

CHAPTER VI

ON THE ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY $(c, 1)$ OF A LACUNARY FOURIER SERIES AND ITS CONJUGATE SERIES

The following theorem is due to Kennedy¹⁾.

THEOREM A:

If $f(x)$ is of bounded variation and $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, in some subinterval I , then the series (L) converges absolutely.

We discuss, here, the absolute summability of the series (L) by assuming the only condition on $f(x)$ that the function is of bounded variation in some subinterval I . We prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 26:

If

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{\log k} = B, \quad B > 0,$$

and if $f(x)$ is of bounded variation in some subinterval I , then the series (L) and (L_1) are everywhere absolutely summable $(c, 1)$.

THEOREM 27:

If

$$(1) \quad n_{k+1} - n_k \rightarrow \infty,$$

1) Kennedy [6]

$$(ii) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n_k^2} < \infty ,$$

and (iii) $f(x)$ is of bounded variation in some subinterval I , then the series (L) and (L_1) are everywhere absolutely summable $(c, 1)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 26:

If σ_n are the arithmetic means of order n of the series $\sum u_n$, we have,

$$\sigma_n = \frac{s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_n}{n+1},$$

and hence

$$(2) \quad \sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1} = \frac{u_1 + 2u_2 + \dots + nu_n}{n(n+1)}.$$

In the case of the lacunary series, where in calculating Fejér sums, it is necessary to replace the absent terms by zeros, we have,

$$(3) \quad \sigma_{n_k} - \sigma_{n_k-1} = \frac{n_1 u_{n_1} + n_2 u_{n_2} + \dots + n_k u_{n_k}}{n_k(n_k + 1)}.$$

Here, it may be observed that there are k terms in the numerator of the right hand side of (3).

Now, we take,

$$u_{n_k} = (a_{n_k} \cos n_k x + b_{n_k} \sin n_k x) \text{ in case of}$$

$$\text{the series (L) and}$$

$$= (b_{n_k} \cos n_k x - a_{n_k} \sin n_k x) \text{ in case of}$$

$$\text{the series (L}_1\text{)}.$$

The lacunarity condition (1) implies that $n_{k+1} - n_k \rightarrow \infty$ and hence, by theorem A, chapter V, we have

$$u_{n_k} = O(1/n_k), \text{ and hence}$$

$$(4) \quad n_k u_{n_k} = O(1).$$

Therefore, we have,

$$(5) \quad |\sigma_{n_k} - \overline{\sigma_{n_k}} - 1| = O(k/n_k^2).$$

Now, under the lacunarity condition (1), it can be concluded that

$$(6) \quad n_k > c k \cdot \log k,$$

where c is an absolute constant.

This can be seen as follows.

From (1), we have

$$n_k - n_{k-1} > c_1 \log k, \quad c_1 > 0, (k=1, 2, 3, \dots);$$

and hence

$$n_k > n_1 + c_1 \sum_{p=2}^k \log p$$

$$> c_1 \int_2^k \log t \, dt$$

$$> c k \cdot \log k, \quad c > 0, (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Using (5) and (6), we have,

$$|\sigma_{n_k} - \overline{\sigma_{n_k - 1}}| \leq \frac{A}{k \log^2 k},$$

where A is an absolute constant, and hence the convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_{n_k} - \overline{\sigma_{n_k - 1}}|$ follows from the convergence of $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log^2 k}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 27:

The condition (4) holds under the conditions (i) and (iii) of this theorem. Using (5) and the condition (ii) of this theorem, the convergence of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_{n_k} - \overline{\sigma_{n_k - 1}}|$$

follows.