

## List of figures

Fig 1. 1 Schematic of in-line digital holography configuration	7
Fig 1. 2 schematic of Mach-Zehnder interferometer configuration for digital holographic microscopy	8
Fig 1. 3 Schematic of Lateral shearing geometry	10
Fig 1. 4 Block diagram of Fringe projection technique	12
Fig 1. 5 Block diagram of Lens-less digital holographic technique	13
Fig 2. 1 Michelson interferometer	19
Fig 2. 2 Young's double slit interferometer	22
Fig 2. 3 Pictorial representation of temporal and spatial coherence in the case of plane waves	25
Fig 3.1 Recorded modulation of line pattern projected through a lens.	30
Fig 3.2 Propagation of light through axi-symmetric object	30
Fig 3.3 Transmission mode FPT for shape measurement of phase objects	32
Fig 3.4 (a) 3D schematic of the transmission mode fringe projection device. (b) Photograph of the table-top setup	32
Fig 3.5 (a) Projected line pattern imaged by digital array (b) Variation of intensity pattern along the line shown in fig 3.4 (a)	33
Fig 3.6 Optical system configuration for imaging the structured pattern projected through object under investigation. A magnification of 1 is used in the simulations	33
Fig 3.7 Phase of the simulated bi-prism. (a) Phase distribution in false color, where white grey value represents a normalized phase of 1 rad. (b) Normalized phase distribution along the red dotted line in Fig 3.7 (a). This phase is directly proportional to optical path length	34
Fig 3.8 Simulated line patterns at the detector array (image plane) for bi-prisms of different optical thicknesses (a) Optical thickness= $\lambda$ (b) Optical thickness= $5\lambda$ (c) Optical thickness= $7\lambda$ and (d) Optical thickness= $10\lambda$ ( $\lambda = 627\text{nm}$ ).	35
Fig 3.9 Flow chart of the object shape determination process	36
Fig 3.10 simulation results for biprism (a) Fourier spectrum of modulated structured pattern. (b) Filtered Fourier spectrum. (c) Gradient phase difference obtained by subtracting gradient phase due to carrier line pattern from gradient phase due to object line pattern. (d) Gradient phase along the y direction. (e) Phase profile obtained after numerical integration along y-direction. (f) Three- dimensional rendering of object shape profile in terms of obtained phase.	37
Fig 3.11 (a) Reconstructed phase profile (after numerical integration) for simulated objects as a function of object optical thickness (in terms of wavelength of source). (b) Maximum value of reconstructed phase as a function of object maximum optical thickness.	37
Fig 3. 12 Simulation results with right angular cone as object. (a) Simulated intensity pattern at the detector plane. (b) Reconstructed phase distribution.	38
Fig 3.13 Results of shape reconstruction with biprism as the object using a $4.65\mu\text{m}$ pixel pitch CCD array as the recording device (a) Image of the line pattern recorded with the biprism	

placed in the field of view. (b) Image of the same line pattern recorded after removing the biprism (reference pattern). (c) Obtained gradient phase profile of the object after phase subtraction. (d) Line profile of the gradient phase. (e) Cross-sectional shape profile of the object after numerical integration of gradient phase. (f) Three-dimensional rendering of the shape of the bi-prism in terms of the recovered phase (obtained after numerical integration along each line along which there is variation in gradient phase). 39

Fig 3.14 Results of shape reconstruction for a cylindrical rod. Recording device was CCD camera (a) Image of the line pattern recorded with the rod placed in the field of view. (b) Image of the same line pattern recorded after removing the rod (reference pattern). (c) Obtained gradient phase profile of the object after phase subtraction. (d) Line profile of the gradient phase. (e) Cross-sectional shape profile of the object after numerical integration of gradient phase. (f) 3D rendering of the shape of the cylinder in terms of the recovered phase. 40

Fig 3.15 Results of shape reconstruction for a cylindrical lens as the object. Recording device was CCD camera. Only half the field of view is covered by the lens. (a) Image of the line pattern recorded with the lens placed in the field of view. (b) Image of the same line pattern recorded after removing the lens (reference pattern). (c) Obtained gradient phase profile of the object after phase subtraction. (d) Line profile of the gradient phase. (e) Cross-sectional shape profile of the object after numerical integration of gradient phase. (f) Three-dimensional rendering of the shape of the cylindrical lens in terms of the recovered phase. 40

Fig 3.16 Results of shape reconstruction for a bi-prism. Recording device was a smart-phone camera. (a) Image of the line pattern recorded with the bi-prism placed in the field of view. (b) Image of the same line pattern recorded after removing the bi-prism (reference pattern). (c) Three-dimensional rendering of the shape of the bi-prism lens in terms of the recovered phase. (d) Cross-sectional shape profile of the object 41

Fig 3.17 Results of shape reconstruction for a cylindrical rod. Recording device was a smart-phone camera. (a) Image of the line pattern recorded with the cylindrical lens placed in the field of view. (b) Image of the same line pattern recorded after removing the lens (reference pattern). (c) Three-dimensional rendering of the shape of the cylindrical lens in terms of the recovered phase. (d) Cross-sectional shape profile of the object. 41

Fig 3.18 Results of shape reconstruction for a cylindrical lens. Recording device was a smart-phone camera. (a) Image of the line pattern recorded with the cylindrical lens placed in the field of view. (b) Image of the same line pattern recorded after removing the lens (reference pattern). (c) Three-dimensional rendering of the shape of the cylindrical lens in terms of the recovered phase. (d) Cross-sectional shape profile of the object. 42

Fig 3.19 (a) Transmission mode quantitative 3D fringe projection microscope. (b) schematic representation of the line pattern. 43

Fig 3.20 (a) shows the 3D schematic of the developed system (b) shows the photograph of the developed system with different parts marked. The web cam was mounted near to the objective lens and so the magnification is around 15x. This was measured using microspheres of known size. 43

Fig 3. 21 Recorded line patterns (a) with and (b) without the object in the field of view. Spatial frequency spectrum (c) with object and (d) without object in the field of view. (e) Wrapped gradient phase obtained after phase subtraction. (f) Obtained gradient phase after phase 43

unwrapping. (g) Phase obtained after numerical integration along horizontal direction. (h)	
Three-dimensional thickness distribution as a function of phase.	44
Fig 3. 22 (a) Recorded image for red blood cells. (b) Portion inside the rectangular box showing the modulation of the line pattern	45
Fig 3. 23 Quantitative imaging of human red blood cells. (a) unwrapped gradient phase profile. (b) Computed cell shape after numerical integration. Arrow in Fig 3.23 (a) shows direction of integration. The inset in Fig 3.23 (b) shows the cross-sectional profile of phase variation along the vertical line which indicated the donut profile of the cell.	45
Fig 3. 24 Temporal stability of the setup	46
Fig 3. 25 Phase profile of a healthy human red blood cell at different time instances. Time variation of the phase profiles at the points on the phase map at $t=0$ s are computed and is shown in Fig 3.26	47
Fig 3. 26 time evolution of the phase profile at different spatial points along with their standard deviation (fluctuation).	47
Fig 4.2 Formation of hologram due to superposition of the object and the reference wavefronts at the detector plane. Carrier fringes are modulated in the region where the object exists.	49
Fig 4.3 Digital hologram reconstruction. Reference wave gets scattered from the structures of the hologram. It is numerically propagated to the position where the object existed (virtual image)	51
Fig 4.4 Position of the object (magnified image) and the hologram (detector) plane in DHIM. The object plane (magnified image plane) is situated either at the detector or very near to it.	52
Fig 4.5 Scattered wavefront as a collection of plane waves travelling in different directions (angle). The scattering angle is decided by the spatial frequency of the object (smaller objects, higher spatial frequencies) scatter at higher angles. An object with sinusoidal intensity variation (like a hologram), will give rise to only three scattered components (only one frequency component)	53
Fig 4.6 Numerical reconstruction of digital holograms using ASP approach. Reference wave illuminating the hologram generates the angular spectrum at the hologram plane ( $z=0$ ), which is filtered (to obtain angular spectrum of object wavefront at the hologram plane) and then propagated to the image plane using free space propagation function	53
Fig 4. 7 (a) Hologram illuminated by the reference wavefront. (b) Power spectrum of the hologram obtained after Fourier transform, where three components (un-diffracted reference, real object and virtual object) can be seen. (c) Filtered spectrum, which contains only the spatial frequencies corresponding to object alone. This is then propagated to the image plane	54
Fig 4.8 Object hologram of the $15\mu\text{m}$ diameter polystyrene sphere immersed in oil. (b) Background hologram (microscope immersion oil)	56
Fig 4.9 Quantitative phase reconstruction from digital holograms. (a) Continuous phase difference obtained in the case of $15\mu\text{m}$ diameter polystyrene microspheres. (b) Thickness	

distribution of the micro-sphere obtained by using the refractive index difference in Eq. (4.17).  
(c) Cross sectional thickness profile of the microsphere along the dashed line in Fig. 4.8a 57

- Fig 5.1 Diameter of the spatial coherence area increases upon demagnifying the light emitting area of the source. 60
- Fig 5.2 A typical Fresnel Biprism based interferometer 63
- Fig 5.3 2D schematic of the common path self-referencing digital holographic microscope employing He-Ne laser and Fresnel Biprism interferometer 64
- Fig 5.4 (a) recorded hologram of polystyrene beads (b) continuous phase distribution obtained after unwrapping (c) thickness distribution of the Polystyrene beads (d) cross-sectional thickness of the polystyrene microsphere. He-Ne laser source is used to illuminate the sample. 65
- Fig 5.5 (a) recorded holograms of the RBCs (b) continuous phase distribution after unwrapping (c) thickness distribution of the RBCs (d) line profile of the thickness distribution. He-Ne laser source is used to illuminate the sample. 66
- Fig 5.6 (a) shows the 3D schematic of the common path self-referencing digital holographic interference microscope employing a pair of Fresnel biprisms and LED source (b) Tabletop setup in the laboratory (VideoV1 shows the working of the tabletop setup) 67
- Fig 5.7 Spatial stability (a) spatially varying optical path length using laser source (b) histogram of the spatial thickness variation along with the standard computed standard deviation value for laser source. (c) spatially varying thickness using LED source (d) histogram of the spatial thickness along with the standard computed standard deviation value for LED source.  $\sigma$  in the histogram represents the standard deviation of the optical path length 68
- Fig 5.8 Temporal stability of the system. Histogram represents the measured counts for thickness fluctuation at each spatial point (standard deviation of time varying thickness). The mean of these values represents the temporal stability of the microscope. Inset shows the time varying thickness at one spatial point.. 68
- Fig 5.9 Reconstructed wrapped phase distribution of polystyrene beads (b)continuous phase distribution obtained after phase unwrapping (c) thickness profile (d) line profile of the thickness distribution. LED source ( $\lambda=627\text{nm}$ ) is used to illuminate the sample. 69
- Fig 5.10 Quantitative phase imaging of human erythrocytes using LED source and multiplexed holograms (a) recorded multiplexed hologram (b) quantitative phase imaging corresponding to the two fields of views marked in Fig 5.10a(c) 3D rendering of thickness distribution of RBCs inside the area of interest marked by white rectangle (d) cross sectional thickness profile of the RBC along the dashed line marked in Fig 5.10b 70
- Fig 5.11 Quantitative phase imaging of human erythrocytes using UV LED and multiplexed holograms (a) Portion of the recorded multiplexed hologram (b) 3D rendering of thickness distribution of RBCs 71
- Fig 5.12 Improvement in lateral resolution at shorter wavelengths (a) and (b) portions of recorded hologram showing line structure of Group 7 element 6 of the resolution target using 627nm and 385nm LED sources respectively. (c) and (d) represent the reconstructed intensity patterns for 627nm and 385nm LED sources (e) line profile of the intensity variation 71

Fig 5.13 3D printed Fresnel biprism device with optical and imaging components (b) dimensions of the setup (c) device in working mode	72
Fig 5.14 A typical Lloyd's mirror interferometer	73
Fig 5.15 (a) Large field of view wavefront division digital holographic interference microscope employing Lloyd's mirror configuration. (b) Interference of modulated and un-modulated portion of the laser beam leading to creation of holograms (c) and (d) table top version of the microscope in the laboratory	74
Fig 5.16 (a) Dimensions of the field portable 3D printed microscope (b) 3D printed module attached with a mobile phone through OTG cable (Video 2 shows the working of the 3D printed device employing Lloyd's mirror)	75
Fig 5.17 Temporal stability of the system. Histogram represents the measured counts for thickness fluctuation at each spatial point (standard deviation of time varying thickness). The mean of these values represents the temporal stability of the microscope. Inset shows the time varying thickness at a spatial point in the field of view.	76
Fig 5.18 Reconstructed phase distribution in the case where (a) the object is introduced in the path of the entire laser beam and (b) the object was introduced in a portion on the illuminating laser beam. The overlap of images from the direct beam and the beam folded on to the sensor by the mirror can be seen (inside the dashed rectangle).	77
Fig 5.19 Microscope calibration using 15 $\mu$ m diameter polystyrene microspheres. (a) Wrapped phase distribution obtained after phase subtraction (b) Continuous phase distribution obtained after phase unwrapping. (c) Thickness distribution of the microsphere. (d) Cross-sectional profile of the thickness distribution.	78
Fig 5.20 Quantitative phase imaging of red blood cell distributions. (a) Recorded hologram of a dense distribution red blood cell (b) Continuous phase distribution obtained after phase subtraction. (c) Thickness distribution computed from the continuous phase distribution.	79
Fig 5.21 shows the 3D schematic of the common path self-referencing digital holographic interference microscope employing Lloyd's mirror interferometer and LED (b) shows the table top setup in the laboratory	80
Fig 5.22 (a) recorded hologram of polystyrene beads (b) continuous phase distribution obtained after unwrapping (c) thickness distribution of the Polystyrene beads (d) line profile of the polystyrene bead	81
Fig 5.23 Quantitative phase imaging of human erythrocytes using LED source and Lloyd's mirror (a) recorded hologram (b) continuous phase distribution of the recorded RBCs (a) (c) 3D rendering of thickness distribution of RBCs inside the area of interest marked by white rectangle (d) cross sectional thickness profile of the RBC along the dashed line marked in Fig 5.23 (b)	82
Fig 5.24 A typical Sagnac interferometer	83
Fig 5.25 2D schematic of the common path self-referencing digital holographic microscope employing He-Ne laser and Sagnac interferometer	84
Fig 5.26 (a) recorded hologram of polystyrene beads (b) continuous phase distribution obtained after unwrapping (c) thickness distribution of the Polystyrene beads (d) line profile of the polystyrene bead	84
Fig 5.27 Quantitative phase imaging of human erythrocytes using He-Ne laser and Sagnac interferometer (a) recorded hologram (b) continuous phase distribution of the recorded RBCs	

(a) (c) 3D rendering of thickness distribution of RBCs inside the area of interest marked by white rectangle (d) cross sectional thickness profile of the RBC along the dashed line marked in Fig 5.27 (b)	85
Fig 5.28 (a) shows the 3D schematic of the common path self-referencing digital holographic interference microscope employing Sagnac interferometer and LED (b) shows the table top setup in the laboratory	86
Fig 5.29 Temporal stability of the system. Histogram represents the measured counts for thickness fluctuation at each spatial point (standard deviation of time varying thickness). The mean of these values represents the temporal stability of the microscope. Inset shows the time varying thickness for a spatial point in the FOV.	87
Fig 5.30 (a) recorded hologram of polystyrene beads (b) continuous phase distribution obtained after unwrapping (c) thickness distribution of the Polystyrene beads (d) line profile of the polystyrene bead	88
Fig 5.31 Quantitative phase imaging of human erythrocytes using LED and Sagnac interferometer (a) recorded hologram (b) continuous phase distribution of the recorded RBCs (c) 3D rendering of thickness distribution of RBC (d) cross sectional thickness profile of the RBC along the dashed line marked in Fig 5.31b.	89
Fig 6.1 (a) The hologram recording from the beams after passing through shearing (b) Unfolding of the interference pattern formation in the off-axis Lens-less digital holographic microscope	94
Fig 6.2 Schematic of the Lens-less off axis common path DHIM based on lateral shearing interferometer employing laser diode	97
Fig 6.3 (a) 3D schematic of the developed lens less microscope employing lateral shearing interferometer and a laser diode module (b) Photograph of the lens-less microscope in the lab.	97
Fig 6.4 Temporal stability of the system. Histogram represents the measured counts for thickness fluctuation at spatial points (standard deviation of time varying thickness). The mean of these values represents the temporal stability of the device. Inset shows the time varying thickness at a spatial point in the field of view.	98
Fig 6. 5 (a) Hologram of the USAF target (b) interference fringes in the marked yellow box in 6.5a	99
Fig 6.6 (a) to (c) Numerical focusing (a) inside best focus, (b) at best focus (c) outside best focus. (d) Numerically reconstructed wrapped phase distribution at the best focus plane. (e) Digitally subtracted spherical phase factor. (f) Object phase obtained after digital phase compensation.	99
Fig 6.7 (a) Hologram of ink dots on microscope slide (b) Interference fringes inside the region of interest (c) reconstructed intensity at the best focus plane	100
Fig 6.8 (a) Hologram of numbers written on a microscope slide using a marker pen (b) Reconstructed Intensity profile (c) Reconstructed Phase distribution profile (d) Object phase after subtraction of spherical phase (e) Object phase after unwrapping and tilt phase subtraction (f) Three-dimensional rendering of object phase distribution	101

Fig 6.11 (a) hologram of the USAF target recorded using the lens-less microscope using LED source and a pair of Fresnel biprisms (b) reconstructed continuous phase distribution at the best focus plane (c) the 3D rendering of the spatially varying object phase distribution 103

Fig 7.1 General OCT system based on a Michelson interferometer	108
Fig 7.2 Schematic of the developed FDOCT system	113
Fig 7.3 Experimental setup of FDOCT	113
Fig 7.4 Spectrum of the LED source used in the experiments	113
Fig 7.5 (a) Recorded spectral interference pattern for 10 $\mu$ m path length delay between object and reference paths (b) Intensity profile along the line shown in Fig. 7.5a	115
Fig 7.6 Recorded spectral interference patterns at different axial positions of the scanning mirror and the reconstructed depth profiles by Fourier Transforming the intensity profile along each column	116
Fig 7.7 Fourier transform of the spectral intensity patterns along the line shown in Fig. 7.6. Each Fourier transform represents 10 $\mu$ m translation of the scanning mirror.	116
Fig 7.8 (a) Recorded spectral interference pattern with two axially separated mirrors as object. (b) Reconstructed intensity profile at various axial positions. (c) Intensity profile in the plane represented by the dashed rectangle in Fig. 7.8b, showing the positions of the two mirrors.	118
Fig 7.9 (a) Spectral interference pattern for two axially separated mirrors as object. (b) Fourier transform of the spectral interference pattern of the intensity variation along the red line providing depth information about Mirror 1. (c) Fourier transform of the intensity variation along the blue line providing depth information about Mirror 2.	119
Fig 7.10 (a) Recorded interference patterns for different lateral positions of the scanning beam (a) reflection only from the back coated mirror. (b) reflection from back coated mirror and the first mirror behind it. (c) reflection from back coated mirror and second mirror behind it. (d) to (e) Fourier transform of the intensity variation along the lines shown in Fig. 7.10 (a) to (c) respectively.	120
Fig 7.11 Reconstructed intensity from the Fourier transforms of the interference pattern for each lateral position of the scanning beam. (a) at depth 32 $\mu$ m (b) at depth 58 $\mu$ m (c) at depth 74 $\mu$ m (d) at depth 87 $\mu$ m (e) at depth 103 $\mu$ m, and (f) at depth 140 $\mu$ m. (g) Variation in reflected light intensity with depth	121
Fig 7.12 Reflected intensity with depth (a) along the dashed red line in Fig. 7.11b. (c) along the dashed blue line in Fig. 7.11b	122