LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
1.1	Kaplan-Meier Survival Curve	4
2.1	A schematic representation of incorporation and	11
	encapsulation of drugs into a liposome	11
2.2	A schematic representation of a phospholipid	12
2.3	The enhanced permeability and retention effect	14
2.4	Diagrammatic representation of the folate receptor	10
	mediated endocytosis pathway.	19
2.5	Challenges for site-specific liposomal drug delivery	20
L	systems.	. 20
26	Principal modes of uptake and interaction between	21
2.0	liposomes and cells.	21
3.1	Standard plot of Gemcitabine in methanol and water at	40
5.1	268nm	-10
3.2	Std plot of Gemcitabine in water at 268nm	40
41	Schematic representation of the gemcitabine encapsulation	44
	process	
4.2	Synthesis of F-PEG-DSPE	46
43	Particle Size analysis of the optimized batch of the	54
1.5	Conventional liposomes (representative sample)	51
4.4	Particle Size analysis of the optimized batch of the Stealth	55
	liposomes (representative sample)	20
4.5	Particle Size analysis of the optimized batch of the Folate	55
	targeted liposomes (representative sample)	20
4.6	Zeta potential analysis data of the Conventional liposomes	56
	(representative sample)	
4.7	Zeta potential analysis data of the Stealth liposomes	56
	(representative sample)	
4.8	Zeta potential analysis data of the Folate targeted	57
	liposomes (representative sample)	
4.9	Photography of MLVs in Olympus microscopy	58
4.10	Photography of SUVs by TEM	59
4.11	FTIR spectra of folic acid	60
4.12	FTIR spectra of DSPE-PEG-Folate	61
4.13	FTIR spectra of GEM Pure drug	61
4.14	FTIR spectra of HSPC	62
4.15	FTIR spectra of CL liposomal formulation	62
4.10	FIIR spectra of Cholesterol	63
4.1/	DSC of Chalasteral	64
4.10	DSC of Dura days	64 (5
4.19	DSC of CL Linggomal formulation	0) 65
4.20	DSC OF CL Exposomal formulation	00

Pharmacy Department, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda

Page ix

1 21	In vitro release studies at pU 7.4 buffer	67
4.21 6 1	Cell Cycle with check points	76
0.1	Cell evels histograms of Hal a calls after treatment with	70
6.2.	GEM/linesemal suspension for 4 hrs	82
	Cell cycle histograms of A 540 cells after treatment with	
6.3	GEM/linesomal suspension for 4 hrs	82
6.4.	Cell cycle histograms of A 540 cells after treatment with	
	GEM/linosomal suspension for 8 hrs	83
	Elow extension of the cell entry dynamics of	
6.5	GEM/linosomal suspension in HeLa ceruical cell line	87
	Elow extemptry of the cell entry dynamics of	
6.6	GEM/linosomal suspension in A 540 cell line	88
	Confocal microscopy images of untake of coumarin-	
6.7	loaded ET linosomes at different time intervals	91
	Linosomal suspension activity on Caspase in A 549 cell	
6.8	line ß Actin was used as standard	99
	^{99m} Tc labeled PD and CL was injected via tail vein in	
7.1	Swiss mice. Percentage of injected dose associated with	111
	per gram of tissue or organ was calculated.	
7.2	^{99m} Tc labeled SL and FT was injected via tail vein in	
	Swiss mice. Percentage of injected dose associated with	112
	per gram of tissue or organ was calculated.	
	^{99m} Tc labeled CL and FT was injected via tail vein in	
7.3	tumor bearing Swiss mice. Percentage of injected dose	113
	associated with per gram of tissue or organ was calculated.	
7.4	Blood concentration versus time plot following	114
	administration of 99 ^m Tc-GEM formulations	114
7.5	Tumor distribution of CL and FT in tumor bearing mice	115
	after intravenous injection	
7.6	Ratio of tumor to muscle uptake of CL and FT in tumor	115
	induced mice after 1 and 24 hrs of post injection	115
7.7	Gamma scintigraphic images of a mice with ^{99m} Tc-labeled	
	liposomes 1 hr post treatment (A) Conventional liposomes	116
	(B) Stealth liposomes (C) Folate targeted liposmes (D)	110
	Pure drug.	

Page x