LIST OF TABLES

| PABLE NO. | | PAGE |
|--------------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Faculty-wise and Institution-wise Distribution of the Sample of Respondents. | 107 |
| 2.2 | The Break-up of the Sampled Respondent Teachers According to their Sex and Different Faculties. | 108 |
| 2.3 | The Break-up of the Sampled Respondent Teachers According to their Status and Different Faculty | 109 |
| 2•4 | The Break-up of the Sampled Respondent Teachers According to Their Upbringing and Different | |
| » | Faculty | 111 |
| 2.5 | The Break-up of the Sampled Respondent Teachers According to Their Leadership and Non-Leadership of Different Faculties | 112 |
| 2.6 | The Break-up of the Sampled Respondent Teachers According to their Exposure to Foreign Countries and Different Faculties. | 113, |
| 3.1 | Number of Items in Each Component of the Experimental Draft of the ICDQ(Baroda Form III) | 134 |
| 3.2 | Correlation Analysis of the Experimental Draft of the ICDQ for Item Selection for the Experiment Draft | 170 |
| 7 7 | ment Draft. Items Petsined Under Different Dimensions in | 139 |
| 3•3 | Items Retained Under Different Dimensions in the Final Form of the ICDQ (Baroda Form III) | 153 |

| TABLE NO. | | PAGE |
|--------------|---|------|
| 3.4 | Range of Pearson Product-Moment Coefficient of Correlations between Dimension Total Score Individual Items for the Final Form of the ICDQ (Baroda Form III). | 155 |
| 3 . 5 | Inter-Correlation (15x15) Matrix. | 158 |
| 3.6 | Original Principal Component Factor Matrix (12x5) | 160 |
| 3. 7 | Rotated Factor Matrix (12x5) | 163 |
| 3 . 8 | Varimax Factor I. | 165 |
| 3.9 | Varimax Factor II | 166 |
| 3.10 | Varimax Factor III | 167 |
| 3.11 | Varimax Factor IV | 168 |
| 3.12 | Varimax Factor V | 169 |
| 3.13 | t-value of Items on Pupil Control Ideology | 177 |
| 3.14 | t a T li mainlina | 187 |
| 3.15 | 16 P.F. Test Profile. | 190 |
| 4.1 | Profile Chart | 205 |
| 4.2 | Illustration of How the Faculty Stanine Score | 207 |
| | of Faculty No.1 is obtained. | · |
| 4.3 | | 208 |
| 4 • 4 | Chi-Square Value of Organizational Climate Types of 15 Sampled Faculties. | 210 |

| TABLE NO. | | PAGE |
|--------------|--|------|
| 4•5 | Percentage Distribution of Secondary Schools Climate-wise as Revealed in Some Previous Studies on Organizational Climate of Secondary | 211 |
| - | Schools in Gujarat. | |
| 4.6 | Mean Differences on OCDQ Dimensions in respect of Open and Closed Climate Schools. | 216 |
| 4•7 | Mean Differences on ICDQ (Baroda Form III) in Respect of Open and Closed Climate Faculties/ Institutions. | 217 |
| 4 •8 | The Mean SD on the Twelve Dimensions of the Organizational Climate Department-wise According to the Three Types of Climates (Open, Intermediate and Closed). | 219 |
| 4.9 | Dimension-wise Comparison. | 221 |
| 4.10 | Illustration of How the Departmental Stanine Score of Department No.1 is obtained. | 226 |
| 4.11 | Classification of 57 Departments According to Closed, Intermediate and Open Climate Types. | 227 |
| 4.12 | Percentage Distribution of Three Climate Types Department-wise. | 231 |
| 4.13 | The Mean SD on the Twelve Dimensions of the Organizational Climate of Departments According | |
| | to the Five Types of Departments. | 234 |
| 4.14 | Dimension-wise Comparison of Departments According to Their t-values. | 238 |

| TABLE NO. | • | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 4.15 | Significance Difference in Mean Perceptions of Deans and Faculty Teachers on ICDQ Dimensions. | 247 |
| 4.16 | The Relative Differences in Perceptions of | |
| | Professors and Lecturers about Twelve Dimensions of \ensuremath{ICDQ} . | 249 |
| 4.17 | Significance of Difference in Mean Perception of Heads of Departments and Lecturers. | 250 |
| 4.18 | Faculty-wise Mean-Scores of Pupils' Acts of Indiscipline. | 256 |
| 4.19 | Faculty-wise t-value of Pupils' Acts of Indiscipline. | 257 |
| 4.20 | Comparison of Pupils' Acts of Indiscipline, Scores of University Teachers of Open, Inter- mediate and Closed Types of Faculties. | 258 |
| 4.21 | Significant Difference Between Pupils' Acts of Indiscipline and Various Types of Faculty Institutional Climate. | 259 |
| 4.22 | Analysis of Variance Data to test the influence of Categories of Climate on Students' Acts of Indiscipline. | 262 |
| 4.23 | Department-wise SAI: Mean, SD and t-values. | 262 |
| 4.24 | Correlation of Some ICDQ Dimensions with Students Acts of Indiscipline as Measured by the SAC. | 267 |
| , . | Correlation of Administrative Behaviour Dimensions of the ICDQ with Students' Acts of Indiscipline. | 276 |

| | | xv |
|--------------|--|------|
| m A TITTA | • | |
| TABLE NO. | | PAGE |
| 4.26 | Coefficients of Correlation Between Administra- | |
| | tive Dimensions of the ICDQ and Student Control Ideology (The SAI, Baroda Form III). | 279 |
| 4.27 | Faculty-wise SCI Score | 284 |
| 4.28 | The t-values of Faculty-wise/Institution-wise SCI. | 286 |
| 4.29 | Summary of Data and Analysis of Variance for the Relationship Between Institutional Climate and Student Control Ideology of the Faculties/ Institutions of the M.S.University of Baroda. | 290 |
| 4.30 | Department-wise SCI Mean, SD and t-value. | 291 |
| 4.31 | The Analysis of Variance Data for the Relation- ship Between the Climate Categories of the Departments and Personality Factors of their Heads. | 295 |
| 4 • 32 | Test of Significance for Pairs of Means on Factors A, B. G and Q ₁ of Teachers of Different Categories of Departments using Scheffe' Test. | 295 |
| 4.33 | Faculty-wise Dogmatism. | 300 |
| 4 • 34 | Testing Significance of Differences in Mean Perception Scores of University Teachers. | 301 |
| 4 • 35 | Comparison of Dogmatism Scores of University Teachers of Open, Intermediate and Closed Types of Faculties. | 302 |
| 4 • 36 | Analysis of Variance data for the Influence of Categories of Climate on Dogmatism Level. | 303 |

| NO. | · | PAGE |
|---------|---|-------------|
| 4 • 37 | Correlation Between Dogmatism Scores and OCDQ Sub-Test Scores. | 304 |
| 4 • 38 | Teachers' Dogmatism Characteristic | 308 |
| 4 • 39 | Climate-wise Comparison of SCI, DGM and SAI. | 311 |
| 4.40 | Intercorrelation Amongst Students Control Ideology, Dogmatism and Students Acts of Indiscipline. | 31 2 |
| 4 • 4 1 | Comparison of the SCI, DGM and SAI According to the type of the Department. | 314 |
| 4.42 | Relationship of Students' Acts of Indiscipline and the Control Ideology of Heads of Sampled University Departments. | 320 |
| 4.43 | A Comparison of the Pupil Control Ideology of the Departmental Heads and Teachers. | 324 |
| 4 • 4 4 | Chi-square Value of Institutional Climate According to Sex of the University Teachers who Perceived it. | 329 |
| 4.45 | Chi-square Value of Institutional Climate According to Professional Status of the Teachers | 329 |
| 4.46 | Chi-square Value of Institutional Climate According to Upbringing of the teachers. | 330 |
| 4 • 47 | Chi-square Value of Institutional Climate According to the Stay of University Teachers Abroad. | 331 |
| 4 •48 | Chi-square Value of Institutional Climate According to their Leadership Experiences | 333 |

| TABLE NO. | | PAGE |
|--------------|--|------|
| 4.49 | Multiple R and Regression Equation for Climate Score. | 334 |
| 4 •50 | A Comparative Picture of the Coefficients Among the Predictors in Mehta's Study and the Present Study. | 337 |