

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1 <i>Background</i>	1
1.2 <i>AOPs for the removal of aqueous PhCs</i>	5
1.3 <i>Electrochemical oxidation (EO) for the removal of aqueous PhCs</i>	5
1.4 <i>Peroxymonosulfate (PMS) based processes</i>	7
1.5 <i>Need of the study</i>	8
1.6 <i>Aim and objectives of the study</i>	9
2. Literature review	10
2.1 <i>Literature related to electrochemical oxidation of PhCs</i>	10
2.2 <i>Literature related to peroxyomonosulfate based processes for removal of PhCs</i>	19
3. Materials and methodology	28
3.1 <i>Materials</i>	28
3.2 <i>Reactor configurations and experimental set-up</i>	29
3.3 <i>Analytical procedure</i>	34
3.4 <i>Quenching experiments for EO sample analysis</i>	36
3.5 <i>Phytotoxicity testing</i>	36
3.6 <i>Response surface methodology</i>	37
4. Results and discussion.....	38
4.1 <i>Electrochemical oxidation of DCF</i>	38
4.2 <i>Effect of quenching agents on EO of DCF</i>	40
4.3 <i>Effect of the applied current density and reaction kinetics</i>	45
4.4 <i>Effect of electrolyte composition: sulfate to chloride ratio</i>	46

4.5	<i>Effect of electrode material on EO of DCF.....</i>	51
4.6	<i>Phytotoxicity study</i>	53
4.7	<i>Comparative study of EC alone, PMS alone, EC/PMS, and Fe₂SO₄/PMS for IBU removal</i>	
	54	
4.8	<i>Contribution of reactive radical species in IBU removal using EC/PMS.....</i>	57
4.9	<i>Results of response surface methodology.....</i>	58
4.10	<i>Performance in continuous flow mode.....</i>	67
4.11	<i>Results of LC-MS analysis</i>	71
5.	Conclusions.....	73
5.1	<i>Conclusions: EO study for DCF removal</i>	73
5.2	<i>Conclusions: EC/PMS study for IBU removal.....</i>	74
References.....		75
Publications.....		92
Conferences.....		93
APPENDIX A: Effect of sample to methanol ratio on diclofenac and its intermediates	i	
APPENDIX B: Quenching with NaOH to Alkaline pH (pH>10)	i	
APPENDIX C: DCF Calibration graph	ii	
APPENDIX D: HPLC chromatograms for time points 0, 10, 25, 40, 60 min, dotted line represents result after quenching and solid black line represents immediate result without quenching.....	iii	
APPENDIX E: Correlation test and Paired t-test between various data groups.....	vii	
APPENDIX F: Effect of pH on IBU time course profile for various combinations of current densities and [PMS] ₀ . Experimental conditions: ROC, [IBU] ₀ = 10 mg/L	ix	

APPENDIX G: Effect of $[PMS]_0$ on IBU time course profile for various combinations of current densities and pH. Experimental conditions: ROC, $[IBU]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}$	x
APPENDIX H: Effect of current densities on IBU time course profile for various combinations of $[PMS]_0$ and pH. Experimental conditions: ROC, $[IBU]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}$	xi
APPENDIX I: Effect of current densities and $[PMS]_0$ on % IBU removal in 30 minutes. Experimental conditions: ROC, $[IBU]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}$, Initial pH=7.5.	xii
APPENDIX J: Effect of $[PMS]_0$ to current density ratio on % IBU removal and IBU removal rate constant.	xii