m = \left[\frac{n}{2} \right]$$

* Knopp [44] p.136.

then

$$\frac{\sqrt{n} \binom{n}{m}}{2^n} < 20e \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Lemma 2:

$$W_{nk} \equiv \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} - \frac{2k}{n+1} < 0$$

for $\left[\frac{n}{3}\right] + 2 \leq k \leq n$.

Lemma 3:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \right\} \leq C \frac{k}{n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{n}{3}\right] + 1,$$

C being an absolute constant and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Lemmas 2 and 3 are proved in chapter III.

Lemma 4.1 If a series $\sum u_n$ with partial sums G_n is strongly 2-summable to f with index q , then it is strongly 2-summable to f with an index p , $0 < p < q$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1 Let r and s be two numbers greater than 1 satisfying $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$. Then by Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} |S_k - s|^p &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk}^{\frac{1}{r}} |S_k - s|^p \alpha_{nk}^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} |S_k - s|^{p_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now set $p_2 = q$ and s will also change accordingly by then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} |S_k - s|^p \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} |S_k - s|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nk} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} = o(1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by hypothesis and (5.1.2), which completes the proof of Lemma 4.

5.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1 We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (S_k(x) - S(x))^2 &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{2}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (S_k(x) - T_k(x))^2 + \end{aligned}$$

* 88 *

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{2}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\tau_k(x) - S(x))^2 \leq \\
 & \leq \frac{4}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (S_k(x) - \sigma_k(x))^2 + \\
 & + \frac{4}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\sigma_k(x) - \tau_k(x))^2 + \\
 & + \frac{2}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\tau_k(x) - S(x))^2 =
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.3.1) \quad = S_1 + S_2 + S_3, \text{ say.}$$

From the hypothesis it is evident that

$$S_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

Coming now to S_1

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &= O(1) \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n (S_k(x) - \sigma_k(x))^2 \\
 &= O(1) \frac{\sqrt{n} \binom{n}{m}}{2^n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^n (S_k(x) - \sigma_k(x))^2 \\
 &= O(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^n (S_k(x) - \sigma_k(x))^2, \text{ by Lemma 1.}
 \end{aligned}$$

= 0 =

Note,

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(x) - \sigma_n(x) &= \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right) c_k \phi_k(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k c_k \phi_k(x) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by the orthogonality property

$$\int_a^b (S_n(x) - \sigma_n(x))^2 dx = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 c_k^2 .$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_a^b (S_n(x) - \sigma_n(x))^2 dx &< \\ &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{5/2}} \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 c_k^2 = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 c_k^2 \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{5/2}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sqrt{k} < \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by D. Levy's theorem

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(S_n(x) - \sigma_n(x) \right)^2 < \infty$$

Then, by Borel's lemma

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left(S_k(x) - \sigma_k(x) \right)^2 = o(\sqrt{n})$$

which proves that

$$S_1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

lastly let us consider S_2 .

$$S_2 \leq \frac{4}{2^n} \binom{n}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sigma_k(x) - \tau_k(x) \right)^2$$

$$= O(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sigma_k(x) - \tau_k(x) \right)^2, \text{ by Lemma 1.}$$

Now, we have

$$\sigma_n(x) - \tau_n(x) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1} \right) c_k \phi_k(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} S_i(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n k c_k \phi_k(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \sum_{k=0}^i c_k \phi_k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi_k(x) \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n k C_k \phi_k(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi_k(x) \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi_k(x) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n k C_k \phi_k(x) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi_k(x) \left[\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} - \frac{k}{n+1} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_a^b [\sigma_n(x) - \tau_n(x)]^2 dx =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \left[\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} - \frac{2k}{n+1} \right] + \frac{k^2}{(n+1)^2} \right\} <$$

$$< \sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{3} \right] + 1} C_k^2 \left[\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \right]^2 +$$

$$+ \sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{3} \right] + 2}^n C_k^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \left[\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} - \frac{2k}{n+1} \right] \right\} +$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^2 C_k^2}{(n+1)^2}.$$

By Lemma 2

$$\sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 2}^n C_k^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \left[\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} - \frac{2k}{n+1} \right] \right\} < 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b [\sigma_n(x) - \tau_n(x)]^2 dx < \\ & < \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1} C_k^2 \left[\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \right]^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^2 C_k^2}{(n+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_a^b [\sigma_n(x) - \tau_n(x)]^2 dx \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1} C_k^2 \cdot C_0 \cdot \frac{k^2}{n^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^2 C_k^2}{(n+1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq A \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 C_k^2 \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{5/2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 C_k^2 \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{5/2}} \right]$$

where A is an absolute constant,

$$= O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sqrt{k} < \infty.$$

Therefore by D. Levy's theorem

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sigma_n(x) - \tau_n(x) \right)^2 < \infty.$$

Then, by Kronecker's lemma

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sigma_k(x) - \tau_k(x) \right)^2 = o(\sqrt{n})$$

which proves that

$$O_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

5.4 Proof of Theorem 3: For $p = 2$ the proof has been given by us in Theorem 1 and for $0 < p < 2$ the proof follows from Lemma 4.

5.5 Proof of Theorem 3: We have

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left| S_k(x) - S(x) \right|^p \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{2^q}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |S_k(x) - T_k(x)|^q + \\
 &+ \frac{2^q}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |T_k(x) - S(x)|^q = \\
 &= S_1 + U_2, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of hypothesis

$$U_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

For S_1

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &= O(1) \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n |S_k(x) - T_k(x)|^q \\
 &= O(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^n |S_k(x) - T_k(x)|^q, \text{ by Lemma 1.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_n(x) - T_n(x) &= \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} S_i(x) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \sum_{k=0}^i c_k \phi_k(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) R_k
 \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$R_k = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i}$$

Using Polya's theorem*, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b |S_n(x) - T_n(x)|^q dx &= \int_a^b \left| \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \phi_k(x) R_k \right|^q dx \leq \\
 &\leq A_1 \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |c_k|^q |R_k|^q k^{q-2} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

* See Chapter III - Lemma 3. Also refer to Bary [9] p. 225.

Now, from Lemmas 2 and 3,

$$|R_k|^q = |(R_k)^2|^{q/2} \leq C_0 \left(\frac{k^2}{n^2} \right)^{q/2} = C_0 \frac{k^q}{n^2}.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_a^b |S_n(x) - T_n(x)|^q dx &\leq \\ &\leq A_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+q}} \sum_{k=1}^n |c_k|^q k^{2q-2} \\ &\leq A_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^q k^{2q-2} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+q}} \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^q k^{q-\frac{3}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by D. Levy's theorem

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} |S_n(x) - T_n(x)|^q < \infty.$$

Then, by Bruckner's lemma

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |S_k(x) - T_k(x)|^q = o(\sqrt{n})$$

which proves that

$$S_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.