List of Figures

Figure 1.1	An illustration depicting the fundamental carbon nanostructures encompasses fullerenes (C ₆₀ , C ₇₀), graphene, as well as single-walled and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs and MWCNTs).	(4)
Figure 1.2	Diagram portraying C ₆₀ -IONP-PEG/HMME and its biological functionalities.	(6)
Figure 1.3	Various approaches for immobilizing enzymes on single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) include(a) Covalent binding through amide coupling involving the carboxylic acid groups of oxidized nanotubes. (b and e) Immobilization through interactions onto functionalized nanotubes using different linker molecules. (c) Adsorption of enzymes onto SWCNTs through hydrophobic or electrostatic interactions. (d) Entrapment of enzymes within a polymer matrix formed around SWCNTs	(7)
Figure 2.4	An illustrative model system set within a two-dimensional square container utilizing periodic boundary conditions. The blue discs denote the particles' positions, while the black arrows depict their velocity vectors. Evidently, the central highlighted box is duplicated in both dimensions	(31)
Figure 5.2	An illustration of the Lennard-Jones potential, with all measurements expressed in arbitrary units	(35)
Figure 2.6	A visual representation that elucidates the notions of the cutoff radius and the minimum image convention	(37)
Figure 3.7	The optimized geometrical arrangement of C_{24} fullerene (depicted in both top (a) and side view (b)), along with the nucleobases Adenine (c), Cytosine (d), Guanine (e), Thymine (f), and Uracil (g)	(48)
Figure 3.8	Electrostatic potential (ESP) maps were generated for C ₂₄ fullerene (depicted in (a)) and for the nucleobases, including Adenine (b), Cytosine (c), Guanine (d), Thymine (e), and Uracil (f) (with EPS ranging from -0.06069e to 0.06069e)	(51)
Figure 3.3	The most energetically favourable configurations of Adenine (a), Cytosine (b), Guanine (c), Thymine (d), and Uracil (e) adsorbed onto C ₂₄ fullerene, respectively	(53)
Figure 3.9	Mulliken charge population assessment for Adenine (a), Cytosine (b), Guanine (c), Thymine (d), and Uracil (e) when adsorbed onto C ₂₄ fullerene, respectively	(54)

Figure 3.10	Spectral density of states (DOS) for the C ₂₄ fullerene (depicted in (a)) and for the nucleobases (Adenine (b), Cytosine (c), Guanine (d), Thymine (e), and Uracil (f)) when adsorbed onto C ₂₄ fullerenes, respectively	(56)
Figure 3.11	The electron density of the Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) and the Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO) for the pristine C ₂₄ fullerene (illustrated in (a)), as well as for the nucleobases (Adenine (b), Cytosine (c), Guanine (d), Thymine (e), and Uracil (f)) when adsorbed onto C ₂₄ fullerenes, respectively.	(58)
Figure 4.12	Optimized geometry of C ₂₄ fullerene (top(a) and side view (b)) and drug molecules (AMP (c), KET (d),) and MER (e))	(71)
Figure 4.13	Electrostatic potential (ESP) maps for C_{24} fullerene (a) and drug molecules AMP (b), KET (c), and MER (d) (EPS values ranging from -0.0103e to 0.0103e)	(72)
Figure 4.14	The lowest-energy configurations of AMP (a), KET (b), and MER (c) when adsorbed onto C_{24} fullerene, respectively	(73)
Figure 15.4	Mulliken charge population analysis of AMP (a), KET (b) and MER (e) adsorbed over C ₂₄ fullerene, respectively	(75)
Figure 4.16	Density of states for the interaction between C ₂₄ fullerene and AMP (a), KET (b), and MER (c)	(76)
Figure 4.17	The electron density of the Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO) and the Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) for the untouched C ₂₄ fullerene (depicted in (a)), as well as for AMP (a), KET (b), and MER (c) when adsorbed onto C ₂₄ fullerenes, respectively.	(78)
Figure 4.7	Captured moments in time for the simulation systems of (a) C_{24}/AMP , (b) C_{24}/KET , and (c) C_{24}/MER	(84)
Figure 4.8	(a) Plots depicting the Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) over time for the drug molecules in interaction with C_{24} fullerene, and (b) a comparative analysis of the radial distribution (g(r)) of drug molecules in proximity to the surfaces of C_{24} fullerene, as a function of distance.	(84)
Figure 4.9	MD simulation plots for the (a) Total Energy and (b) Temperature for the drug molecules against the time simulation	(85)
Figure 5.18	Optimized structure of (a) C ₂₄ ,(b) C ₃₆ ,(c) C ₅₀ ,(d) C ₇₀ fullerene and (e) L- Leucine (LEU)	(94)

Figure 5.2	IR of (a) C_{24} ,(b) C_{36} ,(c) C_{50} and (d) C_{70} fullerene	(96)
Figure 5.3	LUMO and HOMO electron contribution of (a) C_{24} ,(b) C_{36} ,(c) C_{50} and (d) C_{70} fullerene	(97)
Figure 5.4	ESP of (a) L-Leucine (LEU (b) C_{24} ,(c) C_{36} ,(d) C_{50} , and (e) C_{70} fullerene (EPS) from -0.05549e to 0.05549e))	(98)
Figure 5.5	Minimum energetic geometry of LEU adsorbed over (a) C_{24} ,(b) C_{36} ,(c) C_{50} and (d) C_{70} fullerene respectively	(99)
Figure 5.6	Mulliken charge population analysis of LEU adsorbed over (a) C_{24} ,(b) C_{36} ,(c) C_{50} and (d) C_{70} fullerene respectively	(102)
Figure 5.7	Density of states of (a) C_{24} ,(b) C_{36} ,(c) C_{50} , and (d) C_{70} fullerene, (e) C_{24} /LEU,(f) C_{36} /LEU, (g) C_{50} /LEU and (h) C_{70} /LEU respectively	(103)
Figure 5.8	The LUMO and HOMO electron density of LEU adsorbed over the pristine (a) C_{24} , (b) C_{36} , (c) C_{50} , and (d) C_{70} fullerene, respectively.	(104)
Figure 5.9	The Reduced Density Gradient (RDG) scatter plots (Left) and Non-Covalent Interaction (NCI) isosurfaces (Right) of (a) C ₂₄ /LEU, (b)C ₃₆ /LEU, (c)C ₅₀ /LEU and(d) C ₇₀ /LEU respectively C, H, O, and N atoms are shown in light blue, white, red, and dark blue, respectively	(106)
Figure 5.10	Snapshots for (a) C_{24}/LEU and (c) C_{36}/LEU simulation systems	(112)
Figure 5.11	(a)RMSD curves for the interacted LEU biomolecule and C_{24} , C_{36} fullerene as a function of time and (b)comparison of radial distribution (g(r)) of biomolecules around the C_{24} and C_{36} fullerene surfaces versus distance	(112)
Figure 5.12	MD simulation plots for the (a) Total Energy and (b) Temperature for the biomolecules/fullerenes against the time simulation	(113)