

List of Figures

<u>CHAPTER I</u>	Page No.
Figure 1: Electromagnetic spectrum	23
Figure 2: Contiguous spectrum of healthy green vegetation using Spaceborne EO-1 Hyperion data and Landsat ETM+ imagery	23
Figure 3: Representative spectral reflectance curves for several common Earth surface materials	24
Figure 4: The concept of imaging spectroscopy	25
Figure 5: Reflectance spectra of green vegetation	25
<u>CHAPTERS II</u>	
Figure 1: Location map of the Shoolpaneshwar Wildlife Sanctuary	39
Figure 2: Photographs showing moist deciduous nature of the sanctuary	41
Figure 3: Photographs showing dry deciduous nature of the sanctuary	43
Figure 4: Photographs showing Riverine vegetation of the sanctuary	45
Figure 5: Photographs showing plantation of <i>Tectona grandis</i> L. and <i>Dendrocalamus strictus</i> Nees .	46
Figure 6: Pie Chart showing land use pattern across the sanctuary	48
Figure 7: (a) Photograph showing uncovered South-East area of the sanctuary, (b) photograph of Mangifera often planted by tribal near their hut	49
Figure 8: Satellite path across the study area in two different seasons (a) April (b) October	50
Figure 9: EO-I Hyperion satellite path of Shoolpaneshwar Wildlife Sanctuary from two different dates (a) 3 rd April, 06 and (b) 21 st October, 06	51
Figure 10: Hyperion Sensor	55
Figure 11: EO-1 and Landsat-7 instrument ground tracks	56
Figure 12: Hyperion Signal-to-Noise performance	57
Figure 13: Brightness gradient in MNF 1 of (a) Hyperion VNIR bands and (b) SWIR bands of October month imagery	60
Figure 14: Brightness gradient in MNF 1 of (a) Hyperion VNIR bands and (b) SWIR bands of April month imagery	60
Figure 15: MNF transform of VNIR bands. MNF band 1 (left) and the same after atmospheric correction (right)	61
Figure 16: Hyperion band at 426nm (left) and the same after removal of column striping artifact (right)	61
Figure 17: Water vapor image and histogram of dry season data. Precipitable water vapor (in India) in microns	62
Figure 18: Water vapor image and histogram of wet season data. Precipitable water vapor (in India) in microns	62
Figure 19: Original radiance (left) and ACORN reflectance (right) extracted from dry season data	63
Figure 20: Original radiance (left) and ACORN reflectance (right) extracted from wet season data	64
<u>CHAPTER III</u>	
Figure 1: Atmospherically corrected subsets of Hyperion data for dry and wet Season	82
Figure 2: Reflectance spectra for the 7 species selected (a) 3 rd April, 2006 and (b) 21 st October, 2006	83

Figure 3: Reflectance spectra of the 7 tree species from two different data sets	84-85
Figure 4: Continuum removal spectra for 7 different tree species from two data sets	86-87
Figure 5: Spectral signature of <i>Tectona grandis</i> L.	90-92
Figure 6: Continuum spectra for <i>Tectona</i> in same terrain with different girth class from two different data sets	93
Figure 7: Continuum removal spectra of <i>Tectona</i> in different terrain with same girth class from two different data sets	94
Figure 8: Continuum removal spectra for <i>Tectona</i> in open areas with different girth class from two different data sets	95
Figure 9: Descriptive spectra for different girth classes of <i>Tectona grandis</i> L.	100
Figure 10: Derivative spectra for 7 tropical tree species using April month imagery	100
Figure 11: a) Spectral reflectance curves for <i>Pongamia</i> and <i>Dendrocalamus</i> . b) Double peak feature in the red edge region of the 1 st derivative spectra	101
Figure 12: Shoolpaneshwar wildlife sanctuary (a) Area of interest using selected three sensitive bands (RGB): 1628nm, 962nm, 681nm, (b) image of supervised classification using selected (3) sensitive bands	102
Figure 13: Descriptive spectra (—) along with other spectra (-----) coming from the same for each species seen in the cluster	105-106
Figure 14: Dimensionality of hyperspectral reflectance of October month imagery	111-112
Figure 15: The eigenvalue spectrum corresponding to MNF transformed bands	113
Figure 16: Developed PPI (Pixel Purity Index) images	114
Figure 17: Endmember spectra of 5 selected tree species	115
Figure 18: Input data (a) Hyperion data (Color composite: SWIR-II-1648NM; NIR-833nm; RED-660nm), results of SAM algorithm using (b) full spectrum (1-196bands) (c) VIS-NIR region (1-90bands), (d) SWIR-1 region (103-136bands), (e) SWIR-II region (159-195bands)	116
Figure 19: Input data (a) MNF transformed data (Color composite of MNF bands 1, 2 & 3), results of SAM algorithm using (b) 1-15 MNF bands & (c) 1-10 MNF bands	117
Figure 20: Showing variation in leaf litter thickness of <i>Tectona grandis</i> L.	125
Figure 21: Showing variation in leaf litter thickness of <i>Dendrocalamus strictus</i> Nees.	126
Figure 22: Showing variation in leaf litter spectra of other mixed deciduous species	127
Figure 23: (a) Descriptive spectra, (b) continuum-removed spectra, for Soil, <i>Dendrocalamus</i> (5-7cm litter depth); other mixed deciduous species (5-7cm, litter depth) and <i>Tectona</i> (8-10cm, litter depth)	128
Figure 24: (a) Descriptive spectra, (b) continuum-removed spectra, for Soil, <i>Tectona</i> (9-11cm litter depth); other mixed deciduous species (9-11cm, litter depth) and <i>Dendrocalamus</i> (7-9cm, litter depth)	129
Figure 25: (a) Normal reflectance spectra & (b) Continuum removed spectra for all 4 categories from same litter depth at same altitude	130
Figure 26: (A) Area of interest using selected three sensitive bands 690nm, 2113nm, 2234nm, (B) image of supervised classification using selected (3) sensitive Bands	131

CHAPTERS IV

Figure 1: Canopy shapes of (a) <i>Tectona grandis</i> , (b) <i>Dendrocalamus strictus</i> (c) <i>Mangifera indica</i> (d) <i>Madhuca indica</i>	147
---	-----