



LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1:	Surface circulation pattern in the Bay of Bengal during summer and winter monsoon. Note, the reversal of EICC during the two seasons (after Schott and McCreary, 2001).	4
Fig. 2.1:	Water Sampling locations in the Arabian Sea and the Equatorial Indian Ocean. GEOSECS station numbers are given after '#'. The boxed filled circles are the reoccupied GEOSECS stations.	13
Fig. 2.2:	Locations of the sediment core samples collected in the Bay of Bengal. Boxed filled circles are the cores studied in detail.	14
Fig. 3.1:	Location of sampling stations in the Arabian Sea. The boxed filled circles are the GEOSECS stations occupied during this study almost after two decades.	23
Fig. 3.2:	Radiocarbon distribution in the upper 1000 m water column at the equatorial Indian Ocean station 3846. Also, shown the ^{14}C profile of GEOSECS for the station occupied during 1978. Dashed line is the pre-bomb ^{14}C simulated curve.	27
Fig. 3.3:	Model $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ of tropospheric CO_2 for the atmosphere over the Northern Indian Ocean used in the upwelling calculation (thick gray line). Tropospheric $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ of Northern Hemisphere Zone 3 (Hua and Barbetti, 2004) is shown as thin line. Tropospheric $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values measured over the Arabian Sea during 1993-'95 and over the Bay of Bengal during 1997 (Dutta et al., 2006) are shown as filled circles.	28
Fig. 3.4:	Distribution of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}^*$ (excess bomb ^{14}C) versus depth for different stations. The solid line represents the simulated curve based on 1-D model of Oeschger et al., (1975) for the exchange rate (E), eddy diffusivity (K) and upwelling velocity (w).	32
Fig. 4.1:	Core locations in the Bay of Bengal. Boxed filled circles are the two cores studied in detail. Rivers supplying sediment to the Bay are also identified.	37
Fig. 4.2:	Correlation of Organic Carbon (C_{org}) in the surface sediments with CaCO_3 , C/N ratio and V concentration. No apparent correlation of C_{org} with	41

C/N can be noticed indicating marine source of C_{org} .

- Fig. 4.3:** Distribution of Al (%) in the Bay of Bengal surface sediments. Note, Al decrease from the coast to the open ocean. Western Bay of Bengal show Fe rich sediments, contribution from peninsular river. 42
- Fig. 4.4:** Distribution of Ti (ppm) in the surface sediments of the Bay of Bengal. 43
- Fig. 4.5:** Distribution of Fe (%) in the surface sediments of the Bay of Bengal. Western Bay of Bengal show Fe rich sediments, contribution from peninsular river. 44
- Fig. 4.6:** Distribution of V and Cr in the surface sediments. Erosion of Basaltic rocks from the peninsular India is responsible for higher V and Cr in western region. 45
- Fig. 4.7:** Distribution of Mn (%) in the surface sediments of the Bay of Bengal. Higher Mn concentrations in the northern Bay of Bengal is due to enrichment of surface sediments in high sedimentation regions. 46
- Fig. 4.8:** Distribution of $CaCO_3$ and organic carbon (C_{org}) in the surface sediments of the Bay of Bengal. Increasing $CaCO_3$ from the coast to the open ocean indicates decreasing detrital contribution. Whereas, high C_{org} near the coast reflects its enhanced preservation with increasing detrital flux 47
- Fig. 4.9:** Distribution of Ba/Al, productivity proxy in the surface sediments of the Bay of Bengal. Dilution of Ba due to increasing continental flux from coast to the open ocean can be observed. 48
- Fig. 4.10:** $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ and ϵ_{Nd} of the river mouth samples measured. Irrawaddy river data from Colin et al., 1999 49
- Fig. 4.11:** $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ versus ϵ_{Nd} plot of surface sediments. Also, shown are the boxes representing the end members. G-K (Godavari-Krishna) sediments values are the river mouth value.(Singh et al., 2008; Colin et al., 1999) 51
- Fig. 4.12:** $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ and ϵ_{Nd} of surface sediment samples. Also, shown are the boxes representing the end members. G-K (Godavari-Krishna) sediments values are the river mouth value.(Singh et al., 2008; Colin et al., 1999; Ahmad et al., 2005). 51

Fig. 4.13:	$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs $1/\text{Sr}$ and ϵ_{Nd} versus $1/\text{Nd}$ plot of the surface sediment.	52
Fig. 4.14:	Contour plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ distribution of sediments in the Bay of Bengal.	52
Fig. 4.15:	Contour plot of ϵ_{Nd} distribution of sediments in the Bay of Bengal. Note, third end member with high ϵ_{Nd} from the eastern Bay of Bengal along the coast of Arakan through Irrawaddy River	53
Fig. 5.1:	Sediment core locations in the Bay of Bengal	56
Fig. 5.2:	Age-Depth plot model of the core 4032 and 4040 from the Bay of Bengal.	57
Fig. 5.3:	Mass Accumulation Rate for the core 4032.	59
Fig. 5.4:	Downcore variation of Al (%), Fe(%), Mg(%) and Ti(ppm) in the core 4032. In general, a slow decreasing pattern from 50 kyr to 14 kyr and a gradual decreasing pattern from 14 kyr to 7 kyr can be noticed.	60
Fig. 5.5:	Downcore variation of Al (%), Fe (%), Mg (%) and Ti (ppm) in the core 4040. Note, relatively high variability in their concentrations.	64
Fig. 5.6:	Mass accumulation rate of lithogenic proxies for the core 4032.	67
Fig. 5.7:	Downcore variation of Al, Fe/Al, Mg/Al and Ti/Al in the Core 4032.	68
Fig. 5.8:	Downcore variation of Al, Fe/Al, Mg/Al and Ti/Al in the Core 4040.	69
Fig. 5.9:	Downcore variation of CaCO_3 , C_{org} and C/N in the core 4032.	70
Fig. 5.10:	Downcore variation of CaCO_3 , C_{org} and C/N in the core 4040.	73
Fig. 5.11:	Downcore variation of C_{org} and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the organic matter in the core 4032.	74
Fig. 5.12:	Downcore variation of Ca/Al, Ba/Al and Sr/Al in the core 4032.	79
Fig. 5.13:	Downcore variation of Ca/Al, Ba/Al and Sr/Al in the	80

core 4040.

Fig. 5.14:	Downcore variation of fluxes of redox sensitive proxies viz. Mn, Cr and V in the core 4032	81
Fig. 5.15:	Downcore variation of fluxes of trace elemental proxies of Ni, Co, Zn and Cu in the core 4032	82
Fig. 5.16:	Downcore variation of the redox sensitive elements normalized to Al for the core 4032.	83
Fig. 5.17:	Downcore variation of redox proxies normalised to Al in the core 4040	83
Fig. 5.18:	Downcore variation of trace element proxies normalised to Al in the core 4032.	84
Fig. 5.19:	Downcore variation of trace element proxies normalised to Al in the core 4040.	85
Fig. 5.20:	Downcore variation of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in the core 4032. Three prominent low $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios at 14, 20 and 43 kyr are observed.	87
Fig. 5.21:	Downcore variation of ϵ_{Nd} in the silicate fraction of the sediments from the core 4032. Note, the lowest ϵ_{Nd} of -8.8 at 20 kyr.	97
Fig. 5.22:	Downcore variation of ϵ_{Nd} in the silicate fraction of the sediments from the core 4040. Note, the lowest ϵ_{Nd} of -8.0 at 20 kyr.	98
Fig. 5.23:	Comparison of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ with solar insolation and mean effective moisture (Herszchuh et al., 2006). Note, synchronous variation of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ with solar insolation and moisture indicative of global correlation of the Bay of Bengal climate.	101
Fig. 5.24:	Periodicities observed in the core 4032 in its various proxies. Note 3.7 kyr periodicity prominent in all the proxies.	104
Fig. 5.25:	Periodicities observed in the core 4040 in its productivity proxies. Note 2.7 kyr periodicity prominent in all the proxies.	105
Fig. 5.26:	Periodicities observed in the core 4032 for Mn and V/Al. Note 2.4 kyr periodicity prominent in all the proxies.	106