

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Quorum sensing systems in bacteria	7
Figure 1.2 Quorum sensing mechanism in Gram-negative bacteria.....	8
Figure 1.3 Bacterial soft rots of fruits and vegetables caused primarily by species of <i>Pectobacterium</i> and <i>Pseudomonas</i>	11
Figure 1.4 Bacterial soft rot of vegetables on (A) cabbage head, (B) carrots, (C) tomato, (D) onion bulb, and (E) celery.	12
Figure 1.5 Bacterial wilt and stem rot of fleshy plants caused by <i>Pectobacterium chrysanthemi</i> . (A) Stem rot of corn. (B) Canker and stem rot of cassava and (C) stem rot of cassava. <i>Pectobacterium</i> stem rot of banana in close-up showing internal stem discoloration (E) and in the field (D).	13
Figure 1.6 Disease cycle of bacterial soft rot of vegetables caused by soft-rotting <i>Pectobacterium</i> sp.	14
Figure 1.7 Common regulatory systems controlling virulence in <i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i> subsp. <i>carotovorum</i>	17
Figure 1.8 (A) CV026 application as a biosensor, (B) Response of external AHL by CV026.....	19
Figure 1.9 Interruption of quorum sensing circuit at different targets to inhibit the virulence gene expression in phytopathogenic bacteria.....	21
Figure 1.10 Major classes of AHL inactivating enzymes produced by bacteria.	25
Figure 2.1 Acetonitrile enrichment using solid defined basal media (DE).....	34
Figure 2.2 Acetonitrile enrichment using liquid defined basal media (DE)	34
Figure 2.3 Representative example of isolation and enrichment technique for Actinomycetota	39
Figure 2.4 Representative Image of Microtiter plate of AHL degradation bioassay...	41
Figure 2. 5 PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene using 27F and 1541R primers.....	42
Figure 2.6 Confirmation of <i>Rhodococcus erythropolis</i> CRD13.3C using <i>Rhodococcus erythropolis</i> specific primers. <i>R. pyridinivorans</i> AI4 was used as negative control which shows no amplification (Lanes 4 and 5).	45
Figure 3.1 Representative image of a plate used in bioassay for AHL degradation....	53

Figure 3.2 Growth curves of the three Actinomycetotal isolates <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a, <i>R. pyridinivorans</i> AI4 and <i>R. erythropolis</i> CRD13.3C.	59
Figure 3.3 <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a, <i>R. pyridinivorans</i> AI4 and <i>R. erythropolis</i> CRD13.3C do not inhibit the growth of <i>PccBR1</i> while the SDS shows growth inhibition.	60
Figure 3.4 <i>In vitro</i> co-culture bioassay for degradation of 3-oxo-C6 AHL by <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a, <i>R. pyridinivorans</i> AI4 and <i>R. erythropolis</i> CRD13.3C.....	64
Figure 3.5 Activity levels of plant cell wall degrading enzymes in pure culture and in co-culture broths. (a) pectin lyase; (b) polygalacturonase; (c) pectate lyase	65
Figure 3.6 <i>In vitro</i> soft rot reduction on potato and cucumber hosts by Actinomycetotal isolates.....	66
Figure 3.7 CV026 assay for isolates <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a, <i>R. pyridinivorans</i> AI4 and <i>R. erythropolis</i> CRD13.3C with five different AHLs.	67
Figure 3.8 (a) Plate representing different amount of C6-AHL in the wells and development of purple streak by CV026 due to diffusion of C6-AHL (b) Plot of amount of C6-AHL versus the distance travelled. Values are represented as mean \pm SD for three replicates.....	68
Figure 3.9 Influence of varying incubation temperature on C6-AHL degradation by <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a, <i>R. pyridinivorans</i> AI4 and <i>R. erythropolis</i> CRD13.3C.....	69
Figure 3.10 C6-AHL degradation at different pH by <i>R. pyridinivorans</i> AI4 and <i>R. erythropolis</i> CRD13.3C	70
Figure 3.11 C6-AHL degradation at different pH by <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a.....	70
Figure 3.12 Effect of temperature on AHL degrading enzyme of <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a at A) 25 μ M B) 50 μ M C6-AHL concentrations.	71
Figure 3.13 <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a AHL degrading Enzyme activity v/s temperature curve at (A) 25 μ M and (B) 50 μ M AHL concentration	72
Figure 3.14 Effect of pH on AHL degrading enzyme activity of <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a at A) 25 μ M B) 50 μ M.....	73
Figure 3.15 Plot representing pH v/s AHL degrading enzyme activity at 25 μ M and 50 μ M AHL concentration.....	73
Figure 3.16 (A) Representative plates showing AHL degrading enzyme activity of <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a in presence of Zn ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺ .PC is the positive control, EC experiment control and streak under Zn shows the degraded AHL and streak under Cu shows the undegraded AHL (B)Plot representing enzyme activity as a funvntion of different metal ions supplemented.....	74

Figure 3.17 Substrate saturation curve of C6-AHL degrading enzyme from <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a.....	75
Figure 3.18 AHL restoration process via acidification by HCL.....	78
Figure 3.19 (a) Growth of <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a in BH minimal media containing either C6-AHL or glucose as the sole carbon source (n =3). (b) Representative image of the AHL restoration assay.....	78
Figure 3.20 HPLC analysis of the product of AHL degradation by <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a (a) C6-AHL peak (control) (b) Peaks of degradation product (*)of C6-AHL by <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a and of residual C6-AHL (**).	79
Figure 4.1 Process of setting up the Potato <i>in planta</i> experiments.....	85
Figure 4.2 Procedure for Stem inoculation and Leaf and lateral stem inoculation with <i>PccBR1</i>	87
Figure 4.3 Map of plasmid pHc60	88
Figure 4.4 Gene map of pTZ57R/T cloning vector	90
Figure 4.5 Gene map of pJET1.2/blunt cloning vector.....	90
Figure 4.6 Experimental setup of storage experiments. (A) sterile toothpick dip in sorval containing inoculum. (B) and (C) stab the potato/respective vegetable shown with red arrow.	98
Figure 4.7 <i>PccBR1</i> in planta infection model of cucumber and biocontrol effect of Actinomycetotal isolates.....	102
Figure 4.8 <i>PccBR1 in planta</i> infection model of cucumber and biocontrol effect of Actinomycetotal isolates showing growth parameters.....	106
Figure 4.9 Soil inoculation (a) Soil inoculation greenhouse experiments showing the plant after 8 weeks (b) Shoot length (c) Number of leaves (d) Percentage of diseased leaves (e) Wet weight (f) Dry weight	107
Figure 4.10 Stem inoculation (a) Effect on mother potato observed after 8 weeks of stem inoculation (b) LS of potato stem showing the effect of infection post 8 weeks (c) Shoot length (d) Percentage of diseased leaves.....	108
Figure 4.11 Lateral stem and leaf inoculation (a) Whole plant comparative representative images (b) Leaves images (c) Shoot length (d) Percentage diseased leaves.....	109
Figure 4.12 (A) <i>PccBR1</i> (B) GFP tagged <i>PccBR1</i> under transilluminator.....	111
Figure 4.13 PCR for confirmation of <i>PccBR1</i> pHc60 GFP.....	111

Figure 4.14 (A) Confirmation of <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 GFP for its virulence on potato. Fluorescence micrographs of <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 GFP at (B) 60X and (C) 100X magnification at 508 nm	112
Figure 4.15 Agarose gel (0.8%) images of gDNA isolation from <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 GFP and <i>GnAI5a</i>	113
Figure 4.16 Amplification of specific 16S rRNA gene of <i>GnAI5a</i> by PCR.....	114
Figure 4.17 Amplification of specific 16S rRNA gene of <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 GFP by PCR	115
Figure 4.18 Extracted plasmids from (A) recombinant <i>E. coli</i> DH5 α (pTZ57R/TGn) (B) recombinant <i>E. coli</i> DH5 α (pJETPcc)	116
Figure 4.19 PCR for specific 16S rRNA gene for <i>GnAI5a</i> for clone confirmation ..	117
Figure 4.20 Restriction enzyme double digestion for specific 16S rRNA gene for <i>GnAI5a</i> for clone confirmation.....	118
Figure 4.21 PCR for specific 16S rRNA gene for <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 for clone confirmation.....	119
Figure 4.22 Restriction enzyme double digestion for specific 16S rRNA gene for <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 for clone confirmation.....	120
Figure 4.23 qPCR Standard graph for construct pTZ57R/TGn.....	121
Figure 4.24 qRT PCR Standard graph for construct pJETPcc.....	122
Figure 4.25 Storage experiments showing attenuation of Pcc induced soft rot symptoms by <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a with Potato, Tomato, Capsicum and Brinjal and as host and their Percent Maceration data	127
Figure 4.26 Quantitative real time PCR data using <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a specific 16S rRNA Primers and <i>PccBR1</i> specific 16S rRNA Primers for Potato storage experiment.	128
Figure 4.27 Summary of <i>PccBR1</i> infection in potato <i>in planta</i> model (A,B and C) and storage (D).	129
Figure 4.28 Image of Mung bean assay (A) <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 GFP + <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a (B) <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a (C) <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 GFP.....	130
Figure 4.29 (A) Shoot length in Mung bean assay (n=6) (B) Root length in Mung bean assay (n=6) (C) qPCR analysis of <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 16S rRNA gene using specific primers for it (n=3) (D) qPCR analysis of <i>G. nicotianae</i> AI5a 16S rRNA gene using specific primers for it (n=3)	131

Figure 4.30 60X (A) and 4X (C) magnification images of TS of Mung bean root Fluorescence microscopy B) 60X Phase contrast microscopy.	132
Figure 5.1 Schematic of Qualitative assay for the detection and degradation of AHL of <i>PccBR1</i> by phytochemicals.....	137
Figure 5.2 Growth of <i>PccBR1</i> at different sublethal concentrations of different phytophenolic compounds	142
Figure 5.3 Effect of phytochemical compounds on production of AHL by <i>PccBR1</i>	144
Figure 5.4 Analysis of AHL production by <i>PccBR1</i> in the presence of phytophenolic compounds.....	145
Figure 5.5 <i>In vitro</i> biocontrol assay for soft rot caused by <i>PccBR1</i> on potato and biocontrol by phytochemicals	146
Figure 5.6 <i>In vitro</i> biocontrol assay for soft rot caused by <i>PccBR1</i> on cucumber and biocontrol by phytochemicals	146
Figure 5.7 Quantification of maceration in <i>in vitro</i> biocontrol assay for soft rot caused by <i>PccBR1</i> (A) Potato (B) Cucumber	147
Figure 5.8 (A,B,C,D) Effect of phytophenolic compounds on motility of <i>PccBR1</i> (E) Quantification of motility).....	148
Figure 5.9 Effect of phytochemical compounds on the activities of exoenzymes: (A) Pectin lyase, (B) Polygalacturonase and (C) Pectate lyase.....	150
Figure 5. 10 Effect of sub-lethal concentrations of Eugenol, Carvacrol and Salicylic acid on growth of <i>PccBR1</i>	151
Figure 5.11 Effect of Eugenol, Carvacrol and Salicylic acid on biofilm formation ability of <i>PccBR1</i>	151
Figure 5.12(A) Images demonstrating after 10 days storage of potato tubers with phytochemicals against <i>PccBR1</i> (B) Percent Maceration data (C) Quantitative real time PCR data and <i>PccBR1</i> specific 16S rRNA gene primers for Potato storage experiment.....	154
Figure 5.13 Representative image of Mung bean assay with <i>PccBR1</i> and phytochemicals	156
Figure 5.14 (A) Root length in Mung bean assay (n=6) (B) Shoot length in Mung bean assay (n=6) (C) qPCR analysis of <i>PccBR1</i> pHC60 16S rRNA gene using specific primers for it (n=3).....	157