

## List of Figures, Tables and Equations

Sr. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>1</b>	<b>Chapter 1. Introduction, Aims and Objectives</b>	
Figure 1.1	Components of a typical biosensor	1
Figure 1.2	Merits of biosensors over demerits of conventional methods	2
Figure 1.3	Various applications of biosensors	6
Figure 1.4	Important breakthroughs in the field of biosensor during 1970-1992	8
Figure 1.5	Biosensor development over the time from 20 <sup>th</sup> century to 21 <sup>st</sup> century	9
Figure 1.6	A detailed forecast on global biosensor market by “Market Research Future”	11
Figure 1.7	Biosensors categorized into different classes and subclasses	12
Figure 1.8	Different types of biosensors based on bioreceptors	15
Figure 1.9	Different types of biosensors based on use of transducer	25
Figure 1.10	Various types nanoparticles	33
Figure 1.11	Different deposition techniques for nanomaterials onto sensing support material for biosensor fabrication	38
Table 1.1	Advantages of biosensors over conventional methods	3
Table 1.2	Various applications of biosensors in different fields	5
Table 1.3	Uses of different receptors for detection of various analytes	18
Table 1.4	Uses of different receptors for detection of various analytes	30
Table 1.5	Uses of various nanoparticles for detection of various analytes	36
<b>Chapter 2. Acetylcholine esterase enzyme doped multiwalled carbon nanotubes for the detection of organophosphorus pesticide using cyclic voltammetry</b>		
Figure 2.1	Assembling AChE on glassy carbon electrode: ultra-sonicated MWCNTs were adsorbed and layered on polished surface of GCE following doping of AChE through amide bond formation	56

Figure 2.2	SEM Images of modified electrode surfaces: (a) MWCNTs adsorbed (MWCNTs/GCE); (b) AChE doped on MWCNTs (AChE/MWCNTs/GCE)	58
Figure 2.3	AFM pictures of different electrode surfaces: (a) bare polished glassy carbon electrode; (b) MWCNTs modified and AChE doped glassy carbon electrode (AChE/MWCNTs/GCE)	59
Figure 2.4	FT-IR Spectrum of MWCNTs and AChE-MWCNTs complex: FT-IR spectra were recorded separately for MWCNTs and MWCNTs-AChE and were compared. The inset is showing magnification of FT-IR spectrum from 1600 to 1600–1750 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ region	60
Figure 2.5	CV of (a) bare GCE, (b) GCE + MWCNTs and (c) GCE + MWCNTs + AChE recorded in PB (pH 7.2) containing 0.1 mM $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ and 10 mM KCl	61
Figure 2.6	Electrochemical behavior of cysteine on the AChE/MWCNTs/GCE recorded in PB (pH 7.2) containing 0.1 mM $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ and 10 mM KCl	62
Figure 2.7	Cyclic voltammogram of MWCNTs coated electrode with different concentrations of MWCNTs in PB (pH 7.2) containing 0.1 mM $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ and 10 mM KCl	63
Figure 2.8	Optimization of MWCNTs coating on GCE: effect of different concentrations of MWCNTs coatings in PB (pH 7.2) containing 0.1 mM $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ and 10 mM KCl	63
Figure 2.9	Effect of AChE loading on modified MWCNTs/GCE recorded in PB (pH 7.2) containing 1 mM ATChI in 0.1 mM $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ and 10 mM KCl	64
Figure 2.10	Effect of various concentrations of ATChI on the sensor recorded in PB (pH 7.2) containing 0.1 mM $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ and 10 mM KCl	65

Figure 2.11	Effect of Inhibition time: Response time of the sensor recorded in electrolytic system having 12 mM ATChI with PB (pH 7.2), 0.1 mM K <sub>3</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] and 10 mM KCl	65
Figure 2.12	Cyclic voltammetric detection of different paraoxon concentrations by fabricated electrode in presence of 12 mM ATChI with PB (pH 7.2), 0.1 mM K <sub>3</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] and 10 mM KCl; Incubation time 10 min; concentration of paraoxon: 0.1 nM, 10 mM, 20 nM, 30 nM, 40 nM, 50 nM, 60 nM, 100 nM, 200 nM, 300 nM, 400 nM, 500 nM	66
Figure 2.13	Detection of paraoxon of the fabricated sensor: (a) Detection range 0.1–500 nM (b) Linear range 10–50 nM	67
Figure 2.14	Selective detection paraoxon from real samples: (a) 5 nM paraoxon added potato (b) 10 nM Paraoxon added tap water	70
Table 2.1	Comparison of analytical characteristics of the present sensor with reported sensors for detection of paraoxon	68
Table 2.2	Recovery studies of different paraoxon concentrations from real samples	71
Equation 2.1	Enzymatic reaction of acetylcholine esterase enzyme	57
Equation 2.2	Rate of inhibition of acetylcholine esterase enzyme by paraoxon pesticide	57
<b>Chapter 3. Design and Characterization of conductive Nano-PEI-lipase film based biosensor for efficient electrochemical detection of triglycerides</b>		
Figure 3.1	FT-IR Spectra of nano-polymer film with and without the enzyme lipase	78
Figure 3.2	FE-SEM of GCE/GO/MWCNTs (a), GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> (b), GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase (c)	79
Figure 3.3	Cyclic voltammetry of bare GCE, GCE/GO/MWCNTs, GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA and GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase in 10 ml system of	81

	the electrolyte prepared in 50 mM PB, pH 6.5, containing 50mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ and 9% NaCl at 150 mV/s scan rate.	
Figure 3.4(a)	Cyclic voltammetric measurements of GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase electrode fabricated in triplicates in 50 mM PB, pH 6.5, containing 50mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ and 9% NaCl at 150 mV/s scan rate	81
Figure 3.4(b)	Anodic peak currents of GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase electrode in triplicates in 50 mM PB, pH 6.5, containing 50mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ and 9% NaCl at 150 mV/s scan rate	82
Figure 3.5(a)	Cyclic voltammetry of GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase electrode at different scan rates from 20 mV/s to 100 mV/s in 50mM PB (50 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ , 9% NaCl, pH 5)	82
Figure 3.5(b)	Square root of scan rate (20 mV/s to 100 mV/s) Vs current magnitude of GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase electrode in 50 mM PB, pH 6.5, containing 50mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ and 9% NaCl	83
Figure 3.6(a)	Cyclic voltammetry of GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase electrode as a function of pH in 50mM $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ and 9% NaCl in 50 mM PB (pH 5 to 8) at the scan rate of 80 mV/s	84
Figure 3.6(b)	Effect of pH on the current density of both the peaks of GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase electrode	84
Figure 3.7(a)	Anodic sweep segments of cyclic voltammetry of the electrode GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase as a function of TGA concentration at the scan rate 80 mV/s in 50mM PB ( $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ , 9% NaCl, pH 8) (100, 200, 300, 400, 500 mg/dL)	85
Figure 3.7(b)	Linear range of the biosensor GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase for TGA detection (100-500 mg/dL)	85

Figure 3.8(a)	Anodic current changes at anodic sweep segments after adding interfering species in 500 mg/dL TGA at GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase bioelectrode	86
Figure 3.8(b)	Effect on anodic current due to interfering species on GCE/GO/MWCNTs/PEI-TiO <sub>2</sub> /GA/Lipase bioelectrode	87
Figure 3.9.	Real sample detection – ground nut oil	87
Table 3.1.	Real sample detection-Triglyceride determination	88
Equation 3.1.	Lipase enzyme reaction on triglyceride	73
Equation 3.2.	Glycerol kinase reaction glycerol	73
Equation 3.3.	Glycerol-3-phosphate oxidase on Glycerol-3-phosphate	73
<b>Chapter 4. Molecular imprinting of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> in polyacrylamide gel slab for detecting the presence of the bacteria as a contaminant</b>		
Figure 4.1	Gram's stain of various concentrations of polyacrylamide(PAM) gels stating entrapment of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> : (a) Blank, (b) 4% PAM, (c) 6% PAM, (d) 8% PAM	96
Figure 4.2	Dynamic adsorption of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> onto 4% imprinted and non-imprinted polyacrylamide gel slabs.	98
Figure 4.3(a)	Difference of adsorption of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> on 4% imprinted and non-imprinted polyacrylamide gel over a period of 180 min.	98
Figure 4.3(b)	Difference of adsorption of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> on 4% imprinted and non-imprinted polyacrylamide gel over a period of 75 min explaining exponential distribution.	99
Figure 4.4(a)	Dynamic adsorption of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> onto 6% imprinted and non-imprinted polyacrylamide gel slabs.	99

Figure 4.4(b)	Difference of adsorption of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> on 6% imprinted and non-imprinted polyacrylamide gel over a period of 180 min.	100
Figure 4.5(a)	Dynamic adsorption of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> onto 8% imprinted and non-imprinted polyacrylamide gel slabs.	100
Figure 4.5(b)	Difference of adsorption of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> on 8% imprinted and non-imprinted polyacrylamide gel over a period of 180 min.	101
Figure 4.6	DSC curves overlay of 4% non-imprinted, imprinted before adsorption, and imprinted polyacrylamide gel after adsorption	101
Figure 4.7(a)	Dynamic adsorption on 4% imprinted polyacrylamide gel by different bacteria over a period of 75 min.	103
Figure 4.7(b)	Recognition specificity of the 4% imprinted PAM for different bacteria at 75 min. of absorption period in terms of change in optical density	104
Figure 4.8(a)	Calibration curves relating OD <sub>600</sub> measurements versus viable cell count in CFU/ml for <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> obtained by plate count method	104
Figure 4.8(b)	Calibration curves relating OD <sub>600</sub> measurements versus viable cell count in CFU/ml for <i>Salmonella typhi</i> obtained by plate count method	105
Figure 4.8(c)	Calibration curves relating OD <sub>600</sub> measurements versus viable cell count in CFU/ml for <i>Escherichia coli</i> (CFU/ml) obtained by plate count method	105
Figure 4.9	Recognition specificity of 4% imprinted polyacrylamide gel for <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Salmonella typhi</i> and <i>Escherichia coli</i> after 75 min. of incubation.	106
Table 4.2	Preparation of Acrylamide gels of various concentrations	93
Table 4.3	Two different washing-lysis solutions tried for removal	93

Table 4.3	Entrapment of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> observed in different concentrations of polyacrylamide gel	95
Table 4.4	Change in optical density of the bacterial suspensions before and after adsorption by 4% imprinted PAM	106
<b>Chapter 5. Electrochemical biosensor for cyclic voltammetric detection of SARS-CoV-2 using DNA-Chip</b>		
Figure 5.1(a)	Graphical representation of structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2	111
Figure 5.1(b)	Graphical representation of ORF1ab genome of SARS-CoV-2	112
Figure 5.2(a)	Ester linkage between 3' Hydroxyl group of ssDNA probe and oxidized MWCNT	116
Figure 5.2(b)	FT-IR spectra stating ester linkage between ssDNA-MWCNT complex	117
Figure 5.3(a)	CV measurements of bare screen printed carbon electrode and ssDNA-MWCNTs dispersed PVA matrix coated electrode in 0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM K <sub>3</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] prepared in 50 mM PB (pH 8).	119
Figure 5.3(b)	CV measurements of bare screen printed carbon electrode and ssDNA-MWCNTs dispersed PVA matrix coated electrode in 0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM K <sub>3</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] prepared in 50 mM PB (pH 8).	119
Figure 5.4	Cyclic voltammetry of ssDNA-MWCNTs/PVA/GA in 0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM K <sub>3</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] prepared in 50 mM PB (pH 8) after hybridization with different concentrations of target ssDNA sequence (1×10 <sup>-8</sup> M to 1×10 <sup>-15</sup> M) followed by MB incubation.	121
Figure 5.5	Cyclic voltammetry of ssDNA-MWCNTs/PVA/GA in 0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM K <sub>3</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] prepared in 50 mM PB (pH 8) after hybridization with different concentrations of target ssDNA sequence (1×10 <sup>-10</sup> M to 1×10 <sup>-15</sup> M) followed by MB incubation.	121
Figure 5.6(a)	Plot of various concentrations of target ssDNA (1×10 <sup>-10</sup> M to 1×10 <sup>-15</sup> M) vs. I (mA) cathodic peak current showing logarithmic distribution with R <sup>2</sup> = 0.9644.	122

Figure 5.6(b)	Plot of various concentrations of target ssDNA ( $1 \times 10^{-10}$ M to $1 \times 10^{-15}$ M) vs. I (mA) cathodic peak current showing linearity in logarithmic distribution with $R^2 = 0.9644$	122
Figure 5.7	Cyclic voltammetry of ssDNA-MWCNTs/PVA/GA in 0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ prepared in 50 mM PB (pH 8) after hybridization with target complementary ssDNA ( $1 \times 10^{-15}$ M) and non-complementary PupE ( $1 \times 10^{-15}$ M) after MB incubation.	124
Figure 5.8	Plot of complementary ( $1 \times 10^{-15}$ M) and non-complementary target sequences ( $1 \times 10^{-15}$ M) vs. current (mA) of cathodic peaks after MB incubation.	124
Figure 5.9	Cyclic voltammetry of water and alkali-alkaline solutions treated electrode in 0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ prepared in 50 mM PB (pH 8).	126
Figure 5.10	Plot of treated electrodes for regeneration vs. current (mA) of cathodic peaks.	126
Table 5.1	Comparison of the DNA chip with reported biosensors	120
<b>Chapter 6. Summary and conclusion</b>		
Table 6.1	Summary of the research work carried out in the thesis	130