CHAPTER -3 EXPERIMENTAL WORK





Experimental Procedure:

3.1 Development of weld overlays:

The base material of 55 mm thick plate of Cr-Mo steel (SA387 Gr11 Cl2) received in the normalized, accelerated cooled and tempered condition. Chemistries of the parent metal and the electrode strips are listed in table-3.1. As shown in fig. -1 first Strip was cut at an angle of 45° , so it strikes the arc easily by developing higher current density at the tip of the electrode. Then it scratches on the base metal so initiate arcing between the electrode & the base metal. The small quantities of flux and strip start melting before traveling the welding head. As soon as sufficiently thick layer of molten slag is formed, arc was extinguished but strip electrode was continuously fed into a shallow layer of molten electro conductive slag. The heat which is needed to melt the strip, slag forming flux & the surface layer of base metal was generated by the joule effect as a result of the welding current flowing through the liquid electro conductive slag. The interior temperature of the bath is in vicinity of 1925° C while the surface temperature is approximately 1650° C. This feature ia shown in fig 3.1 & 3.2.



Fig 3.1 . Strip cut at 45[°] angle



Fig 3.2 Flux on both the side of the strip while staring the weld

EXPERIMENTAL WORK



CHAPTER -3



Fig 3.3 Continuous cladding by ESSC Process

Fig 3.4 weld overlay developed by ESSC Process

Table-3.1: chemical	Composition	of base metal	and strip	electrodes	(weight%)
---------------------	--------------------	---------------	-----------	------------	-----------

Туре	C	Cr	Ni	Мо	Si	Mn	Nb	N2	Р	S
Base Metal	0.15	1.2	0.16	0.5	0.6	1.2	0.001	-	0.003	< 0.001
309L	0.005	21.0	11.0	< 0.03	0.5	1.8	-	0.036	0.012	0.0005
309L Nb	0.008	21.0	11.0	< 0.03	0.5	1.8	0.52	0.036	0.011	0.0006

As shown in fig. 3.4 single layer technique was adapted to developed weld overlays of 309 L & 309L Nb austenite stainless steel on Cr-Mo steel using electroslag strip cladding process with welding conditions which is tabulated in table- 3.2 & table- 3.3.

Table-3.2: Design of Experiments:

Exp. No	Strip Electrode	Welding Current (Amp)	Welding Voltage (volt)	Travel Speed (mm/min.)
1	Single layer 309L	1100-1300	24-26	160
2	Single layer 309L	1100-1300	24-26	180
3	Single layer 309L	1100-1300	24-26	200
4	Single layer 309L Nb	1100-1300	24-26	160
5	Single layer 309L Nb	1100-1300	24-26	180
6	Single layer 309L Nb	1100-1300	24-26	200

Table-3.3: Strip welding conditions:

Polarity	DECP
Electrode extension (Stick out)	35 mm max.
Height of flux	20-25 mm .
Preheat temperature	125 [°] C min.
Interpass temperature	200 [°] C max.

3.2 Sample Preparation:

• Cladded weld overlay has been cut in the form of slice of 10mm wide and it were taper grinded on milling machine at angle of 10-15 degree for various testing.





3.3 Post Weld Heat Treatment (PWHT) cycle for weld overlays

• It was performed to relieve the stresses of the base metal after cladding process. It was carried out in coil heating furnaces with temperature controlling devices which was also connected to graph plotting device as show in fig.3.6 & 3..7



Fig: 3.6 Coil Heating Furnace



Fig: 3.7 Graph Plotting Devices

3.3.1 Post Weld Heat Treatment (PWHT) Cycle:

- 1. Rate of Heating from Room Temperature to 400°C: 100°C/Hr
- 2. Rate of Heating from 400°C to 690°C: 40°C/Hr
- 3. Soaking Temperature: 690°+14°C
- 4. Soaking Time: 30Hrs
- 5. Rate Of Cooling from 690°C to 400°C: 40°C/Hr
- 6. Switch off the furnace after reaching 400°C and allow it to cooling furnace itself.



Fig 3.8 PWHT cycle for both weld overlays

3.4 Testing & Evaluation of weld overlays

3.4.1 Microstructure Evaluation of weld overlays

3.4.1.1 Optical Microscopic Analysis

- Neophot 2 Microscope is used to observer micro structural changes at grain boundary as well as at interface using
- Etchant for Base Metal: Nital (98% Methanol & 2% HNO₃) solution.
- Etchant for cladded region: Aquzregia (75% HCl & 25% HNO₃) solution.
- All samples were viewed at 1000X Magnification.

3.4.1.2 Electron Microscopic Analysis

• To reveal various inter-metallic compounds SEM studied were performed on both the weld overlay while percentage element present were determine by EDAX analysis.

EXPERIMENTAL WORK

3.4.2 Determination of Ferrite Content of the weld overlays

• Ferrite content was measured for both weld overlays by using Fischer Ferritescope MP 30 for all types weld overlays samples.

3.4 .3 Determination of Hardness of weld overlays

 Hardness values at different location of weld overlay were performed with the help of Vickers Pyramid Hardness Testing method using Wilson Wolpert Micro Vickers – 401MVD Instrument with load of 100 Kg. Hardness value determine by the used of standard chart with the help of load range and diagonal length of indentation.(see Fig.3.10)



Fig 3.9 Vicker Hardness Test



Fig. 3.10 Square base Indentation

3.4.4 Corrosion Testing of weld overlays :

3.4.4.1 Description of Instrument (Potentiostat/ Galvaneostat/ZRA)

- Model: EG&G PAR 273A & Gamry Potentiostate (reference600)
- Software: M273 & M398
- > Attachment: Computer system for data storage
- Corrosion Cell consist of
 - Reference electrode: standard calomel electrode (Ag/AgCl₂)
 - Auxiliary electrode: graphite rod
 - Working electrode: test sample

CHAPTER-3

EXPERIMENTAL WORK



Fig. 3.11 Corrosion cell



Fig. 3.12 Experimental Setup of corrosion testing

3.4.4.2 Sample Preparation for corrosion testing

All samples has been masked using water proof cello tap to get exact exposure area (5mm x 5mm) for corrosion testing at base metal, interface and cladded Material separately. During testing of at one location other two locations were kept covered with masking tap.







3.4.4.3 Potentiodynamic Testing

Potentiodynamic studies were carried out on both weld overlays in 0.1 N HCl solution, 0.1 N HNO₃ solution, 0.1 N H_2SO_4 solution & 3.5 % NaCl solution as per ASTM G-5 standard, which is "Standard Reference Test Method for Making Potentiostatic and Potentiodynamic Anodic Polarization Measurements" using potentiostat of Gamry

6

7

8

reference 600. Samples were subjected to anodic & cathodic polarization using following operating parameters which are tabulated in table no-3. 4.

Sr.No	Potentio-dynamic test
1	Initial voltage: -0.5 V with respect to open circuit potential
2	Final voltage: 1.7 V with respect to reference electrode
3	Scan rate: 5 mV / sec
4	Conditioning time: 60 sec at -1.0 V
5	Initial delay : 60 sec

... . .

3.4.4.3.1	Sequence of Potentio-dynamic test:	
-----------	------------------------------------	--

Equivalent weight: 22.92 gm

Sample exposure area: 0.25 cm^2

1.0 Gamry Instruments Frame Work

Density : $7.87 \text{ gm} / \text{cm}^2$



CHAPTER -3

2.0 Experiment



3.0 DC Corrosion



CHAPTER -3

4.0 Potentiodynamic



5.0 Get Setup & Run

	9 X X X I S S 8 8 8 8 0
entiodynamic	
efault Save Re	estore OK Cancel
Pstat	@ REF600-06090
Test Identifier	Potentiodynamic Scan
Output File	potentiodynamic unanodised (0)304 1N HC
Notes	1N HCL
Initial E (V)	-0.5 C vs Eref @ vs Eoc
Final E (V)	1.5 • vs Eref C vs Eoc
Scan Rate (mV/s)	5
Sample Period (s)	1
Sample Area (cm^2)	0.96
Density (gm/cm^3)	7.87
Equiv. Wt	27.92
Conditioning	✓ On Time(s) 30 E(V) -1
Init. Delay	✓ On Time(s) 30 Stab. (mV/s) 0
IR Comp	□ Off

3.4.4.4 Cyclic polarization Testing

The pitting behaviour of both weld overlays were carried out by cyclic polarization study in 6 % FeCl₃ Solution by using Potentiostat Gammry Reference 600 as per as per <u>ASTM</u> Standard G-61 which is a "Standard Test Method for Conducting Cyclic Polarization Measurements for Localized Corrosion Susceptibility of Iron-, Nickel- or Cobalt Based Alloys" using potentiostat of Gamry reference 600. Samples were subjected to cyclic polarization scan using following operating parameters which are tabulated in table No -3. 5.

Sr.No	Cyclic polarization test
1	Initial Voltage=0.5 V
2	Forward Scan=2.5 mV
3	Reverse Scan=2.5 mV
4	Final Voltage=1.0 V
5	Apex Current= $1000 \text{ mA} / \text{cm}^2$
6	Sample area = 0.25 cm^2
7	Density = $7.87 \text{ gm} / \text{cm}^2$
8	Equivalent weight = 22.92 gm

Table-3.5: Operating Parameters for Cyclic polarization test

3.4.4.4 .1 Sequence of Cyclic polarization Testing

1. Gamry Instruments Frame Work



CHAPTER -3

EXPERIMENTAL WORK

2.0 Experiment



3.0 DC Corrosion



4.0 Cyclic polarization



5.0 Get Setup & Run

Gamny Instruments Framework		2 9 2
File Edit Experiment Analysis Optic		
Default Save Res	store OK Cancel	
Pstat	@ REF600-06090	
Test Identifier	Cyclic Polarization Scan	
Output File	BE 2011 ASS 347 WM H2SO4 + KC1	
Notes	1 N H2SO4 + 0.5 M KC1	
Initial E (V)	-0.25 (vs Eref @ vs Eoc	
Forward Scan (mV/s)	2.5	
Apex E (V)	1 C vs Eref @ vs Eoc	
Reverse Scan (mV/s)	2.5	
Final E (V)	0 C vs Eref • vs Eoc	
Apex I (mA/cm^2)	1000	
Sample Period (s)	1	
Sample Area (cm^2)	0.24	
Density (gm/cm^3)	7.87	
Equiv. Wt	27.92	
Conditioning	✓ On Time(s) 60 E(V) 0	
Init. Delay	✓ On Time(s) 60 Stab. (mV/s) 0.1	
IR Comp	🔽 On	
🚱 📰 🖉 💽 🔯 Micross	oft PowerPoi 🕜 Gamry Instruments < 🔹 🚺 😥 🥥 🕲 🚺 🕻	14:30

3.4.4.5. Inter-granular Corrosion Testing:

3.4.4.5.1 Electrochemical Potentiokinetic Reactivation (EPR) Test

EPR test were performed on both weld overlays before and after the post weld heat treatment (PWHT) at different locations mainly cladded region, weld interface and base metal in $0.5 \text{ N H}_2\text{SO}_4 \& 0.01 \text{ M}$ NaCl to quantify the degree of sensitization of in term of peak current density.





Fig 3.15 Experimental Setup for EPR test. Fig 3.16 Argon purging

Fig. 3.15 & 3.16 shows the experimental setup which the same set up that were used for potentiodynamic testing and cyclic polarization testing, only additional argon purging is required for de-aeration of the test solution to remove dissolved oxygen.

3.4.4.5.1 .1 Experimental Procedure of EPR test:

- Prepared sample with exposure area of 5 mm X 5 mm.
- The experiment is carried out in mixture of 0.5 N H₂SO₄ & 0.01 M NaCl.
- Solution is de-aerated with argon gas before and during the test.
- Temperature of the solution must be maintain constant.
- Anodically polarized the test specimen from OCP to + 300 mV(SCE) with scan rate of 5 mv / sec and immediate after reaching + 300 mV(SCE) catholically polarization was done up to OCP.
- The Maximum current of loop is measured

- **3.4.4.5.1. 2** Sequence of Electrochemical Potentiokinetic Reactivation (EPR)
- 1. Gamry Instruments Frame Work



2.0 Experiment



3.0 DC Corrosion



4.0 Electrochemical Potentiokinetic Reactivation



5.0 Get Setup & Run

Edit Experiment Analysis Opti	ons Window Help ㅎ 것 것 것 것 양 (종) 🔀 🔁 🔟 🗗 ②	
Reactivation		
Default Save R	estore OK Cancel	
Pstat	© REF600-06090	
Test Identifier	Reactivation Scan	
Output File	EPR-IGC-347 solution annealed WM	
Notes	0.5 M H2SO4 + 0.01M KSCN	
Sample Area (cm^2)	0.24	
Density (gm/cm^3)	7.87	
Equiv. Wt	22.92	
Init. Delay	□ off Time(*) 300 Stab. (SV s) 0.1	
Activation Time	120	
Activation E (V)	-0.5 C vs Eref @ vs Eoc	
Passivation Time	120	
Passivation E (V)	1.5 C vs Eref • vs Eoc	
Scan Rate (mV/s)	5	
Sample Period (s)	1	
IR Comp	└ Off	