

**LIST OF  
FIGURES/SCHEMES/TABLES**

Sr. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b>		
<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Examples of naturally occurring object in the nanoscale range	<b>3</b>
<b>Figure 1.2</b>	Illustration of carbon-based materials	<b>5</b>
<b>Figure 1.3</b>	Structure (a) and bonding (b) of graphene	<b>7</b>
<b>Figure 1.4</b>	Properties of graphene.	<b>8</b>
<b>Figure 1.5</b>	A typical structure of surfactant molecule.	<b>14</b>
<b>Figure 1.6</b>	Types of surfactants.	<b>16</b>
<b>Figure 1.7</b>	Application of GO	<b>20</b>
<b>Scheme 1.1</b>	History of graphene as per the timeline.	<b>6</b>
<b>Scheme 1.2</b>	Flow-chart of graphene production.	<b>9</b>
<b>Scheme 1.3</b>	Schematic of the preparation of graphene/metal oxide NCs	<b>11</b>
<b>Scheme 1.4</b>	Functionalization of graphene (a) Graphene; (b), (c), and (d) are GO, rGO and graphene gel; (e) and (f) are organic small molecules and polymers modified graphene materials; (g), (h), and (i) are NPs functionalized graphene materials	<b>13</b>
<b>Table 1.1</b>	At a glance view of properties of graphene	<b>8</b>
<b>Chapter 2: Materials, methods and characterization techniques</b>		
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	Schematic diagram of bubble flow meter-based system with constant pressure/variable volume.	<b>43</b>
<b>Figure 2.2</b>	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR-8400S, Shimadzu, Japan) used for the present work.	<b>45</b>
<b>Figure 2.3</b>	X-ray diffractometer (SmartLab, Rigaku Corporation, Japan) used for the present work	<b>46</b>
<b>Figure 2.4</b>	Thermogravimetric analyzer (Shimadzu, TGA-50, Japan) used for the present work	<b>47</b>

<b>Figure 2.5</b>	Field emission scanning electron microscopy (ZEISS SIGMA, Germany) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (Bruker XFlash 61100, Germany) used for the present work	<b>48</b>
<b>Figure 2.6</b>	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM-1400 PLUS, JOEL India pvt. Ltd.) used for the present work	<b>49</b>
<b>Figure 2.7</b>	Ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy (Shimadzu 1800, Japan) used for the present work	<b>50</b>
<b>Figure 2.8</b>	Tensile tester instrument (Instron uniaxial test machine, USA) used for the present work	<b>51</b>
<b>Figure 2.9</b>	Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC-25, Shimadzu, Japan) used for the present work	<b>52</b>
<b>Figure 2.10</b>	Contact angle instrument (Krüss DSA100 contact angle goniometer, Germany) used for the present work	<b>52</b>
<b>Scheme 2.1</b>	Chemical structures of material used.	<b>35</b>
<b>Scheme 2.2</b>	Synthesis of graphene oxide	<b>37</b>
<b>Scheme 2.3</b>	Synthesis of GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> NC	<b>37</b>
<b>Scheme 2.4</b>	Synthesis of surfactant/DES modified NCs	<b>40</b>
<b>Scheme 2.5</b>	Preparation of MMMs film with different nanofillers using the phase inversion method.	<b>41</b>
<b>Chapter 3: Synthesis and characterization of surfactant/DES modified GO@ZrO<sub>2</sub> NC for adsorption of dye from aqueous background</b>		
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	XRD spectra of modified nanocomposite(s) and its precursor.	<b>59</b>
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	FT-IR spectra of GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> and its modified forms with CGS and DES nanocomposites.	<b>60</b>
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	TEM images of a) GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> b) CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> , and c) DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> .	<b>61</b>
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	SEM-EDX images of a) GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> , b) DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> and c) CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> .	<b>61</b>
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	a) Thermograms and b) derivative thermograms of GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> and CGS/DES modified nanocomposites.	<b>62</b>
<b>Figure 3.6</b>	Variation of % MB removal from background solution (20 ml, after 60 m) with different initial concentrations of MB (20-100 mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) at 30±0.1°C: GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml); DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml) and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml).	<b>63</b>

<b>Figure 3.7</b>	Optimisation of composite dosages for MB adsorption (20 ml solution, after 60 m): DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (with 20 mg L <sup>-1</sup> MB) and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (with 50 mg L <sup>-1</sup> MB) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>64</b>
<b>Figure 3.8</b>	Influence of pH (2-10) on MB adsorption (20 ml solution, after 60 m) by DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml dosage, with 20 mg L <sup>-1</sup> MB) and b) CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml dosage, with 50 mg L <sup>-1</sup> MB) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>65</b>
<b>Figure 3.9</b>	Variation of ΔpH vs pH <sub>initial</sub> for DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (50 mg/20 ml KNO <sub>3</sub> solution) and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (50 mg/20 ml KNO <sub>3</sub> solution) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>65</b>
<b>Figure 3.10</b>	Variation of adsorption capacity (Q <sub>t</sub> ) with Contact time (t) for various starting MB concentrations (10-1000 mg L <sup>-1</sup> ): a) DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB), and b) CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>66</b>
<b>Figure 3.11</b>	Variation of log (Q <sub>e</sub> -Q <sub>t</sub> ) vs t and fitted data of Pseudo-first order kinetic model: a) DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB), and b) CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>67</b>
<b>Figure 3.12</b>	Variation of t/Q <sub>t</sub> vs t and fitted data of Pseudo-second order kinetic model: a) DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB), and b) CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>68</b>
<b>Figure 3.13</b>	Variation of Q <sub>t</sub> vs t <sup>1/2</sup> and fitted data of Intraparticle diffusion kinetic model: a) DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB), and b) CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>69</b>
<b>Figure 3.14</b>	Variation of log Q <sub>e</sub> vs log C <sub>e</sub> and fitted Freundlich isotherm model for DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB), and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>70</b>
<b>Figure 3.15</b>	Variation of 1/Q <sub>e</sub> vs 1/C <sub>e</sub> and fitted Langmuir isotherm model for DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB), and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB) at 30±0.1°C.	<b>71</b>

<b>Figure 3.16</b>	Variation of $Q_e$ vs $\log C_e$ and fitted Temkin isotherm model for DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB), and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> (10 mg/ml dosage, 20 ml solution of MB) at $30 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ .	72
<b>Figure 3.17</b>	Desorption study of MB from DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> using 50 ml of various solvents.	74
<b>Figure 3.18</b>	Recyclability study of DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> using 50 ml of ethanol for each cycle (up to 5 cycles).	74
<b>Scheme 3.1</b>	Depiction of MB adsorption on modified NCs via different modes of interactions.	75
<b>Table 3.1</b>	EDX elemental data of modified GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> with CGS and DES.	62
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Fitted kinetic data in different models for DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub>	67
<b>Table 3.3</b>	Fitted adsorption data of MB on DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> using various models.	70
<b>Table 3.4</b>	Comparison of removal efficiency of DES-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> and CGS-GO@ZrO <sub>2</sub> with similar materials reported in the literature.	73
<b>Chapter 4: Synthesis and characterization of surfactant/DES modified GO@TiO<sub>2</sub> NC for adsorption of dye from aqueous background</b>		
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	XRD spectra of TiO <sub>2</sub> , GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs.	86
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	FTIR spectra of TiO <sub>2</sub> , GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs.	87
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	FESEM/EDX analysis of (I) GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , (II) DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and (III) DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NC's (a) FESEM image, (b) EDX elemental mapping showing different elements, (c) spot EDX spectra showing various elements in terms of percentage.	88
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	(I) TGA (II) derivative thermograms of GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs.	89
<b>Figure 4.5</b>	The effect of the initial dye concentration on the percentage removal of MB for GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs.	90
<b>Figure 4.6</b>	The effect of the composite load on the percentage removal of MB for DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs.	91

<b>Figure 4.7</b>	The effect of the pH on the percentage removal of MB for DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs.	92
<b>Figure 4.8</b>	Point of zero charge (pH <sub>pzc</sub> ) of DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs.	92
<b>Figure 4.9</b>	Variation of adsorption capacity (Q) with time (t, m) for MB dye on (I) DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and (II) DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs.	93
<b>Figure 4.10</b>	Variation of log (Q <sub>e</sub> -Q <sub>t</sub> ) vs. t and fitted data of <i>pseudo</i> -first order kinetic model: a) DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , and b) DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> at 30°C.	94
<b>Figure 4.11</b>	Variation of t/Q <sub>t</sub> vs. t and fitted data of <i>pseudo</i> -second order kinetic model: a) DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , and b) DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> at 30°C.	95
<b>Figure 4.12</b>	Variation of Q <sub>t</sub> vs. t <sup>1/2</sup> and fitted data of Intraparticle diffusion kinetic model: a) DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , and b) DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> at 30°C.	96
<b>Figure 4.13</b>	Variation of log Q <sub>e</sub> vs. log C <sub>e</sub> and fitted Freundlich isotherm model for DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> at 30°C.	97
<b>Figure 4.14</b>	Variation of 1/Q <sub>e</sub> vs. 1/C <sub>e</sub> and fitted Langmuir isotherm model for DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> at 30°C.	98
<b>Figure 4.15</b>	Variation of Q <sub>e</sub> vs. log C <sub>e</sub> and fitted Temkin isotherm model for DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> at 30°C.	99
<b>Figure 4.16</b>	Desorption study of MB from DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> , and DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs using 50 ml of various solvents.	101
<b>Figure 4.17</b>	Recyclability study of NCs using 50 ml of ethanol for each cycle (up to 7 cycles).	101
<b>Scheme 4.1</b>	Schematic representation of MB adsorption on DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> NCs via different modes of interactions.	94
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Fitted kinetic data in different models for DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub>	97
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Fitted adsorption data of MB on DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> using various models.	100
<b>Table 4.3</b>	Comparison of removal efficiency of DES-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> and DT-GO@TiO <sub>2</sub> with similar materials reported in the literature.	102

### Chapter 5: GO/surfactant inspired photophysical modulation of dye in DESs with or without additives

<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Absorption spectral profile of rhodamine B (RB, $3 \times 10^{-4}$ Mdm <sup>-3</sup> ) in Reline with or without graphene oxide (GO, 0 to 100 $\mu$ g/ml) at 30°C.	<b>113</b>
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Emission spectra of RB ( $3 \times 10^{-4}$ Mdm <sup>-3</sup> ) in (a) Pure solvents (water, methanol or Reline), (b) Reline + water (0 to 25% (v/v)), and (c) Reline with and without glycerol (ChCl: U: Glycerol, 1:2-x:x, x=0 (Reline), x=0.5 (CUG-1), x=1 (CUG-2), x=2 (Glyceline)).	<b>115</b>
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	Emission spectra of different concentration of RB ( $1 \times 10^{-4}$ to $3 \times 10^{-4}$ Mdm <sup>-3</sup> ) in (a) Water, (b) Methanol, and (c) Reline at 30°C.	<b>116</b>
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	Emission spectra of RB ( $3 \times 10^{-4}$ Mdm <sup>-3</sup> ) in (a) Reline with (below (10 mMdm <sup>-3</sup> ) or above CMC (15 mMdm <sup>-3</sup> )) and without SDS, and (b) CUG-1/CUG-2 with and without CTAB (2 mMdm <sup>-3</sup> ).	<b>118</b>
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Emission spectra of RB ( $3 \times 10^{-4}$ Mdm <sup>-3</sup> ) with GO in (a) Reline (GO varies from 0 to 100 $\mu$ g/ml), (b) Reline with and without water (0 to 25% (v/v)) having 5 $\mu$ g/ml GO, and (c) Reline, CUG-1 or CUG-2 with 5 $\mu$ g/ml GO.	<b>120</b>
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	Emission spectra of RB ( $3 \times 10^{-4}$ Mdm <sup>-3</sup> ) with and without 5 $\mu$ g/ml GO in (a) Reline +SDS (below (10 mMdm <sup>-3</sup> ) or above CMC (15 mMdm <sup>-3</sup> )), and (b) CUG-1/CUG-2 with and without CTAB (2 mMdm <sup>-3</sup> ).	<b>121</b>
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Different DESs, components, molar ratio, and their respective pH.	<b>116</b>

### Chapter 6: A polymer blend NCs for the separation and purification of gases

<b>Figure 6.1</b>	FTIR spectra of polymer blend composites (a) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (b) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 5 wt% DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (c) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (d) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 5 wt% GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (e) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% ZrO <sub>2</sub> (f) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO.	<b>132</b>
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	XRD patterns of polymer blend composites (MMMs).	<b>135</b>
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	SEM-EDX analysis of (a) GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> , (b) DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (c) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% ZrO <sub>2</sub> (d) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO (e) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (f) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub>	<b>137</b>

<b>Figure 6.4</b>	DSC analysis of (a) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (b) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (c) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO (d) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% ZrO <sub>2</sub> (e) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 5 wt% ZrO <sub>2</sub> (f) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub>	<b>139</b>
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	TGA analysis of (i) polymer blend composite films and (ii) DTG curve of polymer blend composite films.	<b>140</b>
<b>Figure 6.6</b>	Contact angle measurements of (a) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> (b) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO (c) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% ZrO <sub>2</sub> (d) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (e) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> .	<b>142</b>
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	(i) Thickness (μm) and (ii) porosity (%) of (a) Blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> & PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + different wt% of GO (2 wt% to 20 wt%) (b) Blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> & PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + different wt% of ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 wt% to 20 wt%) (c) Blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> & PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + different wt% of GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 wt% to 20 wt%) (d) Blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> & PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + different wt% of DES/GO/ ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 wt% to 20 wt%), respectively.	<b>143</b>
<b>Figure 6.8</b>	(i) Tensile strength (MPa) (ii) Elongation at break (%) (iii) Flexural strength (MPa) and (iv) Impact strength (J/m) of (a) Blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> & PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + different wt% of GO (2 wt% to 20 wt%) (b) Blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> & PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + different wt% of ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 wt% to 20 wt%) (c) Blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> & PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + different wt% of GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 wt% to 20 wt%) (d) Blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> & PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + different wt% of DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (2 wt% to 20 wt%).	<b>145</b>
<b>Figure 6.9</b>	Youngs modulus (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) of (a) blend of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> (b) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO (c) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% ZrO <sub>2</sub> (d) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (e) PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% DES/GO/ ZrO <sub>2</sub> .	<b>146</b>
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	Gas permeability of H <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> in (i) GO (ii) ZrO <sub>2</sub> (iii) GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (iv) DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> with 0 wt% to 20 wt% nanofillers.	<b>149</b>
<b>Figure 6.11</b>	Gas selectivity of PC <sub>50</sub> /PS <sub>50</sub> + 2 wt% to 20 wt% nanofillers (i) GO (ii) ZrO <sub>2</sub> (iii) GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> (iv) DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> in various gases.	<b>151</b>
<b>Figure 6.12</b>	Robeson upper bound correlations for (i) H <sub>2</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub> separation (ii) H <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> separation (iii) H <sub>2</sub> /O <sub>2</sub> separation and (iv) H <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub>	<b>152</b>

separation in PC<sub>50</sub>/PS<sub>50</sub> + 20 wt% nanofillers (GO, ZrO<sub>2</sub>, GO/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and DES-GO/ZrO<sub>2</sub>).

<b>Scheme 6.1</b>	Schematics illustration of the possible interaction between NCs and polymer blend of PC and PS.	<b>134</b>
-------------------	---	------------

<b>Table 6.1</b>	EDX elemental analysis of GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub>	<b>136</b>
------------------	---	------------

<b>Table 6.2</b>	Thermal property of polymer blend nanocomposite of PC/PS composite with GO, ZrO <sub>2</sub> , GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> and DES-GO/ZrO <sub>2</sub> nanofillers.	<b>141</b>
------------------	---	------------