List of Figures

Figure 1. 1 Schematic diagram of biofilm reactors
Figure 1.2 Steps involved in biofilm formation
Figure 2.1 Standard graphs for (a) nitrate (b) nitrite and (c) ammonia estimation
Figure 2.2 Changes observed in appearance of Winogradsky columns spiked with
nitrate and activated sludge from three different sources
Figure 2.3 Amyloid producing bacteria showing red colonies on CPNA plates 46
Figure 2.4 Gas production in PNB by the amyloid producing isolates
Figure 2.5 (a) Denitrification efficiency and (b) Biofilm forming ability of selected 24
amyloid producing denitrifying bacterial isolates
Figure 2.6 Nitrite accumulation test of amyloid producing denitrifying isolates
Figure 2.7 Neighbor joining phylogenetic tree depicting phylogenetic relationship of
the selected five isolates on the basis of 16S rDNA sequences
Figure 2.8 Surface amyloid staining with Thioflavin T of the individual isolates of
consortium DC5 viz. isolates R4, V5, V9, V11 and V14
Figure 2.9 Growth profiles of the selected five isolates of consortium DC5
Figure 2.10 The selected five denitrifying isolates showing lack of inhibition by each
other in co-culture growth inhibition assay
Figure 2.11 Flask level denitrification studies using selected isolates of consortium DC5
Figure 2.12 Gas chromatographs of N2 gas produced by the 5 isolates and consortium
DC5
Figure 2.13 Kinetic model plots for the removal of nitrate by denitrifying isolates 64
Figure 2.14 Effect of metal ions biofilm formation of consortium DC5
Figure 2.15 Effect of metal ions on denitrification efficiency of consortium DC5
Figure 2.16 Effect of pH on (a) Denitrification efficiency and (b) Biofilm formation of
consortium DC5
Figure 2.17 Effect of different carbon sources on (a) Denitrification efficiency (b)
Biofilm formation of consortium DC5

Figure 2.18 Semi-quantitative PCR analysis showing amplicons of individual isolates of
consortium DC5 when grown together in different carbon sources
Figure 2.19 Effect of different inoculum (%) on (a) Denitrification efficiency (b) Biofilm
formation of consortium DC571
Figure 3.1 Experimental setup of dMBBR
Figure 3.2 Bench scale dMBBR setup developed with consortium DC5 used in
denitrification studies
Figure 3.3 Performance of dMBBR inoculated with consortium DC5 at different (a) C/N
ratio, (b) HRT and (c) Nitrate loading
Figure 3.4 Carriers with different design and surface area used for dMBBR studies 85
Figure 3.5 dMBBR studies with different carrier design and filling ratio
Figure 3.6 Stover-Kincannon model for denitrifying MBBR (a) Predicted, (b) Observed
model
Figure 3.7 Comparative studies between suspended growth reactor and dMBBR
Figure 3.8 Comparative studies between MBBR developed with consortium DC5 and
MBBR developed with activated sludge
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR. 94 Figure 3.10 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from dye industry effluent. 96 Figure 3.11 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 1 effluent. 97 Figure 3.12 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 2 effluent. 97 Figure 3.13 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 3 effluent. 98 Figure 3.14 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from domestic wastewater spiked with nitrate. 98 Figure 4.1 Abundance of different phyla in denitrifying biofilm developed with consortium DC5 106 Figure 4.2 Abundance of different genera in denitrifying biofilm developed with 106
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR. 94 Figure 3.10 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from dye industry effluent. 96 Figure 3.11 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 1 effluent. 97 Figure 3.12 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 2 effluent. 97 Figure 3.13 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 3 effluent. 98 Figure 3.14 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from domestic wastewater spiked with nitrate. 98 Figure 4.1 Abundance of different phyla in denitrifying biofilm developed with consortium DC5 106 Figure 4.2 Abundance of different genera in denitrifying biofilm developed with consortium DC5 107
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR. 94 Figure 3.10 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from dye industry effluent. 96 Figure 3.11 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 1 effluent. 97 Figure 3.12 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 2 effluent. 97 Figure 3.13 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from pharma industry 3 effluent. 98 Figure 3.14 (a) Nitrate and (b) COD removal studies from domestic wastewater spiked with nitrate. 98 Figure 4.1 Abundance of different phyla in denitrifying biofilm developed with consortium DC5 106 Figure 4.2 Abundance of different genera in denitrifying biofilm developed with consortium DC5 107 Figure 4.3 Abundance of different Thauera spp. and Paracoccus spp. in denitrifying 107
Figure 3.9 Comparative studies between dMBBR developed with consortium DC5 and control dMBBR.

Figure 4.5 Relative abundance of genes of metabolic pathways in denitrifying biofilm
developed with consortium DC5 (a) KO level 1 (b) KO level 2 111
Figure 4.6 Relative abundance of genes resistance to heavy metal, antibiotic and toxic
compounds in biofilm developed by consortium DC5 113
Figure 4.7 Different strategies of anaerobic/anoxic biodegradation of organic compounds
in bacteria
Figure 4.8 (a) Relative abundance of gene involved in nitrogen metabolism (b) Nitrogen
metabolism pathways by KEGG mapper in biofilm associated with carriers in dMBBR
Figure 4.9 (a) Relative abundance of genes involved in sulfur metabolism (b) Sulfur
metabolism pathways by KEGG mapper
Figure 4.10 (a) Relative abundance of genes involved in methane metabolism (b)
Methane metabolism pathways by KEGG mapper in biofilm associated with carriers in
dMBBR
Figure 4.11 Relative abundance of genes involved in xenobiotic biodegradation and
metabolism by KO 125
Figure 5.1 (a) Auto aggregation ability (b) Hydrophobicity of <i>Thauera</i> sp.V14 137
Figure 5.2 Effect of temperature on morphology and swarming motility of Thauera
sp.V14(a) 25 °C, (b) 30 °C ,(c) 37 °C, (d) 40 °C
Figure 5.3 Flask level denitrification studies with <i>Thauera</i> sp.V14139
Figure 5.4 Effect of inoculum on (a) Denitrification efficiency of <i>Thauera</i> sp.V14 (b)
Biofilm of <i>Thauera</i> sp. V14140
Figure 5.5 Effect of metal ions on (a) Denitrification efficiency of Thauera sp.V14 in
presence of MgCl ₂ (b) Biofilm of Thauera sp. V14 in presence of MgCl ₂ (c)
Denitrification efficiency of <i>Thauera</i> sp.V14 in presence of CaCl ₂ (d) Biofilm of <i>Thauera</i>
sp. V14 in presence of CaCl ₂ 141
Figure 5.6 Effect of Purified amyloid protein on (a) Denitrification efficiency of <i>Thauera</i>
sp.V14 (b) Biofilm of <i>Thauera</i> sp. V14142
Figure 5.7 Effect of NaCl on (a) Denitrification efficiency of <i>Thauera</i> sp.V14 (b) Biofilm
of <i>Thauera</i> sp. V14

Figure 5.8 Effect of DMSO on (a) Denitrification efficiency of Thauera sp.V14 (b)
Biofilm of <i>Thauera</i> sp. V14144
Figure 5.9 Effect of Ethanol on (a) Denitrification efficiency of Thauera sp.V14 (b)
Biofilm of <i>Thauera</i> sp. V14145
Figure 5.10 Contour and 3-D graphs of (a) Effect of Yeast extract and Peptone (b) Effect
of Ethanol and DMSO (c) Effect of CaCl2 and Peptone on biofilm forming ability of
<i>Thauera</i> sp.V14151
Figure 5.11 Contour and 3-D graphs of (a) Effect of Peptone and CaCl ₂ (b) Effect of
Ethanol and DMSO (c) Effect of Peptone and Yeast extract on denitrification ability of
<i>Thauera</i> sp.V14
Figure 5.12 Validation of RSM results at flask level (a) Denitrification efficiency of
<i>Thauera</i> V.14, (b) Biofilm forming ability of <i>Thauera</i> sp.V14155
Figure 5.13 Nitrate removal studies in continuous dMBBR inoculated with Thauera
sp.V14
Figure 5.14 (a) FTIR of the biofilm developed on the carrier, (b) SEM image of the
surface of the carrier before and after biofilm development in dMBBR developed with
<i>Thauera</i> sp.V14