## List of figures.

Ι.	relationship between variables of the study.	2000	79
2.	Expected interrelationship between perception variables.	-	80
3.	Mean hours of Doordarshan viewing by SES and gender.	~	107
4.	Overall programme viewership.		107
5.	News viewership.	-	109
6.	Viewership of film-related programmes.	_	109
7.	Viewership of tele-serials.	-	110
8.	Viewership of general programmes.	-	110
9.	Reasons for viewing television.	-	122
10.	Trait attribution's of female portrayals.	-	122
11.	Attribution of individual positive traits.	-	127
12.	Characters' positive traits.		127
13.	Attributions of individual negative traits.		134
14.	Characters' negative traits.	-	134
15.	Perceived reality by SES-gender.	-	147
16.	Perceived social expectations role by SES and gender.	-	147
17.	Perceived social expectations role by self esteem and gender stereotype.	-	149
18.	Identification with female portrayals.	-	149
19.	Gender differences in traits would like to have.	~	151
20.	SES differences in traits would like to have.	-	151
21.	Traits perceived to be similar to self.	-	155
22.	Identification by SES and gender.	-	155
23.	Identification by Self esteem and gender stereotype.	_	166

24.	Women's issues percieved "in reality" "on Doordarshan" by viewers and by analysts.	-	166
25.	Presence male and female characters in tele-serials.		174
26.	Occupations held by portrayals.		174
27.	Role significance of portrayals.	~	176
28.	Locations in which portrayals appear.	tades.	176
29.	Social class of portrayals.	-	177
30.	Family role portrayals.	-	177
31.	Social role portrayals.	*****	179
32.	Age ranges of portrayals.		179
33.	Marital status of portrayals.	~	180
34.	Conceptual frame work that emerged.		203

•