

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Schematic representation of different types of liposomes	7
2.2	Schematic representation of mechanism and rate of penetration of free and liposomal drug	29
2.3	Schematic description of various mechanisms in skin permeation of liposome entrapped solute	31
3.1	Regressed curves for the estimation of TRMA, FLU and CLO	55
3.2	Regressed calibration curve for the estimation of PC	58
3.3	Regressed calibration curve for the estimation of CHOL	61
3.4	Particle size distribution of some liposomal batches of TRMA	67
4.1	Experimental set up for <u>in vitro</u> diffusion studies	After 89
4.2	<u>In vitro</u> release profiles of TRMA, FLU and CLO from their plain drug gels	97
4.3	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for release of TRMA, FLU and CLO from their plain drug gels	98
4.4	Comparison of R,P and D values of TRMA, FLU and CLO from their respective plain drug gels	99
4.5	Comparison of K and Csf values of TRMA, FLU and CLO from their respective plain drug gels	100
4.6	Comparison of $Q^i(6)$ and Q^m values of TRMA, FLU and CLO from their respective plain drug gels	101
4.7	<u>In vitro</u> release profile of TRMA from its liposomal gels as compared to that from plain TRMA gel	105
4.8	<u>In vitro</u> release profile of TRMA from its liposomal gels (TRMA:PC=1:0.91) as compared to that from plain TRMA gel	106

4.9	<u>In vitro</u> release profile of TRMA from its liposomal gels (TRMA:PC=1:1.81) as compared to that from KTG	107
4.10	<u>In vitro</u> release profiles of TRMA from its liposomal gels (TRMA:PC=1:3.62) as compared to that from KTG	108
4.11	<u>In vitro</u> release profile of TRMA from its liposomal gels (TRMA:PC=1:5.43) as compared to that from KTG	109
4.12	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for release of TRMA from its liposomal gels as compared to that from KTG	111
4.13	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for the release of TRMA from its liposomal gels (TRMA:PC=1:0.91) as compared to that from KTG	112
4.14	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for the release of TRMA from its liposomal gels (TRMA:PC=1:1.81) as compared to that from KTG	113
4.15	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for the release of TRMA from its liposomal gels (TRMA:PC=1:3.62) as compared to that from KTG	114
4.16	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for the release of TRMA from its liposomal gels (TRMA:PC=1:5.43) as compared to that from KTG	115
4.17	% Reduction in P value of TRMA from its TPM and liposomal gels as compared to that from KTG	119
4.18	% Reduction in D value of TRMA from its TPM and liposomal gels as compared to that from KTG	120
4.19	% Enhancement in the K value of TRMA from its TPM and liposomal gels as compared to KTG	121
4.20	% Enhancement in $Q^i(6)$ values of TPM and liposomal gels as compared to KTG	122
4.21	% Enhancement in Q^m values of TPM and liposomal gels as compared to KTG	123
4.22	% Reduction in Csf of TRMA from its TPM and liposomal gels as compared to KTG	124
4.23	Comparison of the R values of TRMA from the gels over the period of the stability study	128

4.24	Comparison of the P values of TRMA from the gels over the period of the stability study	129
4.25	Comparison of the D values of TRMA from the gels over the period of the stability study	130
4.26	Histograms showing comparison of R,P and D values for TRMA from liposomal gels containing liposomes with PC:CHOL \equiv 1:1	131
4.27	Histograms showing K and Csf values of TRMA from liposomal gels containing liposomes with PC:CHOL \equiv 1:1	132
4.28	Histograms showing the $Q^i(6)$ and Q^m values of TRMA from liposomal gels containing liposomes with PC:CHOL \equiv 1:1	133
4.29	Histograms showing R,P,D values of TRMA from liposomal gels containing liposomes with PC:CHOL \equiv 2:1	134
4.30	Histograms showing K and Csf values of TRMA from liposomal gels containing liposomes with PC:CHOL \equiv 2:1	135
4.31	Histograms showing the $Q^i(6)$ and Q^m values of TRMA from liposomal gels with PC:CHOL \equiv 2:1	136
4.32	Histograms showing R,P, and D values of TRMA from liposomal gels containing liposomes with PC:CHOL \equiv 4:1	138
4.33	Histograms showing K and Csf values of TRMA from liposomal gels containing liposomes with PC:CHOL \equiv 4:1	139
4.34	Histograms showing the $Q^i(6)$ and Q^m values for TRMA from liposomal gels with PC:CHOL \equiv 4:1	140
4.35	<u>In vitro</u> release profile of TRMA from its plain drug gels prepared by using different gel bases	142
4.36	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for release of TRMA from its liposomes in different gel bases as compared to that from plain TRMA in different gel bases	145
4.37	Comparison of P and D values for TRMA by incorporating it and its liposomes in different gel bases	148

4.38	Comparison of K and Csf values of TRMA obtained using different gel bases and liposomes in these gel bases	149
4.39	Histograms showing $Q^i(6)$ and Q^m values of TRMA and liposomal TRMA in different bases	150
4.40	<u>In vitro</u> release profile of FLU from its liposomal gels as compared to that from KFG	154
4.41	<u>In vitro</u> release profile of CLO from its liposomal gels as compared to that from KCG	155
4.42	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for release of FLU from its liposomal gels as compared to that from KFG	157
4.43	Q Vs $t^{1/2}$ plots for release of CLO from its liposomal gels as compared to that from KCG	158
4.44	% Reduction in P and D values of FLU and CLO from their physical mixture gels and liposomal gels as compared to that from their respective plain drug gels	162
4.45	% Enhancement in K and % reduction in Csf value of FLU and CLO from their physical mixture gels and liposomal gels as compared to that from their respective plain drug gels	163
4.46	% Enhancement in $Q^i(6)$ and Q^m values of FPM and liposomal gels of FLU and CLO as compared to respective plain drug gels	164
5.1	Flowsheet for the sequential procedure for the skin blanching assay	170
5.2	Diagram showing how sites were assigned on an arm	After 171
5.3	Comparison of mean blanching scores of different volunteers for 11 formulations-Set I	178
5.4	Comparison between mean blanching scores for different formulations on right hand and left hand - Set I	179
5.5	Comparison of mean blanching scores of 3 observers for each formulation - Set I	181
5.6	Comparison of mean blanching scores for different formulations-Set I	182

5.7	Difference in % TPS of physical mixture gel and liposomal gels from % TPS of KTG-Set I	183
5.8	Comparison of mean blanching scores of different volunteers for formulations-Set II	186
5.9	Comparison between mean blanching scores for different formulations on right hand and left hand-Set II	187
5.10	Comparison of mean blanching scores of 3 observers for each formulation-Set II	188
5.11	Comparison of mean blanching scores for different formulations-Set II	189
5.12	Difference in the % TPS values of formulations of Set II from that of CTC	190
5.13	Comparison of mean blanching scores of different volunteers for formulations of Set III	193
5.14	Comparison between mean blanching scores for FLU formulations on right hand and left hand-Set III	194
5.15	Comparison of mean blanching scores of 3 observers for FLU formulations - Set III	195
5.16	Comparison of mean blanching scores for FLU formulations -Set III	196
5.17	Difference in the % TPS of FLU gels from that of CFC-Set III	197
5.18	Comparison of mean blanching scores of different volunteers for formulations of CLO - Set IV	199
5.19	Comparison between mean blanching scores for CLO formulations on right hand and left hand-Set IV	200
5.20	Comparison of mean blanching scores of 3 observers for CLO formulations - Set IV	201
5.21	Comparison of mean blanching scores for CLO formulations - Set IV	202
5.22	Difference in the % TPS of CLO gels from that of CCC-Set IV	203
6.1	A representative datasheet for the double blind clinical trial of (TRMA/FLU/CLO) gels	208