

List of Figures

1.1	Three different types of fibroadenoma breast tissues.(4; 5)	5
1.2	Normal cervical tissue and stages of its cancer	7
1.3	Cervix with invasive cancer	8
2.1	(a)Interaction of light with tissues and (b) Tissue Simplistic view: Highly scattering and absorbing medium	19
2.2	Fluorescence Spectra of Endogenous Tissue Fluorophores	19
2.3	Jablonski Energy diagram	20
2.4	Energy level diagram illustrating the phenomena of absorption and fluorescence	24
3.1	Experimental set-up.	38
3.2	Optical diagram of the 1450 tunable excitation filter.	40
3.3	Experimental Setup (Fluorolog-3, Model FL3-22).	42
3.4	Monochromator.	43
3.5	L-Format Polarization.	46
3.6	Time and frequency resolutions.	49
3.7	Multi-resolution analysis.	53
3.8	Pictorial demonstration of Discrete Wavelet Transform.	54
4.1	Typical autofluorescence intensity plots for parallel & perpendicular component and their differences for (a)Cancer, (b) Benign and (c) Normal tissues.	62

LIST OF FIGURES

4.2	Represents, (a) the fluorescence spectrum (parallel component) of a normal tissue, whose high-pass wavelet coefficients are displayed in (b) level-1, (d) level-2, (f) level-3, (h) level-4 and (c), (e), (g), (i) showing the corresponding low pass coefficients.	63
4.3	Represents, (a) the fluorescence spectrum (parallel component) of a cancer tissue, whose high-pass wavelet coefficients are displayed in (b) level-1, (d) level-2, (f) level-3, (h) level-4 and (c), (e), (g), (i) showing the corresponding low pass coefficients.	64
4.4	Represents, (a) the fluorescence spectrum (parallel component) of a benign tissue, whose high-pass wavelet coefficients are displayed in (b) level-1, (d) level-2, (f) level-3, (h) level-4 and (c), (e), (g), (i) showing the corresponding low pass coefficients.	66
4.5	Histogram of the normalized wavelet coefficient for (a) cancer, (b) benign and (c) normal tissues of level-1.	67
4.6	Plots of low pass coefficients of cancer and normal tissues for (a) level-3 (b) level-4 and (c) level-5 average coefficients. The fourth-level coefficients highlight the 630 nm weak emission peak in cancerous tissue. 6(d) shows a typical low pass power plot of the difference of parallel and perpendicular components of the fluorescence spectra of cancer and normal tissues. The rate of decrease of low pass power, as a function of levels, is slower in cancer tissues.	68
4.7	Typical (a) Local maxima at third quarter of fourth level low-pass coefficients of cancer and normal breast tissues and (b) Standard deviations of the spectral fluctuations of the cancer and normal tissues, captured through the high pass coefficients at level-1. . . .	69
4.8	Continuous wavelet transform scalograms of (a), (b) parallel, (c), (d) perpendicular components and (e), (f) of difference of parallel and perpendicular components of the fluorescence spectra, respectively for cancer and normal tissue samples using Morlet wavelet.	74
4.9	Plots of the prominent periodic modulations of the Morlet wavelet coefficients of (a) a normal and its (b) cancer counterpart, (c) the plot of the dominant wavelet coefficient showing significantly different behavior for cancer and normal tissues.	76

4.10	Plots of (a) the cumulative sum of the CWT coefficients over all the wavelengths, over 1-50 scales, for the differences of the intensities of parallel and perpendicular components of fluorescence spectra of a typical Ductal sample and (b) autocorrelation of wavelet coefficients, corresponding to the scale of local maxima.	77
4.11	Plots of the cumulative sum of the CWT coefficients over all the wavelengths, over 1-50 scales, for the differences of the intensities of parallel and perpendicular components of fluorescence spectra of a typical (a) Cystosarcoma sample and (b) Lobular sample. . .	78
4.12	Correlation matrices of cancer and normal tissue samples: (a) and (b) parallel, (c) and (d) perpendicular components, and (e) and (f) difference of parallel and perpendicular components of polarized fluorescence data. The nature of correlations, as seen through different sized domains, are clearly different for cancer and normal fluorescence intensities. The difference of intensities yields much stronger correlations in the 500- to 700-nm range for normal breast samples.	81
4.13	Plots of the entries in the eigenvectors corresponding to the three highest eigenvalues (from left to right) for (a) cancer and (b) normal parallel components. The x axis corresponds to the wavelength range of 500 to 700 nm. The observed domain type structures in the correlation matrices give rise to similar structures in the entries of the eigenvectors.	82
4.14	Plots of the entries in the eigenvectors corresponding to the three highest eigenvalues (from left to right) for (a) cancer and (b) normal perpendicular components. The x axis corresponds to the wavelength range of 500 to 700 nm. In the larger eigenvalues, one sees a domain-type structure. For the largest one, the normal sample shows much more correlation over the entire wavelength domain, since all the entries have almost identical values. For the normal component, one sees dominant contribution from certain wavelength sectors in the eigenvalues λ_2 and λ_3 , as seen through the presence of peaks.	83

LIST OF FIGURES

4.15 Plots of the entries in the eigenvectors corresponding to the three highest eigenvalues (from left to right) for (a) cancer and (b) normal tissue intensity differences of parallel and perpendicular components. The x axis corresponds to the wavelength range of 500 to 700 nm. For cancer and normal cases, we find only a few large eigenvalues. The correlation domains are much bigger, since the entries in the eigenvector are almost identical over the entire wavelength domain. 85

4.16 Histogram plots of the level spacing distribution for the unfolded eigenvalues. Histograms (a) and (b) correspond to the eigenvalues of cancer and normal tissues, respectively, in the parallel channel. (c) and (d) give the histogram plots for the eigenvalues of cancer and normal tissues in the perpendicular channel. Solid lines depict the fit of the $\rho_c(\lambda)$ on the eigenvalues. One sees an extremely good fit for the perpendicular case. 86

4.17 Correlation matrices of benign intracanalicular and pericanalicular tissue samples, (a), (c) parallel, and (b), (d) perpendicular components of polarized fluorescence data. The nature of correlations, as seen through different sized domains, are clearly different for benign intracanalicular and pericanalicular fluorescence intensities. 88

4.18 Probability density of PC1 for (a) & (b) benign, (c) & (d) normal and (e) & (f) cancer tissue types. The probability density is estimated on 100 equally spaced points that span the range of values observed in the principal component using a kernel smoothing technique, whereby a 'normal' kernel type was chosen for estimation. 90

4.19 Probability density of PC1 of level-1 low pass coefficients for (a) & (b) benign, (c) & (d) normal and (e) & (f) cancer tissue types. 91

4.20 Scatter plot of PC1 and PC2 for (a) & (b) benign, (c) & (d) normal and (e) & (f) cancer tissue types. PC1 (eigenvector corresponding to highest eigenvalue) captures the highest proportion of variance in the time series, while PC2 captures the second highest proportion of variance. 92

LIST OF FIGURES

4.21	Probability density for PC1 of (a) intensity and (b) low pass coefficients (level-1).	93
4.22	Probability density for PC2 of (a) intensity and (b) low pass coefficients (level-1).	93
4.23	Correlation matrices of benign, normal and cancer tissue samples of (a), (d), (g) original intensity, (b), (e), (h) using PC1 and (c), (f), (i) using PC2 of parallel component of polarized fluorescence data.	94
4.24	Correlation matrices of benign, normal and cancer tissue samples of (a), (d), (g) original intensity, (b), (e), (h) using PC1 and (c), (f), (i) using PC2 of perpendicular component of polarized fluorescence data.	95
4.25	Typical autofluorescence intensity plots for co- & crossed- component and their differences for (a) Cancer and (b) Normal cervix tissues.	98
4.26	Scatter plot of PC1 and PC2 for (a) & (b) co-, (c) & (d) crossed- and (e) & (f) difference of co- and crossed- component of cancer and normal tissue types. PC1 (eigenvector corresponding to highest eigenvalue) captures the highest proportion of variance in the time series, while PC2 captures the second highest proportion of variance.	99
4.27	Probability density for (a) PC1 and (b) PC2. The probability density is estimated on 100 equally spaced points that span the range of values observed in the principal component using a kernel smoothing technique, whereby a 'normal' kernel type was chosen for estimation.	100