CHAPJER_VIJ

-,-

;

: :

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this present chapter the whole thesis is presented in a summary form and the conclusion of the investigation are drawn. Besides that practical implications of the present research, limitations and a note on looking into future aspect are dealt at the end.

Leadership effectiveness can be viewed from a bunch of Researchers' definitions. As early as 1948 to 1970, Stogd)11 emphasized (i) Personal Characteristics, (ii) Social background, (iii) Intelligence and obility, (iv) Personality and. (v) tack related characteristics as the most important personal traits for effective leadership. But recent researchers defined leadership interms of communication processes. Haiman suggested that 'direct leadership is an interaction process in which an individual acts, usually through the medium of speech, influences the behaviour of others towards a particular end'.

Bernard, (1938) viewed that, 'The structure expensiveness and scope of organisations are almost entirely determined by communication techniques'. So, it is evident that, communication processes certainly helps to determine leadership to a great extent. The impact of effective and ineffective organisation on leadership behaviour can also be studied in this research.

Organisational effectiveness depends upon the successful léadership style. This view is related to the organisational theory (1980, Etzioni, 1961, Wood-. -Ward, 1970; Permous, 1972) saying that communicatión processes, leadership and effectiveness of organisation are dependent on The following model each other. may be presented to prove organisational effectiveness;

Leadership Styles -----Organisational Effectiveness Communication Pattern -----

So to prove the importance of leadership behaviour in a particular organisation, it would be fruitful to study leadership effectiveness with reference to communication processes.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY :

3

- The basic purpose of the study was to see if leadership styles had any effect on communication stystems of the organisation.
- 2) To compare two organisations of the same nature of work on the basis of effectiveness criteria.

3. To study six major behavioural characteristics (i.e.Responsibility, Strength Fiexibility, Image, Endurance and Relaxation) of the leaders in the organisations through BFI.

HYPOTHESES :

To meet the above objectives, several hypotheses were framed. The main hypotheses have been mentioned below :

- (i) Leadership style and Communication processes should interact with each other.
- (ii) Different leadership styles would influence different variables of organisational effectiveness.
- (iii)Behavioural fitness characteristics (i.e., Responsibility, Strength, Image, Flexibility, Endurance and Relaxation) will determine effectiveness of communication processes in Organisations.
- (iv) Both Eclectic Authoritative and Nurturant task type of leadership, would come out as the most effective styles

339

. , ?

VARIABLES_UNDER_STUDY

A bulk of researchers emphasized the importance of trait approach on Leadership - Effectiveness beginning from the carly years of 1930s to 1940s. From 1940s to 1981, many researchers put emphasis on personality traits which distinguished leaders from non-leaders Bird (1940), Jenkins (1974), Stogdill (1948), Gibb's (1947; 1969), Mann (1959) and Base (1981). Traits were divided into three broad types from the literature view points : They Physical were, (a)factors, (b.) Ability characteristics and (c) Personality features.

Stogdill (1981)pointed out certain criticisms that, many of the traits are situation specific. Later on in the late 1940s - leadership in organisations shifted its emphasis away from the study of 'traits' of leaders towards their style or behaviours. A group of studies were particularly evident in this context. Mainly, " the Ohio State leadership study and the Michigan studies could be focussed here. The studies had wider application in the leadership behaviour. Bosides that these studies were under criticism for several reasons which ultimately gave rise the importance of situational variables to determine leadership effectiveness. In thic context, approaches <u>l</u>ike, Fiedler's contingency model of leadership offectiveness, Hervey Blanchard's situational

i

leadership, theory, the Vroom-Yetton contingency model were the successful approaches to prove 'Leadership effectiveness'.

Recently the contribution of Attribution theory attracted a great deal of attention of modern researches from which much of the empirical researches have emanated (Kelly and Michela, 1980). In short, this theory explained the causal attribution made by leaders in dealing with the causes of poor subordinate performance and examined people's perception of leadership. The above view can be marked from a model by Green and Mitchell et.al, (1981).

SubordinateCausalLeaderBehaviour----> Attribution---> Behaviour

So far as the Indian researches in the 'Leadership effectiveness' aspect is concerned, Bose was considered as the pioneer (1955; 1957a; 1957b; 1958a; 1958b).

Since 1955, major researches also tocussed on the supervisory behaviour in Indian Context with relation to Michigan Studies. The actual research in effective leadership styles began in the Indian Organisations in the year 1970's by Kakar and J.B.P.Sinha's researches. It was considered a turning point in the long tradition of leadership researches. From 1970s to 1985, Sinha conducted

. . .

several studies on various leadership styles and suggested NT (Nurturant Task) style as one of the befitting style in Indian Organisations. Many researchers supported his findings.

'Tō[™] overcome some of the methodological problems of Sinha's researches, Daftuar (1985) another model (A, p+N) which might be proposed appropriate model considered ə'n in Indian situations. This model encompossed a cultural situational approach to leadership. Majority of the Indian recearchers (Hager, 1962; Meade, 1967; Mayers, 1960; Pareek, 1988; Nandy and Kakar, 1976; 1982, Bhusan, 1969; Kool, 1980; Ray, etc) had preferred to go with this model.

The present research has succeded a step ahead to study the leadership behaviour with relation to communication processes in two of the public sector fertilizer undertakings. In other words this study could help to formulate adequate behavioural patterns for the managers to deal effectively with subordinates and to determine the strategies for the judgement of effective and ineffective organizations.

The foremost importance could be cited for the formulation of proper climate by stressing on the following variables. The variables were categorised under three broader heads. Namely;

- (i) Leadership Styles,
- (ii) Communication Processes,
- (iii) Organisational Effectiveness.
- (1) LEADERSHIP STYLES : Mainly six factors/styles were derived in the factor analysis done on the Managerial Behaviour Questionnaire (MEQ) used to study eight (8) leadership styles in the organisations.
- a. Eclectic Style
- b. Inter-action Oriented Style
- c. Authoritative nurturant Style

d. Bureaucratic Style

e. Bureaucratic - task oriented style

f. Task Oriented Style

2. COMMUNICATION PROCESS VARIABLES : All total 10 variables were taken to count communication processes. They were : (a) Turst, (b) Influence, (c) ˈ Mobility, (d)Desire for Interaction. (e) Communication upward, (f) Communication downward, (g) Communication with peers, (h) Accuracy, (i) Summarization and (J) Gatekeeping.

3. COMMUNICATION PROFILE VARIABLES :

Trust, Satisfaction with chances of promotion, Beneficial aspect, Listening, Written publication, Amount of information received and Amount of information want to receive were the seven variables taken to measure communication profile in the Organisation.

- 4. ORGANISATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS VARIABLES : Consensus. Legitimatization. Need for independence, Selfcontrol, Job involvement, Innovation, Organisational attachment, Organisational commitment and Job satisfaction were the 9 variables considered to count/Organisational effectiveness.
- 5. BEHAVIOURAL FITNESS VARIABLES :

1

Six major behavioural fitness characteristics of the leader were measured under this fitness inventory. Responsibility, Strength, Flexibility, Image, Endurance and Relaxation were six fitness dimensions considered for the study.

PRELIMINARY STUDY : This phase of the study was conducted having a limited scale on the basis of which the mainstudy to become more meaningful and realistic. The study as a whole played a major role in identifying various variables involved in the main study and throw up the existing handicaps that might crop up in the research.

All total 42 respondents of middle level cadre of two similar natured public sector

ţ

4.

consultancy organisations were chosen for this phase. They are, M/s CAIL (Gas Authority of India Limited.,), and M/s EfL (Engineers India Limited.,). No formal procedure was followed in choosing samples. The researcher distributed the questionnaires by explaining the respondents individually about some instructions. In some cases quarries were explained to the respondents by the researcher.

INSTRUMENT USED :

- Managerial Behaviour Questionnaire (MBQ) It studies six leadership styles in the Organisation (Daftuar 1985).
- 2) Behavioural Fitness Inventory (BFI) It studies six behavioural characteristics of the leader (Wyne Pace, 1985).
- 3) Communication Profile Questionnaire (CPQ) -It studies complete communication systems/patterns in the organisation (Wyne Pace, 1985).
- 4) Organisational Communication Questionnaire
 (OCQ) IT STUDIES COMMUNICATION PROCESSES OF
 THE ORGANISATION (O'Reilly, 1974).
- 5) Organisational Effectiveness Questionnaire (OEQ) - It studies several dimensions of organisational effectiveness (Daftuar, 1985). (The same Questionnaires were used for both the preliminary and main study purpose).

PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY STUDY :

Depending upon the itemwine difficulty by the respondents, nome items of communication profile Questionnaire and Organisational Communication Questionnaire were dropped for the main study purpose. Some experienced managers and experts views were also taken into consideration for the same difficult items. Out of 60 items originally 28 items were considered for the final study purpose incase of CPQ and out of 31 items, 29 items were accepted incase of OCQ for the final study.

ANALYSES : Statistical techniques like chisquare X^2 test was used for the preliminary study. The results indicated that the tests can differentiate between high and low scores, hence they are usable for the Indian sample of similar groups.

In case of Behavioural Filness Inventory the X_2 value is 11.07, significant at .05 level. This obtained value (i.e. 11.07) at .05 level is more than the table values. Hence hypotheses is prejected. So difference is significant.

But in case of Organisational Communication Questionnaire (OCQ) and Communication Profile Questionnaire (CPQ) X₂ value at .05 level is 9.488. In these cases also the difference is significant. In sum it can be concluded that there is significant difference between instrument will be used for the study.

MAIN STUDY

SAMPLING : 170 Middle Level Managers were taken account for the study to compare two into one effective and one non-effective organisations. In each organisation, 85 respondents were considered. The sample covered in the Middle Level Management ranging from Senior Engineers to Managers designation wise.

The data covered in almost all the departments in both the organisations.

RRSEARCH SITE : The study was carried out in two Public Sector Fertilizer Industries. Organisation-1 (\mathcal{D}_1) is the only Co-operative Fertilizer Industry in India situated in the State of Gujarat. This organisation is collaborated with Kellogg (USA), Snamprogetti (ITALY) and PDIL (INDIA).

On the other hand organization 20, is considered as the largest coal based fertilizer company in the eastern region. It is situated in the state of ORISSA South Eastern Coal Fields the near Limited, (SECL), 2.3 KM away from the Plant. This organisation is collaborated with M/s KOPPERS, West Germany, M/s Tecnimont, Italy, and M/s Technoexpert, CZECHOSLOVAKIA, .

 PROCE DURE OF DATA COLLECTION: The bunch of the questionnaire consisted of 5 instruments (above mentioned) as that of the preliminary study was used. The researcher took seven (7) months time to collect datas in both the organisations. She distributed the questionnaires to the individual respondents and described all the purposes, instructions to fill-up the questionnaire. They were given minimum 3/4 days time to fill it up. Then she collected them back by meeting the individual respondent and again requested to complete i some to those respondents whose questionnaires were not complete.

Firstly, the organisation Heads of both the organisations were approached for permission to collect the data. The same procedure was followed for the two organisations to collect datas. Both the organisational Heads as well as respondents showed active interest for the survey work. MAIN FINDINGS :

- 1. On the basis of 't'test, significant difference was observed in terms of interaction oriented and bureaucratic leadership styles in between 0_1 and 0_2 .
- 2. Depending upon the multiple regression analyses, Eclectic, Interaction - oriented, Authoritative - nurturant, Hureaucratic. Bureaucratic -task oriented and Task oriented leadership styles explained very small variance with all the communication profile variables in O_1 .

- All the six (6) leadership styles were found З. equally dominant to determine the Trust, aspect, Listening, Beneficial Written publication and Amount of information received variables of CPQ. Only the Satisfaction with chances of promotion and Amount of information want to receive variable in Op were not significant. Where as in 0_1 , the communication profile variables, namely, Trust, Satisfaction with chances of promotion, Beneficial aspect, Listening, Written publication showed significant correlation with mainly Eclectic, Interaction-Oriented, Authoritativa-nurturant and Task oriented leadership styles.
- The it' test findings also proved a notable 4. to difference with reference Trust, Satisfaction with chances of promotion, Beneficial aspect, Listening, Written publication and Amount of information received variables of communication profile in between O_1 and O_2 .
- 5. As it is observed from the R^2 and correlations (rs) results, all the six leadership styles were the significant predictors of the Trust variable in O_1 . Trust, Influence and Mobility variables altogether came out as the major determinants to affect the leadership styles in O_1 .

- 6. Significant differences were observed in case of Influence and Accuracy variables of communication processes in the organisations.
- 7. The variable o£ Job satisfaction was accepted as the only dominant characteristic of 🕐 organisational 👘 effectiveness. The Eclectic, Interaction oriented, Authoritative nuturant, Bureaucratic task oriented and Task - oriented leaders established strong relationship with the same Job satisfaction except the Bureaucratic loader only. The Bureaucratic Leaders had relations with only organisational commitment in O_1 .
- 8. So far as O₂ is concerned, Authoritative nurturant, Bureaucratic, Bureaucratic task oriented and Task oriented leadership styles/factors were loaded with only Job satisfaction in both the R² and r results. Where as Eclectic and Inter-action oriented leaders were found strong on organizational commitment and attachment aspects, respectively.
- 9. There was a significant difference in terms of the <u>t</u> findings with consensus, Job - involvement, organisational commitment and Job satisfaction variables mainly in between 0_1 and 0_2 .
- 10.

It was again interesting to note that Eclectic, Interaction-oriented, Authoritativé nurturant, Bureaucratic - task oriented leaders were perceived to be high in consensus, Legitimatization, organisational commitment, organisational attachment and Job satisfaction characteristics except the Task oriented leaders. The Tack oriented leaders were considered to be high in organisational attachment, commitment and Job satisfaction in both the organisations.

- 11. The communication profile characteristics like, Truch, Satisfaction with chances of promotion, Heneficial aspect and Listening were proved to be the significant predictors of Job satisfaction in O_1 . In other words, major variablec the other οE Written publication, Amount of information received and amount of information the leaders want to receive were predicted R^2 with organizational attachment, consensus and self -control aspects of organisational effectiveness.
- 12. Similarly, satisfaction with chances of promotion, Beneficial aspect, Listening and Amount of information received variables were emerged with the Job satisfaction aspect of organisational effectiveness in 0_2 .
- 13. The 't' test results related to behavioural Fitness Inventory, showed significant difference of Image and Endurance behavioural characteristics of the leaders between O_1 and

 o_{Z} .