

C O N T E N T S

<u>PREFACE</u>	ii
<u>LIST OF TABLES</u>	xi
<u>LIST OF CHARTS</u>	xviii
 <u>CHAPTER I : CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK</u>	 <u>1-91</u>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The Background Perspective of M.S.University of Baroda	3
1.3 Concept of Institutional Climate	14
1.4 Concept of Student Control Ideology	58
1.5 The Concept of Dogmatism	65
1.6 Students' Acts of Indiscipline	69
1.7 Conclusion	71
 <u>CHAPTER II : THE RESEARCH DESIGN</u>	 <u>72-120</u>
2.1 Introduction	72
2.2 The Problem	73
2.3 The Rationale of the Study	89
2.4 The Scope and Limitations of the Study	93
2.5 Assumptions	96
2.6 Objectives of the Study	97
2.7 The Hypotheses	99
2.8 The Nature of the Data used in the study	103
2.9 The Research Instruments	105

2.10	The Samples	105
2.11	Statistical Procedure	115
2.12	Conclusion	119

CHAPTER III : THE RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS 121-192

3.1	Introduction	121
3.2	Construction of the Tool Institutional Climate Description Questionnaire	127
3.3	Development of the Research Instrument to Study Student Control Ideology (The SCI)	175
3.4	The Dogmatism Scale (Form-E)	183
3.5	Development of Research Instrument to study Students' Act of Indiscipline (The SAI)	186
3.6	The Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire	189
3.7	Conclusion	192

CHAPTER IV : ANALYSES AND INTERPRERATION OF DATA 193-341

4.1	Introduction	193
4.2	Identification of Institutional Climate	197
4.3	Mean Scores on different Dimensions of the ICDQ in Open, and Closed Climate Faculties	214
4.4	Identification of Departmental Climate Categories and Testing of the Significance of Variations in Them.	222
4.5	Estimates of Institutional Climate by Deans and Teachers and Professors and Lecturers.	244
4.6	Faculty Climate and Students' Acts of Indiscipline.	253

4.7	Relationship of Students' Acts of Indiscipline with Some of the Dimensions of the ICDQ (Baroda Form III)	263
4.8	Relationship of the Administrative Behaviour Dimensions of the ICDQ (Baroda Form III) and Students' Acts of Indiscipline	268
4.9	Relationship with Teachers' Students Control Ideology and Administrative Dimensions of the ICDQ.	277
4.10	Institutional Climate and Student Control Ideology of University Teachers	281
4.11	Relationship Between Personality Factors and Institutional Climate	294
4.12	Faculty Climate and Belief Systems or Dogmatism of Teachers	298
4.13	Comparison of Open Minded and Closed Minded University Teachers and Their Custodial Student Control Ideology.	306
4.14	Difference Between Perceptions on (a) Institutional Climate and of (b) Students' Control Ideology, (c) Dogmatism and (d) Students' Acts of Indiscipline of University Teachers	309
4.15	Relationship between Students' Control Ideology of Heads of Departments and Students' Acts of Indiscipline	318
4.16	Estimates of Significance of Relationship between Students' Control Ideology (SCI) of Heads of University Departments and Department Teachers	321

4.17	Biographical Variables and Institutional Climate of Faculties/Institutions of the University	325
4.18	Multiple Correlation and Regression Equation	334
4.19	Conclusion	339
<u>CHAPTER V</u>	<u>: CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS</u>	<u>342-435</u>
5.1	Introduction	342
5.2	A Brief Overview	344
5.3	Achievement of the Research Objectives	356
5.4	Major Findings	362
5.5	Improvement of Institutional Climate : Suggested Directions and Dimensions	369
5.6	Students' Acts of Indiscipline	425
5.7	Conclusion	432
<u>ANNEXURES</u>		<u>1-15</u>
<u>APPENDICES</u>		<u>16-57</u>
<u>REFERENCES</u>		<u>58-66</u>
<u>SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY</u>		<u>67-78</u>