CHAPTER - III

ON DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION OF CERTAIN SUMMABILITY

MEANS TO THEIR GENERATING FUNCTIONS

3.1 Let $\{ p'_n(x) \}$ (n=0,1,2....) be an orthonormal system (ONS) of L²-integrable functions defined in the closed interval [a,b]. We consider the orthogonal series

(3.1.1)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n(x)$$
 with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 < \infty$

By the Riesz-Fischer theorem, the series (3.1.1) converges in L^2 to a square integrable function f(x) given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \theta_n(x)$$
 a.e.

Moreover, the Fourier coefficients of f(x) with respect to $\{\emptyset_n(x)\}$ are the numbers C_n , i.e.

$$C_n = \int_a^b f(x) \phi_n(x) dx$$
, n=0,1,2.....

The notations $s_n(x)$, $t_n(x)$ and $\{p_n\} \in \mathbb{M}^{O^k}$ have the same meaning as considered in Chapter II.

If for some sequence $\{p_n\}$ the conditions

i)
$$0 < p_n < p_{n+1}$$
 for $n=0, 1, 2...$
or $0 < p_{n+1} < p_n$ for $n=0, 1, 2...$
ii) $p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n = p_n \uparrow \infty$
iii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \Delta p_{n-1}}{p_n} = 1 - \infty$, where $\alpha \ge 0$, $\Delta p_{n-1} = p_{n-1} - p_n$

are satisfied, then we shall say that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ belongs to the class \overline{M}^{\propto} .¹⁾

A sequence $\{p_n\}$ is said to belong to the class M^* , if (a) $p_n > 0$ (n=0,1,2....),

(b) {p_n} is convex or concave,

(c)
$$0 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{np_n}{P_n} < \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{np_n}{P_n} < +\infty$$
, ()

The nth Euler mean or (E, 1)- mean of the sequence of partial sums $\{s_n(x)\}$ of the orthogonal series (3.1.1) is defined as

$$-\overline{\zeta_{n}}(x) = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{n}{k}} s_{k}(x), \quad n=0, 1, 2....$$

The nth (C,1)- mean $6_n(x)$ of the orthogonal series (3.1.1) have been approximated by Meder,²⁾ Tandori³⁾, Alexits and Kralik⁴⁾ and Leindler⁵⁾. Leindler⁶⁾ approximated the de la

- 1) Meder [49]
- 2) Meder [45]
- 3) Tandori [84]

- 4) Alexits and Kralik [5]
- 5) Leindler ([40], [41])
- 6) Leindler ([38], [40], [41])

Vallèe Poission mean of the orthogonal series (3.1.1). The Riesz means were also approximated by Leindler.¹⁾ Later on the results of the above said papers were generalized to strong approximation of $(C, \propto > 0)$ - means by Sunouchi²⁾ and Leindler³⁾. Moreover, Bolgov and Efimov⁴⁾ have generalized the above results to the means generated by triangular matrices. In order to state their theorem we consider the following things.

Let

$$U_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk}s_{k}(x),$$

denote the n^{th} - mean for the linear method of summation, which are computed from the partial sums of the series (3.1.1) and from a triangular matrix (a_{nk}) , $k=0,1,\ldots,n$, $n=0,1,\ldots$ for which

(3.1.2)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} = 1, n = 0, 1, \dots, a_{nk} = 0, k > n,$$

(3.1.3) there exists p>1 such that for all $n=0,1,\ldots$

$$(n+1)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} ||a_n||_p < \mathbb{M}$$

where M is an absolute constant and

$$\|a_{n}\|_{p} = \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} |a_{nk}|^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } 1
$$\|a_{n}\|_{\infty} = \max_{0 < k < n} |a_{nk}|$$
1) Leindler [39]
3) Leindler [42]
2) Sunouchi([78], [79])
4) Bolgov and Efimov [15]$$

In this case, we say that $(a_{nk}) \in A^p$. We note that this method of summability defined by matrices satisfying (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) is regular in the sense of Toeplitz.

Let $\{n_m\}$ be a sequence of natural numbers which satisfies

(3.1.4)
$$1 < \mathbf{Y} \leq \frac{n_{m+1}}{n_m} \leq \mathbf{Y}_1$$
, $m=0, 1, \dots, n_0=1$

and let l(n) be a positive nondecreasing function such that

(3.1.5)
$$\frac{l(n_{m+1})}{l(n_m)} \leq K < \gamma^{\alpha} (o < \alpha \leq 1).$$

We shall say that l(n) belongs to the class \bigwedge^{α} , denoted by $l(n) \in \bigwedge^{\alpha}$ if it is a positive non-decreasing function which satisfies (3.1.5) and is such that

 $(3.1.6) \qquad \frac{l(n)}{n^{\alpha}} \neq 0.$

If we have $1 < K_1 \leq \frac{l(n_{m+1})}{l(n_m)}$, then we shall denote the corresponding class by $\tilde{\Lambda}^{\times}$. Observe that $h^{\beta} \in \tilde{\Lambda}^{\times}$ if $\beta < \infty$.

Bolgov and Efimov have proved the following theorem.

<u>THEOREM</u> A: Let T denote the linear method of summation determined by the matrix $(a_{nk}) \in A^p$ (p>1). Let $U_n(x)$ denote the corresponding means of the orthogonal series (3.1.1) and let v(n) denote a positive non-decreasing function such that the condition

(3.1.7)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^2 v^2(n) < \infty$$

implies the summability a.e. of the series using T. If the function $l(n) \in \bigwedge^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$, then for an orthogonal series whose coefficients satisfy

(3.1.8)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n^2 \sqrt{(n)l^2(n)} < \infty,$$

the T-means satisfy the following relation almost everywhere on [a, b]:

(3.1.9)
$$|U_n(x)-f(x)| = o_x(\frac{1}{1(n)}),$$

where f(x) is the function of the Riesz-Fischer theorem to which the series (3.1.1) converges. If the function $l(n) \in \tilde{\Lambda}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$, then the condition

(3.1.10)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^{2l^2(n)} < \infty$$

implies (3.1.9) almost everywhere.

Further Leindler¹⁾ proved the following theorem.

THEOREM B: If
(3.1.11)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^2 n^{2\beta} < \infty$$
 (o < $\beta < 1$),

1) Leindler [41]

then

$$f_n(x) - f(x) = o_x(n^{-\beta})$$

holds a.e. in (a,b).

In this chapter, we generalize the above result of Leindler to Nörlund means as follows :

By taking $v(n) = \log \log n \operatorname{and}(n) = n^{\beta} (o < \beta < \frac{1}{2})$, we obtain from Theorem A that the relation

$$|t_n(x)-f(x)| = o_x(n^{-\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a, b). But we can obtain the same result under they weaker hypothesis as stated below :

<u>THEOREM 1</u> : If $\{p_n\} \in \mathbb{M}^{\alpha}$, $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, then under the condition (3.1.11), the relation

$$t_n(x)-f(x) = o_x(n^{-\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a, b).

<u>REMARK</u> : First of all we see that for the Nörlund means $t_n(x)$ as defined above, we have

(a) $a_{nk} = \frac{p_{n-k}}{P_n}$, k=0,1,..., n, n=0,1,2....

for which

(i)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{p_{n-k}}{P_{n}} = 1$$
, n=0,1,2....

and $a_{nk}=0, k>n$.

,

,

i.e. for $o < p_n \downarrow$, $(a_{nk}) \in \mathbb{A}^2$.

Hence, for Norlund means $(a_{nk}) \in \mathbb{A}^2$.

•

56

,

,*·

`

Taking $v(n) = \log \log n$,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n^2 \sqrt{2}(n) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n^2 (\log \log n)^2 < \infty$$

implies the (N, p_n) - summability of the orthogonal series (3.1.1) with $\{p_n\} \in \overline{M}^{\alpha}, \ \alpha > \frac{1}{2}$.

Also, $l(n) = n^{\beta}(o < \beta < \frac{1}{2})$ is a positive non-decreasing function satisfying the condition (3.1.5) with $n_m = 2^m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_{\beta}$ $n_{\beta} = 1$ and $l(n) \in \bigwedge^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then

$$\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} C_n^2 \sqrt[7]{(n)l^2(n)} = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} C_n^2 (\log \log n)^2 n^{2\beta} < \alpha, (o < \beta < \frac{1}{2}) \text{ implies}$$

$$|t_n(x)-f(x)| = o_x(n^{-\beta})$$

almost everywhere on (a, b).

Now, we see that the condition (3.1.11) is weaker than the condition (3.1.8). Considering

$$C_n = (n^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \log n)^{-1}$$

we observe that the condition (3.1.11) is true but

$$\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} C_n^2 \sqrt{2}(n) l^2(n) = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} (n^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \log n)^{-2} (\log \log n)^2 n^{2\beta}$$
$$= \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} (n \log n)^{-1} = \infty .$$

1) Meder [49]

i.e. The condition (3411) need not imply the condition (3.1.8). But it is easily seen that the condition (3.1.8) implies the condition (3.1.11). Moreover, in the condition(3.1.11) the range of β is larger than in the condition (3.1.8).

Considering the second part of the Theorem A, we see that $l(n)=n^{\beta}\in \tilde{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (o< $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$) and the conditions (3.1.10) and (3.1.11) are same but in condition (3.1.11) the range of β is larger than in condition (3.1.10).

A similar result for Euler means is also proved :

THEOREM 2 : If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{2n^{2\beta+1}} < \infty, \quad (0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}),$$

then the relation

$$T_n(x) - f(x) = o_x(n^{-\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a, b).

3.2 We need following lemmas to prove the above theorems.

$$\underline{\text{LEMMA-1}}^{1} \text{ If } \left\{ p_{n} \right\} \in \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\ll} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ then}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{p_{n}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{p_{k}^{2}}{(k+1)^{2}} = \frac{1}{2\alpha + 1}$$

$$\underline{\text{LEMMA-2}}^{2} \text{ If } \left\{ p_{n} \right\} \in \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{A}}, \text{ then}$$
1) Meder [48]

2) Meder [49]

$$\sup_{\substack{k,n \geq k}} \frac{|p_{n-k}P_n - p_n P_{n-k}|}{k p_n p_{n-k}} < +\infty \cdot$$

<u>PROOF</u>: We shall distinguish two cases: (1) $\{p_n\}$ is convex and bounded (2) $\{p_n\}$ is concave or convex and unbounded.

Passing to the first case, we notice that $\{p_n\}^{ii}$ then non-increasing. Therefore,

$$\circ < \mathbb{P}_{n} \mathbb{P}_{n-k} - \mathbb{P}_{n-k} \mathbb{P}_{n}$$

$$= k \mathbb{P}_{n} \mathbb{P}_{n-k} \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}_{n}}{k \mathbb{P}_{n}} - \frac{\mathbb{P}_{n-k}}{k \mathbb{P}_{n-k}} \right)$$

$$< k \mathbb{P}_{n} \mathbb{P}_{n-k} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{2k}}{k \mathbb{P}_{2k}} = O(k \mathbb{P}_{n} \mathbb{P}_{n-k})$$

for n=k, k+1, k+2,, 2k.
For the remaining values of n we write

$$\circ < P_{n}P_{n-k} - P_{n-k}P_{n}$$

$$= (P_{n-k}+P_{n-k+1}+P_{n-k+2}+\dots+P_{n})P_{n+k}-P_{n-k}P_{n}$$

$$= P_{n-k}(P_{n-k}-P_{n}) + P_{n-k}(P_{n-k+1}+P_{n-k+2}+\dots+P_{n})$$

$$= P_{n-k}\left[(P_{n-k}-P_{n-k+1})+(P_{n-k+1}-P_{n-k+2})+\dots+(P_{n-1}-P_{n})\right] + P_{n-k}(P_{n-k+1}+P_{n-k+2}+\dots+P_{n}).$$

The proof runs further after the following estimate :

ļ.

$$\frac{\frac{P_{n}p_{n-k}-P_{n-k}p_{n}}{p_{n}p_{n-k}}}{p_{n}p_{n-k}} = \frac{\frac{P_{n-k}}{p_{n}p_{n-k}}}{\left[(p_{n-k}-p_{n-k+1})+(p_{n-k+1}-p_{n-k+2})+\cdots++\right.}$$

$$+(p_{n-1}-p_{n})] + \frac{\frac{P_{n-k}p_{n-k+1}}{p_{n}p_{n-k}}}{\left[1+\frac{p_{n-k+2}}{p_{n-k+1}}+\frac{p_{n-k+3}}{p_{n-k+1}}+\right.}$$

$$+\cdots+\frac{p_{n}}{p_{n-k+1}}]$$

$$\leq \frac{P_{n}}{np_{n}} \left[\frac{(n-k+1)(p_{n-k}-p_{n-k+1})}{p_{n-k+1}} \cdot \frac{p_{n-k+1}}{p_{n-k}} \cdot \frac{n}{n-k+1} + \frac{(n-k+2)(p_{n-k+1}-p_{n-k+2})}{p_{n-k+2}} \cdot \frac{p_{n-k+2}}{p_{n-k}} \cdot \frac{n}{n-k+2} + \frac{n(p_{n-k+2}-p_{n-k+2})}{p_{n-k}} \cdot \frac{p_{n-k}}{p_{n-k}} \cdot \frac{n}{n} \right] + \frac{p_{n-k+1}}{p_{n}} \left[1 + \frac{p_{n-k+2}}{p_{n-k+1}} + \frac{p_{n-k+3}}{p_{n-k+1}} + \dots + \frac{p_{n}}{p_{n-k+1}} \right] \cdot$$

Since $\{p_n\} \in M^*$ and non-increasing, we get from above inequality

$$\frac{P_n p_{n-k} - P_{n-k} p_n}{p_n p_{n-k}} = O(k)$$

for n=2k+1, 2k+2, 2k+3,, which completes the proof in the first case.

Passing to the second case, we remark that $\{p_n\}$ is then non-decreasing. Hence

$$\frac{|P_n p_{n-k} p_{n-k} p_{n-k}|}{p_n p_{n-k}} < \frac{P_{n-k}}{p_n p_{n-k}} (p_n p_{n-k}) + \frac{p_{n-k+1} p_{n-k+2} + \dots + p_n}{p_n}$$

$$= \frac{P_{n-k}}{(n-k+1)p_{n-k}} \left[\frac{(n+1)(p_n-p_{n-1})}{p_n} \cdot \frac{n-k+1}{n+1} + \frac{n(p_{n-1}-p_{n-2})}{p_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{n-k+1}{n} \cdot \frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n} + \dots + \frac{(n-k+2)(p_{n-k+1}-p_{n-k})}{p_{n-k+1}} \cdot \frac{(n-k+1)}{n-k+2} \cdot \frac{p_{n-k+1}}{p_n} \right] + (1+\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n} + \frac{p_{n-2}}{p_n} + \dots + \frac{p_{n-k+1}}{p_n}) = O(k)$$

for n=k, k+1, k+2 which completes the proof of the lemma.

<u>**REMARK</u>**: Lemma 2 holds if we replace the class M^* by the class \overline{M}^{∞} with $\infty > 0$.</u>

LEMMA-3¹: Writing

$$W_{nk} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {\binom{n}{i}} - \frac{2k}{n+1}$$

•

we have

$$W_{nk} < o$$
 for $\left[\frac{n}{3}\right] + 2 \le k \le n$.

LEMMA-4¹⁾:

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2^{n}}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i}\right\}^{2} \leq A \frac{k^{2}}{n^{2}} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{n}{5}\right] + 1,$$

A being an absolute constant and n=1,2,3......

<u>LEMMA-5</u>²⁾: For any value of q > o, the following evaluation

.

.

1) Meder [46]

.

is valid.

$$\max_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n}} {n \choose k} q^k \leq B_q \frac{(1+q)^n}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad n=1,2,3....$$

where the constant ${\bf B}_q$ does not depend on ${\bf n}$.

3.3 PROOF OF THEOREM 1 : We have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \int_{a}^{b} (s_{2^{n}}(x) - f(x))^{2} dx$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \sum_{k=2^{n}+1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} c_{k}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} c_{k}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m} 2^{2n\beta}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} c_{k}^{2} 2^{2m\beta}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} c_{k}^{2} k^{2\beta} < \infty$$

Consequently, by B. Levy's theorem, it follows that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} (s_2^n(x) - f(x))^2$$

converges almost everywhere in (a,b) and hence, it follows that the relation

(3.3.1)
$$s_2^{n}(x) - f(x) = o_x(2^{-n\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

Now, we proceed to prove that the relation

$$s_{2^{n}}(x)-t_{2^{n}}(x) = o_{x}(2^{-n\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

We have

ζ.

$$s_{n}(x) - t_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) - \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} p_{n-r} s_{r}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) - \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} p_{n-r} \sum_{k=0}^{r} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) \sum_{r=0}^{n} p_{n-r} - \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) \sum_{r=k}^{n} p_{n-r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} p_{n-r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} p_{n-r}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.3.2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \int_{a}^{b} (s_{2^{n}}(x) - t_{2^{n}}(x))^{2} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n\beta}}{p_{2^{n}}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}} \left\{ \sum_{i=2^{n} k \neq i}^{2^{n}} p_{i} \right\}^{2} c_{k}^{2}$$

ł

If $0 < p_n^{\uparrow}$, then from (3.3.2), we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \int_{a}^{b} (s_{2^{2n}}(x) - t_{2^{n}}(x))^{2} dx \\ &\leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \frac{p_{2^{n}}^{2}}{p_{2^{n}}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n\beta}}{2^{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n\beta}}{2^{2n}} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2m\beta}}{2^{2m}} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{n-1} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2m\beta}}{2^{2m}} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2m\beta}}{2^{2m}} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{2m\beta} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} c_{k}^{2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{2m\beta} \sum_{k=2^{m}}^{2^{m+1}} c_{k}^{2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{$$

Thus, the series (3.3.2) is convergent, if $0 < p_n \uparrow$.

,

ر.

ş

,

In case $0 < p_n \downarrow$, then from (3.3.2) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \int_{a}^{b} (s_{2^{n}}(x) - t_{2^{n}}(x))^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n} \frac{p_{2^n-k+1}^2}{p_{2^n}^2} k^2 c_k^2$$

1

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{k^{2}}{(2^{n}k+1)^{2}} c_{k}^{2}$$
$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{k^{2}}{(2^{n}-k+1)^{2}} c_{k}^{2}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2,1}^{2^{m+1}} k^2 c_k^2 \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n\beta}}{(2^n-k+1)^2}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^2 c_k^2 \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n\beta}}{2^{2n}} < \infty$$

Thus, the series (3.3.2) is convergent, if $o < p_n \downarrow$. Hence, by B. Levy's theorem, we conclude that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} (s_{2^n}(x) - t_{2^n}(x))^2 < \infty$$

almost everywhere in (a,b) and therefore, the relation

(3.3.3)
$$s_{2^n}(x) - t_{2^n}(x) = o_x(2^{-n\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

Now, it remains to prove that the estimate

(3.3.4)
$$\sum_{k=2^{m+1}+1}^{2^{m+1}} \mathbb{R}^{2\beta+1} (t_k(x) - t_{k-1}(x))^2 = o_x(1)$$

holds almost everywhere in (a, b).

-

Assuming $P_{-1} = P_{-1} = 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n}(x) - t_{n-1}(x) &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n-k} s_{k}(x) - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1-k} s_{k}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n-k} s_{k}(x) - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n-1-k} s_{k}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} C_{r} \mathscr{I}_{r}(x) \sum_{k=r}^{n} p_{n-k} - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} C_{r} \mathscr{I}_{r}(x) \sum_{k=r}^{n} p_{n-1-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} P_{n-r} C_{p} \mathscr{I}_{r}(x) - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} P_{n-r-1} C_{p} \mathscr{I}_{r}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} (p_{n-r} P_{n} - p_{n} P_{n-r}) C_{r} \mathscr{I}_{r}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{r=1}^{n} (p_{n-r} P_{n} - p_{n} P_{n-r}) C_{r} \mathscr{I}_{r}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta+1} \int_{a}^{b} (t_{n}(x)-t_{n-1}(x))^{2} dx =$$

3

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{{}^{p}\underline{n} - k^{p}\underline{n} - p_{n}P_{n-k}}{{}^{p}\underline{n}P_{n-1}} \right)^{2} c_{k}^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{2} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{{}^{p}\underline{n} - k^{p}\underline{n} - p_{n}P_{n-k}}{{}^{p}\underline{n}P_{n-1}} \right)^{2} n^{2\beta+1}$$

Decomposing the inner sum of the last expression in two sums from n=k to n=2k and from n=2k+1 to n=+ ∞ and applying first Lemma 2 and then Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta+1} \int_{a}^{b} (t_{n}(x) - t_{n-1}(x))^{2} dx = \\ &= O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \sum_{n=k}^{2k} \frac{p_{n}^{2} p_{n-k}^{2}}{p_{n}^{2} p_{n-1}^{2}} n^{2\beta+1} + \\ &+ O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \sum_{n=2k+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}^{2} p_{n-k}^{2}}{p_{n}^{2} p_{n-1}^{2}} n^{2\beta+1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{2} k^{2\beta} \frac{k}{p_{k}^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{k} p_{n}^{2} + O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} c_{k}^{2} \sum_{n=2k+1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-3} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{2} k^{2\beta} < \infty . \end{split}$$

Hence, by B.Levy's theorem it follows that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta+1} (t_n(x) - t_{n-1}(x))^2$$

converges almost every where in (a,b) and therefore, the relation (3.3.4) holds almost everywhere in (a, b).

67

Consequently, for $2^m < n < 2^{m+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| t_{n}(x) - t_{2^{m}}(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{n} (t_{k}(x) - t_{k-1}(x)) \right| = \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{n} k^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} (t_{k}(x) - t_{k-1}(x)) \frac{1}{k^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}}} \right| \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2\beta + 1} (t_{k}(x) - t_{k-1}(x))^{2} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{k^{2\beta + 1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= o_{x} (2^{-m\beta}) \\ &= o_{x} (n^{-\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b) i.e. The relation

(3.3.5) $|t_n(x)-t_{2^m}(x)| = o_x(n^{-B})$

holds for $2^{m} < n < 2^{m+1}$ almost everywhere in (a, b).

Hence, it follows from (3.3.1), (3.3.3) and (3.3.5) that the relation

$$\left| t_{n}(x) - f(x) \right| = o_{x}(n^{-\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

This proves the theorem completely.

3.4 PROOF OF THEOREM 2 : As proved in Theorem 1, the estimate

-

3

(3.4.1)
$$s_{2^n}(x) - f(x) = o_x(2^{-n\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

Now, we prove that the estimate

$$s_{2^n}(x) - (x) = o_x(2^{-n\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

~

We have

$$s_{n}(x) - \zeta_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} (\binom{n}{r}) s_{r}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) \sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} - \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) \sum_{r=k}^{n} \binom{n}{r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \emptyset_{k}(x) \sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \int_{a}^{b} (s_{2^{n}}(x) - (\tau_{2^{n}}(x))^{2} dx =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}} c_{k}^{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2^{n}}} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (\frac{2^{n}}{r}) \right\}^{2}$$

Effecting lemmas 3 and 4, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} \int_{a}^{b} (s_{2^{n}}(x) - (\tau_{2^{n}}(x))^{2} dx)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n\beta}}{2^{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} k^{2} C_{k}^{2}$$

$$= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n\beta}}{2^{2n}} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2} C_{k}^{2}$$

$$= C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2} C_{k}^{2} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n\beta}}{2^{2n}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2m\beta}}{2^{2m}} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2} C_{k}^{2}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{2} k^{2\beta} < \infty$$

Therefore, by B. Levy's theorem, it follows that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n\beta} (s_{2^n}(x) - (\tau_{2^n}(x))^2 < \infty)$$

almost everywhere in (a,b). Consequently, the relation

.

(3.4.2)
$$s_{2^n}(x) - \zeta_{2^n}(x) = o_x(2^{-n\beta})$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

Now, it remains to prove that the relation

.

(3.4.3)
$$\sum_{k=2^{m+1}+1}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2\beta+1} (\zeta_{k}(x) - \zeta_{k-1}(x))^{2} = o_{x}(1)$$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

.

.

We have

.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{n} (x) - \sum_{n=1}^{n} (x) \right) = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (\sum_{k=0}^{n}) s_{k}(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}) s_{k}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (\sum_{k=0}^{n}) s_{k}(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}) s_{k}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left\{ (\sum_{k=0}^{n}) - 2(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}) \right\} s_{k}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$
.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{n-1} (x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-1}{k} \right\} s_k(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-1}{k} \right\} \sum_{r=0}^k C_r \mathscr{O}_r(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n C_r \mathscr{O}_r(x) \sum_{k=r}^n \left\{ \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-1}{k} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \frac{r}{n} C_r \mathscr{O}_r(x) . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

.

,

.

.

,

,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2\beta+1} \int_{a}^{b} ((\tau_{n}(x) - \tau_{n-1}(x)))^{2} dx$$

$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2\beta+1} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (\binom{n}{k})^{2} \frac{k^{2}}{n^{2}} C_{k}^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2\beta-1}}{2^{2n}} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n}^{\max} \binom{n}{k} \right\}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} C_{k}^{2}$$

By virtue of Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2\beta+1} \int_{a}^{b} (\zeta_{n}(x) - \zeta_{n-1}(x))^{2} dx$$

$$\leq B_{1} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} c_{k}^{2}$$

$$\leq B_{1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} n^{2\beta-2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \sum_{k=2^{n+1}}^{2^{\nu+1}} k^{2} c_{k}^{2}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m(2\beta-1)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} 2^{2\nu} \sum_{k=2^{n+1}}^{2^{\nu+1}} c_{k}^{2}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{2\nu} \sum_{k=2^{n+1}}^{2^{\nu+1}} c_{k}^{2} \sum_{m=\nu}^{\infty} 2^{m(2\beta-1)}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{2\nu} \sum_{k=2^{n+1}}^{2^{\nu+1}} c_{k}^{2} \sum_{m=\nu}^{\infty} 2^{m(2\beta-1)}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2+1}^{2^{\nu+1}} c_{k}^{2} z^{\nu(2\beta+1)}$$
$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2+1}^{2^{\nu+1}} c_{k}^{2} k^{2\beta+1} < \infty .$$

Hence, by B . Levy's theorem, it follows that the series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2\beta+1} (\tau_n(x) - \tau_{n-1}(x))^2$$

Converges almost everywhere in (a,b) and therefore, the relation (3.4.3) holds almost everywhere in (a,b).

.

1

Consequently, for
$$2^{m} < n < 2^{m+1}$$

 $|\zeta_{n}(x) - \zeta_{m}(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{n} (\zeta_{k}(x) - \zeta_{k-1}(x)) \right|$
 $= \left| \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{n} k^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} (\zeta_{k}(x) - \zeta_{k-1}(x)) - \frac{1}{k^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}} \right|$
 $\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} k^{2\beta+1} (\zeta_{k}(x) - \zeta_{k-1}(x))^{2} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{k^{2\beta+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$
 $= o_{x}(2^{-m\beta})$
 $= o_{x}(n^{-\beta})$

holds almost everywhere in (a,b). i.e. The relation

٦

,

,

(3.4.4)
$$|\zeta_n(x) - \zeta_{2^m}(x)| = o_x(n^{-\beta})$$

holds for $2^{m} < n < 2^{m+1}$ almost everywhere in (a,b). Therefore, by (3.4.1), (3.4.2) and (3.4.4), it follows that the relation

'n

.

$$|\zeta_n(x)-f(x)| = o_x(n^{-\beta})$$

,

holds almost everywhere in (a,b). This proves the theorem.

-

•

μ