## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page No.
No.		
4.1	Major crops irrigated from groundwater in the watershed, 2003-04	84
4.2	Major crops irrigated from groundwater in the watershed, 2004-05	85
4.3	Major crops irrigated from groundwater in the watershed, 2005-06	85
4.4	Test of equality of error variances in groundwater extraction	86
4.5	Significance of Variation in annual groundwater extraction by the crops and cropping system, GLM Univariate test	87
4.6	Contrast in mean groundwater extracted by crops and cropping system	88
4.7	Cropping systems and mean groundwater extraction (m <sup>3</sup> /acre), 2003-04 to 2005-06 (Pooled data)	89
4.8	Cropping systems significantly affecting groundwater extraction, 2003-04	91
4.9	Cropping systems significantly affecting groundwater extraction, 2004-05	92
4.10	Cropping systems significantly affecting groundwater extraction, 2005-06	93
4.11	Comparison among mean groundwater extraction by cropping systems	94
4.12	Levene's test of homogeneity of error variances in depth to water table	94
4.13	Cropping system explaining variation in average depth to water table in the watershed	95
4.14	Annual average depth to water table explaining change in cropping systems practiced	96
5.1	Box's test of equality of covariance matrices, 2003-04	103
5.2	Box's test of equality of covariance matrices, 2004-05	103
5.3	Box's test of equality of covariance matrices, 2005-06	104
5.4	Test of equality of group means	104
5.5	Canonical Discriminant functions	105
5.6	Pair wise comparison among cropping systems, 2003-04	106
5.7	Pair wise comparison among cropping systems, 2004-05	108
5.8	Pair wise comparison among cropping systems, 2005-06	110
6.1	Initial Cluster Centers, 2003-04	119
6.2	Iteration History, 2003-04	119
6.3	Final Cluster Centers, 2003-04	119
6.4	Distances between Final Cluster Centers, 2003-04	119
6.5	ANOVA F test for the two variables, 2003-04	119

6.6	Initial Cluster Centers, 2004-05	120
6.7	Iteration History, 2004-05	120
6.8	Final Cluster Centers, 2004-05	120
6.9	Distances between Final Cluster Centers, 2004-05	120
6.10	ANOVA F test for the two variables, 2004-05	120
6.11	Initial Cluster Centers, 2005-06	121
6.12	Iteration History, 2005-06	121
6.13	Final Cluster Centers, 2005-06	121
6.14	Distances between Final Cluster Centers, 2005-06	121
6.15	ANOVA F test for the two variables, 2005-06	121
7.1	Crop growth stage duration (days) for production function analysis	148
7.2	Crop-wise irrigation details of farms in the study area	149
7.3	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, cotton 2003-04	150
7.4	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, fennel 2003-04	152
7.5	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, cotton 2004-05	154
7.6	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, fennel 2004-05	156
7.7	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, wheat 2004-05	158
7.8	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, castor 2004-05	160
7.9	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, cotton 2005-06	162
7.10	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, castor 2005-06	164
7.11	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, fennel 2005-06	166
7.12	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, cumin 2005-06	168
7.13	Summary results of Cobb-Douglas production function, summer pearl millet 2005-06	170
7.14	Summary results of translog production function, Cotton, 2003-04	172
7.15	Summary results of translog production function, Cotton, 2005-06	173
7.16	Diagnostics test for autocorrelation	174
7.17	Regression summary of water used at different stages of crop growth in the production function	175
7.18	Marginal productivity of water at different stages of crop growth in the production function	176
7 19	Cron and growth stage -wise output elasticity and marginal value	177

	product of water in agricultural production	
8.1	Analysis of variance results for the fitted model	201
8.2	Coefficients of the fitted variable in the model	201
8.3	Desired/ optimal state (groundwater depth) and control (groundwater extraction) trajectories of the groundwater table	202
8.4	Sensitivity analysis of the variables of the fitted model	203
8.5	Change (%) in Optimal path of water table trajectories, Initial groundwater depth 20m, Initial groundwater, extraction 16.6 hacm, Sensitivity analysis	204
8.6	Optimal path of water table trajectories at different groundwater extraction rates, Initial groundwater depth 20m, Initial groundwater extraction 16.6 ha-cm	205
8.7	Optimal path of water table trajectories at different groundwater extraction rates, Initial groundwater depth 35m, Initial groundwater extraction 16.6 ha-cm	206
8.8	Optimal path of water table trajectories at different groundwater extraction rates, Initial groundwater depth 50m, Initial groundwater extraction 16.6 ha-cm	207