THE COMMENTATOR GADADHARA

A. PERSONAL ACCOUNT: -

Unfortunately, we do not have detailed information regarding Gadadhara's life. However, some scanty detail is given by him, in the epilogue - stanza at the end of each canto and in the concluding stanza at the end of the work. These details can be gathered as follows:-

"Gadadhara, the author of the comm. on %% S'rīharga's Nc., belonged to the family of S'andilya Munt. He was the son of S'rīdhīra-Dhīra, his place of residence being Kroda, situated in the Antarvedi the tract of land between the rivers Gangā and Yamuna. 2

1. शाण्डित्य नाम मुनि वंश करीर कस्य श्री धीर धीर तने चस्य गदा धरस्य । क्रुप्तौ सुधा मधुनि नेषध श्रीकिकायाँ ह्याविंश एष इति सर्ग इड प्रपूर्णः ॥ [epiloque stanza]

2. ये गर्आधमुत्ता च विश्वविदित्ते नधौ पवित्रे तथौ
- रन्तर्विदि निवासिनी भूवि पुरी क्रीडास्तु वास्तु त्रियाम् ।

तस्थामस्थ गदाधरस्य विदुधौ दासस्य वासः सदा

थैनेयँ विन्तर नैषधस्य रचिता दीका, स्वशिष्यागृदात् ॥

He seems to be a devotee of S'akte. (faminine aspect of the divinity), as seen from the benedictory stanza at the beginning of the poem. (He also) seems to be a devotee of Vasudeva, which is seen from his mention of this name in Mont of Miser with our of 16 his gloss on II.14.

B. SCHOLARSHIP :-

He had good Knowledge of grammar, poetics and philosophy. He believed in - Kaivalya Mukti⁵. By Mukti, he means -" Realisation of excessive happiness 6. He also mentions the means of emancipation and attainment of Heaven.

3. ते इसीनित्यर धिन्द च क्षुमि विभी, भौरीत्यन इ द्विषि ब्रस्माणीति सरीसस्बन्धीः, शचीत्युचीः अवः पतिणि ।

अध्यन्येषु सुरासुरोरजनैरध्येका ८ प्यनेकात्मि ।

- आंधाभावम्पेत्य नित्यमिह या जानि तस्ये नमः॥ 4. ततस्तरमार् दवीयसः पुरुषोत्तमात् श्रीवासुदेवात् मुवितं मीशं केवल्यम---धिजत्य लब्ध्या । - - - आत्मस्वऋषत्याः हि भजवान् वासुरेवः

सर्वभिद्मिधितिष्ठति । II. 1 5. Vede . No. 4. 2601

, 6. निर्वतिशयभुरवाभिच्यवित् म् वितः। II-1.

"The meritorious deed, rising from the visits to the holy places, makes the heaven secured for one; while the meritorious deed produced by the Yaugic Practices brings about emancipation He also says that the soul is the witness of all deeds."

His knowledge of Palmistry is also seen from his explanation in his gloss on II-51, where he states what Palmistry is :-

"Palmistry is a science, which deals with the lines, oin the palm and foot, indicating good and bad (destiny) of man and woman. There it is so stated that the qualities reside where there is good form or kee noble - appearance."

प. नीर्थसेना अमुद्भनं हि सुकृतं स्वर्गीरिप्राप्तिकृत्।

थोजजिन नु मोक्षदम्। 11.39.

४. आतमा हि अर्थकर्मभू साक्षी वर्तते। <u>४</u> . 22.

व स्त्रीपुरुषयोः शुभाशुभसूचक कर चरणरैस्वादि कथनप्रधान शास्त्र

सामुद्रिकम् । तत्र हि एवँ रिश्वत –

[&]quot; "यत्राकृतिस्तत्र गुण वसन्ति।" 1.51.

His knowledge of astrology is manifested in his glass on IV.62, in which he mentions the relationship of the holkness of the moon with good deeds. 10

He also, had good knowledge of Logic, which is displayed in his explanations about Karya - Karana -Sambandha. 11

Gadadhara's deep and vast study of various authors and works, has, evidently, given a nice get-up to his work - Gadadharl, pouring a out an incessant stream of his knowledge, giving him a bright personality of a learned Scholar, and proved him to be a successful commentator by explaining an intricate epic, NaisadhTyac'aritam. The list of his mention of various authors and works/is given by me in the appendices.

^{10 :} ज्योति : शार्ते वयपूर्यमाणज्यन्दः पावनत्वात् शुभकमे स् प्रशस्त उवतः हार 62 11.C1) न हि कारण विना कार्यमूत्यधने । 1.20.

त्रितः यथा पर्वते धूमदर्शनाव् बह्निरस्तित्वेनानुमीयते । I. 32.

⁽³⁾ रण्डो हि धरस्य सहकारीहितः। सहकारिणच्य जूणः कार्ये न सङ्गामित। (4) शाबानयंने द्रव्यानधनिमिति (र्घया गद्धार्यो धीषः । II • 3 ४:

१ — (5), पवनी ८ ये परार्थः । 11.57.

⁽⁶⁾ कारणाञ्चणाः कार्ये भद्गामन्तीति पूर्वं बहुकृत्वा दक्षितमेव III . 39 (११) (न) कार्यदर्शनात् कारणभनुमीयते । प्रा. २६.

C. S'RIHARSA IN GADADHARA'S VIEW AND GADADHARA'S REFLECTIONS ABOUT HIMSELF.

Gadadhara thinks very high of sariharsa, and # his brilliancy in writing such an intelligent and excellent ? poem like Nc., attributing him as सर्विद्यः, जिन्तिन---किलिजिनिमान etc.. Gadadhara often, displays his great respect for S'riharra in his commentary on Nc. called Gadadhara or named by him as "Sudhamadhu-tika.

He, very humbly, confesses that he is not so intelligent, 12 as s'riharsa, calling himself as "Mandamati". He begs pordon for his childish undertaking of writing commentary on such a learned and abstruse work called Naisadhacaritam of S'riharsa. 13 He is not proud of himself, which is clear from his mention about himself as Vidusam Dasah" 14.

^{12.} कवरस्था शयस्त मथा सम्यज्ञ नाव वृध्यते । ग्र. २५.
भावान दूरनिजूहितान कथमर्ड सर्वान प्रमातुं क्षमः १। Intro. Cy. Gadadhara.
13. श्रीहमीं ८७ ग्रुफः कविर्जुक वर्तः पीयूमवर्षा म्लुभृत
काव्ये तस्य चिकीर्षुरस्मि विवृत्ति धृष्टः कुधीर्निस्त्रपः।
तात्यर्थं तु विवार्थं मन्द्रमिनिभृथः प्रणुक्तस्य मे

क्षात्त्वयं सुविश्रद्धिक पितिभिर्वाक्तस्य कात्माधितम् ॥२॥

[Gadadhara / introduction.]

14. Vide. No. 2 क्रा

"Gadadhara's commentary is noticed by Bhandarkar.

Gadadhara gives an account of S'rTharga and says that he wrote his Nc. in the court of Govindchandra at Benaras, and not as Rajshekhara Says, in the court of Jayantachandra.

Gadadhara's account would, therefore, place S'rTharsa hald a century earlier ". 15

D. GADADHARA'S DATE :-

Moreover, he has not given his date in his comm., Gadadhari.

His other works are also not known and available. He does not mention any other work belonging to him; in his work - Gadadhari. Hence, it is difficult to get external and internal evidence to fix the exact date of his life time, as well as the date of writing the comm. on Nc.

It can only be fixed approximately from the dates of writing the extant Mss. Three Mss. B1, B2 and D, are the extant Mss. Ms. B1 was written in Vikram Samvat 1879 (Shake 1744). B2 was written in Vikram Samvat 1741 (earlier to B1 by 138 yrs.). D was written in Vikram Samvat 1461. (earlier to B2, by 280 yrs, and earlier to B1 by 418 yrs.)

From these dates, it is clear that Ms.D is the oldest one among all these three extant Mss. Looking to the Mss dates of writing (D.15th cent.), we may assume that Gadadhara might have written his comm.on Nc; in the 15th cent.or 14th cent.

^{15:} See page 183. History of Sanskrit Literature by Krishnamachariar.

There is another evidence also. As DR. A.N.JANI has mentioned in his the sis - " A Critical Study of NaisadhTya-- C'aritam ", - this comm. is mentioned in two lists, published by Muni Jinavijayaji. and prepared systemetically by some Jain Scholar in circa - 1500 of Vikram Era. This evidence can help us to ascertain the date of writing k the comm. on Nc. by Gadadhara.

"It is again mentioned in another list, much shorter than the previous one and published by the same Scholar. The list mentions the works composed before the 15th cent. of the Vikram Era". 17

"Regarding Gadadhara's date, we are not quite sure.

However, both the lists, wherein this comm. is mentioned.

were published, not later than the 15th cent. of the Vikram

Era. Thus they help us in fixing the lower limit. His commentary again helps us in fixing the upper limit, as it mentions

Damayanti - Katha or Nala - Champu of Trivikram Bhatta

(915 A.D.). Thus, his date may fall between 1000 A.D. and

E. GADADHARA'S WORKS :-

17.- 18. A critical Study of Naisadhīyacaritam by DR.A.N.JANI.

any caralogue, except two lists. Published by Muni Jinavijayji.

F. GADADHARA AS A COMMENTATOR - (his language and Style):-

S'riharga's Nc. is a very intelligent Mahakavya, among other Mahakavyas. It is a credit and a qualification to write a commentary on such an unfathomable work like Nc., which is attributed as - The certific Many commentaries have been written on Nc., which, obviously, shows its popularity and keen interest of many Sanskrit Scholars.

Gadadhara also has proved himself a worth commentator.

He has tried to make Nc. easier by using easy, lucid and simple language, which is quite suitable for the commentary.

He has adopted Khandanvaya Rīti. He, first of all takes the main idea of the stanza and elucidates each and every word, of the main sentence. Then he explains the remaining parts of the stanza, taking words, one by one. He sometimes gives central idea of the stanza at the end.

In the beginning of his commentary, he is particular about the style and his explanations are quite appropriate and satisfactory; but thereafter, he does not go on the same lines. He does not seem to be consistent through out, as far as the style of the comm. is concerned. At times, his explanations also seem to be imperfect, as he ignores some points to be explained consequently, he does not make the meaning very clear.

Moreover, his way of refuting other commentators, is caustic and full of invective. In a way, his refutation is sometimes very Strong.

Gadadhara, in his comm., deals with the figures of speech in the Naisadhakavya.

Thus, because of the lucidity of Gadadhara's language and style, the difficult epic Nc., is made easy to understand, by the commentator and hence, GadadharT is a valuable contribution to the Sanskrit literature.

Gadadhara, seems to resemble chandu Pandit and Vidyadhara, in his readings, and seems to be followed by Narayana in explanations.

G. DESCRIPTION OF THE Mss. :-

(I) Ms. D. - No - 3613

1. Place of deposit:Arya S'rī Jambūswamī Jain Muktabai Agam Mandir, Dabhoi.

- 2. Remarks of the library nil
- 3. No.of folios 396 in all (22 cantos) 237 पूर्वाध.
- 4. Size of the leaves $10^{1}/4$ " x $4^{1}/4$ ".
- 5. No. of lines in each folio 15 (a), 15 (b).
- 6. No. of letters in each line 50 to 55.
- 7. Material Paper.
- 8. Style of handwriting Fair Neither big nor miniature.

 Use of प्राप्ता and राउ किम्मिकार १
- Nature and condition of the Ms: Complete not torn.

- 10. Lacuna two folios missing No.3 & 212.
- 11. Remarks: This Ms. is beautifully written and fairly correct. It contains less erros, which are quite negligible and not, in the k least, Obstructing the work of collation. Consequently, this Ms. is much useful and helpful for the collation work.

Folio No.1 b is not the original one, but it has been replaced by some other seribe and it seems to have been copied from some erroneous Ms. Hence, folio 1/b has some more errors, compared to all other folios. of the Ms. This Ms. contains text & comm., written by one person; its date of writing being Secmvet. 1461.

12. Scribal peculiarities:-

- (a) Use of 9% HM through out the commentary.
- (b) The consonant after रेक is doubled.
 e.4. कुट्टीत , शर्मा दर्णणी.
- (c) छ written as छ, ट्रा written as छ, त्न-जा similar, इं = ० = ज् = म् = म् ; च and य having little difference.

13. Stribal errors :-

(a) Scribal errors are very rare - such as dropping of अनुस्चार, विसर्ग, रेफ, मात्रा, उ, इ क्ट etc. as for example लड़ाँ -> लड़ा, अतीनाँ -> अतीनाँ -

- (b) Errors of wrong reading :- Such as उत्ने > यन्ने , अलिन्हों → अलिं।, अस्तीन्हों → अलिं।, अस्तीन्हों , मण्डनें → मनड.
- (c) Errors of Commission :- For example कविरधें , संगुर्ण \rightarrow संगुर्ण , वारापास्थें \rightarrow वारापार्थें .
- (d) Errors of omission :-प्रभुषद → प्रपद , तेन → --, ?
- (e) <u>Haplographical errors :-</u> साक्षाइसाक्षाद्धि —> साक्षाद्धिः
- (f) Little carelessness is seen in proper location of full-stop-mark.

Folio. 1 b, not being the original one, but replaced, contains more errors, comparatively

14. BEGINNING OF THE COMMENTARY: -

:प्रिण । उ नमी वीतराजाय: (Folio.1 b)

15. End - [396.a]

शाण्डित्च नाममु निवंशक शिरक श्व. अधि शिर धीर तन स्था स्था ।

कृती अधामधुनि ने प्रधिक कार्यों, द्वाविश एम इति स्था इह प्रपूर्णः ॥

ये गर्डा यमुना च विश्व विदित्ते नदी पित्रे तरी —

-रन्तेवें [हि] निजासिनी भेगि पुरी क्रेडास्त वास्तु शियाम् ।

तस्थामस्थ गर्हा धरस्य विद्वा हासस्य वासः सर्हा,

थेनेयँ किल नैषधि [स्य] रचिता हीका स्वश्व शियागृहात्॥

असे १४६१ वर्षे अश्विल अधि १ वैषध्व सिप्त स्वा लि कि थिता।

स्वार्थितिक ने अश्विल अधि १ वैषध्व सिप्त स्व ल कि थिता।

स्वार्थितिक ने अश्विल अधि १ वैषध्व सिप्त स्व ल कि थिता।

स्वार्थितिक ने अश्विल अधि १ वैषध्व सिप्त स्व ल कि थिता।

^{1.} सूत्रार्थितिलने --- वृत्ति॥. makginalia.

[396.6] संवत् १९२४ वर्ष वैशाख शुक्त असी तृतीयाँ तीथी भीबीका नेर वास्तव्यसँ० कल्याण रासभार्या आविका कल्याण देवी नाम्न्या अभिषधवृत्तिः स्वज्ञानवृध्यर्थे प्रद्ता । पण्डित स्रीलधिकविज्ञणीली शिष्य ें। पैठ कान्ति रुचिंगणि निमार्थे सिश्वित धन्ध्यपुरे। श्रेयस्ता (-थीऽसु)। सँवत १९ भूँ १ वर्षे, मास वैशाख वदी ८दि ३ प्रभ्वमी दीन सकल-न्पिण्डित शिशोमिणि पण्डितप्रवरपण्डित भी १९ श्रीमित विजयाणिना पुश्तिका गारुया, पँ॰ कान्तिरुचिपार्थे मार्टै। [70.396. व्या श्रीढर्षं कविश्रजशिमुकुरालद्वारहीरः सितं] [श्रीहीर:] सुष्वे जितेन्द्रियचर्यं माम तल्ले देवी च यम्। द्यविशो नवसाहसाह्यचिते चम्पूकथायाः कृतोऽयं गतः काळी तस्य कृती नाणीयचरीते सर्गी निसर्गेरिज्यलः॥ जैवे अपूर्वे साहसाद्वः स्य भीजराजस्य चिरिते चम्पूक्षायाः गधेषधमथी कथाः चम्पूः, यथा रमथन्तीनि श्रीम ॥१५२॥ This Ms. was written by Pandit Almarianion's. शिष्य - पण्डित कान्तिरुचिंगणि 'ठ निमा in eयन्ध्यपुर; its date of writing samvat 1461.

,

,

II. Ms. B1 - No. 1353:-

- (1) Place of deposit Oriental Institute, Baroda.
- (2) Remarks of libeary Oriental Institute, Baroda.

Acc. No. 1353

subject - कार्थ.

Name :- तैषधकाव्य - शरीक · Author - श्रीहर्ष (गराधर)

Age :- बि २४ १८९९.

Extent - 797 folios.

Language - संश्कृत.

Script - देव नागरी.

- (3) No. of folios 797
- (4) Size of the leaves $10^{1}/4$ " x $4\frac{3}{4}$ "
- (5) No. of lines in each folio 12 lines.
- (6) No.of letters in each line 34 to 36
- (7) Material thick paper.
- (8) Style of handwriting No 贝际刊刊. , good , not miniature.
- (9) Nature and condition of the Ms. :-Complete, not fragmentary, condition of paper quite good, not torn, and not worm - eaten, not a single scrap-paper.
- (10) Lacuna :- No folio missing, some letters missing in some lines.
- (11) Remarks :- This Ms. contains text, as well as commentary. It is beautifully written and fairly correct, the date of writing being samvat 1879, as stated at the end. The Style of writing, however, is not uniform. Several hands can be distinguished. B1 has adscript - (insertion of intertine-ar or marginal gloss or note within the text.) Some letters, words and lines are cancelled with yellow fluid - whereever they are found to be out of place. The

The repetition of a word is implied by putting fig. 2, next to the word. This Ms. has, comparatively, a few errors, which do not hinder, probably, the work of collation, and hence, it has been, in many ways, usefull and a helpfull for the work of collation.

Ms.B1 was written by Motiram Bhatt, it in Bhuja-nagar in Kutch. Only one seribe's name (Motiram) is mentioned at the end, but as a matter of fact, it is written by different hands. It contains a discripent text.

12. SCRIBAL PECULIARITIES: -

(a) No use of पृष्डमात्रा.

- (b) In the words like থিন ভাগিন and মত্তীক্ষাল ,
 the consonants e.d. ব and প্ৰ are dropped, respectively.
- (c) Is written as 🗔
- (d) el and el are written as similar.
- (e) If somewhere (203) of letter is left out, it is shown with the sign above the letter 3050 (301130),
- (f) I usually written as U.

13. Scribal errors:

- cas Confusion of similar letters and syllables. Frequent interchange of F. F. and J.
- ाय and एसं, छ and एसं, यं and घ, यं and प,
- . To and 4.
- (b) Bropping of अनुस्नार, विसर्ग, रेफ्, टण्ड, मान्ना etc.

(C) Errors of wrong reading:

न चिष → पनवापि, वाशणस्थी → वाणारस्थी, पर → कृर्, मूलभूतानि → सूलभूतानि, पङ्कीभवत् → एकीभवत्, बक → चक्र, रिजानि → दिवानि, विलक्ष्यान् ४ निलक्षीन्, नाकवासाय → नाकपासाय, वियोगिनः → च योगिनः, भूताय → भूताय, उन्मीवयिद्धः → उपजीवयिद्धः

(d) Errors of commission:

र्सा → र्शिमां, कुलकम् → कुतलकम्, जिनिषयति → विजिनिषयति, -बाधित्वात् → -बाधितत्वात् , त्येपिक्षता → उपलिखता, रर्शनं → निर्शनं, रिज → दिन्न, अर्थं → अश्वायं, तन्ते ब → अलते ब, बन्धे न → बन्धे ने न, सहर्या → सहर्याया, र्राथित → प्ररश्यिति.

(e) Errors of ommision:

कुनिञ्चल् → कञ्चित् , पञ्चात् → पञ्चा , सितातपत्रिते → सितातपत्रे , सम्पूर्णानां → पूर्णानां , विशिष्टकारणं → विशिष्टकाणां , वेदितव्यं → वेतव्यं , तदेवंभूतमस्य → नदेवंभूतस्य , कुविन्दृश्येव → कुन्द्श्येव , समीवन्त्येव → भवन्त्येव .

(7) Hablographical eskors:

उत्तरा धे निर्देशो → उत्तरा धेशो, फल्जवती क्रम्यतीति → फल्जवतीति, लम्बमानः ,

(g) spelling mistakes und common errors; उत्पुल्ध > उत्पल्य, प्योम्नि > ध्योमी, क्रमण > क्रमणे, भिःश्वनी > धिश्वना, मुखश्यिती > मुखश्यी, भवतीति > ध्वतीति.

14 Beginning :-| 35 हैं। औं वत्नी महालक्ष्ये नमी नमः || | श्रीजिशाय नमः || 15. End: :
शाण्डिल्य नाम मृति वंश करीरक स्थ,

अधीर धीर तन थस्थ गदा घरस्थ ।

कृष्ती सुधाम धृति नेषध दीकिका थाँ

ह्याविंश एष इति सर्ग इह प्रपृष्णः ॥१॥

ये गङ्गा यमुना च विश्वविदित्ता (ते), ते हे पवित्रे तयो —

- रन्तर्विणि पिर्टि निवासिनी भूवि पुरी, क्रीडास्ति (स्तु) वास्तु आियाम्।

तस्थामस्य गदा धरस्य विदुषां दासस्य वासः सर्दा,

येनेथँ किल नेषधस्य रचिता दीका स्वशिष्या गृहात् ॥२॥

यद् वक्त्रस्य सरस्वती ज्रुतिय चःशास्त्रे भवत्त्वण्डनं

काळ्य नेषध मुष्ण रिम शिराति आजीयते य दुगम् ।

स्मृष्ट्र्यत् स्फीति विषय ध्रम दलन स्पर्धिण्या विद्व स्मेटे –

-विंदी सँयति हर्षिमित्र इिंदी गीडिर भीडिगीः ॥३॥

इति श्रीनेषधकादी गराधरी रीकायाँ हार्विशतिमस्सर्गः सम्पूर्णः। नेष्धकार्व्यं सम्पूर्णतामवी भजदिति महुन्लमाला। सैक्त १८७९ न वर्षे, शाके
१७४४ प्रवर्तमाने वर्षा त्र्यती अषाठमासे कृष्ण पक्षे पञ्चम्याँ तिथी, भीमवासरे, अभिजनगरमध्ये भट्टेवरामिजत् स्नुना मोतीरा मेणालेखी [तिमि] ईपुस्तकं वेषधारव्यं सरीकं श्रीमन्बरहिर प्रसादात. स्वपढनार्थमः। तथा
भात्रीलीधा भीम जिहास्व्ययोधींचनार्थम्। श्रीरक्तुः। कल्याणमस्तुः। श्री।
इदं पुस्तकं बहुपरिजमेण निष्पादितं, तस्मार् यत्नेन रक्षणीर्थं, कस्यापि
न दर्शनीर्थं ग्राच्यप्रभाव सातृभिः। इयं गराधरीटीका नेषधकाव्यस्य।
मङ्गलं भवत् । श्री।

(III). Ms. B2 - No.16730.

- 1. Place of deposit Oriental Institute, Baroda.
- 2. Remarks of the library Oriental Institute, Baroda.

Acc.No. - 16730

Subject - कार्य

Name - नेषधीयचित्रस्धामधुरीका . Author - मी ढर्घ,

c - गराधर.

Age - ਗਿ. સੰ. ११४९.

Extent - 271-5 = 266 folios.

missing folios - No. 239, 261, 263, 265, 266, yrs.18620

Language - सँस्कृत · script - देवनागरी ·

Source - यति श्रीहैमचन्द्रजी, वडीद्रा (cost-gift).

- 4. No. of faik folios 271-5 = 266 (22 canter)
- 4. Size of the leaves 91/2" x 41/4"
- 5. No. of lines in each folio 17(a), 17(b).
- 6. No. of letters in each line 60
- 7. Material Paper.
- 8. Style of handwriting 中版刊列, writing very close and miniature. No full stop mark, through out.
- 9. Nature and condition of the Ms complete. Condition of paper is good, not torn.
- 10.Lacuna five folios missing folios No. 239, 261, 263, 265, 266.
- 11. Remarks This Ms. is full of scribal errors such as -
 - (1) Haplographical erros_-धिशैष विद्यश्तस्मात् - विशेष स्तस्मात् ,
 - (2) errors of commission इति च → इति वच.
 - (3) errors of omission प्रथमत श्व → प्रथम च.
 - (4) Errors of wrong reading of the letters $\overrightarrow{\Pi} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\Pi}$, $\overrightarrow{\Pi} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\Pi}$, न>म, ह→द, स्त →म, जन ⇒ प्र, etc.

CONCLUSION :-

The above examples of errors make it clear that the scribe of this Ms. was a very careless person, perahaps. not conversant with the art of copying, Mss. This Ms. being not reliable for our purpose, it is rejected for the work of collation. This Ms., instead of helping in collation, would make the work of collation more difficult. However, the collation of the first canto is given in the critical apparatus, to show as to what extent the Ms. is unreliable for collation work. And secondly to anable one to decide the relation of this Ms. with other one, when it is found out in future.

12. KK SCRIBAL PECULIARITIES :-

- (a) Occasional use of মৃধ্যাসা.
- (b) The consonant after the रेफ is doubled.
 e.q. सर्व → सर्वा, जागिति → जागिति, , according to panini-sutra असी रहाभेशी है।
- (c) 정 written as 전, 국and 리 > 리 > 팩.
- (d) The consonant after रेफ is followed by another रेफ . such as मार्जे \rightarrow मार्जे , दर्जन \rightarrow देशेंग.
- (e) No full-stop mark (EUS) at the end of every sentence, through out.
- (f) भ written as त, ख → स, स्त → सू, रा → ध.

 ल → স. [भ,खा,स्त, रा and ल are written as
 त,स, भू, ध and স respectively.]

30 13 · 5-cribal errors: (æ) Bropping of अनुस्वार, विसर्ग, रेफ, इण्ड, मात्रा etc. प्रकृती → प्रकृते, भैमीं → भैमी, प्रतीति: → प्रतीति, व्यध्वत → व्यध्वत , चिकीर्षू भूँ वलथ → चिकीर्षु भू वलय . (b) Errors of wrong-reading: मन: → कनः , पितु: → थित्कु: , स्वर्गमपि → स्वधंमपि , थत्न → यत्र , ब्रुषे → नुषे, गगन → धगन, कुटिलमतिभिः → कुटिभौमितिः, ननु → मन्, गर्ने चर्वे, पुनरिस्थर → पुरनरिश्वर, जिरी → मिरी, पुर्वेक्तो → मुर्वे, वदन्ति -> विद्न्ती, वनसामिप -> वनसारिप, (c) . Erhors of commission: सहावस्थान → स्नहान वस्थान, मनीता → मनीभराता, सर्वसम्भाधिनः → सर्वसम्बस्थाधिनः eds Ethors of omission: णानिरियम् → - रियम् ु लोकोक्तो → लोक्तो नील मणीनां → नील -विशिष्टमविशिष्टं > #विशिष्ट —, तव मनसि > त मनसि, कमित्थाह → कमिह़ मम करेण → मकरेण. (e) Hablographical estors: विशेषविद्रमस्तरमात् 🗕 विशेषस्तरमात्, एकतमेन एकेनैव 🗕 केन एकेनैव. ्री > Spelling mistakes := जाति: →जाती: मिरा → माथी: असि → असी. (q) <u>·common errors</u> :- निवधीचर > निवेधिश्वर, अनुष्ठीयते > अनुष्टीयते, द्र्शत असी → वेती, नावर → तित, विन्द्रसीति → विद्रसीति, भैमी अभी मी, पिनुर्गेरे → पितु की हैं, निपातात → निपानान, चक़ाङ्ग्य → चक्राङ्गी. (h) seribal mistakes like round and and instead of जणजेंब and जावत, respectively, show that Ms. Be might have been copied from the Ms. having увънглі. The seribe might have missenderstood रण्ड कर पृद्धमात्रा, and पृष्डमात्रा कर रण्ड 14 · Beginning :- एर्ए। ॐ नमी बीतराजाय.

15. End:- शाण्डिल्यनाम मुनिवँश करीर [क] स्थ श्रीधीर धीर तन यस्थ गराधरस्य । कृष्ती सुधामधुनि नेपधरीकिकार्या द्वाविश एव इति सर्ग इह प्रपूर्ण: सम्पूर्ण: ||२२|| थो टथे) गङ्गायमुना च विश्व त (वि) दिते नधी ८थी) पवित्रे तथी --रन्ते (न्त) वैदिनिवासिनी भूषि पुरी क्रींडास्तु वास्तु स्रियाम । 'तस्थामस्य अराधरस्य, विदुषाँ रासस्य वासः सद्य, येनेथं किल नेपधस्य चिता (रिचता) शिका स्वशिष्ट्यागृहम् (-ग्रहात)|| इति।

जीनेपधस्य टीका सम्पूर्ण। यादृशं पुस्तकं दृष्ट्वा ८ दृष्ट्ये, तादृशं लिखिनं मथा। यदि शुद्धमशुद्दे, वा, मम शैषी न दीयते। मिस्तु भीरस्तु। जी शुभं भवतु। सँवत १९४१ वर्षे, दितीय आवण सुदि पूर्णिमातिथी गुरुवामरे लिखिनं रामससैन करारियानगरे। शुभं भवत्। महालमालिकावालिकाव द्यालिहातू:।

This Ms. is neither beautifully written, nor fairly correct. It contains many scribal erros. Its date of writing is Samvat 1741. It was written by Shri Ramadasa in Kataria Nagar.

This Ms.contains text and commentary in some cantor, in the beginning and in some cantor, only commentary with the intial words of the stanza (Pratika). It does not bear a single full stop mark, through out the commentary. Hence, it it difficult to find out where x the canto ends and begins; its writing being miniature, very close and indecent, written by one person from beginning to the end.

Some interesting seribal errors from Ms.B2
हरेरिन्ट्स्य → हरिरेन्ट्स्य, निज → जिन,

सह शरें → सदा हारें: भान → भान,

पानार्थ → पारनार्थ. रचिता → चरिता,

पार्थान्तराणि → वर्षान्तराणि. लेमें → लेभे.

पार्थान्तराणि → पथिका: चुडा → चूला.

प्रयोजन → प्रियंजन,

नाथका → सरका.

H. COMMON SCRIBAL ERRORS AND PECULIARITIES IN THE THREE EXTANT Mss :-

- 1. रेफ, विसर्ग, अनुस्थार, मात्रा, दण्ड etc. are sometimes left out, due to scribe s'inadvertence.
- 2. या उ, (च, ब) are, at times written as ष्ट्रा
- 3. In the words like अति चाजित and मही उस्ता the consonants e.d. च and ज respectively are dropped.
- 4. 呓 is probably written as 砭.
- 5. If হাজ of any letter is left out, it is again marked with the sign. প above the letter যথ যথা.
- 6. Some letters, words and lines are rubbed out, with yellow flyid, whereever they are found to be out of place.
- 7. There are interlinear and marginal insertions in all the three Mss. (adscripts).
- 8. Mss. contains margins on both the sides of leaves.
- 9. System of pagination is the same. Folio number is marked on the back side of the folio.
- 10. If as I and I as I at times in all the Mss.

 11. Omition of ZUS , showed as # ox # i or i

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. A critical study of S'riharga's Naisadhiyacaritam, by Prof.

 (Dr.) Arunoday Natvarial Jani, Baroda.
- 2. A History of Sanskrit Literature, by Dr. Arthur A. Macdonell, Oxford.,
- 3. A History of Sanskrit Literature, by Krishnamachariar.
- A Handbook of Classical Sanskrit Literature,
 by U. Venkatkrishna Rao, Madras.
- 5. Classical Sanskrit Literature, by Dr. A.B.Keith, London.
- 6. Intwoduction to Indian Textual Criticism, by Shri S.M.Katre
- 7. Naisadha of S'riharça (Cantos I to III)
 by Prof. (Dr.) S.V.Dixit.
- 8. Naisadhacarita of S'rīharga, by Prof.Krishnakant Handigui, Poona.
- 9. Naisadhīyacarita of S'rīharga, with the commentary Naisadhīya Prakāsa of Narayana.
- 10. Naisadhiyacaritam with the commentary Jivatee of Mallinatha.
- 11. Naisadha Parišilan (Hindi), Dr. Chandika Prasad Shukla.
- 12. Naisadhacarita carca (Hindi), by Mahaviraprasad Dvivedi.
- 13. Observations of Similes in the Naisadhacarita, by Pratap Bandyopadhyay.
- 14.Panini's AstadhyayI Sutra Patha.

- 15. Sanskrit Grammar by M.R.Kale.
- 16. Sanskrit English Dictionary by Prof. V. S. Apte Poona.
- 17. Tarkasamgraha of Annam Bhatta, Bararas.

n/

कवैरस्य स्वर्जप्रभुगुक गरीयस्तर मते

प्रमाणच्छायासु प्रकृतिर्भिविश्वास्यति मुरु:॥

न मे मु ध्युत्पत्तेः परिचितिरिष्ट प्राधिकतया

इयतो ध्यत्थासेऽपि धवचिद्यपि न वाच्योऽस्मि सुधियः॥४॥ भीजन्यँ निकटतर् पर प्रधान

दीर्जन्यं चिरमुपसर्जनं द्वीयः। 'यस्यासी अथित अजन्त्रये गरीयान्

सधक्र प्रभृति निकथमी ८ पि साधः ॥ ५॥

अन्ये: कविभिरक्षणाँ पदारधाँ सुपद्वतिमः।

नामादाय कवि: प्राय: भीमान् हर्ष: प्रतिष्वते ॥६॥

भाष्येऽस्मिन्ननुमानमेव वित्यसत्येक खत्तु प्रायिकी

मुन्वालक्षुतिरुक्तमात्रीऽपि च रसः साक्षादसामादपि ।

। । इत्येतन् प्रतिपाधम्धतीमय हुन्हें सुधादुश्ययो-

- गोंढाश्लेषममुञ्यदेव सुधिया सँवाङ्नीयँ बहु ॥९॥

प्, D. फार्ट्य स्मिन्ननुमानमेचि.

9.8.0m. hapl. साभाद-.

10. श्र. इत्येकत्.

11. छ।- गारुकेष.

१.७२ - स्ट्वरसर्तै: , ४ - स्ट्वयसर्ते: , ग. छ। अयः

१. ४., ४. अतिधिशाम्यति..

[`]३. B2. त्यतो.

^{4.} B2, 用印己一.

^{5.} B1. 47.

^{6. 131.} अञ्चल् भर्ये.

हित ह भूथते। धाराणस्याँ गीविन्ह् चन्ही नाम राजा अभूवः। २ थथाऽस्तीन्द्रोऽमरावत्थाम्। तस्य सभायाँ बहुवः पण्डिता वभूवुर्मिण्डताः सद्गुणी दीन । तेषु च सर्वेषु अहिष्: पर्वन्सण्डनम् । थः किल कृतवान् भ्वण्डनम् । स पुनः सर्वज्ञः खलु विमृश्य तारतम्यै सुच्यायसरसौ निकर--तरवर्ति धर्मार्थकामगामगामिनी भाहित्यसरिणमना हत्य विच्छायचिरसे ्र १ इरतश्वितिपरमपदभामिनि प्रभाणभागे तम्मः । तं च थथाऽवसरमन् सरन्तै ्र गृपसंसद्मपरे मत्सिरणः प्रथमोपजताः साहित्यरसात्मतंकवन्मन्याः परस्य--पुरसन्निवेश: साक्षान्मरुख देश: " इति।

एकदा तु सङ्सीपिस्थतेन तेन ते लिडीकलिडीताः स्वमनुसँधाय 'ध्यायन्तः किमपि विसदशँ त्रुहै पृष्टऋव कऋन तत्समीपवती स्वाप्तः 'किमेतेषाँ दुष्टा [२.७] नामी २९ँ विचेष्टितम् १ इति । कथितं च तेन तत्सर्वे त्तरमे यथावदानुपृथ्या । अथासी विद्वानिभमानवान् विलेतिकमि

^{1.} B) वाणाशस्याँ .

² थे. अम्रीन्हों,

^{3.8.} मनड.

^{4.} B2 om. - AT-.

^{5.} B2. - याम -

^{· 6.} B 2. विसस.

ч. Д. om. - #- .

४. 8. - गामिनी, Ba. - गामिनी.

प Ba. - सम्परं.

¹⁰ B1 repeals प्रथम.

^{19. 32. -} वर-

^{12. 32.} 一初71.

^{13.} Д. om. hapl. तेन.

^{14. 31.} दुष्टादीनाम्.

शृङ्गा रसधाम नलचितनाम समुद्रवहनाव्यँ महाकार्थं विनिर्माय न्यवैद्यत् तसी नृपाय प्रत्यक्षरैवाय । तत्रव विद्वानेष विशेषविद्रष्टनसमात् सुप्रसन्नात् तर्फं वैदिष्वेकं साहित्यवैदिष्वेकिमिति सब्धुमानमासन दुथँ लभते सम प्रथमत्रभ्वास्य कवैः ब्लब्थमिवँ विनिर्मातुमिच्छतः कौरुत्र जाति तथाविधः खलु धीरललितः क्षितिपतिरभूद् यमहमिह् जितस्धारसकथ अधानायकं करोमि १ इति भ्यश्चिर चिन्तयतः सम्यगुपासितस्य चिन्तामणि-मन्त्रस्य प्रसादाद् थः किलार्थोऽन्तः आस्फुरत् स एव 'निपीथ' इत्यादावादिम-- स्मोके षिक्षमानिषहः। थोऽयमैवँगुणगणालक्ष्तः कृतयुगे नली नाम क्षिति--पितरासीत् तमदिमाद्य कथायाँ नायकपदेदिभिषेश्यामि 'इति चात्र तात्पर्यार्थः। रसें कथा 'टने १ २) इत्यारी हितीय कोके तु यस्तु निर्देश रूपत्या तमेवार्थ भश्या कविरयमनूषलवान्। केचित्रं तु प्रथमश्लोकमेव वस्तुनिर्देशप्रतिपादकमार्ड्डिनीर्थ तुं पाडान्तरम् । मुखरूपस्य पूर्वश्लोकस्यैकार्थत्वैन प्रतिमुखरूपत्या चुम्बनात् चुम्बकोऽयमित्यपरे। तच्य पांगन्तर एव समाधिशतीति तेनेव तत्कार्यम--थाप्यते। अत्र पक्षे च भूपालपर्याथस्य क्षितिरक्षिण इति धिधेयपदस्योत्तरार्धे 1. B2. om. habl. - धिरुष-. 4. B2. गुणा संदूतः

^{2.}B2.om. - त - . 5.B1. nepeats धरत् तमेथार्थ.

^{3.} B2. चिन्तियत: 6. B2. om. haft. चुम्बनात्.

निद्शी युक्तः। यस्य कथाँ निपीय वुधास्त्रथा सुधामपि गाद्रियन्ते, स तथाविधः। खलु जलो जाम क्षितिपतिः पूर्वमासीदिति। कथावस्तु निर्देशे हि विशेषणस्य विध-थत्वम्।नाम्नस्त्वन्थत्वमैव।थथा पुराणे 'सुरथो नाम राजा८भूत्'(मार्कं पु १३२३) । हिमालयी नाम नगधिताजः (एकुमार १९९) अस्ति। लीकेऽपि काम्पिली विष्णुशर्मा नाम ब्राइमणी वभूवं () इत्यादि। अत्रैव च द्वितीयम्मीके कि नाम र्थुणानिरभूत् (मे.२.२.) इति । नलस्यैव त्यत्र विधियन्वे धस्तुनिर्देशत्वै विमृश्यभानमस--मऋतमिव स्यान्। थथाकथैन्वित् पदसँबन्धेन विधेयान् धक्रमे युक्तमापा धमाने नु प्रथमत एव क्लिष्टकल्पना खल्वतीबोश्षीगजननी भवेत्। प्रथम स्हीके थिधैथान् धेवीस है भ बुधाना सुधायामनादर हैती रनुपादानात् निहेतूत्वं च द्वणमा--शशुमान: कविनयँ तस्थैवार्थं द्वितीयश्लोके पाहान्तरापदेशेन संयुक्तिक कृत्वा प्रत्यपीपस्त् । एवँ प्व भीमता ५ नेनं निजस्य कविकर्मणः खलु प्रीहिः प्रदर्शिता अन्थथा कविर १८ ७ | यँ सर्वंशः सद्वस्तुनिर्देश पर्र संगुर्ण निर्देषे चात्रेकमेव क्त्रीक किं न विद्धात्। इति मया यथाश्रुतं यथामित च ध्याख्यातम्। निर्ण रहस्य तु कविरेव वैद। व्याख्यानिवशिष तु सहदया इति

7. Bi. om. hapl. Fat -.

७ . ५२ . सँयुक्तिः किं.

प्र. B1- & D. नसामानि:

4. B2. - तेन.

3. अर. - नूधन्य - .

q. B2. चात्रैब.

4. B2. - नीची - .

"5. 乃2. 一月年一、

6. B2. - 2 EFRT.