

REFERENCES

- Adams, G.R. (1998). The objective measure of ego-identity status. Unpublished manuscript.
- Aggarwal, R. (2000, April). Marriage partner selection in the Indian context: Cultural rules and their interpretations. Unpublished master's thesis. The M.S.University of Baroda, Vadodara, India.
- Ahuja, R. (1993). Indian social system. Jaipur: Rawat.
- Athanasiadou, C. (1997). Post graduate women talk about family and career. *Feminism And Psychology*, 7(3), 321-327.
- Banaji, M.R., & Prentice, D.A. (1994). The self in social contexts. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 45, 297-332.
- Banerjee, K. (1999) Gender stratification and the contemporary marriage market in India. *Journal of Family Issues*, 20(5), 648-676.
- Bharat, S. (1995). Attitudes and sex-role perceptions among working couples in India. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 26 (3), 371-389.
- Bharat, S. (1997, June). Family socialization of the Indian child. *Trends in Social Science Research*, 4 (1), 201-215.
- Bharat, S. (2001). On the periphery: The psychology of gender. In J. Pandey (Vol. Ed.),

 *Psychology in India revisited: Vol.2. Developments in the discipline (pp.300-355).

 New Delhi: Sage.
- Bhogle, S. (1999). Gender roles: The construct in the Indian context. In T. S. Saraswathi (Ed.), Culture, socialization and human development: Theory, research and applications in India (pp.235-254). New Delhi: Sage.

- Blasi, A. (Undated). The development of identity: A critical analysis from the perspective of the self as a subject. Unpublished manuscript. University of Massachusetts at Berlin.
- Blumerg, L.R., & Dwaraki, L. (1980). *India's educated women: Options and constraints*.

 Delhi: Hindustan.
- Brewer, M. B. (2001). The many faces of social identity: Implications for political psychology. *Political Psychology*, 22 (1), 115-125.
- Bromnick, R. D., & Swallow, B. L. (1999). I like being who I am: A study of young people's ideals. *Educational Studies*, 25(2), 117-128.
- Burke, P.J. (1991). Identity processes and social stress. *American Sociological Review*, 56, 836-849.
- Camilleri, C., & Malewska Peyre, H. (1997). Socialization and identity strategies. In J.W. Berry, P.R. Dasen & T.S. Saraswathi (Eds.), *Handbook of cross-cultural psychology: Vol. 2. Basic processes and human development* (pp. 41-68). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Chakrabortty, K. (2002). Family in India. Rawat: Jaipur.
- Chanana, K. (1996). Educational attainment, status production and women's autonomy:

 A study of two generations of Punjabi women in New Delhi. In R. Jeffery & A.M.

 Basu (Eds.), Girls' schooling, women's autonomy and fertility change in South

 Asia (pp.107-132). New Delhi: Sage.

- Chanana, K. (1998). Family strategies, gender ideology and education: The impact of partition on punjabi women of New Delhi. In C. Risseeuw & K. Ganesh (Eds.), Negotiation and social space: A gendered analysis of changing kin and security networks in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa (pp.157-176). New Delhi: Sage.
- Chanana, K. (2001). Interrogating women's education: Bonded visions, expanded horizons. Rawat: Jaipur.
- Chanana, K. (2003). Female sexuality and education of Hindu girls in India. In S. Rege & K. Chanana (Eds.), Sociology of gender: The challenge of feminist sociological knowledge (pp.287-317). New Delhi: Sage.
- Chaterjee, S. (1988). The Indian woman's search for identity. New Delhi: Vikas.
- Claes, M. (2002). Working women. In M. F. Loutfi (Ed), Women, gender and work: What is equality and how do we get there? New Delhi: Rawat.
- Clemens, I. (2004, October). Education as a moral issue? Reconstruction of the subjective theories of education in India. Unpublished Manuscript. German Institute of Educational Research at Frankfurt, Germany.
- Cotterill, P., & Letherby, G. (2005). Women in higher education: Issues and challenges. Women's Studies International Forum, 28, 109-113.
- Derne, S. (1994). Arranging marriages: How fathers' concerns limit women's educational achievements. In C. Mukhopadhyay, & S. Seymour (Eds.), Women, education and family structure in India (pp.83-101). San Francisco: Westview.
- Desai, M. (1993, January). Selection of marriage partner and development programs. *The Indian Journal of Social Work, 54 (1), 59-70.*

- Dube, L. (2001). Anthropological explorations in gender: Interesting fields. New Delhi: Sage.
- Dube, L. (1998). Trends in kinship and gender relations in South Asia. In C. Risseeuw & K. Ganesh (Eds.), Negotiation and social space: A gendered analysis of changing kin and security networks in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa (pp.87-112).
 New Delhi: Sage.
- Erikson, E. H. (1946). Ego development and historical change. In R. Essler (Ed.), *The psychoanalytic study of the child* (Vol.2, pp.359-395). New York: International Universities Press.
- Erikson, E.H. (1950). Childhood and society. New York: Norton.
- Ganesh, K. (1998). Gender and kinship studies: Indian material and context. In C.

 Risseeuw & K. Ganesh (Eds.), Negotiation and social space: A gendered analysis of changing kin and security networks in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa

 (pp.113-133). New Delhi: Sage.
- Ganesh, K. (1999). Patrilineal structure and agency of women: Issues in gendered socialization. In T. S. Saraswathi (Ed.), Culture, socialization and human development: Theory, research and applications in India (pp.235-254). New Delhi: Sage.
- Gupta, N., & Sharma, A.K. (2003) Patrifocal concerns in the lives of women in academic sciences: Continuity of tradition and emerging challenges. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 10(2), 279-305.
- Hall, S.S. (2006). Marital meaning: Exploring young adults' belief systems about marriage. *Journal of Family Issues*, 27 (10), 1437-1458.

- Hallowell, A.I. (1971). *Culture and experience* (2nd ed.). Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press
- Harding, S. (1986). The science question in feminism. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Hui, C.H., & Triandis, H.C. (1986). Individualism collectivism: A study of crosscultural researches. *Journal of Cross Cultural Psychology*, 17, 225-248.
- Jejeebhoy, S. (1995). Women's education, autonomy and reproductive behavior:

 Experiences from Developing countries. NY: Oxford.
- Jha, U.S., & Pujari, P. (1998). *Indian women today: Vol.3 Tradition, modernity and challenge* (2nd ed., pp.149-226). New Delhi: Kanishka.
- Kabeer, N. (2005). Gender equality and women's empowerment: a critical analysis of the third millennium development goal. *Gender and Development*, 13 (1), 13-24.
- Kabeer, N. (1999). Resources, agency, achievements: Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment. *Development and Change*, 30, 435-464.
- Kakar, S. (1988). Feminine identity in India. In R. Ghadially (Ed.), Women in Indian society: A leader. New Delhi: Sage.
- Kandiyoti, D. (1988, September). Bargaining with patriarchy. *Gender and Society*, 2 (3), 274-290.
- Kapadia, S. (1999). Self, women and empowerment: A conceptual inquiry. In T.S. Saraswathi (Ed.), Culture, socialization and human development: Theory, research and applications in India (pp. 255-277). New Delhi: Sage.
- Katyal, A., & Chanda, I. (1988). How to be a good woman: The playway method. *Indian Journal of Gender studies*, 5(2), 165-183.

- Khatri, A.A. (1970). Personality and mental health of Indians (Hindu) in the context of their changing family organization. In E.J. Anthony, & K. Crokpermik (Eds.), The child in the family (Vol. 1, pp. 389-411). NY: Wiley.
- Knoblauch, H. (2005, September). Focused ethnography. Forum Qualitative Social Research (Online Journal, 6(3)). Retrieved December 25, 2005, from www.qualitative-research.net
- Kraus, W. (2000, July). Making identities talk: On qualitative methods in a longitudinal study. *Forum Qualitative Social Research* (Online Journal, 1(2)). Retrieved October 25, 2000, from www.qualitative-research.net
- Krishnaraj, M. (1999, November). Globalization and women in India. *Development in Practice*, 9 (5), 587-592.
- Lamb, S. (1997). The making and unmaking of persons: Notes on aging and gender in North India. *Ethos*, 25 (3), 279-302.
- Landrine, H. (1995) Clinical implications of cultural differences: The referential versus the indexical self. In N.R. Goldberg & J.B. Veroff (Eds.), *The culture and psychology reader*. NY: University press.
- Loutfi, M.F. (2002). Women, gender and work: An overview. In M.F. Loutfi (Ed.),

 Women, gender and work: what is equality and how do we get there? (pp.3-20).

 New Delhi: Rawat.
- Marcia, J.E. (1980). Identity in adolescence. In J. Adelson (Ed.), *Handbook of adolescent Psychology* (pp.159-187). New York: Wiley
- Markus, H., & Kitayama, S. (1991). Cultural and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion and motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98, 224-253.

- Markus, H., & Wurf, E. (1987). The dynamic self-concept: A social psychological Perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 38, 299-337.
- Marshall, J. (1989). Re-visioning career concepts: A feminist invitation. In M. Arthur, T. Hall & B. Lawrence (Eds.), Handbook of career theory (pp.275-291). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mascolo, M.F., Misra, G., & Rapisardi, C. (2004). Individual and relational conceptions of self in India and the United States. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development*, 104, 9-26.
- Maxwell, J.A. (1996). Qualitative research methods: An integrative approach. New Delhi: Sage
- Miles, M. B., & Hubermann, A.M. (1994). Qualitative Data Analysis (2nd ed.) New Delhi: Sage.
- Miller, J.G. (1991). The social construction of the person: How is it possible? In R.A. Shweder (Ed.), *Thinking through cultures: Expeditions in cultural psychology.* (pp.156-185). Cambridge: Harward University Press
- Mines, M. (1988). Conceptualizing the person: Hierarchical society and individual autonomy in India. *American Anthropologist*, 90, 568 579.
- Mishra, R.C. (1994). Individualistic and collectivistic orientation across generations. In
 U. Kim, H.C. Triandis, C. Kagitcibasi, S. Chin-Choi, & G. Yoon (Eds.),
 Individualism and collectivism: Theory, method and applications. Vol. 18. Cross-cultural research and methodology series. New Delhi: Sage.
- Misra, G., & Giri, R. (1995). Is Indian self predominantly interdependent? *Journal of Indian Psychology*, 13 (1), 16-29.

- Mukhopadhyay, C.C. (1994). Family structure and Indian women's participation in science and engineering. In C.C. Mukhopadhyay & S. Seymour (Eds.), Women, education and family structure in India (pp.103-132). San Francisco: Westview.
- Mukhopadhyay, C. C. (2001). The cultural context of gendered science: The case of India. Electronic document. Retrieved February 25, 2005 at http://www2.sjsu.edu/depts/anthroplogy/mukh
- Mukhopadhyay, C. C. (2004). A feminist cognitive anthropology: The case of women and Mathematics, *Ethos*, 32(4), 458-492.
- O'Brien, M. (2005, November). Studying individual and family development: Linking theory and Research. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 67, 880-890.
- Okin, S.M. (1994, February). Gender inequality and cultural differences. *Political Theory*, 22 (1), 5-24.
- Oyserman, D., Bybee, D. & Terry, K. (2006). Possible selves and academic outcomes: How and when possible selves impel action. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 91(1), 188-204.
- Oyserman, D., Coon, H.M., & Kemmelmeier, M. (2002). Rethinking individualism and collectivism: evaluation of theoretical assumptions and meta-analyses.

 *Psychological Bulletin, 128 (1), 3-72.
- Papanek,H. (1990). To each less than she needs, from each more than she can do:

 Allocations, entitlements and value. In I. Tinker (Ed.), *Persistent inequalities* (pp. 162-181). NY: Oxford University Press.
- Parikh, I. J., & Garg, P. K. (1989). Indian women: An inner dialogue. New Delhi: Sage

- Parikh, I. J., & Garg, P. K. (1993). Young managers at the crossroads: The Trishanku complex. New Delhi: Sage.
- Pestonjee, D.M. (1999). Stress and coping: The Indian experience (2nd ed.). New Delhi: Sage.
- Ramu, G.N. (1989-90). 'Men don't cry and men don't cook and clean' A study of housework among urban couples. *Samya Shakti, IV&V*, 156-174.
- Reid, A. & Deaux, K. (1996). Relationship between social and personal identities:
 Segregation or integration? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 71 (6), 1084-1091.
- Roland, A. (1988). In search of self in India and Japan: Towards a cross-cultural psychology. New Jersey: Princeton.
- Sen, A. K. (1990). Gender and co-operative conflict. . In I. Tinker (Ed.), *Persistent inequalities* (pp. 123-149). NY: Oxford University Press.
- Seymour, S. (1994). College women's aspirations: A challenge to the patrifocal family system? In C. Mukhopadhyay, & S. Seymour. *Women, education and family structure in India* (pp.213-233). San Francisco: Westview.
- Seymour, S. (1995). Family's structure, marriage, caste and class, and women's education: Exploring the linkages in an Indian town. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 2(1), 67-86.
- Seymour, S. (1999). Women, family and childcare in India: A world in transition.

 Cambridge: University Press.

- Shukla, S., Kapadia, S. (in press). Transition in marriage partner selection process:

 Are matrimonial advertisements an indication? *Psychology and Developing Societies*.
- Sinha, D., & Tripathi, R.C. (1994). Individualism in a collectivistic culture: A case of coexistence of opposites. In U. Kim, H.C. Triandis, C. Kagitcibasi, S. Chim Choi, & G. Yoon (Eds.), *Individualism and collectivism: Theory , method and applications.Vol. 18. Cross-cultural research and methodology series* (pp. 123-136). New Delhi: Sage.
- Sinha, J.B.P., Sinha, T.N., Verma, J. & Sinha, R.B.N. (2001). Collectivism coexisting with individualism: An Indian scenario. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 4*, 133-145.
- Skinner, D. (1989). The socialization of gender identity: Observations from Nepal. In J. Valsiner (Ed.), *Child development in a cultural context* (pp. 181-192). Toronto: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Sonpar, S. (2005). Marriage in India: Clinical Issues. *Contemporary Family Therapy*, 27 (3), 301-313.
- Srivastava, V.K. (2001). Male bias, matrifocality and family dynamics. In A. Avasthi & A.K.Srivastava (Eds.), *Modernity, feminism and women empowerment* (pp.58-78). New Delhi: Rawat
- Strauss, A. (1987). *Qualitative analysis for social scientists*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Subrahmanyan, L. (1998). Women scientists in the third world: The Indian experience.

 New Delhi: Sage.

- Sudha, D.K. (2000). Gender roles. New Delhi: APH.
- Thoits, P.A. (1991). On merging identity theory and stress research. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 54, 101-112.
- Thorne, A. (2004). Putting the person into social identity. *Human Development*, 47, 361-365.
- Thornton, A., & Fricke, T.E. (1987). Social change and the family: Comparative perspectives from the west, China and the South Asia. *Sociological Forum*, 2 (4), 746-779.
- Tichenor, V. (2005). Maintaining men's dominance: Negotiating identity and power when she earns more. Sex Roles, 53 (3/4), 191-207.
- Triandis, H.C. (1995). The self and social behavior in differing cultural contexts. In N.R. Goldberg, & J.B. Veroff (Eds.), *The cultural and psychology reader* (pp. 326-365). NY: University Press.
- Uberoi, P. (2000, July). The family in India: Beyond the nuclear versus joint debate. (to appear in) V. Das (Ed.), *The Oxford Companion Encyclopedia of Sociology and Social Anthropology*. Oxford University Press.
- Varma, M. (2005). The Hindu woman's wifehood. In J. Jain (Ed.), Women in patriarchy:

 Cross-cultural readings (pp.226-235). Jaipur: Rawat
- Verma, J., & Triandis, H.C. (1998). The measurement of collectivism in India.

 Unpublished manuscript.
- West, C., & Zimmerman, D. (1987). Doing gender. Gender and Society, 1, 125-151.

Woodd, M. (2000). "The move towards a different career pattern: Are women better prepared than men for modern career?" Career Development International, 5 (2), 21-28.