

DECLARATION

I, Kamakshi Raipure, do hereby declare that the research work contained in this thesis entitled ***“Development and Implementation of Integrated Strategy to Inculcate Productive Thinking among Elementary School Students”*** submitted to The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy, is my original work, and it has not been previously submitted for the award of any degree or diploma in any University or Institution.

Vadodara

April, 2022

(Kamakshi Raipure)

Investigator

Department of Education [CASE]
Faculty of Education and Psychology
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda
Vadodara, 390002
Phone: 0265 2795516, 2792631, 2784969

CERTIFICATE

*This is certified that the thesis entitled ‘**Development and Implementation of Integrated Strategy to Inculcate Productive Thinking among Elementary School Students**’ submitted by **Kamakshi Raipure** to The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Education** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her under my supervision and guidance. The result embodied in the same has not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any degree or diploma. It is further stated that the doctoral research was carried out for fulfilling the requisite attendance criteria as per **O. Ph. D.: 3 (i)** of The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara.*

April, 2022
Vadodara

Prof. Ashutosh Biswal
Professor
Department of Education (CASE)
Faculty of Education and Psychology
The M. S. University of Baroda
Vadodara – 390002

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to give my special thanks and gratitude to the almighty for blessing me and giving strength for completing this study. I would also like to acknowledge and give my warmest thanks to my research guide Prof. Ashutosh Biswal who made this work possible. I am very thankful to my guide for his constant support, guidance, encouragement and motivation throughout the study.

I would like to thank the experts in this field who helped me a lot to make this work better. I extend my sincere thanks to Prof. R. C. Patel, Head, Department of Education, Faculty of Education and Psychology, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara for supporting me and giving opportunity to do this work.

I express my gratitude to all the teachers at Department of Education, Faculty of Education and Psychology, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara for providing valuable suggestions for this research. I extend my sincere thanks to all the staff members and library staff of Faculty of Education and Psychology, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara for their support.

I am grateful to the University Grants Commission for providing financial support in the form of NET-JRF fellowship. I am also grateful to the administrative staff of The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara for their support.

I owe my deepest gratitude to the Principal of Kendriya Vidyalaya, ONGC, Makarpura, Vadodara for giving me the permission to do this research work in school.

I would also like to give special thanks to my parents Mr. L. N. Raipure and Mrs. Asha Raipure, my in-laws, my husband Mr. Puspraj Soni and my little sons Anjaneya and Achintya for their help and support. I want to extend my deepest gratitude to Mr. and Mrs. Sanjay Soni and their family because without them this work is not possible.

Finally I would like to thank the god again for letting me through all the difficulties.

Vadodara
April, 2022

(Kamakshi Raipure)
Investigator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
Declaration	I
Certificate	II
Acknowledgement	III
Table of Contents	IV
List of Tables	VIII
List of Figures	XII
List of Appendices	XIV
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1-35
1.1.0 Introduction	1
1.2.0 Education in 21 st Century.....	4
1.3.0 Thinking	5
1.4.0 Psychological Foundations of Productive Thinking	7
1.5.0 Productive Thinking	11
1.6.0 Components of Productive Thinking	16
1.6.1.0 Creative Thinking	17
1.6.1.1 Techniques to Develop Creative Thinking	18
1.6.2.0 Critical Thinking.....	21
1.7.0 Common Obstacles to Productive Thinking	23
1.8.0 Role of the Teacher	25
1.9.0 Productive Thinking at Elementary School Level	27
1.10.0 Productive Thinking in Science Education	28
1.11.0 Research Questions.....	29
1.12.0 Rationale of the Study	29
1.13.0 Statement of the Problem.....	32
1.14.0 Objectives	33
1.15.0 Hypothesis.....	33
1.16.0 Explanation of the Terms	33
1.17.0 Operational Definition of the Terms	34

1.18.0 Delimitation of the Study	34
1.19.0 Framework of the Chapters	34

CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 36-61

2.1.0 Introduction.....	36
2.2.0 Researches in the Area of Productive Thinking.....	37
2.3.0 Researches in the Area of Creative Thinking and Critical Thinking Skills.....	39
2.3.1 Researches on Creative Thinking Skill.....	40
2.3.2 Researches on Critical Thinking Skill.....	49
2.3.3 Researches on Creative and Critical Thinking in one Study.....	54
2.4.0 Researches in the Area of Creative Problem Solving.....	55
2.5.0 Researches in the Area of Higher Order Thinking Skills	57
2.6.0 Major Observations of the Review of Related Literature.....	57
2.7.0 Implications of the Review of Related Literature	60
2.8.0 Conclusion.....	61

CHAPTERS III: METHODOLOGY 62-82

3.1.0 Introduction.....	62
3.2.0 Research Design.....	62
3.3.0 Variables	63
3.3.1 Independent Variable	63
3.3.2 Dependent Variable	63
3.4.0 Population of the Study.....	63
3.5.0 Sample of the Study	64
3.6.0 Phases of the Study	65
3.6.1 Phase 1-Development	65
3.6.2 Phase 2-Implementation.....	65
3.6.3 Phase 3-Analysis.....	66
3.7.0 Tools For the Data Collection.....	67
3.7.1 Productive Thinking Scale.....	68
3.7.2 Achievement Test	69
3.7.3 Reaction Scale.....	69
3.8.0 Integrated Strategy to Inculcate Productive Thinking	70
3.8.1.0 Development Of Model of Productive Thinking (FIESI)	71

3.8.2.0 Techniques Used in FIESI Model.....	72
3.9.0 Implementation of the Strategy.....	76
3.10.0 Data Collection	81
3.10.1 Administration of Pretest	81
3.10.2 Administration of Posttest.....	81
3.10.3 Administration of Reaction Scale	82
3.11.0 Data Analysis	82

CHAPTER IV: DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION **83-119**

4.1.0 Introduction.....	83
4.2.0 Effectiveness of the Developed Integrated Strategy	84
4.2.1.0 Effectiveness of the Developed Integrated Strategy in Terms of Productive Thinking of the Students	86
4.2.2.0 Effectiveness of the Developed Model In Terms of Thinking Pattern of the Students	88
4.2.3.0 Effectiveness of the Developed Integrated Strategy in Terms of Achievement of the Students in Science	111
4.2.4.0 Effectiveness of the Developed Integrated Strategy in Terms of Reaction of the of The Students.....	112
4.3.0 Conclusion	119

CHAPTERS V: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION **120-125**

5.1.0 Introduction.....	120
5.2.0 Findings.....	120
5.3.0 Discussion.....	121
5.4.0 Conclusion.....	125

CHAPTER VI: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION **126-143**

6.1.0 Introduction.....	126
6.2.0 Education in 21 st Century	126
6.3.0 Productive Thinking.....	128
6.4.0 Components of Productive Thinking	129
6.5.0 Productive Thinking in Science Education.....	129
6.6.0 Implication of the Reviewed Studies	131
6.7.0 Research Questions	131

6.8.0 Rationale of the Study.....	131
6.9.0 Statement of the Problem.....	134
6.10.0 Objectives of the Study.....	134
6.11.0 Hypothesis of the Study.....	135
6.12.0 Explanation of the Terms.....	135
6.13.0 Operational Definition of the Terms.....	135
6.14.0 Delimitation of the Study.....	136
6.15.0 Research Design of the Present Study	136
6.16.0 Population of the Study.....	136
6.17.0 Sample of the Study.....	137
6.18.0 Tools for the Data Collection.....	137
6.19.0 Development of Integratsed Strategy.....	137
6.20.0 Implementation of Integrated Strategy	139
6.21.0 Data Collection	139
6.22.0 Data Analysis	140
6.23.0 Major Findings.....	140
6.24.0 Implication of Present Study.....	141
6.25.0 Suggestions for Future Studies	142
6.26.0 Conclusion	142
BIBLIOGRAPHY	144-155
APPENDICES	156-219

<u>LIST OF TABLES</u>		
TABLE No.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
Table 1.1	Components of SCAMPER technique	20
Table 3.1	Allotted marks to the components in Productive thinking	69
Table 3.2	Description of SCAMPER technique	75
Table 3.3	Techniques used in chapters while teaching through Productive thinking model (FIESI)	80
Table 4.1	Mean, Standard Deviation (SD) and Standard Error of Mean (SE) wise Distribution of Productive Thinking Score of Experimental Group and Control Group.	87
Table 4.2	Distribution of Sum of the Ranks (SR), U-Value (U), Z-Value (Z) and Indicator of Significance of the Experimental Group and Control Group related to productive thinking.	88
Table 4.3	Average frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for average of all thinking tasks	89
Table 4.4	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 1	91
Table 4.5	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 2	92
Table 4.6	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 3	93

Table 4.7	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 4	94
Table 4.8	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 5	95
Table 4.9	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 6	96
Table 4.10	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 7	97
Table 4.11	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 8	98
Table 4.12	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 9	99
Table 4.13	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 10	100
Table 4.14	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 11	101
Table 4.15	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 12	102

Table 4.16	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 13	103
Table 4.17	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 14	104
Table 4.18	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 15	105
Table 4.19	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 16	106
Table 4.20	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 17	107
Table 4.21	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 18	108
Table 4.22	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 19	109
Table 4.23	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 20	110
Table 4.24	Mean, Standard Deviation (SD) and Standard Error of Mean (SE) wise distribution of Science Achievement of Experimental Group and Control Group.	111

Table 4.25	Summary of the Reactions of the Students towards the Statements Related to the Developed model to Inculcate Productive Thinking among Elementary School Students in Terms of Percentage Response (%) and Intensity Index (II)	112
------------	---	-----

LIST OF FIGURES		
FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
Figure 1.1	Guilford's Structure of Intellect	07
Figure 1.2	Bloom's taxonomy and Revised Bloom's taxonomy	09
Figure 1.3	ThinkX model of productive thinking	13
Figure 1.4	Model of Productive thought	13
Figure 1.5	Process of productive thinking	16
Figure 1.6	Opposite nature of creative and critical thinking	23
Figure 3.1	Sample of present study	64
Figure 3.2	Phases of present study	67
Figure 3.3	Sequence of thinking processes in productive thinking	68
Figure 3.4	Syntax of Productive Thinking Model (FIESI)	72
Figure 3.5	Students involved in activities at Foundation phase of FIESI model	77
Figure 3.6	Students involved in activities at Foundation phase of FIESI model	77
Figure 3.7	Brainstorming and SCAMPER in the group at Ideation phase of FIESI model	78
Figure 3.8	Brainstorming and SCAMPER in the group at Ideation phase of FIESI model	78
Figure 3.9	Concept map on ideas at Stabilization phase of FIESI model	79
Figure 3.10	Concept map on ideas at stabilization phase of FIESI model	79

Figure 4.1	Frequency distribution belonging to experiment group and control group considering the average frequency for all the 20 thinking tasks of productive thinking scale	90
------------	---	----

LIST OF APPENDICES		
APPENDIX NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
I	Productive thinking scale	156-160
II	Achievement test	161-165
III	Reaction scale	166-167
IV	Productive thinking model (FIESI)	168-174
V	Unit plan-1	175-193
VI	Permission letter from regional office of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan, Ahmedabad Region	194
VII	Published paper: Fostering productive thinking among elementary school students through FIESI model	195-203
VIII	Published paper: Productive thinking model (FIESI): To make science education more scientific and innovative	204-211
IX	Published paper: Integrated strategy to foster productive thinking among elementary school students	212-219