

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 2.1	Typical Advantages and Limitations of Liver Biopsy in Comparison to Noninvasive Biomarkers	39
Table 2.2	Fibrosis markers	45
Table 2.3	Diagnostic accuracy of direct and indirect laboratory markers in distinguishing between low stages of 'insignificant' fibrosis (F0–F1 by METAVIR) and 'significant' fibrosis (F2–F4 by METAVIR)	55
Table 2.4	Anti-fibrogenic agents and strategies	65
Table 2.5	Major modes of liposomal action and related applications	80
Table 2.6	Denotation of various levels of two factors	95
Table 3.1	Calibration plot of RGZ in methanol for the estimation of RGZ in liposomes	148
Table 3.2	Optical characteristics of RGZ in methanol	148
Table 3.3	Accuracy and precision of RGZ estimation by UV- spectroscopic method in methanol	149
Table 3.4	Calibration plot of RGZ in diffusion medium (50 mM HPBCD, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) for the estimation of RGZ during diffusion	150
Table 3.5	Optical characteristics of RGZ in diffusion medium (50 mM HPBCD, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4)	151
Table 3.6	Accuracy and precision of RGZ estimation by UV method in diffusion medium	151
Table 3.7	Calibration Plot of CDS in methanol for the estimation of CDS in liposomes	152
Table 3.8	Optical characteristics of CDS in methanol	153
Table 3.9	Accuracy and precision of CDS estimation by UV- spectroscopic method in methanol	153
Table 3.10	Calibration plot of CDS in diffusion medium (100 mM HPBCD, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) for the estimation of CDS during diffusion	154
Table 3.11	Optical characteristics of CDS in diffusion medium (100 mM HPBCD, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4)	155
Table 3.12	Accuracy and precision of CDS estimation by UV- spectroscopic method in diffusion medium	155
Table 3.13	Calibration plot of RGZ in plasma by HPLC method	157
Table 3.14	Chromatographic conditions	158
Table 3.15	Accuracy and precision of RGZ estimation by HPLC method	158
Table 3.16	Calibration plot of CDS in plasma by HPLC method	160
Table 3.17	Chromatographic conditions	161

Table 3.18	Accuracy and precision of CDS estimation by HPLC method	161
Table 3.19	Calibration plot of D-Mannose	162
Table 3.20	Optical characteristics	162
Table 3.21	Accuracy and precision of D-Mannose estimation by Colorimetric method	163
Table 3.22	Calibration plot of Phosphorus	165
Table 3.23	Optical characteristics	165
Table 3.24	Accuracy and precision of Phosphorus by spectroscopic method	166
Table 3.25	Procedure for determination of total protein	166
Table 3.26	Basic parameters for determination of Albumin in serum	167
Table 3.27	Procedure for determination of Albumin in serum	167
Table 3.28	Procedure for determination of AST	169
Table 3.29	Procedure for determination of ALT	170
Table 3.30	Calibration plot of Hydroxyproline	173
Table 3.31	Calibration parameters	174
Table 3.32	Accuracy and precision of Hydroxyproline by spectroscopic method	174
Table 3.33	Calibration plot of Hyaluronic acid by ELISA method	177
Table 3.34	ELISA parameters	177
Table 3.35	Accuracy and precision of Hyaluronic acid estimation by ELISA assay	178
Table 4.1	Coded Values of the formulation parameters	191
Table 4.2	Coded Values of the formulation parameters	194
Table 4.3	Selection of process parameters by TFH method for RGZ and CDS liposomes	201
Table 4.4	3 ³ Full factorial design outline with results for PDE and PR	202
Table 4.5	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of full and reduced models	203
Table 4.6	Checkpoint Analysis	212
Table 4.7	Derivation of optimized formulation	213
Table 4.8	3 ³ Full factorial design outline with results for PDE and PR	214
Table 4.9	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of full and reduced models	215
Table 4.10	Checkpoint Analysis	224
Table 4.11	Derivation of optimized formulation	225
Table 4.12	Size and Zeta Potential of RGZ and CDS Liposomal Formulations	230
Table 4.13	Lyophilization of RGZ liposomes	234
Table 4.14	Lyophilization of CDS liposomes	234
Table 5.1	Comparative <i>in-vitro</i> drug diffusion of plain RGZ and RGZ liposomal formulations	248
Table 5.2	Comparative <i>in-vitro</i> drug diffusion of plain CDS and CDS liposomal formulations	250

Table 5.3	Drug diffusion model, Regression coefficient (r^2) and mean steady state flux of different formulations	251
Table 6.1	Stability testing data of RGZ liposomal formulation	257
Table 6.2	Stability testing data of CDS liposomal formulation	258
Table 7.1	The effect of various treatments on body weight, liver weight, liver coefficient and fibrosis grade	274
Table 7.2	The effect of various treatments on serum AST, ALT, A/G ratio, total bilirubin, hyaluronic acid, glucose and liver hydroxyproline content	275
Table 7.3	The pharmacokinetic parameters for different formulations in fibrotic rats after single intravenous bolus injection	278