LIST OF TABLES		
TABLE No.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
Table 1.1	Components of SCAMPER technique	20
Table 3.1	Allotted marks to the components in Productive thinking	69
Table 3.2	Description of SCAMPER technique	75
Table 3.3	Techniques used in chapters while teaching through Productive thinking model (FIESI)	80
Table 4.1	Mean, Standard Deviation (SD) and Standard Error of Mean (SE) wise Distribution of Productive Thinking Score of Experimental Group and Control Group.	87
Table 4.2	Distribution of Sum of the Ranks (SR), U-Value (U), Z-Value (Z) and Indicator of Significance of the Experimental Group and Control Group related to productive thinking.	88
Table 4.3	Average frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for average of all thinking tasks	89
Table 4.4	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 1	91
Table 4.5	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 2	92
Table 4.6	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 3	93

Table 4.7	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 4	94
Table 4.8	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 5	95
Table 4.9	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 6	96
Table 4.10	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 7	97
Table 4.11	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 8	98
Table 4.12	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 9	99
Table 4.13	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 10	100
Table 4.14	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 11	101
Table 4.15	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 12	102

Table 4.16	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 13	103
Table 4.17	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 14	104
Table 4.18	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 15	105
Table 4.19	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 16	106
Table 4.20	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 17	107
Table 4.21	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 18	108
Table 4.22	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 19	109
Table 4.23	Frequency wise distribution of students in Experiment group (fo) and Control group (fe) in different pattern of thinking for thinking task 20	110
Table 4.24	Mean, Standard Deviation (SD) and Standard Error of Mean (SE) wise distribution of Science Achievement of Experimental Group and Control Group.	111

Table 4.25	Summary of the Reactions of the Students towards the	112
	Statements Related to the Developed model to Inculcate	
	Productive Thinking among Elementary School Students in	
	Terms of Percentage Response (%) and Intensity Index (II)	

LIST OF FIGURES		
FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
Figure 1.1	Guilford's Structure of Intellect	07
Figure 1.2	Bloom's taxonomy and Revised Bloom's taxonomy	09
Figure 1.3	ThinkX model of productive thinking	13
Figure 1.4	Model of Productive thought	13
Figure 1.5	Process of productive thinking	16
Figure 1.6	Opposite nature of creative and critical thinking	23
Figure 3.1	Sample of present study	64
Figure 3.2	Phases of present study	67
Figure 3.3	Sequence of thinking processes in productive thinking	68
Figure 3.4	Syntax of Productive Thinking Model (FIESI)	72
Figure 3.5	Students involved in activities at Foundation phase of FIESI model	77
Figure 3.6	Students involved in activities at Foundation phase of FIESI model	77
Figure 3.7	Brainstorming and SCAMPER in the group at Ideation phase of FIESI model	78
Figure 3.8	Brainstorming and SCAMPER in the group at Ideation phase of FIESI model	78
Figure 3.9	Concept map on ideas at Stabilization phase of FIESI model	79
Figure 3.10	Concept map on ideas at stabilization phase of FIESI model	79

Figure 4.1	Frequency distribution belonging to experiment group and	90
	control group considering the average frequency for all the	
	20 thinking tasks of productive thinking scale	

LIST OF APPENDICES		
APPENDIX NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
Ι	Productive thinking scale	156-160
II	Achievement test	161-165
III	Reaction scale	166-167
IV	Productive thinking model (FIESI)	168-174
V	Unit plan-1	175-193
VI	Permission letter from regional office of Kendriya	194
	Vidyalaya Sangathan, Ahmedabad Region	
VII	Published paper: Fostering productive thinking among	195-203
	elementary school students through FIESI model	
VIII	Published paper: Productive thinking model (FIESI): To	204-211
	make science education more scientific and innovative	
IX	Published paper: Integrated strategy to foster productive	212-219
	thinking among elementary school students	