## **CHAPTER-6**

# DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE AT INDUSTRY LEVEL: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

CHAPTER CONTENTS						
SR. NO	ΤΟΡΙϹ	PAGE NO.				
6.1	Results of Industry-Wise Multiple Regression Runs on Debt Ratios	269				
6.2	Results of Multiple Regressions Runs: Chemical Industry	270				
	6.2.1 Results of Multiple Regressions of STD1/TA Ratio for Chemical Industry	270				
	6.2.2 Results of Multiple Regressions of TC&E/TA Ratio for Chemical Industry	271				
	6.2.3 Results of Multiple Regressions of LTD/TA Ratio for Chemical Industry	277				
	6.2.4 Results of Multiple Regressions of TL/TA Ratio for Chemical Industry	278				
6.3	Results of Multiple Regression Runs : Machinery Industry	280				
	6.3.1 Results of Multiple Regressions of STD1/TA Ratio for Machinery Industry	280				
	6.3.2 Results of Multiple Regressions of TC&E/TA Ratio for Machinery Industry	281				
	6.3.3 Results of Multiple Regressions of LTD/TA Ratio for Machinery Industry	285				
de de la de la composition de la	6.3.4 Results of Multiple Regressions of TL/TA Ratio for Machinery industry	286				
6.4	Results of Multiple Regression Runs: Transport Industry	287				
	6.4.1 Results of Multiple Regressions of STD1/TA Ratio for Transport industry	288				

•

	6.4.2	Results of Multiple Regressions of TC&E/TA Ratio for Transport industry	288
	6.4.3	Results of Multiple Regressions of LTD/TA Ratio for Transport industry	292
	6.4.4	Results of Multiple Regressions of TL/TA Ratio for Transport industry	293
6.5	Conch	usion: Industry-Wise Multiple Regressions	294
	Referen	nces	299

### **CHAPTER-6**

# DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE AT INDUSTRY LEVEL: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

In this chapter, empirical examination based on industry-wise classification of FDI Companies in India is carried out. An attempt is made to identify industry-wise Determinants of Capital Structure of FDI Companies in India and to examine the differences, if any, in the Capital Structure Determinants of FDI Companies belonging to three major industry groups - Machinery industry, Chemicals industry and Transport industry. Same technique of analysis as applied for company level analysis (Chapter-5) has been applied to examine change if any in the potential Determinants of Capital Structure for FDI Companies within each industry group. This analysis is also done company-wise but within each industry group.

#### 6. 1 Results of Industry-Wise Multiple Regression Runs on Debt Ratios

Out of the final sample set of 140 FDI companies representing 11 industries, three major industry groups having at least 15 member companies are selected for industrywise analysis. This is necessary for having at least ten data points for conducting multiple regression analysis. This condition is satisfied for three industries as mentioned below:

Sr. No	Industry Classification:	No. of Companies
1	Chemicals	37
2	Machinery	38
3	Transport	18

In Chapter-5, for conducting multiple regressions, four measures of Capital Structure are selected, which includes two Short Term Debt measures, one Long Term Debt measure and one Total Debt Measure. The same measures are selected for carrying out multiple regressions in industry-wise analysis. This will help to examine differences if any in the potential Determinants of Capital Structure of companies belonging to different industry groups. In Chapter-5, the multiple regression runs reported on each debt measure are: 6 regression runs on STD1/TA ratio, 9 regression runs on TC&E/TA ratio, 6 regression runs on LTD/TA ratio and 6 regression runs on TL/TA ratio. Each of these runs is also conducted in each industry and the best multiple regression runs in each industry are reported for further industry-wise comparison on Capital Structure Determinants. The selected list of indicators representing various determinants also remains the same. Industry-wise correlation matrix is prepared to rule out multicollinearity problem. Since all the regression runs are not reported, a summary of results of all the regression runs conducted on each industry is prepared.

Industry-wise correlation matrix, Variance inflationary factors for each reported multiple regression run of each industry and the summary of results of all the regression runs conducted on each selected measure of debt of each industry are presented at the end of the chapter.

#### 6.2 Results of Multiple Regressions Runs: Chemical Industry

Table 6.1 presents the results of multiple regression runs of Chemical industry. Only significant regressions are reported in Table 6.1. The summary results of all the regression runs conducted on all the four debt ratios of Chemical industry are presented at the end of the chapter. Table 6.1.4 presents summary results of all the regression runs of Debt Ratio: STD1/TA. Table 6.1.5 presents summary results of all the regression runs of Debt Ratio: TC&E/TA ratio. Table 6.1.6 presents summary results of all the regression runs of Debt Ratio: TC&E/TA ratio. Table 6.1.6 presents summary results of all the regression runs of Debt Ratio: LTD/TA. Table 6.1.7 presents summary results of all the regression runs of Debt Ratios from Chemical industry is presented at the end of the chapter in Table 6.1.2. Variance inflationary factors for each reported multiple regression run of Chemical industry are presented at the end of the chapter in Table 6.1.3.

**6.2.1 Results of Multiple Regressions of STD1/TA Ratio for Chemical Industry:** The value of R<sup>2</sup> in reported regression Run2<sup>b</sup> in Column-1 is 0.587 indicating that 58.7% variations in STD1/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Run2<sup>b</sup>. The two significant predictors of STD1/TA ratio in Chemical industry are 270 Collateral and Liquidity. In Run 2: Column-1, Table 6.1, it is found out that Collateral indicator NFA / TNA has significant negative impact on STD1/TA ratio, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance. This result affirms the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies and proves that Collaterals in the form of fixed assets are not used to obtain short term finance. Liquidity as measured by CA/CL ratio has significant negative impact on STD1/TA ratio, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance, indicating that greater liquid assets mean that companies finance their short term working capital requirements through these liquid assets and hence do not borrow short term funds. Out of other predictors, Profitability predictor PBT/TNA in Run2<sup>a</sup>: Column-1, is significant and has negative impact on STD1/TA ratio, but is not a significant predictor in Run2<sup>b</sup>: Column-1 (Step-wise regression). Even DIV/SC an indicator for Cost of Equity is significant and has positive impact on STD1/TA ratio in Run2<sup>a</sup>: Column-1, but does not enter the model in stepwise regression in Run2<sup>b</sup>: Column-1. The impact of indicators for Size, Volatility, Growth rate, Age, Dividend Payout, Net Exports / Sales, Uniqueness, Cost of Borrowing and Cost of Equity are found insignificant on STD1/TA ratio of companies in Chemical industry.

#### 6.2.2 Results of Multiple Regressions of TC&E/TA Ratio for Chemical Industry:

Regression Run1: Column-2, Run 2: Column 3 and Run 5: Column-4 conducted on TC&E/TA in Table 6.1 reveal that the significant determinants of TC&E/TA ratio for Chemical industry are Size, Collateral, Volatility, Liquidity, Age, and Dividend Payout. The value of  $R^2$  is highest in Run 1<sup>b</sup>: Column-2, and indicates that a maximum of 70.6% variations in TC&E/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Regression Run 1<sup>b</sup>: Column-2.

**Size** indicator 'Log of sales' has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance indicating that in Chemical industry large size firms in terms of greater sales mean greater reliance on trade credits. Increase in sales means increased manufacturing activity which increases the need of short term working capital requirements and leads to greater reliance on trade credits.

**Collateral** indicator GFA/TGA has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio confirming that fixed assets act as Collaterals to obtain Long Term Debtwhich explains the negative impact of existence of fixed assets on trade credits.

**Volatility** indicator COV of PBIT/TNA has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Chemical industry, the 't' statistic significant at 1% level of significance, which again confirms the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies and indicates that FDI Companies in Chemical industry with volatile incomes prefer Short Term Trade Credit as a source of finance.

Liquidity as measured by CA/CL ratio has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio, indicating that greater liquid assets mean lower reliance on Trade Credits.

**Cost of Borrowing** indicator INT / DEBT has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio, the 't' statistic being significant at 5% level of significance indicating that as the Cost of Borrowing increases, FDI Companies in Chemical industry resort to greater levels of Short Term Trade Credit.

Age factor has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio again confirming ability of mature firms in Chemical industry to avail Trade Credit easily.

**Dividend Payout** indicator - Equity Div/PAT has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Chemical industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 5% level of significance. This indicates that in Chemical industry as the Dividend Payout increases, the companies meet their financing requirements by resorting to Short Term Trade Credit. This is an important finding, unique only to Chemical industry as the determinant – Dividend Payout was not significant in overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies.

The impact of indicators for Growth rate, Net Exports/Sales, Uniqueness, and Cost of Equity is found insignificant on TC&E/TA ratio of companies in Chemical industry.

Recuire of R	/lultinle Rer	ression of	Chemical Industry: 37	Companio	9	
	imn 1	JIESSION OF		imn 2	3	
Dependent variab		A Ratio	Dependent Variat		A Ratio	
Dependent varia	Run 2 <sup>a</sup>	Run 2 <sup>b</sup>	Dependent variat	Run 1ª	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	
Intercept	0.442	0.571	Intercept	-0.087	0.071	
mercopt	0.442	0.571	intercept	0.022	0.071	
Log of sales	(1.228)	Excluded	Log of sales	(2.215)*	(3.997)*	
LUY UI Sales	[0.231]	LACIOUEU	LUY UI Sales	[0.036]	(3.997)	
	-0.822		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.141		
PBT/TNA	-0.022 (-2.944)**	Excluded	PBT/TNA	-0.141 (-0.863)	Exclude	
FOITINA	(-2.944) [0.007]	Excluded	FDI/INA	(-0.883) [0.396]	Exclude	
	-0.36	-0.344		-0.064	-0.021	
NFA/TNA	-0.36 (-2.746]*	-0.344 (-4.088)**	NFA/TNA		(-1.779	
NFA/TNA			INFA/ HNA	(-0.582)		
	[0.011]	[.000]		[0.566]	[0.085]	
COV of PBIT to TNA	0.014 (0.231)	Evoluted		0.117	0.126	
COV OF PBIT TO TINA		Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	(2.209)*	(3.231)	
	[0.819]			[0.037]	[0.003]	
	0.051			0.062		
CAGR of TNA	(0.261)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(0.375)	Exclude	
	[0.796]			[0.711]		
	0.019			0.044		
Log of age of firm	(0.454)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(1.258)	Exclude	
	[0.654]			[0.22]		
-	0.020			0.054		
Equity Div/PAT	(0.501)	Excluded	Equity Div/PAT	(1.637)	Excluded	
	[0.621]			[0.114]		
CA/CL	-0.022	-0.026		-0.018	-0.021	
	(-3.245)**	(-5.211)**	CA/CL	(-3.530)**	(-5.046)	
	[0.003]	[.000]		[0.002]	[.000]	
	0.061			-0.046		
Net exp/Sales	(1.002)	Excluded	Net exp/Sales	(-0.897)	Exclude	
	[0.326]			[0.378]		
	0.823			-0.700	[	
R&D/Sales	(0.559)	Excluded	R&D/Sales	(-0.584)	Exclude	
	[0.581]			[0.565]		
	0.070		an ya manan kafanan ana kata kata kata kata kata kata k	0.324	0.320	
INT/DEBT	(0.415)	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(2.245)*	(2.367)	
	[0.682]	2/10/0000		[0.034]	[0.024]	
	0.575			[0.004]	[0.024]	
DIV/SC	(2.323)*	Excluded	DIV/SC			
DIV/00	[0.029]	LACIUUGU	DIVISO			
	[0.023]					
PBDIT/INT			PBDIT/INT			
?			- 7			
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.784	0.610	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.793	0.747	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.676	0.587	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.701	0.706	
F statistic	7.265**	26.631**	F statistic	8.691**	18.308	
عند المراجع ال	[.000]	[.000]	L	[.000]	[.000]	
			<sup>b</sup> Stepwise Regressi			
<ul> <li>Indicates signal</li> </ul>			** indicates significan	ce at 1% le	vel,	
		(t-statistics	), [p-value]			

.

		ient variabi	e: TC&E / TA Ratio	
Colu	imn 3	b	Col	umn 4
	Run2 <sup>ª</sup>	Run 2 <sup>b</sup>		Run5 <sup>a</sup>
Intercept	-0.37	-0.048	Intercept	-0.025
	0.023			0.017
Log of sales	(2.370)*	Excluded	Log of GTFA	(1.814)
	[0.026]			[0.082]
	0.051			-0.525
PBT / TNA	(0.249)	Excluded	PBITDA / TGA	(-2.902)**
	[0.805]			[0.008]
	0.180			-0.155
(Nfa+Inv+AR) / TNA	(1.160)	Excluded	GFA / TGA	(-1.396)
	[0.257]			[0.175]
	0.151			1.120
COV of PBIT to TNA	(2.840)**	Excluded	SD of PBITDA / TGA	(2.481)*
	[0.009]			[0.021]
	0.110			0.300
CAGR of TNA	(0.659)	Excluded	CAGR of sales	(1.573)
	[0.516]			[0.129]
	0.056	0.088		0.061
Log of age of firm	(1.934)	(3.399)**	Log of age	(1.763)
Log of ago of min	[0.065]	[0.002]	Log of age	[0.091]
	0.072	0.062		
Equity Div / PAT			Eq Div / PAT	0.049
	(2.501)*	(2.131)*		(1.648)
	[0.019]	[0.041]		[0.112]
	-0.017	-0.024		-0.019
CA / CL	(-3.216)**	(-5.458)**	CA / CL	(-3.860)**
	[0.004]	[.000]		[0.001]
	-0.059			-0.052
Net exp / Sales	(-1.223)	Excluded	Net exp / Sales	(-1.044)
	[0.233]			[0.307]
	-0.265	1		-1.402
R&D / Sales	(-0.235)	Excluded	R&D / Sales	(-1.166)
	[0.816]			[0.255]
	0.350			0.296
INT / DEBT	(2.702)*	Excluded	INT / DEBT	(2.102)*
~~	[0.012]			[0.046]
DIV / SC			DIV / SC	
				3.46E-06
PBDIT / INT			PBDIT / INT	(0.201)
				[0.842]
R <sup>2</sup>	0.801	0.623	R <sup>2</sup>	0.811
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.713	0.589	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.717
	9.126**	18.184**		8.598**
F statistic	[.000]	[.000]	Fistatistic	[.000]
a		gression,	<sup>b</sup> Stepwise Regress	

~

Intercept Log of sales PBT / TNA	Run 1 <sup>a</sup> 0.444 -0.012 (-1.070) [0.295]	Run 1 <sup>b</sup> 0.044 Excluded	Dependent variabl Colu Intercept	mn 6 Run2 <sup>a</sup>		Colu	ımn 7	
Intercept Log of sales PBT / TNA	0.444 -0.012 (-1.070) [0.295]	0.044	Intercept	Run2 <sup>a</sup>	n ob		-	r
Log of sales PBT / TNA	-0.012 (-1.070) [0.295]	0.044	Intercept		Run 2 <sup>b</sup>		Run4 <sup>a</sup>	Run
PBT / TNA	(-1.070) [0.295]	Evoluted		0.548	0.747	Intercept	0.494	0.00
PBT / TNA	[0.295]	Evoluted		-0.001			-0.009	
PBT / TNA		EXCINCEN	Log of TNA	(-0.062)	Excluded	Log of GTFA	(-0.759)	Exclue
	0.000			[0.951]			[0.455]	
	-0.326	-0.504		-0.295	-0.449		-0.346	-0.4
	(-1.785)	(-3.229)**	PBT / TNA	(-1.172)	(-2.911)**	PBITDÀ / TGA	(-1.470)	(-2.11
	[0.086]	[0.003]		[0.252]	[.0070]		[0.155]	[0.04
	0.270	0.518		0.205			0.238	0.50
NFA / TNA	(2.187)*	(5.719)**	(Nfa+Inv+AR) / TNA	(1.053)	Excluded	GFA / TGA	(1.653)	(4.99)
	[0.038]	[.000]		[0.303]			[0.111]	[.00
	0.005			-0.006			0.141	
COV of PBIT to TNA	(0.080)	Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	(-0.099)	Excluded	SD of PBITDA / TGA	(0.240)	Exclud
	[0.937]			[0.922]			[0.812]	
	0.204			0.231			0.211	
	(1.101)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(1.102)	Excluded	CAGR of sales	(0.852)	Exclu
	[0.281]			[0.281]			[0.403]	
	-0.046			-0.103	-0.109		-0.067	
1	(-1.171)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-3.094)**	(-4.008)**	Log of age	(-1.502)	Exclu
	[0.253]			[0.005]	[.000]		[0.146]	
	-0.044			-0.078	-0.078		-0.064	
	(-1.186)	Excluded	Equity Div / PAT	(-2.242)*	(-2.469)*	Eq Div / PAT	(-1.663)	Exclu
	[0.247]			[0.034]	[0.019]		[0.109]	
	-0.008			-0.007			-0.007	
1	(-1.447)	Excluded	CA / CL	(-1.034)	Excluded	CA/CL	(-1.005)	Exclu
	[0.160]			[0.311]			[0.325]	
	-0.123	-0.138		-0.081	-0.130		-0.128	-0.1
1	(-2.138)*	(-3.166)**	Net exp / Sales	(-1.390)	(-3.088)**	Net exp / Sales	(-1.983)	(-2.82
	[0.042]	[0.003]	-	[0.177]	[0.004]		[0.059]	[0.00
	-3.194			-3.807	-3.387		-3.172	
	(-2.381)*	Excluded	R&D / Sales	(-2.758)*	(-2.694)*	R&D / Sales	(-2.032)	Exclu
	[0.025]			[0.011]	[0.011]		[0.053]	
	-0.491			-0.621	-0.571		-0.502	
	(-3.040)**	Excluded	INT / DEBT	(-3.919)**	(-3.880)**	INT / DEBT	(-2.741)*	Exclu
	[0.005]		<b>D</b> #1100	[0.001]	[0.001]	DB// 07	[0.011]	
DIV / SC			DIV / SC			DIV / SC		
							-1.33E-06	
PBDIT / INT	-		PBDIT / INT	-	-	PBDIT / INT	(-0.060)	Exclu
			_ 3				[0.953]	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.820	0.705	R <sup>2</sup>	0.792	0.757	R <sup>2</sup>	0.779	0.5
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.741	0.679	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.700	0.708	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.669	0.5
F statistic	10.354**	26.327**	F statistic	8.635**	15.559**	F statistic	7.058**	16.2
	[.000]	[.000] ª	Multiple Regression ,	[ [.000]	[.000]		[.000]	[.00

		Results of M	Iultiple Regression of	continued Chemical l	ndustrv: 37	Companies			
Dependent varia						able: TL/TA ratio			
	imn 8		Colu	imn 9		Column 10			
	Run5ª	Run5 <sup>b</sup>		Run 1ª	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>		Run 4ª	Run 4 <sup>b</sup>	
Intercept	0.379	-0.120	Intercept	0.432	0.752	Intercept	0.878	0.748	
	-0.009			0.012			0.003		
Log of TNA	(-0.720)	Excluded	Log of sales	(0.764)	Excluded	Log of sales	(0.206)	Excluded	
Ū	[0.478]		J	[0.452]		ų	[0.839]		
	· · · ·			-0.37	-0.805		-1.096	-1.352	
PBT/TNA			PBT/TNA	(-1.111)	(-4.433)**	PBT/TNA	(-2.870)**	(-4.956)**	
				[0.277]	[.000]		[0.008]	[.000]	
	0.327	0.627		0.313			-0.078		
NFA/TNA	(2.647)*	(7.394)**	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	(1.235)	Excluded	NFA/TNA	(-0.435)	Excluded	
	[0.014]	[.000]		[0.228]			[0.668]		
	0.039	0.123		0.089			0.025		
COV of PBIT to TNA	(0.706)	(2.548)*	COV of PBIT to TNA	(1.027)	Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	(0.295)	Excluded	
	[0.487]	[0.016]		[0.314]			[0.771]		
	0.251			0.351			0.256		
CAGR of TNA	(1.274)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(1.290)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(0.962)	Excluded	
	[0.214]			[0.209]			[0.346]		
	-0.048			-0.021			-0.029		
Log of age of firm	(-1.210)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-0.451)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-0.513)	Excluded	
	[0.237]			[0.656]			[0.613]		
	-0.041			0.013			-0.022		
Equity Div/PAT	(-1.094)	Excluded	Equity Div/PAT	(0.286)	Excluded	Equity Div/PAT	(-0.404)	Excluded	
	[0.284]			[0.777]			(0.690)		
	-0.011			-0.034	-0.037		-0.031	-0.030	
CA/CL	(-1.812)	Excluded	CA/CL	(-3.954)**	(-5.963)**	CA/CL	(-3.346)**	(-4.870)**	
	[0.082]			[0.001]	[.000]		[0.003]	[.000]	
·	-0.124	-0.194		-0.056			-0.063		
Net exp/Sales	(-2.071)*	(-3.937)**	Net exp/Sales	(-0.711)	Excluded	Net exp/Sales	(-0.763)	Excluded	
	[0.049]	[.000]		[0.484]			[0.453]		
	-3.502			-2.874			-2.404		
R&D/Sales	(-2.454)*	Excluded	R&D/Sales	(-1.564)	Excluded	R&D/Sales	(-1.193)	Excluded	
	[0.021]			[0.130]			[0.244]		
	-0.497			-0.433			-0.414		
INT/DEBT	(-3.011)**	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(-2.053)	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(-1.788)	Excluded	
	[0.006]			[0.051]			[.0860]		
	-0.245	<b></b>					0.524	0.685	
DIV/SC	(-1.479)	Excluded	DIV/SC			DIV/SC	(1.549)	(2.548)*	
	[0.152]					······	[0.134]	[0.016]	
PBDIT/INT	-		PBDIT/INT			PBDIT/INT		_	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.808	0.676	R <sup>2</sup>	0.724	0.607	R <sup>2</sup>	0.734	0.672	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.723	0.646	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.603	0.584	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.602	0.642	
E statisti-	9.551**	22.941**	E atatistis -	5.970**	26.244**	E statisti-	5.530**	22.488**	
F statistic	[.000]	[.000]	F statistic	[.000]	[.000]	F statistic	[.000]	[.000]	
		* indicates	<sup>a</sup> Multiple Regression , significance at 5% level,	<ul> <li>Stepwise</li> <li>** indicates</li> <li>) , [p-value</li> </ul>	significance	at 1% level ,			

.

#### 6.2.3 Results of Multiple Regressions of LTD/TA Ratio for Chemical Industry:

The value of R<sup>2</sup> is highest in Run 2<sup>b</sup>: Column-6 and indicates that a maximum of 70.8% variations in LTD/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Regression Run 2<sup>b</sup>: Column-6. The significant determinants of LTD/TA ratio in Chemical industry are **Profitability**, Collateral, Volatility, Age, Dividend Payout, Net Exports/Sales, Uniqueness and Cost of Borrowings.

Both **Profitability** indicators PBT/TNA and PBITDA/TGA have significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio of Chemical industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance. This is in confirmation with the Pecking Order Theory and indicates that companies in Chemical industry prefer using internally generated reserves created out of profits to finance their assets.

**Collateral** effect as measured by indicators NFA/ TNA and GFA/ TGA has significant positive impact on LTD/TA ratio confirming that in Chemical industry Long Term Debt is used to finance fixed assets and fixed assets in turn act as Collaterals to obtain Long Term Debt. Volatility indicator COV of PBIT/TNA has significant positive impact on LTD/TA ratio which is in line with the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies together. This result indicates that in spite of volatile earnings, FDI Companies in Chemical industry do not hesitate to borrow Long Term Funds. This also indicates that these companies must be highly profitable companies with large built up cash reserves to meet the costs of long term funding requirements in case of need.

Age is a significant predictor of LTD/TA ratio in Chemical industry and enters the model with a negative coefficient, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance. Mature age companies either have enough internally generated reserves or they do not need to borrow as they have exhausted their growth opportunities and hence Age has negative impact on LTD/TA ratio.

**Dividend Payout** indicator 'Equity Div/PAT' has significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio indicating that a higher Dividend Payout ratio would mean lower levels of Long Term Debt. This might be due to the fact that companies in Chemical industry might be following sticky dividend policies as suggested by Myers (1984) and might be setting out target Dividend Payout ratios. This results in lower preference for long term when there are high Dividend Payouts. **Net exports/Sales** has significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio in all the reported regression runs and the't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance. This indicates that 277

companies from Chemical industry who are net exporters already avail lot of tax concessions and other benefits by virtue of being net exporters and hence resort to lower levels of Long Term Debt in their capital structure.

**Uniqueness** indicator R&D / sales has significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance. Although the result is consistent with the results of Titman & Wessel's (1988)<sup>1</sup> and Bhaduri (2002)<sup>2</sup>, it is in contrast to overall regression results of 140 Sample FDI Companies where Uniqueness had positive impact on Long Term Debt ratio. This might be due to the fact that these companies from Chemical industry who are engaged in research activities either believe funding their research and development activities through internally generated funds or might be facing difficulty in raising Long Term Debt funds due to unique nature of their business activity.

**Cost of Borrowing** indicator INT/DEBT has significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio of Chemical industry, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance indicating that as the cost of borrowing increase, companies dependence on long term borrowings also reduces. Since Cost of Borrowing has positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Chemical industry, it means that companies from Chemical industry meet their financing requirements by availing Short Term Trade Credit when Cost of Borrowing increases.

The impact of indicators for Size, Growth rate, Liquidity, Debt Service Capacity and Cost of Equity is found insignificant on LTD/TA ratio of companies in Chemical industry.

#### 6.2.4 Results of Multiple Regressions of TL/TA Ratio for Chemical Industry:

The value of  $R^2$  is highest in Run 4<sup>b</sup>: Column-10 and indicates that a maximum of 64.2% variations in TL/TA ratio is explained by significant indicators selected in Regression Run 4<sup>b</sup>: Column-10. The significant determinants of TL/TA ratio in Chemical Profitability, Liquidity industry are and Cost of Equity. Profitability indicator PBT/TNA has significant negative impact on TL/TA ratio of Chemical industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance confirming the predictions of Pecking Order Theory. Liquidity as measured by CA/CL ratio has significant negative impact on TL/TA ratio, the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance indicating that greater liquid assets mean lower reliance on debt. Cost of Equity indicator DIV/SC has significant positive impact on

TL/TA ratio of Chemical industry and the't' statistic is significant at 5% level of significance. This might be due to the fact that companies from Chemical industry heavily rely on Short Term Debt like Trade Credit (Table 4.4, Ch. 4) and a major proportion of Total liabilities come from Short Term Debt Funds. Therefore, when the Cost of Equity increases, the companies meet their financing requirements by resorting to Short Term Trade Credit and hence the positive impact of Cost of Equity on TL/TA ratio.

The impact of indicators for Size, Collateral, Volatility, Growth rate, Age, Dividend Payout, Net exports/Sales, Uniqueness and Cost of Debt have insignificant impact on TL/TA ratio of companies in Chemical Industry.

	Table 6	.1.1			
Summary of Mutiple	Regression Results in	Chemical	Industry (37	7 FDI Comp	anies)
Dependent variables- Deb	ot Ratios	STD1/TA	TC&E/TA	LTD/TA	TL/TA
Independent Variables	Indicators				
	Log of sales	N.S	+VE**	N.S	N.S
Size	Log of TNA	N.S	N.S	N.S	
	Log of GTFA			N.S	
Profitability	PBT/TNA	N.S	N.S	VE**	-VE**
Frontability	PBITDA/TGA		N.S	~VE**	
	NFA/TNA	-VE**	N.S	+VE**	N.S
Collateral	GFA/TGA		VE**	+VE**	<b></b> `
Collateral	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA		N.S	N.S	N.S
	INV/TNA	N.S			
Volatility	COV of PBIT/ TNA	N.S	+VE**	N.S	N.S
volatility	SD of PBITDA/TGA		N.S	N.S	
Growth rate	CAGR of TNA	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
Growin rate	CAGR of sales	N.S	N.S	N.S	
NDTS	Depr/TGA				
Debt Service capacity	PBDIT/INT		N.S	N.S	***
Age	Log of age of firm	N.S	+VE**	-VE**	N.S
Dividend payout	Equity Div/PAT	N.S	+VE*	-VE*	N.S
Liquidity	CA/CL	-VE**	-VE**	N.S	-VE**
Net Exports	Net exp/Sales	N.S	N.S	-VE**	N.S
Cost of Equity	DIV/SC	N.S	Maral 65		+VE*
Uniqueness	R&D/Sales	N.S	N.S	-VE**	N.S
Cost of Borrowing	INT/DEBT	N.S	N.S	~VE**	N.S
* Indicates significance a	t 5% level, ** indicates	significanc	e at 1% lev	el	
Not Sig	nificant=(NS), Positiv	e= (+VE), N	legative =(~	VE)	
A Dash mean	s the indicator is not	included in	final regres	ssion runs	

Table 6.1.1 presents the results for four measures of debt in summary form together.

#### 6.3 Results of Multiple Regression Runs: Machinery Industry

Table 6.2 presents the results of multiple regression runs on Debt Ratios of Chemical industry. Only significant regressions are reported in Table 6.2. The summary results of all the regression runs conducted on all the four Debt Ratios of Machinery industry are presented at the end of the chapter. Table 6.2.4 presents summary results of all the regression runs on Debt Ratio: STD1/TA. Table 6.2.5 presents summary results of all the regression runs on Debt Ratio: TC&E/TA ratio. Table 6.2.6 presents summary results of all the regression runs on Debt Ratio: LTD/TA. Table 6.2.7 presents summary results of all the regression runs on Debt Ratios of Debt Ratio: LTD/TA. Table 6.2.7 presents summary results of all the regression runs on TL/TA ratio. Correlation matrix of explanatory variables of Debt Ratios from Machinery industry is presented at the end of the chapter in Table 6.2.2. Variance inflationary factors for each reported multiple regression run of Machinery industry are presented at the end of the chapter in Table 6.2.3.

#### 6.3.1 Results of Multiple Regressions on STD1/TA Ratio in Machinery Industry:

The value of R<sup>2</sup> in reported regression Run6<sup>b</sup>: Column-1 is 0.620 indicating that 62% variations in STD1/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Run6<sup>b</sup>. The three significant predictors of STD1/TA ratio in Machinery industry are **Collateral, Volatility** and **Liquidity.** It is found that **Collateral** indicator NFA/TNA has significant negative impact on STD1/TA ratio, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance. This result is in confirmation of the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies. **Volatility** indicator COV of PBIT/TNA has significant at 1% level of significance indicating preference for Short Term Debt with increase in volatility of earnings. **Liquidity** as measured by CA/CL ratio has significant negative impact on STD1/TA ratio, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance indicating preference for Short Term Debt with increase in volatility of earnings. **Liquidity** as measured by CA/CL ratio has significant negative impact on STD1/TA ratio, the 't' statistic being significant at 1% level of significance, indicating that availability of liquid assets would mean that companies will prefer lower amount of Short Term Debt funds as they can finance their short term working capital requirements through these-liquid-assets.

The impact of indicators for Size, Growth rate, Age, Dividend Payout, Net **Exports/Sales**, Uniqueness, Cost of Borrowing and Cost of Equity is found insignificant on STD1/TA ratio of companies in Machinery industry.

#### 6.3.2 Results of Multiple Regressions of TC&E/TA Ratio for Machinery Industry:

Regression Run 1: Column-2 and Run 7: Column-3 on TC&E/TA in Table 6.2 reveals that the significant determinants of TC&E/TA ratio in Machinery industry are **Profitability, Collateral, Volatility and Liquidity.** The value of  $R^2$  is highest in Run 7<sup>b</sup>: Column-3 and indicates that a maximum of 84% variations in TC&E/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Regression Run 7<sup>b</sup>: Column-3.

**Profitability** indicator PBITDA/GFA has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Machinery industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance. This indicates that profitable companies in Machinery industry resort to lower levels of trade credit as they have sufficient funds to finance their short term working capital requirements.

**Collateral** indicator GFA/TGA has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio confirming that tangible fixed assets act as collaterals to obtain more of Long Term Debt rather than Short Term Debt.

**Volatility** indicator COV of PBIT/TNA has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Machinery industry, the 't' statistic significant at 1% level of significance, which again confirms the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies and indicates that FDI Companies in Machinery industry with volatile incomes prefer Short Term Trade Credit as a source of finance.

**Liquidity** as measured by CA/CL ratio has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio, indicating that greater liquid assets mean lower reliance on Trade Credits.

The impact of indicators for Size, Growth rate, Age, Dividend Payout, Net Exports/Sales, Uniqueness, Cost of Debt, Debt Service capacity, Cost of Equity and NDTS is found insignificant on TC&E/TA ratio of companies in Chemical industry.

	R	esults of M	ultiple Regression of I	Aachinery l	ndustry: 38	Companies		
Dependent variab						:TC & E / TA Ratio		
Colu	ımn 1		Colu	mn 2		Colu	ımn 3	
	Run6 <sup>ª</sup>	Run6 <sup>b</sup>	•	Run 1ª	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>		Run7 <sup>a</sup>	R
Intercept	0.867	0.633	Intercept	0.699	0.517 ·	Intercept	0.727	C
	0.018			0.014			0.022	
Log of TNA	(0.923)	Excluded	Log of sales	(1.342)	Excluded	Log of GTFA	(-2.024)*	Ex
-	[0.365]			[0.191]		_	[0.055]	
	-0.797			-0.375			-1.008	4
PBT / TNA	(-1.499)	Excluded	PBT / TNA	(-1.469)	Excluded	PBITDA / TGA	(-2.731)*	(-4
	[0.147]		:	[0.154]			[0.012]	[ _[
	-0.869	-0.618		-0.695	-0.513		-0.462	-
NFA / TNA	(-4.254)**	(-3.507)**	NFA / TNA	(-5.899)**	(-4.763)**	GFA / TGA	(-3.088)**	(-4
	[.000]	[0.001]		[.000]	[.000]		[0.005]	1
-	0.544				[			
INV / TNA	(1.273)	Excluded	INV / TNA			INV / TNA		
	[0.215]							
	0.052	0.065		0.033	0.039		0.913	0
COV of PBIT to TNA	(3.236)**	(5.558)**	COV of PBIT to TNA	(3.545)**	(5.506)**	SD of PBITDA / TGA	(4.561)**	(6.
	[0.004]	[.000]		[0.002]	[.000]		[.000]	Ī
	0.162			-0.042			-0.113	
CAGR of sales	(0.436)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(-0.218)	Excluded	CAGR of sales	(-0.603)	Ex
	[0.667]			[0.829]			[0.553]	
	-0.137			-0.064			-0.037	
Log of age of firm	(-2.122)*	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-1.930)	Excluded	Log of age	(-1.059)	Ex
	[0.044]			[0.065]			[0.300]	
	0.183			0.128			0.043	
Equity Div / PAT	(1.555)	Excluded	Equity Div / PAT	(1.977)	Excluded	Eq Div / PAT	(0.707)	Ex
	[0.133]			[0.059]			[0.487]	
	-0.009	-0.03		-0.035	-0.044		-0.032	-
CA/CL	(-0.517)	(-2.549)*	CA / CL	(-4.116)**	(-6.106)**	CA/CL	(-3.916)**	(-5
	[0.610]	[0.015]		[.000]	[.000]		[0.001]	1
	0.328			0.188			0.101	
Net exp / Sales	(1.760)	Excluded	Net exp / Sales	(1.825)	Excluded	Net exp / Sales	(0.864)	Ex
	[0.091]			[0.080]			[0.397]	
	-4.759			-4.631	İ		-3.480	
R&D / Sales	(-1.118)	Excluded	R&D / Sales	(-1.978)	Excluded	R&D / Sales	(-1.413)	Ex
	[0.275]	L		[0.059]			[0.171]	L
1. (1990) - 1. (1990) - 1. (1990)	0.545			0.301			-0.007	
INT / DEBT	(1.111)	Excluded	INT / DEBT	(1.171)	Excluded	INT / DEBT	(-0.029)	Ex
	[0.278]	ļ		[0.252]			[0.977]	ļ
							.000	
PBDIT / INT		-	PBDIT / INT	`		PBDIT / INT	(0.949)	Ex
		ļ					[0.352]	
	0.682						-0.206	
DIV / SC	(0.768)	Excluded	DIV/SC			DIV / SC	(-0.437)	Ex
	[0.450]	ļ					[0.666] -0.368	<b> </b>
Depr / TGA		_	Depr / TGA			Depr / TGA	-0.306 (-0.195)	Ex
oper i on						Dopinion	[0.847]	_^
R <sup>2</sup>	0.774	0.651	R <sup>2</sup>	0.863	0.784	R <sup>2</sup>	0.892	(
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.651	0.620	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.805	0.765	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.826	
F statistic	6.306**	21.122**	F statistic	14.885**	41.100**	F statistic	13.516**	49
	[.000]	[.000]		[.000]	[.000]		[.000]	] [
		a	Multiple Regression ,	<sup>b</sup> Stepwis	e Regressio	on,		
						ce at 1% level ,		

			iple Regression Dependent vari			****	******	
Colu	mn 4		<u> </u>	olumn 5	•	Colu	mn 6	
	Run 1ª	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>		Run4 <sup>ª</sup>	Run4 <sup>b</sup>		Run5 <sup>a</sup>	R
Intercept	-0.099	0.118	Intercept	-0.112	-0.030	Intercept	-0.07	-(
	-0.011			0.004			-0.005	
Log of sales	(-0.892)	Excluded	Log of GTFA	(0.303)	Excluded	Log of TNA	(-0.365)	Ex
	[0.381]			[0.765]			[0.718]	
	-0.349	-0.809		0.013	-0.516		[0.1.10]	-
PBT / TNA	(-1.157)	(-4.629)**	PBITDA / TGA	(0.035)	(-1.963)*	PBT / TNA		
	[0.258]	[.000]		[0.972]	[0.058]	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	0.519	0.371		0.467	0.390		0.525	C
NFA / TNA	(3.734)**	(3.174)**	GFA/TGA	(3.859)**	(4.148)**	NFA / TNA	(3.636)**	(4.
	[0.001]	[0.003]	ULTION	[0.001]	[.000]		[0.001]	( <del>.</del>
	0.015	10.0003		0.666	0.606		0.019	1
COV of PBIT to TNA		Evoluded	SD of PBITDA		1	COV of PBIT to TNA	(1.801)	
	(1.327)	Excluded	SU UF BITDA	(2.812)**	(2.899)**			(4.
	[0.196]			[0.009]	[0.007]		[0.083]	[,
0400 (7)	-0.017			-0.207			-0.139	
CAGR of TNA	(-0.077)	Excluded	CAGR of sales	(-0.958)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(-0.585)	Exc
	[0.939]			[0.347]			[0.563]	L
	0.063			0.038			0.048	_
Log of age of firm	(1.620)	Excluded	Log of age	(0.915)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(1.173)	Exc
	[0.117]			[0.369]			[0.251]	
	-0.098			-0.140			-0.114	
Equity Div / PAT	(-1.278)	Excluded	Eq Div / PAT	(-1.999)	Excluded	Equity Div / PAT	(-1.396)	Exc
	[0.213]			[0.057]			[0.175]	
	-0.008			-0.015			-0.011	
CA/CL	(-0.838)	· ) /	CA/CL	(-1.538)	Excluded	CA / CL	(-1.190)	Exc
	[0.410]			[0.137]			[0.245]	
	-0.300			-0.415	-0.332		-0.315	-(
Net exp / Sales	(-2.467)*	Excluded	Net exp / Sales	(-3.276)**	(-3.149)**	Net exp / Sales	(-2.399)*	(-3.
	[0.021]			[0.003]	[0.003]		[0.024]	[0
	0.023			3.262			0.278	
R&D / Sales	(0.008)	Excluded	R&D / Sales	(1.100)	Excluded	R&D / Sales	(0.095)	Exc
	[0.993]			[0.282]			[0.925]	
	-0.208			-0.520	[		-0.294	
INT / DEBT	(-0.686)	Excluded	INT / DEBT	(-1.751)	Excluded	INT / DEBT	(-0.948)	Exc
	[0.499]			[0.092]			[0.352]	
			1	.000			<u> </u>	
PBDIT / INT			PBDIT / INT	(-0.536)	Excluded	PBDIT / INT		
				[0.597]				
					<u> </u>		-0.150	
DIV / SC			DIV / SC			DIV / SC	(-0.294)	Exc
							[0.771]	
Depr / TGA			Depr / TGA			Depr / TGA		
R <sup>2</sup>	0.637	0.497	R <sup>2</sup>	0.666	0.530	R <sup>2</sup>	0.61	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.483	0.468	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.506	0.330	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.445	
F statistic	4.142**	17.261**	Aujustea K	4.152**	9.293**	Aujusied R	3.702**	12
i statistis	[.001]	[.000]	F statistic	4.152 [.001]	[.000]	F statistic	[0.003]	12
	[ [1001]		Itiple Regressio		<u> </u>	l	[_for003]	<u>,</u> (
			ficance at 5% lev	-	+			

•

		Results of	Multiple Regression of	5.2 continu of Machine		38 Comnanies		
Dependent va	riaher   TD /		multiple Regression			ble: TL / TA ratio		
	olumn 7		Colu	mn 8			mn 9	
	Run6 <sup>a</sup>	Run6 <sup>b</sup>		Run 1 <sup>ª</sup>	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>		Run 2ª	Run 2 <sup>b</sup>
Intercept	-0.089	0.07	Intercept	0.367	-0.044	Intercept	0.572	0.625
	.000			-0.019		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.016	
Log of GTFA	(-0.013)	Excluded	Log of sales	(-0.814)	Excluded	Log of sales	(-0.721)	Excluded
J	[0.990]		Ū	[0.423]		Ŭ	[0.477]	
	-0.211	-0.610		-0.388			-0.986	-1.187
PBITDA / TGA	(-0.512)	(-2.548)*	PBT / TNA	(-0.636)	Excluded	PBT / TNA	(-1.834)	(-2.768)*
	[0.613]	[0.016]		[0.530]			[0.078]	[0.009]
	0.783	0.716		0.576	0.64		1.199	
GFA/TGA	(4.699)**	(5.235)**	(Nfa+Inv+AR) / TNA	(1.832)	(2.854)**	INV / TNA	(2.396)*	Excluded
	[.000]	[.000]		[0.078]	[0.007]		[0.024]	
	0.521			0.084	0.095		0.075	0.068
SD of PBITDA	(2.340)*	Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	(4.046)**	(6.725)**	COV of PBIT to TNA	(3.789)**	(3.711)*
	[0.028]			[.000]	[.000]		[0.001]	[0.001]
	-0.021			0.336			0.863	
CAGR of sales	(-0.099)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(0.790)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(1.868)	Excluded
	[0.922]			[0.436]			[0.073]	
	0.038			-0.069			-0.096	
Log of age	(0.986)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-0.880)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-1.231)	Excluded
	[0.334]			[0.387]			[0.229]	
	-0.121			0.038			0.091	
Eq Div / PAT	(-1.799)	Excluded	Equity Div / PAT	(0.280)	Excluded	Equity Div / PAT	(0.679)	Excluded
	[0.085]			[0.782]			[0.503]	
	-0.013			-0.027			-0.018	
CA/CL	(-1.385)	Excluded	I CA/CL	(-1.431)	Excluded	CA/CL	(-0.923)	Excluded
	[0.179]			[0.164]			[0.365]	
	-0.500	-0.360		0.104			0.048	
Net exp / Sales	(-3.832)**	(-3.611)**	Net exp / Sales	(0.436)	Excluded	Net exp / Sales	(0.221)	Excluded
	[0.001]	[0.001]		[0.666]			[0.827]	
	2.886			-5.278			-3.924	
R&D / Sales	(1.052)	Excluded	R&D / Sales	(-1.025)	Excluded	R&D / Sales	(-0.780)	Excluded
	[0.304]			[0.315]			[0.442]	
	-0.528			0.652			0.835	
INT / DEBT	(-1.918)	Excluded	INT / DEBT	(1.137)	Excluded	INT / DEBT	(1.477)	Excluded
	[0.068]			[0.266]			[0.152]	
	0.128							
DIV / SC	(0.244)	Excluded	DIV / SC			DIV / SC		
	[0.810]						-	
	-4.30E-05							
PBDIT / INT	(-0.196)	Excluded	PBDIT / INT			PBDIT / INT		
	[0.846]	0.000						<b></b>
D / 701	-5.551	-6.808	D			D		
Depr / TGA	(-2.645)**	(-3.736)**	Depr / TGA			Depr / TGA		-
	[0.014]	[0.001]						
R <sup>2</sup>	0.744	0.585	R <sup>2</sup>	0.733	0.635	R <sup>2</sup>	0.753	0.631
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.589	0.535	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.620	0.614	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.649	0.610
F statistic	4.783**	11.645	F statistic	6.492**	30.420**	F statistic	7.210**	29.884*
····	[000]	[.000]		[ [.000]	[.000]	<u> </u>	[.000]	[.000]
			* Multiple Regression					
	*	indicates	significance at 5% lev	el, ** indica	ates signific	ance at 1% level ,		

#### 6.3.3 Results of Multiple Regressions of LTD/TA Ratio for Machinery Industry

Out of the four regression runs reported in Table 6.2 on LTD/TA ratio, the value of  $R^2$  is highest in Run 6<sup>b</sup>: Column-7 and indicates that a maximum of 53.5% variance in LTD/TA ratio in Machinery industry is explained by significant indicators selected in regression Run 6<sup>b</sup>: Column-7. The significant determinants of LTD/TA ratio in Machinery industry are **Profitability, Collateral, Volatility, Net Exports/Sales and NDTS.** 

Both **Profitability** indicators PBT/TNA and PBITDA/TGA have significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio of Machinery industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% and 5% level of significance respectively. These results confirm to the predictions of Pecking order theory and indicate that profitable companies in Machinery industry prefer using internally generated reserves finance their investments. **Collateral** effect as measured by indicators NFA/TNA and GFA/TGA has significant positive impact on LTD/TA ratio and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance confirming that in Machinery industry tangible fixed assets act as Collaterals to obtain Long Term Debt.

**Volatility** indicator COV of PBIT/TNA has significant positive impact on LTD/TA ratio of Machinery industry which is in line with the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies together. This result indicates that inspite of volatile earnings; FDI Companies in Machinery industry continue to borrow Long Term funds. **Net exports/Sales** has significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio of Machinery industry in all the reported regression runs and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance. These results indicate that companies from Machinery industry which are net exporters resort to lower levels of Long Term Debt in their Capital Structure due to tax concessions and other benefits available to them. **NDTS** indicator Depr/TGA has significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio of Machinery industry and is significant at 1% level of significance indicating that existence of Non Debt Tax Shields would mean lower Long Term Debt ratios in Machinery industry. This result is consistent with findings of Kakani (1999)<sup>3</sup>, and Song (2005)<sup>4</sup>.

The impact of indicators for Size, Growth rate, Age, Dividend Payout, Liquidity, Uniqueness, Cost of Debt, Debt Service Capacity and Cost of Equity is found insignificant on LTD/TA ratio of companies in Machinery industry.

#### 6.3.4 Results of Multiple Regressions of TL/TA Ratio for Machinery industry

The value of R<sup>2</sup> is highest in Run 1<sup>b</sup>:Column-8 and indicates that a maximum of 61.4% variance in TL/TA ratio of Machinery industry is explained by significant indicators selected in Regression Run <sup>b</sup>: Column-8. The significant determinants of TL/TA ratio in Machinery industry are **Profitability, Collateral and Volatility.** 

**Profitability** indicator PBT/TNA has significant negative impact on TL/TA ratio of Machinery industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance confirming the predictions of Pecking Order Theory.

The **Collateral** indicator (Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA has significant positive impact on TL/TA ratio of machinery industry. Since Total Liabilities include a substantial proportion of Short Term Debt, it may be possible that Inventories and Accounts receivables act as Collaterals to obtain Short Term Debt while tangible fixed assets are used as Collaterals to obtain Long Term Debt and hence (Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA is a significant determinant of TL/TA ratio of Machinery industry.

**Volatility** indicator COV of PBIT/TNA has significant positive impact on TL/TA ratio of Machinery industry and the findings are consistent with the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies together.

The impact of indicators for Size, Growth rate, Age, Dividend Payout, Liquidity, Net exports/Sales, Uniqueness and Cost of Debt is found insignificant on TL/TA ratio of companies in Machinery industry.

	Table	0.6.2.1			
Summary of Mutipl	e Regressions Result	in Machiner	y Industry (3	8 FDI Comp	anies)
Dependent variables- De	bt Ratios	STD1/TA	TC&E/TA	LTD/TA	TL/TA
Independent Variables	Indicators				
	Log of sales	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
Size	Log of TNA	N.S		N.S	
	Log of GTFA		N.S	N.S	
Drofitebility	PBT/TNA	N.S	N.S	-VE*	-VE*
Profitability	PBITDA/TGA		-VE**	-VE**	***
	NFA/TNA	-VE**	-VE**	+V <u>E</u> **	
Collateral	GFA/TGA		-VE**	+VE**	
Conateral	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TA	th m da	N.S	N.S	+VE**
	INV/TNA	N.S	N.S		N.S
Volotility	COV of PBIT/ TNA	+VE**	+VE**	+VE**	+VE**
Volatility	SD of PBITDA/TGA	***	+VE**	+VE**	#T#
Crowth rate	CAGR of TNA	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
Growth rate	CAGR of sales	N.S	N.S	N.S	
NDTS	Depr/TGA	<b>***</b> *	N.S	-VE**	
Debt Service capacity	PBDIT/INT		N.S	N.S	
Age	Log of age of firm	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
Dividend payout	Equity Div/PAT	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
Liquidity	CA/CL	-VE**	VE**	N.S	N.S
Net Exports	Net exp/Sales	N.S	N.S	-VE**	N.S
Cost of Equity	DIV/SC	N.S	N.S	N.S	
Uniqueness	R&D/Sales	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
Cost of Borrowing	INT/DEBT	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
* Indicates significance	at 5% level, ** indicate	s significand	ce at 1% lev	el	
Not S	ignificant=(NS), Posit	ive= (+VE),	Negative =(-	-VE)	
A Dash mea	ns the indicator is n	ot included i	n final regre	ssion runs	

#### 6.4 Results of Multiple Regression Runs: Transport Industry

Table 6.3 presents the results of multiple regression runs on Debt Ratios of Transport industry. Only significant regressions are reported in Table 6.3. The summary results of all the regression runs conducted on all the four Debt Ratios of Transport industry are presented at the end of the chapter. Table 6.3.4 presents summary results of all the regression runs on Debt Ratio: STD1/TA. Table 6.3.5 presents summary results of all the regression runs on Debt Ratio: TC&E/TA ratio. Table 6.3.6 presents summary results of all the regression runs on Debt Ratio: LTD/TA. Table 6.3.7 presents summary results of all the regression runs on Debt Ratio: LTD/TA.

all the regression runs on TL/TA ratio. Correlation matrix of explanatory variables of Debt Ratios from Transport industry is presented at the end of the chapter in Table 6.3.2. Variance inflationary factors for each reported multiple regression run of Transport industry are presented at the end of the chapter in Table 6.3.3.

**6.4.1 Results of Multiple Regressions of STD1/TA Ratio for Transport industry** Stepwise multiple regression results conducted on STD1/TA ratio of Transport industry did not indicate any significant determinants for the ratio as none of the selected indicators in regressions conducted on STD1/TA ratio entered the final stepwise regression models. Hence the results are not reported in Table 6.3.

**6.4.2 Results of Multiple Regressions on TC&E/TA Ratio in Transport industry** Regression Run 1: Column-1, Run 3: Column 2 and Run 9: Column 3 are conducted on TC&E/TA ratio of Transport industry in Table 6.3 reveal that the significant determinants of TC&E/TA ratio in Transport industry are **Collateral, Volatility, Dividend payout and Liquidity.** The value of R<sup>2</sup> is highest in Run 9<sup>b</sup>: Column 3 and indicates that almost 95.3% variance in TC&E/TA ratio is explained by significant indicators selected in Regression Run 9<sup>b</sup>: Column 3. All the regression runs reported for TC&E/TA ratio of Transport industry reveal high explanatory power of the regression model as R<sup>2</sup> ranges from .852 in Run1<sup>b</sup>: Column-1 to .953 in Run9<sup>b</sup>: Column 3.

**Collateral** indicator NFA/TNA has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio whereas INV/TNA has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Transport industry confirming that fixed assets act as collaterals to obtain Long Term Debt and non fixed assets like Inventories act as Collaterals to obtain Short Term Trade Credits. Surprisingly, **Volatility** indicator COV of PBIT/TNA has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Transport industry, the 't' statistic being significant at 5% level of significance. Although this result is consistent with predictions of both Pecking Order Theory and the Trade-off Theory, it contradicts the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies. It indicates that FDI Companies in Transport industry with volatile incomes prefer to lower their reliance on short term trade credits. This seems to be a unique feature of Transport industry and this might be due to the fact that companies from Transport industry either must be having sufficient liquidity to meet their short term financing requirements or might be using their built in internally generated funds to meet their working capital requirements.

-			Dependent Variab	ole :TC&E/T	A Ratio				
Col	umn 1		Colu	ımn 2		Col	umn 3		
	Run 1ª	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>		Run3 <sup>ª</sup>	Run3 <sup>b</sup>		Run9 <sup>a</sup>	R	
Intercept	0.561	0.492	Intercept	0.243	0.329	Intercept	0.498	1	
	0.005			-0.003			0.003		
Log of sales	(1.089)	Excluded	Log of sales	(-0.438)	Excluded	Log of sales	(0.803)	Ex	
	[0.318]			[0.677]			[0.467]		
	-0.261			0.318			0.000		
PBT/TNA	(-1.670)	Excluded	PBT/TNA	(1.651)	Excluded	PBT/TNA	(-0.001)	Ex	
	[0.146]			[0.150]			[1.000]		
	-0.271	-0.182					-0.222	-	
NFA/TNA	(-4.666)**	(-3.201)**	NFA/TNA	-	-	NFA/TNA	(-3.643)**	(-	
	[0.003]	[0.006]					[.000]		
				0.554	0.393		0.289		
INV/TNA		-	INV/TNA	(2.490)*	(3.531)**	INV/TNA	(1.984)	(5	
				[0.047]	[0.003]		[0.118]		
	-0.049			-0.022			-0.057	·	
COV of PBIT to TNA	(-1.772)	Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	(-0.538)	Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	(-1.826)	(-	
	[0.127]			[0.610]			[0.142]		
	-0.010			0.050			-0.035		
CAGR of TNA	(-0.045)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	[0.147]	Excluded	CAGR of sales	(-0.192)	Ð	
	[0.966]			[0.888]			[0.857]		
	0.023			-0.002			0.015		
Log of age of firm	(2.031)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-0.086)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	1.509	Ex	
	[0.089]						0.206		
	-0.051	-0.062		0.004			-0.017	.	
Equity Div/PAT	(-2.114)		Equity Div/PAT	(0.110)	Excluded	Equity Div/PAT	(-0.630)	(-:	
	[0.079]	[0.019]		[0.916]			[0.563]	[0.039	
1	-0.073 -0.067 (-9.180) (-9.663)**			-0.065	-0.063		-0.076	)** (-17.56	
CA/CL			CA/CL	(-5.753)**	(-9.874)**	CA/CL ·	(-10.407)**		
	[.000]	[.000]		[0.001]	[.000]		[.000]	[.000]	
	(0.220)			-0.113			0.121		
Net exp/Sales	(2.935)* Excluded		Net exp/Sales	(-0.780)	Excluded	Net exp/Sales	(1.293)	Excluded	
	[0.026]			[0.465]			[0.266]		
	(-3.200)			-0.249			-2.847		
R&D/Sales	(-3.480)**	Excluded	R&D/Sales	(-0.146)	Excluded	R&D/Sales	(-1.923)	Ex	
	[0.013]	[		[0.888]	ļ		[0.127]	<u></u>	
	-0.447			0.317			-0.408	Excluded	
INT/DEBT	(-1.504)	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(0.701)	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(-1.151)		
	[0.183]	Ļ		[0.510]	ļ		[0.314]		
					ł		-0.304		
DIV/SC		-	DIV/SC	-	-	DIV/SC	(-0.955)	Ex	
_ 1					<u> </u>		[0.394]	ļ	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.969	0.878	R <sup>2</sup>	0.928	0.869	R <sup>2</sup>	0.987	<u> </u>	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.911	0.852	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.797	0.852	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.946		
F statistic	16.792**	33.588**	F statistic	7.072*	49.923**	F statistic	23.859**	6	
	[.001]	[.000]	Multiple Regression ,	[0.013]	[.000] se Regressio		[0.004]		

			Dependent var		TA Ratio				
Colu			Co	olumn 5	b	Co	lumn 6		
1-11	Run 1ª	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>		Run2 <sup>a</sup>	Run 2 <sup>b</sup>		Run4ª	Ru	
Intercept	0.194	0.015	Intercept	0.343	-0.230	Intercept	-0.305	-0.(	
Log of sales	-0.001 (-0.046) [0.964]	Excluded	Log of TNA	0.005 (0.248) [0.813]	Excluded	Log of GTFA	0.008 (0.442) [0.677]	Exclu	
PBT/TNA	-0.907 (-1.844) [0.115]	Excluded	PBT/TNA	-1.235 (-1.319) [0.235]	Excluded	PBITDA/TGA	-0.522 (-0.576) [0.589]	Exclu	
	0.459	0.560		0.179	0.495		0.640	0.4	
NFA/TNA	(2.512)*	(4.697)**	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	(0.563)	(3.990)**	<b>GFA/TGA</b>	[2.396]**	(3.54	
	[0.046]	[.000]		[0.594]	[0.001]		[0.062]	[0.0	
COV of PBIT to TNA (0.	0.016 (0.189)	Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	-0.003 (-0.024)	Excluded	SD of PBITDA	-2.043 (-1.160)	Exclu	
	[0.857]	·		[0.981]			[0.298]		
CAGR of TNA	0.614 (0.872)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	0.603	Excluded	CAGR of sales	0.181 (0.292)	Exclu	
Grider of Hart	(0.87 <i>2</i> ) [0.417]			(0.558) [0.597]	5		[0.782]		
	-0.035			-0.037		·····	-0.003		
Log of age of firm	-0.035	Excluded	Log of age of firm	-0.037	Excluded	Log of age	(-0.068)	Exclu	
Log of ago of min	(-0.974) [0.368]	LAGINGO	Log of ugo of mini	[0.551]	LANUUUU		[0.949]		
	-0.031			-0.066			0.232		
Equity Div/PAT	(-0.412)	Excluded	Equity Div/PAT	(-0.611)	Excluded	Eg Div/PAT	(1.432)	Exclu	
	[0.695]	2/10/0000	Liquity Dimitri	[0.564]	2.000000	-9.01111	[0.212]		
	0.023			0.001			0.010		
CA/CL	(0.920) Excluded C/ [0.393] 0.523 0.470		CA/CL	(0.034)	Excluded	CA/CL	(0.356)	Exclude	
				[0.974]			[0.736]		
				0.498		<u></u>	0.594	0.512	
Net exp/Sales			Net exp/Sales	(1.087)	Excluded	Net exp/Sales	(2.311)	(3.0)	
			•	[0.319]		•	[0.069]	[0.008]	
	0.680			1.111			-9.024	[0.000]	
R&D/Sales	(0.235)	Excluded	R&D/Sales	1.111 (0.230)	Excluded	R&D/Sales	(-1.533)	Excluded Excluded	
	[0.822]			[0.826]			[0.186]		
	-0.324			-0.689			2.240		
INT/DEBT	(-0.346)	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(-0.470)	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(1.369)		
	[0.741]			[0.655]			[0.229]		
							0.001		
PBDIT/INT			PBDIT/INT			PBDIT/INT	(2.058)	Exclud	
							[0.095]		
R <sup>2</sup>	0.865	0.670	R <sup>2</sup>	0.737	0.499	R <sup>2</sup>	0.843	0.5	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.617	0.627	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.256	0.467	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.465	0.4	
F statistic	3.495	15.258	F statistic	1.532	15.922**	F statistic	2.23	9.4	
	[0.068]	[.000]	r slaustic	[0.312]	[0.001]	r staustic	[0.193]	[0.0	

			Dependent varia	ble: TL/T	A ratio			
Colur	nn 7		Colur	mn 8		Colur	nn 9	
	Run 1ª	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>		Run 2ª	Run 2 <sup>5</sup>		Run4ª	Run4 <sup>b</sup>
Intercept	0.954	0.099	Intercept	1.017	0.331	Intercept	1.299	0.804
	-0.021			-0.033			-0.024	
Log of sales	(-0.829)	Excluded	Log of sales	(-1.429)	Excluded	Log of sales	(-0.870)	Excluded
	[0.439]			[0.203]			[0.424]	
	-1.132			-1.413			-2.228	-1.154
PBT/TNA	(-0.938)	Excluded	PBT/TNA	(-2.219)	Excluded	PBT/TNA	(-1.968)	(-2.524)*
	[0.385]		-	[0.068]			[0.106]	[0.023]
	0.225	0.573		1.107	1.281		-0.111	
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	(0.548)	(3.383)**	INV/TNA	(1.505)	(2.780)*	NFA/TNA	(-0.304)	Excluded
	[0.604]	[0.004]		[0.183]	[0.013]		[0.774]	
	-0.024			-0.056			-0.043	
COV of PBIT to TNA	(-0.143)	Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	(-0.415)	Excluded	COV of PBIT to TNA	(-0.241)	Excluded
	[0.891]		1	[0.693]			[0.819]	
	0.690	Excluded		1.182			0.876	
CAGR of TNA	(0.530)		CAGR of TNA	(1.045)	Excluded	CAGR of TNA	(0.637)	Excluded
	[0.615]			[0.336]			[0.552]	
	-0.050			-0.060			-0.028	
Log of age of firm	(-0.657)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-0.992)	Excluded	Log of age of firm	(-0.395)	Excluded
	[0.536]			[0.359]			[0.709]	
	-0.141			-0.105			-0.239	-0.198
Equity Div/PAT	(-1.011)	Excluded	Equity Div/PAT	(-0.851)	Excluded	Equity Div/PAT	(-1.234)	(-2.278)*
	[0.351]			[0.427]			[0.272]	[0.038]
	-0.041			-0.062			-0.051	
CA/CL	(-0.981)	Excluded	CA/CL	(-1.653)	Excluded	CA/CL	(-1.018)	Excluded
	[0.364]			[0.149]			[0.355]	
	0.416			0.174			0.570	
Net exp/Sales	(0.697)	Excluded	Net exp/Sales	(0.363)	Excluded	Net exp/Sales	(1.077)	Excluded
	[0.512]			[0.729]			[0.331]	
	1.330			4.473			2.291	
R&D/Sales	(0.215)	Excluded	R&D/Sales	(0.795)	Excluded	R&D/Sales	(0.279)	Excluded
	[0.837]			[0.457]			[0.792]	
	-0.173			0.040			-0.415	
INT/DEBT	(-0.092)	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(0.027)	Excluded	INT/DEBT	(-0.201)	Excluded
	[0.929]			[0.980]			[0.849]	
							1.038	
DIV/SC	-		DIV/SC		-	DIV/SC	(0.510)	Excluded
							[0.632]	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.722	0.417	R <sup>2</sup>	0.788	0.326	R <sup>2</sup>	0.731	0.458
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.211	0.381	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.399	0.284	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.087	0.386
F statistic	1.414	11.447**	F statistic	2.025	7.729	F statistic	1.134	6.338**
	[0.349]	[0.004]		[0.200]	[0.013]		[0.478]	[0.010]
		a	Aultiple Regression ,	<sup>b</sup> Stepwi	se Regress	on,		
	* in	dicates sig	nificance at 5% level, '	** indicate	es significai	nce at 1% level,		

Liquidity as measured by CA/CL ratio in Transport industry has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio indicating that greater liquid assets mean lower reliance on Trade Credits.

**Dividend Payout** indicator- Equity Div / PAT has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Transport industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance. This result is different from the results obtained in Chemical industry where a positive impact of Dividend Payout is observed in TC&E/TA ratio. This indicates that in Transport industry as the Dividend Payout increases, the companies lower their preference for Short Term Trade Credit. This is an important finding, unique only to Transport industry but this confirms the fact that Transport FDI Companies do have built in cash reserves which they use when there are volatile profits or high Dividend Payouts and do not resort to Short Term Trade Credit under these circumstances.

The impact of indicators for Size, Profitability, and Growth rate, Age, Net **Exports/Sales**, Uniqueness, Cost of Debt and Cost of Equity is found insignificant on TC&E/TA ratio of companies in Transport industry.

6.4.3 Results of Multiple Regressions of LTD/TA Ratio for Transport industry Out of the three regression runs reported in Table 6.3 on LTD/TA ratio of Transport industry, the value of  $R^2$  is highest in Run 1<sup>b</sup>: Column 4 and indicates that a maximum of 62.7% variations in LTD/TA ratio of Transport industry are explained by significant indicators selected in regression Run 1<sup>b</sup>: Column 4. The significant determinants of LTD/TA ratio in Transport industry are **Collateral effect and Net Exports/ Sales.** 

All the three indicators of **Collateral effect** – NFA/TNA, GFA/TGA and (Nfa+Inv+AR) / TNA have significant positive impact on LTD/TA ratio; the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance confirming that in Transport industry existence of collaterals like fixed assets support more long term debt. Net Exports/Sales has significant positive impact on LTD/TA ratio for Transport industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 1% level of significance. This result contradicts the overall regression results of 140 sample FDI Companies where Net Exports/Sales had a significant negative impact on LTD/TA ratio of 140 Sample companies. The correlation matrix presented in Table 6.3.2 of selected explanatory variables for Debt Ratios indicate that

Net Exports/Sales ratio is highly correlated with Profitability indicators and the association is positive. This means that net exporter companies from Transport industry are also profitable companies who do not hesitate to borrow long term even though they have created enough reserves in the form of retained profits. At the same time, it is important to note that although Profitability indicator PBT/TNA and PBITDA/TGA do not enter the stepwise regression model as significant predictor of LTD/TA, the coefficient has negative sign in all regression runs. This indicates that Transport FDI Companies borrow more from long term sources when they are engaged in exports and although they must be getting tax concessions and other benefits, to meet export requirement, these companies must be requiring funds which are borrowed from long term sources.

The impact of indicators for Size, Profitability, Growth rate, Age, Dividend Payout, Liquidity, Uniqueness, Cost of Debt and Debt Service Capacity is found insignificant on LTD/TA ratio of companies in Transport industry.

#### 6.4.4 Results of Multiple Regressions on TL/TA Ratio of Transport industry

The multiple regression runs conducted on TL/TA ratio resulted in lower  $R^2$  as compared to regression runs on TC&E/TA and LTD/TA. The maximum value of  $R^2$  is obtained in highest in Run 4<sup>b</sup>: Column-9 and indicates that a maximum of 38.6% variations in TL/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Regression Run 4<sup>b</sup>: Column 9. The significant determinants of TL/TA ratio in Transport industry are **Profitability, Collateral effect, and Dividend Payout.** 

**Profitability** indicator PBT / TNA has significant negative impact on TL/TA ratio of Transport industry and the 't' statistic is significant at 5% level of significance. **Collateral indicator** (Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA and INV/TNA have significant positive impact on TL/TA ratio. Since Total Liabilities include a substantial proportion of Short Term Debt, Inventories and Accounts Receivables act as Collaterals to obtain Short Term Debt in Transport Industry while tangible fixed assets might be being used to obtain long term finance and hence the positive association. **Dividend Payout** indicator Equity Div/PAT has significant negative impact on TL/TA ratio of Transport industry which means that FDI Companies from Transport industry generally lower their preference for debt when Dividend Payout increases. The impact of indicators for

	Table 6.3	.1		
Results of Mutiple	Regressions in Trans	sport Industry	(18 FDI Com	panies)
Dependent variables- De	bt Ratios	TC&E/TA	LTD/TA	TL/TA
Independent Variables	Indicators			
	Log of sales	N.S	N.S	N.S
Size	Log of TNA			***
	Log of GTFA		***	` =a=
Profitability	PBT/TNA	N.S	N.S	-VE**
rioinapinty	PBITDA/TGA			
	NFA/TNA	-VE**	+VE**	N.S
Collateral	GFA/TGA		+VE**	***
Conaterar	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TA		+VE**	+VE**
	INV/TNA	+VE**		+VE**
Volatility	COV of PBIT/ TNA	-VE*	N.S	N.S
volatinty	SD of PBITDA/TGA			
Growth rate	CAGR of TNA	N.S	N.S	N.S
Growin rate	CAGR of sales	N.S	N.S	
NDTS	Depr/TGA	48.0	***	270
Debt Service capacity	PBDIT/INT		N.S	
Age	Log of age of firm	N.S	N.S	N.S
Dividend payout	Equity Div/PAT	-VE**	N.S	
Liquidity	CA/CL	-VE**	N.S	-VE*
Net Exports	Net exp/Sales	N.S	+VE**	N.S
Cost of Equity	DIV/SC	N.S		N.S
Uniqueness	R&D/Sales	N.S	N.S	N.S
Cost of Borrowing	INT/DEBT	N.S	N.S	N.S
* Indicates significance	at 5% level, ** indicate	s significance	e at 1% level	
Not Signi	ficant=(NS), Positive=	• (+VE), Nega	tive =(-VE)	
A Dash means -	the indicator is not in	cluded in fina	al regression	runs

Size, Volatility, Growth rate, Age, Liquidity, Net exports/Sales, Uniqueness and Cost of Debt is found insignificant on TL/TA ratio of companies in Transport industry.

### 6.5 Conclusion: Industry-Wise Multiple Regressions

Frank & Goyal  $(2004)^5$  had divided their sample firms into several classes – dividend paying verses non-dividend paying, mature firms verses young firms, small firms verses large firms and so on as they had put forth the apprehension that fitting a single model to companies in different situations would generate unstable results due to aggregation process. Keeping this viewpoint and to take care of this concern, in this study the

sample of 140 FDI companies in India is divided into various industry groups and three major industry groups – Chemicals, Machinery and Transport industry are selected to examine whether there exists any variation in Determinants of Capital Structure, if sample FDI Companies are divided on the basis of their affiliation to a particular industry.

Industry-Wise Multiple Regression Results, Industry-Wise Correlation Matrix, Variance Inflationary Factors for each reported multiple regression run of each industry and the summary of results of all the regression runs conducted on each selected measure of debt of each industry are presented in the chapter. The main conclusions derived from the results of multiple regressions conducted between various independent variables with each Debt Ratio (dependent variable) of the selected industry groups are as follows:

- 1. At Industry-wise analysis of Determinants of Capital Structure, the study rejects the null hypotheses that there is no significant impact of Size of a company, Profitability of a company, Collateral value of assets, Volatility of companies' earnings, existence of Non Debt Tax Shields, Age of a company, Dividend Payout, Liquidity, Net Exports, Cost of Borrowings, Cost of Equity and Uniqueness of a company on a company's Debt Ratios. The study accepts the alternative hypotheses that all the above mentioned determinants have significant impact on Debt Ratios of FDI Companies from three major industry groups Chemical, Machinery and Transport industry.
- 2. At Industry-wise analysis of Determinants of Capital Structure, the study accepts the null hypotheses that there is no significant impact of Growth rate of a company and Debt Service Capacity of a company on Debt Ratios as these determinants were not a significant predictor in multiple regressions conducted on various Debt Ratios of the selected industry groups.
- 3. It is observed that although most of the Determinants of Capital Structure have consistently the same impact on the Debt Ratios even in industry wise classification as they had on the overall sample, some determinants which did not seem to have impact on overall sample become significant when companies are categorized into various industries.

- 4. In Chemical industry, the highest R<sup>2</sup> of .708 is found in multiple regressions on LTD/TA ratio indicating that 70.8% variations in LTD/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Run 2: Column-6, Table 6.1. In case of Machinery industry, the highest R<sup>2</sup> of .840 is found in multiple regressions on TC&E/TA ratio indicating that 84% variations in TC&E/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Run 7: Column-3, Table 6.2. In case of Transport industry, highest R<sup>2</sup> of .953 is found in multiple regressions on TC&E/TA ratio indicating that 95.3% variations in TC&E/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Run 7: Column-3, Table 6.2. In case of Transport industry, highest R<sup>2</sup> of .953 is found in multiple regressions on TC&E/TA ratio indicating that 95.3% variations in TC&E/TA ratio are explained by significant indicators selected in Run 9: Column-3.
- 5. Size as measured by Log of sales has positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Chemical industry only. The results indicate that in Chemical industry large Size firms in terms of greater sales mean greater reliance on Trade Credits. Increase in sales means increased manufacturing activity which increases the need of short term working capital requirements and leads to greater reliance on Trade Credits. For other two industries, it is not a significant predictor.
- 6. **Profitability** indicator has consistently the same negative sign in all the regression runs of all the three industries confirming that even industry-wise classification proves that FDI Companies do follow Pecking Order Theory.
- 7. **Collateral** indicators measured in terms of fixed assets like NFA/TNA and GFA/TGA has significant negative impact on Short Term Debt Ratios in all the three industries and at the same time these indicators have positive impact on Long Term Debt Ratios in all the three industries. **Collateral** indicator INV/TNA has significant positive impact on Transport industry only and Collateral indicator Nfa+Inv+AR/TNA has significant positive impact on LTD/TA ratio of Transport industry and on TL/TA ratio of Machinery and Transport industry. These results are in confirmation with the results obtained with respect to overall sample of 140 FDI Companies. The results indicate that Collaterals in the form of tangible fixed assets support Long Term Debt in all the industries. Inventories and Accounts receivables support more of Short Term Debt.
- 8. Volatility of earnings has significant positive impact on the debt ratios except in case of Transport industry where the indicator of Volatility has negative

impact on TC&E/TA ratio. This shows that FDI Companies from Transport industry adopt a conservative approach when there are volatile earnings.

- 9. Growth rate has no significant impact on any Debt Ratios, in any of the industries.
- 10. Non Debt Tax Shields have significant negative impact on Long Term Debt ratio of Machinery industry only indicating that in case of Machinery industry, greater tax shields would mean lower debt levels in the industry.
- 11. **Debt Service Capacity** has no significant impact on any Debt Ratio in any of the industries.
- 12. Age has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio of Chemical industry and significant negative impact LTD/TA ratio of Chemical industry only although its coefficient entered in all regression models in other industries with same sign as indicated in overall regression results. The results indicate that in case of chemical industry mature FDI Companies tend to borrow more from trade credits and equivalents and prefer to keep their Long Term Debt levels low.
- 13. Even when the Dividend Payout is high, Transport industry and Chemical industry provide variations in results. In Transport industry Dividend Payout has significant negative impact on TC&E/TA ratio whereas in Chemical industry, Dividend payout has significant positive impact on TC&E/TA ratio. This indicates that Chemical industry FDI Companies borrow more of Short Term Trade Credit when Dividend Payout is high.
- 14. Liquidity has consistently the same negative impact on Short Term Debt ratios in all the three industries. Liquidity has no significant impact on Long Term Debt ratios. The results indicate that greater the liquidity, lower will be the Short Term Debt ratios in each industry.
- 15. Net Exports have significant negative impact on Long Term Debt Ratio of Chemical and Machinery industry but has a significant positive impact on Transport industry. Generally net exporters avail lot of tax concessions and other benefits from the government, hence the incentive to obtain Long Term

Debt for its benefit of tax deductibility is not there. Hence, net exporters in Chemical and Machinery industry have a significant negative impact on Long Term Debt Ratio. At the same time, Net Exports has significant positive impact on LTD/TA ratio of Transport industry which indicates that it is a unique feature peculiar to this particular industry. It might be possible that those companies who are net exporters in Transport industry require huge investments in assets and hence need more funds to finance these assets, which they borrow from long term sources.

- 16. Cost of Borrowing has significant negative impact on Long Term Debt Ratio of Chemical industry only, indicating that in Chemical industry, as the Cost of Borrowings rise, companies prefer to borrow less from Long Term Debt funds. Cost of Borrowing has insignificant impact on Short Term and Total Debt ratios of all the three industries.
- 17. Uniqueness have significant negative impact on Long Term Debt ratio of Chemical industry only indicating that unique FDI Companies in Chemical industry would borrow less from Long Term Debt sources. It might also be possible that these unique firms might be facing difficulty in borrowing from Long Term Debt sources.

.v

7

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> Titman, Sheridan and Roberto, Wessel (1988), "The Determinants of Capital Structure Choice", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol 43, No.1, pp. 1-19.
- <sup>2</sup> Bhaduri N.Saumitra (2002), Determinants of Corporate Borrowings: Some Evidence From the Indian Corporate Sector", *Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol 26, No.2, Summer 2002 .pp.200-215.
- <sup>3</sup> Kakani Kumar Ram(1999), "The Determinants of Capital Structure An Econometric Analysis", *Finance India*, Vol XIII, No.1, March 1999, pp.51-69.
- <sup>4</sup> Song Han-Suck, (2005), "Capital Structure Determinants An Empirical Study of Swedish Companies", No 25, Working Paper Series in Economics and Institutions of Innovation, Royal Institute of Technology, CESIS - Centre of Excellence for Science and Innovation Studies, [Electronic copy pp:1-25], [Date accessed:24-12-2009], Available at: http://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:hhs:cesisp:0025.
- <sup>5</sup> Frank, Murray Z. and Goyal, Vidhan K.(2004), "Capital Structure Decisions: Which Factors are Reliably Important?", Draft: February 11, 2004, [Electronic copy pp.1- 66], [Date accessed: 3-03-2006], Available at: http://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/ccg/PDFs/2004conf/CapStrDecisionsFrankGoyal.pdf

					Table (	Table 6.1.2 ( page 1)	1)				
		Pearsons Correlations		latrix of Expla	inatory Vari	ables for D	tebt ratios (	Matrix of Explanatory Variables for Debt ratios (Chemicals Industry:37 Companies)	r:37 Compa	nies)	
Variables	Log of sales	Log of sales Log of GTFA Log of TN	Log of TNA	A PBITDA/TGA		NFAVTNA	PBT/TNA NFA/TNA GFA/TGA	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	INV/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV of PBIT/TNA
Log of sales	-										
Log of GTFA	.865(**)	-									
Log of TNA	(**)696.	.928(**)									
PBITDA/TGA	.413(*)	0.183	.341(*)	-							
PBT/TNA	.446(**)	0.207	.377(*)	(**)679.	-						
NFA/TNA	384(*)	0.068	-0.269	399(*)	464(**)	-					
GFA/TGA	385(*)	0.072	-0.287	442(**)	496(**)	.967(**)	-				
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	379(*)	-0.24	391(*)	662(**)	712(**)	.413(*)	.427(**)				
INV/TNA	.333(*)	-0.024	0.241	0.301	0.323	666(**)	712(**)	-0.078	-		
SD of PBITDA/TGA	-0.148	-0.307	-0.206	0.236	0.28	-0.152	-0.186	432(***)	-0.06	-	
COV of PBIT/TNA	459(**)	376(*)	439(**)	594(**)	541(**)	0.207	0.217	0.202	-0.208	.539(**)	-
CAGR of TNA	0.128	0.181	0.253	0.155	0.144	-0.088	-0.191	-0.232	0.214	-0.243	333(*)
CAGR of sales	-0.006	0.086	0.051	-0.045	-0.113	0.133	0.047	0.185	0.094	423(**)	-0.315
Depr/TGA	-0.178	0.102	-0.152	-0.077	-0.157	.596(**)	.689(**)	0.03	591(**)	-0.112	-0.11
PBDIT/INT	0.123	0.014	0.109	.327(*)	.339(*)	-0.161	-0.165	332(*)	0.075	0.204	-0.135
Log of age	(**)1/27.	.370(*)	.517(**)	0.165	0.27	521(**)	485(**)	-0.263	.418(**)	0.033	-0.117
Eq Div/PAT	.387(*)	0.196	0.316	.394(*)	.405(*)	462(**)	419(**)	431(**)	0.176	0.052	331(*)
CA/CL	366(*)	-0.213	-0.269	-0.134	-0.055	0.18	0.158	-0.162	-0.191	0.207	.335(*)
Net exp/Sales	334(*)	-0.173	-0.272	-0.119	-0.059	0.236	0.24	-0.118	-0.217	0.268	.414(*)
DIV/SC	.561(**)	.388(*)	.496(**)	.758(**)	.765(**)	-0.31	353(*)	558(**)	0.184	0.205	426(**)
R&D/Sales	0.046	0.004	0.081	0.125	0.168	-0.2	-0.191	-0.184	-0.045	-0.099	-0.275
INT/DEBT	0.021	-0.179	-0.023	0.227	0.231	428(**)	442(**)	-0.064	.506(**)	-0.074	-0.211
				*Correlatic	*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)	ant at the 0.	05 level (2-t	tailed).			
				**Correlativ	**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)	ant at the 0	.01 level (2-	tailed).			

	s Matrix of Explanatory Variables for Debt ratios (Chemicals Industry:37 Companies)	Net exp/Sales DIV/SC R&D/Sales INT/DEBT																				-0.137 1	0.02 -0.073 1	-0.268 0.126 -0.052 1		
	Chemicals	T CA/CL																		-	.651(**)	-0.299	-0.025	-0.216	tailed).	and the second se
(page 2)	ebt ratios (	Eq Div/PA					A structure formula affirmation of the structure of the s												+	-0.175	-0.123	.439(**)	0.064	0.113	05 level (2-	and a second sec
Continued:	ables for D	Log of age		nan ya mananya manana mananingi <sup>1</sup> a ma kininga ma kilikin ina ak		And a second	na de constante en la constante	a ser e fan e fan		na da ca parte por a construir da canada da ca da construir en esta de de de de	ne o de la compañía d	And photometer was an end of the second s	f were not which we may be in the balance for the the trademark and the rest for the t	na manana katalan da mananda katalan da manana na mafanana mangan manana m		i da da managani de la la la da da de la da de de la constante en la constante enterente de de la constante de	a poly in mala para and an a sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-		0.075	-0.055	-0.019	0.257	0.042	0.079	ant at the 0.	and a standard standard standard and a standard standard standard standard standard standard standard standard s
Table 6.1.2 Continued: (page 2)	anatory Vari	PBDIT/INT															-	0.111	0.144	-0.055	-0.041	0.186	.382(*)	0.085	*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)	and the second se
	rix of Expl	Depr/TGA														-	-0.023	353(*)	-0.023	-0.027	0.043	-0.149	-0.118	336(*)	*Correlat	The second s
		CAGR of TNA CAGR of sales Depr/TGA PBDIT/INT Log of age Eq Div/PAT			ne wyk en w wije ia am wy waaron (genoer we – uningenoe newywen we robe waaraa w weer woord oo o w											0.022	-0.149	-0.272	0.07	-0.117	0.006	0.006	0.085	-0.009	n ng mananan ang mananan na ang mananan na ang mananan ang mananan ang mananan ang manananan ang mananang manan	
	Pearsons Correlation	CAGR of TNA												~	.723(**)	-0.117	0.107	-0.028	0.179	0.069	0.009	0.059	0.272	0.11		
		Variables	Log of sales	Log of GTFA	Log of TNA	PBITDA/TGA	PBT/TNA	NFA/TNA	GFA/TGA	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	Inv/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV of PBIT/TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	Depr/TGA	PBDIT/INT	Log of age	Eq Div/PAT	CA/CL	Net exp/Sales	DIV/SC	R&D/Sales	INT/DEBT	na and manufacture A class A france A del A ANNO A MARIA A MANA A MARIA NA MARIA NA MARIA NA MARIA MANA MANA M	



						Table 6.1.3							
	2	Variance Inflationary F	Factors	of Step/	vise Mu	actors of Stepwise Multiple Regressions of Chemical Industry (37 FDI Companies	of Chen	nical Ind	ustry (3	7 FDI C	ompanies )		
STD1/TA ratio	.0	TC&	TC&E/TA ratio	0			LTD/TA ratio	atio			TL/TA ratio	ratio	
Variables	Run2 <sup>b</sup>	Run2 <sup>b</sup> Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run 2 <sup>b</sup>	Run5 <sup>b</sup>	Run 1 <sup>b</sup> Run 2 <sup>b</sup> Run5 <sup>b</sup> Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run2 <sup>b</sup>	Run4 <sup>b</sup>	Run5 <sup>b</sup>	Run 1 <sup>b</sup> Run2 <sup>b</sup> Run4 <sup>b</sup> Run5 <sup>b</sup> Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run 4 <sup>b</sup>
Log of sales	-	Log of sales	1.642		1	Log of sales		1			Log of sales		
Log of GTFA		Log of GTFA				Log of GTFA			****	1	Log of GTFA	1	!
Log of TNA		Log of TNA	;		1 1 1 1	Log of TNA	1.				Log of TNA		-
<b>PBITDA/TGA</b>		PBITDA/TGA	1		ľ	PBITDA/TGA			1.243		PBITDA/TGA	l	
PBT/TNA	-	PBT/TNA	1	1		PBT/TNA	1.279	1.375		ł	PBT/TNA	1.003	2.627
NFA/TNA	1.033	1.033 NFA/TNA	1.491	1		NFA/TNA	1.350			1.076	NFA/TNA		ļ
GFA/TGA		GFA/TGA	1	1	1.026	GFA/TGA	1	\$ • •	1.3	1	GFA/TGA	1	1
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	]	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	1	1	1	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	1				(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA		1
INV/TNA	1	INV/TNA	1	-	1	INV/TNA	]			ł	INV/TNA	1	1
SD of PBITDA		SD of PBITDA	i			SD of PBITDA					SD of PBITDA	1	
COV of PBIT/TNA		COV of PBIT/TNA	1.377			COV of PBIT/TNA				1.225	COV of PBIT/TNA	1	1
CAGR of TNA		CAGR of TNA	1		l	CAGR of TNA	1			1	CAGR of TNA		
CAGR of sales	*****	CAGR of sales	1	1	-	CAGR of sales	*****				CAGR of sales	*	1
Depr/TGA	1	Depr/TGA	I	ł	1	Depr/TGA	1		1		Depr/TGA		1
PBDIT/INT		PBDIT/INT	ŀ	;	1	PBDIT/INT	]	1	1	1	PBDIT/INT	1	•
Log of age		Log of age	1	1.008		Log of age	1	1.080			Log of age	1	1
Eq Div/PAT		Eq Div/PAT		1.036		Eq Div/PAT		1.213			Eq Div/PAT		-
CA/CL	1.033	1.033 CA/CL	1.251	1.033	1.026	CA/CL			-		CA/CL	1.003	1.194
Net exp/Sales		Net exp/Sales	}			Net exp/Sales	1.063	1.09	1.061	1.242	Net exp/Sales	1	
DIV/SC		DIV/SC	I			DIV/SC	1				DIV/SC		2.876
R&D/Sales		R&D/Sales	1	1	1	R&D/Sales		1.038	1		R&D/Sales	1	1
INT/DEBT		INT/DEBT	1.385		1	INT/DEBT	1		1	1	INT/DEBT	1	ļ

		Tab	ole 6.1.4		
Final Reg	ression runs on De	bt ratio:STD1/TA o	of Chemicals Industry	[Stepwise Regressi	on results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of TNA
	PBT/TNA		PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA
NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)
		INV/TNA		INV/TNA	INV/TNA
COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
DIV/SC		DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC
R sq =.610	R sq =.610	R sq =.610	R sq =.610	R sq =.610	R sq =.610
Adj R sq=.587	Adj R sq=.587	Adj R sq=.587	Adj R sq=.587	Adj R sq=.587	Adj R sq=.587

				Table 6.1.5				
		Final Regression	runs on Debt ratio:	TC&E/TA of Chemical	s Industry [Stepwise	Regression results]		
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6	Run7	Run8	Run9
Log of sales(+)	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA	Log of sales(+)	Log of GTFA	Log of sales(+)	Log of sales(+)
PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBITDATGA		PBITDA/TGA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA
NFA/TNA(-)	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA		NFA/TNA(-)	GFA/TGA(·)	NFA/TNA(-)	GFA/TGA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)
COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age(+)	Log of age(+)	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT(+)	Eq Div/PAT(+)	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT(+)	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT(+)	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT(+)	INT/DEBT(+)
					DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC
						Depr/TGA		
				PBDIT/INT		PBDIT/INT		
		INV/TNA						INV/TNA
R sq= .747	R sq =.623	R sq =.623	R sq= .580	R sq =.596	R sq= .747	R sq =.596	R sq= .747	R sq= .747
Adj R sq= .706	Adj R sq= .589	Adj R sq= .589	Adj R sq= .556	Adj R sq=.572	Adj R sq= .706	Adj R sq=.572	Adj R sq= .706	Adj R sq= .706

		Та	ble 6.1.6		
Final Re	egression runs on De	ebt ratio: LTD/TA	of Chemical Industry	[Stepwise Regression	on results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA
PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBITDA/TGA(-)		PBITDA/TGA(-)
NFA/TNA(+)	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	NFA/TNA(+)	GFA/TGA(+)	NFA/TNA(+)	GFA/TGA(+)
COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	SD of PBITDA/TGA
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age (-)	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT(-)	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL
Net exp/Sales(-)	Net exp/Sales(-)	Net exp/Sales(-)	Net exp/Sales(-)	Net exp/Sales(-)	Net exp/Sales(-)
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales(-)	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT(-)	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
				DIV/SC	DIV/SC
					Depr/TGA
			PBDIT/INT		PBDIT/INT
R sq= .705	R sq= .757	R sq= .705	R sq=.596	R sq= .676	R sq=.596
Adj R Sq = .679	Adj R Sq = .708	Adj R Sq = .679	Adj R Sq = .560	Adj R Sq = .560	Adj R Sq = .560

		Tal	ole 6.1.7		
Final Re	gression runs on D	ebt ratio:TL/TA of	Chemicals Industry	[Stepwise Regression	results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales
PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	INV/TNA	INV/TNA	NFA/TNA	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	INV/TNA
COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
			DIV/SC(+)	DIV/SC(+)	DIV/SC(+)
R sq =.607	R sq =.607	R sq =.607	R sq =.672	R sq =.672	R sq =.672
Adj R sq=.584	Adj R sq=.584	Adj R sq=.584	Adj R sq=.642	Adj R sq=.642	Adj R sq=.642

Table No. 6.2.2 Continued: ( page 2) s Matrix of explanatory Variables for debt ratios (Machinery Industry:38 Companies)	les Depr/TGA PBDIT/INT Log of age Eq Div/PAT CA/CL Net exp/Sales DIV/SC R&D/Sales INT/DEBT																	.262 0.206 1	.112 -0.084 0.066 1		03(*) 0.093 .401(*) 0.099 .329(*) 1	82(*) .376(*) 0.187 -0.176 0.293 0.083 1	.049 0.301 -0.005368(*) -0.089 -0.171 0.128 1	*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).	**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
lachinerv Inc	CA/CL N																		1	0.045	0.099	-0.176	368(*)	iled).	ailed).
l: ( page 2) ebt ratios (N	Eq Div/PAT																	L	0.066	0.168	.401(*)	0.187	-0.005	05 level (2-ta	01 level (2-ts
2 Continued iables for de	Log of age					-											1	0.206	-0.084	.367(*)	0.093	.376(*)	0.301	ant at the 0.0	cant at the 0.
ible No. 6.2. Ianatory Var	PBDIT/INT															-	0.184	0.262	0.112	0.276	.403(*)	.382(*)	0.049	tion is signific	tion is signific
Ta atrix of exp	Depr/TGA	•													-	0.067	-0.256	0.166	0.222	-0.027	-0.006	-0.189	354(*)	*Correla	**Correla
Pearsons Correlations Ma	CAGR of sales													~	0.149	0.25	-0.102	0.048	-0.046	0.085	.382(*)	0.225	328(*)		
Pearsons (	CAGR of TNA CAGR of sa												-	.826(**)	-0.032	0.293	0.086	0.146	0.118	0.126	.482(**)	0.272	-0.282		
	Variables	Log of sales	Log of GTFA	Log of TNA	PBITDA/TGA	PBT/TNA	NFA/TNA	GFA/TGA	(Nfa+inv+AR)/TNA	INV/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV of PBIT/TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	Depr/TGA	PBDIT/INT	Log of age	Eq Div/PAT	CA/CL	Net exp/Sales	DIV/SC	R&D/Sales	INT/DEBT		

					Table 6.2.3							
	Varia	Variance Inflationary Factors of Stepwise Multiple Regressions of Machinery industry (38 FDI Companies	irs of St	epwise	Multiple Regression	s of Mac	chinery	industr	/ (38 FI	OI Companies )		
STD1/TA ratio		TC&E/TA	A ratio			LTD/TA ratio	atio			TL/TA ratio	atio	
Variables	Run6 <sup>b</sup>	Run6 <sup>b</sup> Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run7 <sup>b</sup>	Run7 <sup>b</sup> Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run 1 <sup>b</sup> Run4 <sup>b</sup> Run5 <sup>b</sup>	Run5 <sup>b</sup>	Run6 <sup>b</sup>	Run6 <sup>b</sup> Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run 2 <sup>b</sup>
Log of sales		Log of sales		1	Log of sales					Log of sales		
Log of GTFA		Log of GTFA			Log of GTFA					Log of GTFA		
Log of TNA		Log of TNA	1	1	Log of TNA			Ì		Log of TNA	1	ļ
PBITDA/TGA		PBITDA/TGA	-	1.266	PBITDA/TGA		1.119			PBITDA/TGA		1
PBT/TNA		PBT/TNA	1	1	PBT/TNA	1.009	1	ļ		PBT/TNA	ł	1.721
NFA/TNA	1.016	1.016 NFA/TNA	1.016	1	NFA/TNA	1.009	-	1.019		NFA/TNA	1	1
GFA/TGA	1	GFA/TGA	1	1.143	GFA/TGA	1	1.142		-	GFA/TGA		1
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA		(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	1		(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA		-			(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	1	]
INV/TNA		INV/TNA			INV/TNA	-				INV/TNA	1.025	
SD of PBITDA/TGA		SD of PBITDA/TGA	1	1.111	SD of PBITDA/TGA		1.177			SD of PBITDA/TGA		
COV of PBIT/TNA	1.078	COV of PBIT/TNA	1.078		COV of PBIT/TNA	***	I	1.016		COV of PBIT/TNA	1.025	1.721
CAGR of TNA		CAGR of TNA	ŀ	1	CAGR of TNA			1		CAGR of TNA		
CAGR of sales		CAGR of sales			CAGR of sales		-		1	CAGR of sales	3	
Depr/TGA		Depr/TGA		1	Depr/TGA				1	Depr/TGA		1
PBDIT/INT	1	PBDIT/INT	;		PBDIT/INT			ł	-	PBDIT/INT		1
Log of age		Log of age			Log of age					Log of age		1
Eq Div/PAT		Eq Div/PAT	ł	1	Eq Div/PAT	1	]		1	Eq Div/PAT		1
CA/CL	1.094	.094 CA/CL	1.094	1.254	CA/CL			1		CA/CL		1
Net exp/Sales	1	Net exp/Sales			Net exp/Sales		1.117	1.034		Net exp/Sales		1
DIV/SC		DIV/SC	1	1	DIV/SC	1	]			DIV/SC		
R&D/Sales	1	R&D/Sales	1		R&D/Sales	1	1			R&D/Sales		1
INT/DEBT		INT/DEBT	1		INT/DEBT			5 3 5 3		INT/DEBT	1	1

·

307

		Tab	le 6.2.4		
Final Regres	sion runs on Debt ra	tio:STD1/TA (Machin	ery Industry:38 compar	nies) [Stepwise Reges	sion results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of TNA
	PBT/TNA		PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA
NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)
		INV/TNA		INV/TNA	INV/TNA
COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
DIV/SC		DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC
R sq =0.651	R sq =0.651	R sq =0.651	R sq =0.651	R sq =0.651	R sq =0.651
Adj R sq=.620	Adj R sq=.620	Adj R sq=.620	Adj R sq=.620	Adj R sq=.620	Adj R sq=.620

				Table 6.2.5				
	F	inal Regression run	s on Debt ratio:TC&E/T/	A (Machinery Industry:3	8 companies) [Stepw	vise Regession results]		
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6	Run7	Run8	Run9
Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA	Log of sales	Log of GTFA	Log of sales	Log of sales
PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBITDA/TGA(-)		PBITDA/TGA(-)	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA
NFA/TNA(-)	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA		NFA/TNA(-)	GFA/TGA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)	GFA/TGA(-)	NFA/TNA(·)	NFA/TNA(·)
COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	SD of PBITDA/TGA(-)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	SD of PBITDA/TGA(-)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
					DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC
						Depr/TGA		
				PBDIT/INT		PBDIT/INT		
		INV/TNA						INV/TNA
R sq= .784	R sq =0.640	R sq =0.640	R sq= .784	R sq =.857	R sq= .784	R sq =.857	R sq= .784	R sq= .784
Adj R sq= .765	Adj R sq=.619	Adj R sq=.619	Adj R sq= .765	Adj R sq=.840	Adj R sq= .765	Adj R sq=.840	Adj R sq= .765	Adj R sq= .765

		Ta	ble 6.2.6		
Final Regre	ession runs on Debt r	atio:LTD/TA (Machir	nery Industry:38 compani	es) [Stepwise Regess	sion results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA
PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBITDA/TGA(-)		PBITDA/TGA(-)
NFA/TNA(+)	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	NFA/TNA(+)	GFA/TGA(+)	NFA/TNA(+)	GFA/TGA(+)
COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	SD of PBITDA/TGA
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales(-)	Net exp/Sales(-)	Net exp/Sales(-)
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
				DIV/SC	DIV/SC
					Depr/TGA(-)
			PBDIT/INT		PBDIT/INT
R sq= .497	R sq =0.352	R sq= .497	R sq =.53	R sq =.529	R sq =.585
Adj R Sq = .468	Adj R sq=.334	Adj R Sq = .468	Adj R sq=.473	Adj R sq=.487	Adj R sq=.535

		Tab	ble 6.2.7		
Final Regres	sion runs on Debt r	atio:TL/TA (Machine	ry Industry:38 compan	ies) [Stepwise Regession	on results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales
PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA(-)
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA(+)	INV/TNA	INV/TNA	NFA/TNA	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA(+)	INV/TNA
COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)	COV PBIT/TNA(+)
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
			DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC
R sq =0.635	R sq =0.631	R sq =0.631	R sq =0.631	R sq =0.635	R sq =0.631
Adj R sq=.614	Adj R sq=.610	Adj R sq=.610	Adj R sq=.610	Adj R sq=.614	Adj R sq=.610

					Table	Table 6.3.2 ( page 1)	e 1)				
		Pearsons Correla		Matrix of Expl	anatory Vai	riables for l	<b>Debt</b> ratios	tions Matrix of Explanatory Variables for Debt ratios (Transport Industry:18 Companies)	ry:18 Compa	nies)	
Variables	Log of sales	Log of GTFA	Log of TNA	<b>PBITDA/TGA</b>	PBT/TNA	NFA/TNA	GFA/TGA	TNA PBITDATGA PBTTNA NFATNA GFATGA (Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA INV/TNA		SD of PBITDA/TGA COV of PBIT/TNA	COV of PBIT/TNA
Log of sales	-										
Log of GTFA	.966(**)	-									
Log of TNA	(**)086.	.970(**)	-								
PBITDA/TGA	0.264	0.114	0.229	-						-	
PBT/TNA	0.216	0.059	0.181	.983(**)	~						
NFA/TNA	0.337	0.463	0.287	-0.271	-0.379	-					
GFA/TGA	0.349	.496(*)	0.282	-0.293	-0.348	.883(**)	<b>~~</b>		-		•
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	-0.044	0.084	-0.065	592(**)	628(**)	.659(**)	.554(*)	~			
NV/TNA	-0.113	-0.104	-0.122	-0.407	-0.345	-0.049	-0.01	(**)	<b>~~</b>		
SD of PBITDA/TGA	-0.006	-0.16	-0.014	.572(*)	.595(**)	-0.4	472(*)	567(*)	-0.173	-	
COV of PBIT/TNA	-0.177	-0.139	-0.168	-0.331	-0.298	0.055	0.099	0.112	0.253	0.434	-
CAGR of TNA	.502(*)	0.458	.471(*)	.602(**)	.540(*)	0.211	0.205	-0.079	-0.282	-0.023	-0.36
CAGR of sales	.484(*)	0.423	0.392	0.405	0.343	0.417	0.378	0.169	-0.162	-0.068	-0.319
Depr/TGA	0.46	.550(*)	0.418	0.102	0.087	0.377	(**)089.	-0.01	-0.302	-0.355	-0.254
PBDIT/INT	0.243	0.287	0.276	-0.168	-0.118	0.12	0.121	0.196	0.04	-0.285	-0.16
-og of age	-0.081	-0.146	-0.055	0.054	0.088	-0.297	-0.374	-0.311	-0.309	0.376	0.014
Eq Div/PAT	577(*)	550(*)	536(*)	-0.168	-0.101	-0.427	-0.385	0.023	0.206	-0.226	-0.242
CAICL	-0.109	-0.052	-0.02	-0.117	-0.108	-0.089	-0.173	0.264	.526(*)	0.109	0.231
Net exp/Sales	0.175	-0.03	0.104	.698(**)	.695(**)	-0.272	-0.349	-0.461	-0.202	.585(*)	-0.173
DIV/SC	0.264	0.345	0.354	0.138	0.184	-0.141	0.002	-0.255	-0.298	-0.102	-0.279
R&D/Sales	-0.065	0.018	0.03	486(*)	498(*)	0.048	-0.081	0.28	0.413	-0.141	0.195
INT/DEBT	-0.027	-0.115	-0.033	.723(**)	.739(**)	-0.388	-0.316	615(**)	471(*)	0.415	-0.136
	prime in the second	والمحتمد وال		*Correlati	Correlation Is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)	ant at the 0.	.05 level (2-1	tailed).			
Advances of the second state of								and the second se		akeen maan maan maan maan maan maan maan m	

				<b>Table 6.3.2</b>	Table 6.3.2 Continued: ( page 2)	page 2)					
	Pearsons	Pearsons Correlations M	atrix of Ex	olanatory Va	iriables for D	ebt ratios (Ti	ransport In	s Matrix of Explanatory Variables for Debt ratios (Transport Industry:18 Companies)	npanies)	a de la colta d	
Variables	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA CAGR of sales Depr/TGA PBDIT/INT Log of age Eq Div/PAT CA/CL	Depr/TGA	PBDIT/INT	Log of age	Eq Div/PAT		Net exp/Sales DIV/SC	DIV/SC	R&D/Sales INT/DEBT	NT/DEBT
Log of sales	-										
Log of GTFA											
Log of TNA											-
PBITDA/TGA											
PBT/TNA											
NFA/TNA											
GFA/TGA											
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA											
INV/TNA											
SD of PBITDA/TGA											
COV of PBIT/TNA											
CAGR of TNA	-										
CAGR of sales	.781(**)										
Depr/TGA	0.445	.473(*)	<b>***</b>								
PBDIT/INT	0.03	-0.148	0.03	-							
Log of age	-0.254	-0.142	-0.229	0.073	1						
Eq Div/PAT	-0.301	-0.428	-0.334	0.062	0.08	-			-		
CAICL	-0.395	486(*)	-0.417	-0.014	-0.019	0.138	+				
Net exp/Sales	0.424	0.378	-0.146	-0.21	0.397	-0.146	-0.187	4			
DIV/SC	0.013	-0.233	0.322	0.414	0.341	0.084	0.25	-0.121			
R&D/Sales	587(*)	604(**)	-0.361	0.166	-0.243	0.014	.612(**)	608(**)	0.014	1	
INT/DEBT	.497(*)	0.248	0.068	-0.246	0.028	0.116	-0.246	.525(*)	0.14	672(**)	
			*Correls	tion is signifi	Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).	5 level (2-tail	ed).				
			**Correl	ation is signif	**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)	01 level (2-tai	led).				

				Tat	Table 6.3.3						
Varianc	e Inflatic	onary Fa	ctors of	Variance Inflationary Factors of Stepwise Multiple Regressions of Transport Industry (18	Regressi	ons of	<b>Tanspo</b>		<b>FDI Companies</b>	es )	
TC&E	TC&E/TA ratio	0		LTD/	LTD/TA ratio				TL/TA ratio		
Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run 1 <sup>b</sup> Run 3 <sup>b</sup>		Run9 <sup>b</sup> Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup> Run2 <sup>b</sup>		Run4 <sup>b</sup>	Run4 <sup>b</sup> Variables	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run 1 <sup>b</sup> Run 2 <sup>b</sup>	Run 4 <sup>b</sup>
Log of sales				Log of sales				Log of sales			
Log of GTFA		1		Log of GTFA	1	-		Log of GTFA	****		
Log of TNA			l	Log of TNA		1		Log of TNA	1		
<b>PBITDA/TGA</b>	1	1	1	PBITDA/TGA	1			PBITDA/TGA			
PBT/TNA	1	1	1	PBT/TNA	1	1		PBT/TNA			1.008
NFA/TNA	1.337	1	1.343	NFA/TNA	1.008	1	-	NFA/TNA			
GFA/TGA				GFA/TGA			1.031	GFA/TGA			
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA				(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA		1.000		(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA	1.000		
INV/TNA		1.044	1.343	INV/TNA			****	INV/TNA		1.000	
SD of PBITDA/TGA				SD of PBITDA/TGA	1			SD of PBITDA/TGA			
COV of PBIT/TNA	-		1.214	COV of PBIT/TNA				COV of PBIT/TNA			1
CAGR of TNA				CAGR of TNA				CAGR of TNA			
CAGR of sales				CAGR of sales				CAGR of sales	]		
Depr/TGA				Depr/TGA	1			Depr/TGA	1	1	ł
PBDIT/INT				PBDIT/INT		1		PBDIT/INT	-	1	
Log of age	1			Log of age				Log of age			1
Eq Div/PAT	1.100		1.266					Eq Div/PAT	1		1.008
CA/CL	1.227	1.044	1.420	.420 CA/CL	****		****	CAVCL	1		1
Net exp/Sales	****			Net exp/Sales	1.008		1.031	Net exp/Sales	1		1
DIV/SC		1		DIV/SC		]		DIV/SC			1
R&D/Sales			l	R&D/Sales	1	1		R&D/Sales			
INT/DEBT	-	1	1	INT/DEBT	1	1		INT/DEBT		42	I I I I I

u.

312

Final Regressi	on runs on Debt ratio	Table STD1/TA (Transport:		nies) [Stepwise Reges	sion results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of TNA
	PBT/TNA		PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA
NFA/TNA	NFA/TNA	NFA/TNA	NFA/TNA	NFA/TNA	NFA/TNA
		INV/TNA		INV/TNA	INV/TNA
COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TN/
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
DIV/SC		DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC

				Table 6.3.5				
	Final Re	gression runs on D	ebt ratio:TC&E/TA (	Transport industry :18 c	ompanies) [Stepwis	e Regession results]		
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6	Run7	Run8	Run9
Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA	Log of sales	Log of GTFA	Log of sales	Log of sales
PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBITDA/TGA		PBITDA/TGA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA
NFA/TNA(-)	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA		NFA/TNA(-)	GFATGA	NFA/TNA(-)	GFA/TGA	NFA/TNA(-)	NFA/TNA(-)
COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV PBIT/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA(-
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT(-)	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT(-)	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT(-)	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT(-)	Eq Div/PAT(-)
CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CA/CL(-)	CAICL(-)
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
					DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC
						Depr/TGA		
				PBDIT/INT		PBDIT/INT		
		INV/TNA(+)						INV/TNA(+)
R sq= .878	R sq =0.761	R sq =0.869	R sq= .878	R sq =0.761	R sq= .878	R sq =0.761	R sq= .878	R sq= .967
Adj R sq= .852	Adj R sq=.746	Adj R sq=.852	Adj R sq= .852	Adj R sq=.746	Adj R sq= .852	Adj R sq=.746	Adj R sq= .852	Adj R sq= .953

		Table	e 6.3.6		
Final Regres	ssion runs on Debt ratio	LTD/TA (Transpor	t Industry:18 compani	ies) [Stepwise Reges	sion results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of TNA	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA	Log of TNA	Log of GTFA
PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBITDA/TGA		PBITDA/TGA
NFA/TNA(+)	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA(+)	NFA/TNA(+)	GFA/TGA(+)	NFA/TNA(+)	GFA/TGA(+)
COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA	COV PBIT/TNA	SD of PBITDA/TGA
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL
Net exp/Sales(+)	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales(+)	Net exp/Sales(+)	Net exp/Sales(+)	Net exp/Sales(+)
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
				DIV/SC	DIV/SC
					Depr/TGA
			PBDIT/INT		PBDIT/INT
R sq= .670	R sq =0.499	R sq= .670	R sq =.556	R sq= .670	R sq =.556
Adj R Sq = .627	Adj R sq=.467	Adj R Sq = .627	Adj R sq=.497	Adj R Sq = .627	Adj R sq=.497

		Table	6.3.7		
Final Regress	sion runs on Debt rati	o:TL/TA (Transport	ndustry:18 compan	ies) [Stepwise Regession	on results]
Run1	Run2	Run3	Run4	Run5	Run6
Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales	Log of sales
PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA(-)	PBT/TNA	PBT/TNA
(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA(+)	INV/TNA(+)	INV/TNA(+)	NFA/TNA	(Nfa+Inv+AR)/TNA(+)	INV/TNA(+)
COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA	COV PBIT/TNA
CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of sales	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA	CAGR of TNA
Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age	Log of age
Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT(-)	Eq Div/PAT	Eq Div/PAT
CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL	CA/CL
Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales	Net exp/Sales
R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales	R&D/Sales
INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT	INT/DEBT
			DIV/SC	DIV/SC	DIV/SC
R sq =.417	R sq = .326	R sq = .326	R sq = .458	R sq =.417	R sq = .326
Adj R sq=.381	Adj R sq= .284	Adj R sq= .284	Adj R sq= .386	Adj R sq=.381	Adj R sq= .284