

CHAPTER V

ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF LAGUARY FOURIER SERIES

Let $f(x)$ be L -integrable over $(-\pi, \pi)$ and periodic with period 2π . Let its Fourier coefficients a_n, b_n vanish except for a strictly increasing sequence $n = n_k$ of positive integers.

Hobbs¹⁾ proved the following two theorems relating to the absolute convergence of a Fourier series satisfying a certain gap condition:

Theorem F. If (i) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_k}{\log n_k} = \infty$, where

$$n_k = \min \{ (n_{k+1} - n_k) + (n_k - n_{k-1}) \},$$

(ii) $f(x)$ is of bounded variation in some interval $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

(iii) $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ in $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

then the series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|a_{n_k}| + |b_{n_k}|) < \infty.$$

1) Hobbs [31]

Theorem G. If (i) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_k}{\log n_k} = \infty$,

(ii) $f(x)$ is of bounded variation in some interval $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

(iii) $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ in $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

then the series

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n|^{\beta} + |b_n|^{\beta}) < \infty, \text{ for } \beta > 2/(\alpha+2).$$

The conclusion (2) may not hold for $\beta = 2/(\alpha+2)$.

P. B. Kennedy¹⁾ used more powerful methods due to Paley and Wiener²⁾ to give a simple proof of Noble's theorem under less restricted gap hypothesis. He established the following theorem:

Theorem H. If (i) $n_{k+1} - n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

(ii) $f(x)$ is of bounded variation in some interval $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

(iii) $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ in $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

then the series (1) is convergent.

It is a well known fact that every function, which is of bounded variation, is also of bounded r^{th} variation; but the converse is not true.

We shall prove the following theorems:

1) P. B. Kennedy [23]

2) Paley and Wiener [33]

Let $f(x) \sim \sum_1^{\infty} (a_{n_k} \cos n_k x + b_{n_k} \sin n_k x)$, where

a_{n_k}, b_{n_k} are non-vanishing Fourier coefficients of

1-integrable and periodic function f of period 2π and

$\{n_k\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence. Let x_0 be fixed and $\delta > 0$. Denote by ω , the modulus of continuity of the function f .

Theorem 20. If (1) $n_{k+1} - n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(ii) \omega(h) \leq \frac{C}{[l_1(h)l_2(h)\dots l_k^{1+\varepsilon}(h)]^2}, \varepsilon > 0,$$

in some interval $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

(iii) $f(x)$ is of bounded 2nd variation

in $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

then the series (1) is convergent.

Theorem 21. If (1) $n_{k+1} - n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(ii) \omega(h) \leq \frac{C h^{\alpha}}{[l_1(h)l_2(h)\dots l_k^{1+\varepsilon}(h)]^{\alpha+2}}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$, in some interval

$|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

(iii) $f(x)$ is of bounded 2nd variation

in $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

then the series (2) converges for $\beta = 2/(\alpha+2)$.

In proving these theorems we shall use the following lemma:

Lemma (Kennedy). Let $\{\lambda_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers satisfying $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k > 2\pi/\delta$ and let $\{A_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A_k| r^{|\lambda_k|} < \infty$, for $0 < r < 1$.

If $\phi(x) = L^2$ -limit $\phi(r, x)$ in $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

where $\phi(r, x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{i\lambda_k x} r^{|\lambda_k|}$, $0 < r < 1$,

for all real x , then

$$(3) \quad \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A_k|^2 \leq \frac{8}{\delta^2} \int_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta} |\phi(x)|^2 dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 21. We write

$$g(x) = \Delta_2 f(x, h) = f(x+2h) - 2f(x) + f(x-2h).$$

Since

$$f(x) \sim (c_0/2) + \sum_1^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &\sim -4 \sum_1^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \sin^2 nh \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos nx + \beta_n \sin nx) \\ &= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{i\lambda_k x}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_k - i\beta_k), \quad \text{if } k > 0$$

$$A_k = \overline{A_{-k}} \quad \text{if } k < 0$$

$$A_0 = 0 \quad \text{and } \lambda_k = n_k \quad \text{if } k > 0, \lambda_k = -\lambda_k \quad \text{if } k < 0.$$

Put
$$g(r, x) = \sum_1^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos nx + \beta_n \sin nx) r^n, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

We assume without loss of generality that $I = [x_0 - \frac{3\delta}{4}, x_0 + \frac{3\delta}{4}]$ is a subinterval of $(-\pi, \pi)$. Choose $h = \pi/(2n_K)$ where K is a positive integer such that $n_K > 4\pi/\delta$.

We denote
$$g_1(x) = g(x) \quad \text{if } x \in I$$

$$= 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

and
$$g_2(x) = g(x) - g_1(x).$$

Let $\alpha_n^{(1)}, \beta_n^{(1)}$ and $\alpha_n^{(2)}, \beta_n^{(2)}$ be the Fourier coefficients of $g_1(x)$ and $g_2(x)$ respectively, and let

$$g^{(1)}(r, x) = \sum_1^{\infty} (\alpha_n^{(1)} \cos nx + \beta_n^{(1)} \sin nx) r^n,$$

$$g^{(2)}(r, x) = \sum_1^{\infty} (\alpha_n^{(2)} \cos nx + \beta_n^{(2)} \sin nx) r^n,$$

where $0 < r < 1$.

Since $g_1(x) \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$, we have¹⁾

$$(4) \quad g_1(x) = L^2\text{-limit}_{r \rightarrow 1} g^{(1)}(r, x) \text{ in } (-\pi, \pi).$$

Further by Fejer's Theorem²⁾ on Λ -summability of a Fourier series we have

$$(5) \quad g^{(2)}(r, x) \rightarrow g_2(x) \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1 \text{ uniformly for}$$

$$|x - x_0| \leq 3\delta/4.$$

1) Zygmund [49, p. 87]

2) Zygmund [49, p. 51]

From (5) we deduce that

$$(6) \quad L^2 - \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} g^{(2)}(r, x) = g_2(x) \text{ uniformly in } |x - x_0| \leq \frac{3\delta}{4}.$$

Since

$$g(r, x) = g^{(1)}(r, x) + g^{(2)}(r, x),$$

it follows from (4) and (6) that

$$(7) \quad L^2 - \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} g(r, x) = g(x) \text{ uniformly in } |x - x_0| \leq \frac{3\delta}{4}.$$

We assume that $n_{k+1} - n_k > 16\pi \delta^{-1}$. Choose an integer j such that

$$(8) \quad 0 \leq j \leq \frac{\delta n_k}{8\pi}.$$

Let

$$g_j(r, x) = g(r, x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_k}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{i\lambda_k(x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_k})} r^{|\lambda_k|}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A_k e^{i\lambda_k \frac{2j\pi}{n_k}}| r^{|\lambda_k|} &\leq \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A_k| r^{|\lambda_k|} \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} \left(a_{n_k}^2 + b_{n_k}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{n_k} \\ &= 4 \sum_1^{\infty} \left(a_{n_k}^2 + b_{n_k}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin^2(n_k \pi / n_k) r^{n_k} \\ &\leq 4 \sum_1^{\infty} \left(a_{n_k}^2 + b_{n_k}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{n_k} \\ (9) \quad &< \infty, \text{ for } 0 < r < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Also we deduce from the conclusion (7) and the inequality (9) that

$$(10) \quad L^2 - \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} g_j(r, x) = g_j(x) \text{ in } |x - x_0| \leq \delta/2.$$

Therefore, it follows from the conclusion (3) of the lemma in virtue of (9) and (10) by taking $\delta = \delta/2$ that

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A_k e^{i k \frac{2j\pi}{n_k}}|^2 \leq 16 \delta^{-1} \int_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta/2} |g_j(x)|^2 dx$$

$$\text{i.e. } 2^{-1} \sum_1^{\infty} (a_{n_k}^2 + b_{n_k}^2) \leq 16 \delta^{-1} \int_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta/2} |g(x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_k})|^2 dx$$

$$= 16 \delta^{-1} \int_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta/2} |\Delta_2 f(x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_k}, \frac{\pi}{2n_k})|^2 dx$$

$$\leq \omega(\frac{\pi}{n_k}) 16 \delta^{-1} \int_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta/2} |\Delta_2 f(x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_k}, \frac{\pi}{2n_k})| dx.$$

Therefore

$$8 \sum_{\frac{n_k}{2}}^{n_k} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \sin^4(\pi n / 2n_k) \leq 16 \delta^{-1} \omega(\frac{\pi}{n_k}) \int_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta/2} |\Delta_2 f(x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_k}, \frac{\pi}{2n_k})| dx.$$

Since $\sin x \geq 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $(\pi/4) \leq x \leq (\pi/2)$, we get

$$2 \sum_{\frac{n_k}{2}}^{n_k} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \leq 16 \delta^{-1} \omega(\frac{\pi}{n_k}) \int_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta/2} |\Delta_2 f(x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_k}, \frac{\pi}{2n_k})| dx$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq j \leq [\frac{\delta n_k}{8\pi}]} \sum_{\frac{n_k}{2}}^{n_k} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \\ & \leq 8 \delta^{-1} \omega(\frac{\pi}{n_k}) \int_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta/2} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{\delta n_k}{8\pi}]} |\Delta_2 f(x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_k}, \frac{\pi}{2n_k})| dx. \end{aligned}$$

But
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{\delta n_K}{8\pi}\right]} \left| \Delta_2 f\left(x + \frac{2j\pi}{n_K}, \frac{\pi}{2n_K}\right) \right| \leq V,$$

where V is the total 2^{nd} variation of $f(x)$ in $(x_0 - \frac{3\delta}{2}, x_0 + \delta)$.

Therefore,

$$\frac{\delta n_K}{8\pi} \sum_{\frac{n_K}{2}}^{n_K} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \leq 8 \delta^{-1} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n_K}\right) V \frac{\delta}{2},$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{\frac{n_K}{2}}^{n_K} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \leq A \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n_K}\right) n_K^{-1}$$

and hence

$$(11) \quad \sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \leq A \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{2^m}\right) 2^{-m}.$$

Now it follows by Hölder's inequality that

$$\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} p_n^\beta \leq \left(\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} p_n^2 \right)^{\beta/2} \left(\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} 1 \right)^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}$$

$$\leq A_1 \omega^{\beta/2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2^m}\right) 2^{-m\beta/2} 2^m \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right), \text{ where } p_n^2 = a_n^2 + b_n^2$$

$$\leq B \frac{2^{-m\beta/2} 2^{-m\beta/2} 2^{m(1-\frac{\beta}{2})}}{\left[l_1(\pi/2^m) l_2^{1+\varepsilon}(\pi/2^m) \right]^{(\alpha+2)\beta/2}}$$

$$= \frac{B}{l_1(\pi/2^m) l_2^{1+\varepsilon}(\pi/2^m)}, \text{ for } \beta = 2/(\alpha+2)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{(n-2) \log (n-2)}.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\sum_1^{\infty} p_n^{\beta} \leq C \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon} (m-2)} < \infty$$

and hence

$$\sum_1^{\infty} (|a_n|^{\beta} + |b_n|^{\beta}) < \infty, \text{ for } \beta = 2/(\alpha+2).$$

Theorem 20 follows from Theorem 21 by choosing $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$.

We also prove the following theorem:

Theorem 22. If (i) $n_{k+1} - n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

(ii) $f(x)$ is of bounded 2nd variation in some interval $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

$$(iii) \omega(n) = O\left(\frac{n^{\varepsilon}}{[l_1(n) l_2(n) \dots l_k(n)]^{1+\varepsilon}}\right),$$

$\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, in $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$,

then the series

$$\sum_1^{\infty} n^{\beta/2} (|a_n| + |b_n|)$$

converges for $\beta = \alpha$.

Proof. By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality we obtain

$$\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} p_n \leq \left(\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} p_n^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} 1 \right)^{1/2}$$

and hence it follows from (11) that

$$\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} \rho_m \leq C_1 \sqrt{\omega(\pi/2^m)} 2^{-m} \sqrt{2^m}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} n^{\beta/2} \rho_m &\leq C_1 2^{m\beta/2} \sqrt{\omega(\pi/2^m)} \\ &\leq \frac{C_2 2^{m\beta/2} 2^{-m\alpha/2}}{l_1(\pi/2^m) l_2(\pi/2^m)^{1+\varepsilon}} \\ &= \frac{C_2}{l_1(\pi/2^m) l_2(\pi/2^m)^{1+\varepsilon}}, \text{ for } \beta = \alpha \\ &\leq \frac{C_2}{(n-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon}(n-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_1^\infty n^{\beta/2} \rho_m \leq C_2 \sum_{m=4}^\infty \frac{1}{(n-2) \log^{1+\varepsilon}(n-2)}$$

< ∞.

Thus we conclude that

$$\sum_1^\infty n^{\beta/2} (|e_n| + |h_n|) < \infty, \text{ for } \beta = \alpha.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 22.

1) Masako Sato¹⁾ considered the Fourier series with

1) Masako Sato [30]

a certain gap and satisfying some continuity condition at a point instead of in a small interval, and he proved the following two theorems:

Theorem I. Let $(1/2) < \alpha < \alpha < 1$, $0 < p < (2-\alpha)/3$

and $p/2 < \alpha - \alpha < (2-\alpha-p)/4$.

$$\text{If } \frac{1}{k^{2\alpha-2\alpha-p}} < n_k \leq e^{\frac{2k}{2+\alpha+p}},$$

$$|n_{k+1} - n_k| > 4 \alpha k n_k^p$$

and

$$(1) \quad n^p \int_0^n |f(t) - f(t+h)|^2 dt = O(n^{2\alpha}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |f(t) - f(t \pm h)|^2 dt = O(1) \text{ unif. in } T > n^p,$$

then

$$(12) \quad \sum_T^{\infty} (|a_{n_k}| + |b_{n_k}|) < \infty.$$

Theorem I. Let $(1/2) < \alpha < \alpha < 1$, $0 < p < (2-\alpha)/2$,

$$Y > \frac{1}{2\alpha-2\alpha-p}, \quad p/2 < \alpha - \alpha < (2+p)/4$$

If $n_k = [k^Y]$; ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), and the conditions (1) and (11) of Theorem I are satisfied, then (12) holds.

We give below generalizations of these theorems analogous to Theorems 21 and 22.

Theorem 23. Under the hypothesis of Theorem I, (2) holds for $\beta > 2/(2\alpha+1)$.

Theorem 24. Under the hypothesis of Theorem I,

$$\sum_1^\infty n^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} (|a_n| + |b_n|) < \infty, \text{ for } \beta < \alpha.$$

Extensions to Theorem 23 and Theorem 24 can be given analogous to the extension of Theorem I ^{in the form of} given Theorem I.

Proof of Theorem 23. It has been proved by Sato¹⁾ that under the hypothesis of this theorem

$$\sum_{n_k}^{2n_k} p_n^2 = O(n_k^{-2\alpha}).$$

Hence, we have

$$(13) \quad \sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} p_n^2 \leq A 2^{-2\alpha m}.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} p_n^\beta \leq A' 2^{-\alpha m \beta} 2^{n(1-\frac{\beta}{2})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_1^\infty p_n^\beta = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} p_n^\beta$$

1) Sato [30]

$$\leq A' \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m(\alpha + \beta - 1)}}$$

$< \infty$, for $\beta > 2/(2\alpha+1)$.

Since $|a_n|^\beta$ and $|b_n|^\beta$ do not exceed ρ_n^β , we have the

conclusion

$$\sum_1^\infty (|a_n|^\beta + |b_n|^\beta) < \infty, \text{ for } \beta > 2/(2\alpha+1).$$

Proof of Theorem 24. Applying Schwarz's inequality and using the conclusion (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} \rho_n &= \left(\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} \rho_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq A' 2^{-m} \leq 2^{m/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} n^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_n &\leq A' 2^{m(\beta-\frac{1}{2})} 2^{m(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \\ &\leq A' 2^{m(\alpha-\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^\infty n^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_n &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} n^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_n \\ &\leq A' \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{m(\alpha-\beta)}} \\ &< \infty, \text{ for } \beta < \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\sum_1^\infty n^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} (|a_n| + |b_n|) < \infty, \text{ for } \beta < \alpha.$$

CHAPTER VI

ON BEURLING'S TEST FOR ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES

Let f and g be continuous, even functions of period 2π , with Fourier cosine coefficients a_n and c_n resp. and let f be ω contraction of g .

A. Beurling¹⁾ established a test for the absolute convergence of a Fourier series, which was subsequently generalized by R. P. Boas Jr.²⁾, Boas's theorem is:

Theorem K. If (1) $|c_k| \leq r_k$, and

$$(11) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 r_k^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} r_k^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$,

where a_n and c_n are the Fourier cosine coefficients of f and g respectively.

We have generalized the above mentioned theorem of Boas. Our theorem is as follows:

Theorem 25. Let f and g be continuous even functions of period 2π , with Fourier coefficients

1) Beurling [5]

2) Boas Jr. [4]

a_n and c_n respectively.

If $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < \beta/2$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}\beta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 c_k^2 \right)^{\beta/2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma - (\beta/2)} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \right)^{\beta/2} < \infty,$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma} |a_n|^{\beta} < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that our theorem reduces to Boas theorem, on taking $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta = 1$.

Proof. Taking into account the fact that f is the contraction of g , we have

$$\int_0^{\pi} |f(x+\delta) - f(x)|^2 dx \leq \int_0^{\pi} |g(x+\delta) - g(x)|^2 dx.$$

Writing $\delta = \pi/n$, where n is a positive integer and using Parseval's theorem we get

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n).$$

Since $\sin x \geq (2x/\pi)$, for $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$, we deduce from (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 a_k^2 &\leq n^2 \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n) \\ (2) \quad &\leq n^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n) \\ &\leq n^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\phi_n = (1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n k |a_k|^{\beta}.$$

Then using Hölder's inequality we have

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (k^{1-\beta})^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \right)^{1-\beta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (k^\beta |a_k|^\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right)^\beta$$

and hence

$$(3) \quad \phi_n \leq n^{2(1-\beta)-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k |a_k| \right)^\beta.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} n \phi_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n k |a_k|^\beta \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k |a_k|^\beta + n |a_n|^\beta \\ &= (n-1) \phi_{n-1} + n |a_n|^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n|^\beta &= \phi_n - \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right) \phi_{n-1} \\ &= \phi_n - \phi_{n-1} + \frac{\phi_{n-1}}{n} \\ &\leq \phi_n - \phi_{n-1} + \frac{\phi_{n-1}}{n-1}, \text{ since } \phi_{n-1} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} n^\gamma |a_n|^\beta &\leq n^\gamma \phi_n - n^\gamma \phi_{n-1} + n^\gamma \frac{\phi_{n-1}}{n-1} \\ &\leq n^\gamma \phi_n - (n-1)^\gamma \phi_{n-1} + n^\gamma \frac{\phi_{n-1}}{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N n^\gamma |a_n|^\beta &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N n^\gamma \phi_n - \sum_{n=1}^N (n-1)^\gamma \phi_{n-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N n^\gamma \frac{\phi_{n-1}}{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq N^Y \phi_N + \sum_{n=1}^N n^Y \frac{\phi_{n-1}}{n-1}.$$

Hence it follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N n^Y |a_n|^\beta &\leq N^Y N^{2(1-\beta)-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N k |a_k| \right)^\beta \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^N n^Y n^{2(1-\beta)-2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k |a_k| \right)^\beta \\ &= U^{1+Y-2\beta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N k |a_k| \right)^\beta + \sum_{n=1}^N n^{Y-2\beta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k |a_k| \right)^\beta \\ &= I + J. \end{aligned}$$

By Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k |a_k| \leq n^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 a_k^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and hence, using the conclusion (2), we deduce

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k |a_k| \leq n^{3/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n) \right)^{1/2}.$$

We shall show that I and J are bounded above as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In virtue of (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \sum_{n=1}^N n^{Y-2\beta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k |c_k| \right)^\beta \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N n^{Y-2\beta} n^{3\beta/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n) \right)^{\beta/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N n^{Y-(\beta/2)} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{k\pi}{2n}\right) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{k\pi}{2n}\right) \right]^{\beta/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N n^{Y-(\beta/2)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n) \right)^{\beta/2} \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{Y-(\beta/2)} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2n) \right)^{\beta/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^N n^{\gamma-(3\beta/2)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 c_k^2 \right)^{\beta/2} \\ + \sum_{n=1}^N n^{\gamma-(\beta/2)} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \right)^{\beta/2}$$

and hence it follows from the hypothesis of the theorem that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} J < \infty.$$

Again using (4), we get

$$I = N^{1+\gamma-2\beta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N k |c_k| \right)^{\beta/2} \\ \leq N^{1+\gamma-2\beta} N^{(3\beta/2)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2N) \right)^{\beta/2}$$

Therefore

$$I^{2/\beta} \leq N^{(1+\gamma-2\beta)2/\beta} N^3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2N) \\ = N^{(1+\gamma)2/\beta} N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2N) \\ + N^{(1+\gamma)2/\beta} N^{-1} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \sin^2(k\pi/2N) \\ \leq N^{(1+\gamma)\frac{2}{\beta}-3} \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 c_k^2 + N^{(1+\gamma)\frac{2}{\beta}-1} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \\ = T_1 + T_2.$$

We see that A_n increases as n increases, where

$$A_n = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 c_k^2 \right)^{\beta/2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N}^{2N} n^{\gamma-(3\beta/2)} A_n &\geq A_N \sum_N^{2N} n^{\gamma-(3\beta/2)} \\ &\geq A_N N^{\gamma-(3\beta/2)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= N^{(1+\gamma)\frac{2}{\beta}-3} \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 c_k^2 \\ &= N^{(1+\gamma)\frac{2}{\beta}-3} A_N^{2/\beta} \\ &\leq N^{(1+\gamma)\frac{2}{\beta}-3} N^{(\frac{3}{2}\beta-\gamma-1)\frac{2}{\beta}} \left(\sum_N^{2N} n^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}\beta} A_n \right)^{2/\beta} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=N}^{2N} n^{\gamma-(3\beta/2)} A_n \right)^{2/\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from the hypothesis that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} T_1 = 0.$$

The second series in the hypothesis has decreasing terms which must therefore be $o(1/n)$ and hence we deduce that

$$c_k^2 = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{(1+\gamma-\beta/2)2/\beta}}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad T_2 &= N^{(1+\gamma)\frac{2}{\beta}-1} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \\ &= o\left(\frac{N^{(1+\gamma)\frac{2}{\beta}-1}}{N^{(1+\gamma)\frac{2}{\beta}-1}}\right) \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from (6) and (7) that

$$I = o(1) \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

which proves, in view of (5), that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma} |a_n|^{\beta} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N n^{\gamma} |a_n|^{\beta} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 25.