

Bibliography

- Agboola, I. O. (2009). Use of Print and electronic resources by agricultural science students in Nigerian Universities. *Library & Information Science Research* 2, 32(1), 62–65.
- Aggarwal, S., & Bhalla, V. (2012). A Study of Teacher Educator 's Preference of Print and Electronic Resources of Information. *International Journal of Information Dissemination and Technology*, 2(2), 86–91.
- Altbach , Philip(1987), Textbooks in comparative context' Educational Technology , Pergamum Press, Oxford University.
- Annand, D. (2008). Learning efficacy and cost-effectiveness of print versus e-book instructional material in an introductory financial accounting course. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 7(2), 152–164. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-51249095616&partnerID=40&md5=b70ca21dba71179f31537d9ec7e63717>
- Anunobi, C. V. (2008). The Role of Academic Libraries in Universal Access to Print and Electronic Resources in the Developing Countries. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, (May), 6. Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1194&context=libphilprac>
- Bar-Ilan, J., & Fink, N. (2005). Preference for electronic format of scientific journals - A case study of the Science Library users at the Hebrew University. *Library and Information Science Research*, 27(3), 363–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2005.04.011>
- Bauer, K. (2001). Indexes as Tools for Measuring Usage of Print and Electronic Resources. *College & Research Libraries*, 62(January), 36–42. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.62.1.36>
- Best, John W and Kahn James V.(1999). Research in Education. 2nded. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India.
- Bodomo, A., Lam, M.-L., & Lee, C. (2003). Some Students Still Read Books in the 21 St Century: a Study of User Preferences for Print and Electronic Libraries. *The Reading Matrix*, 3(3). Retrieved from http://www.readingmatrix.com/articles/bodomo_lam_lee/article.pdf

- Borrelli, S., Galbraith, B., & Brady, E. E. (2009). The Impact of Electronic Journals on Use of Print in Geology. *College & Research Libraries*, 70, 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.70.1.26>
- Busha, Charles H and Harter, Stephen H(1988). *Research Methods in Librarianship: Techniques and Interpretations*. New York: Academic Press.
- Carter, C. E. (2006). Evidence based library and information practice. *Evidence Based Library and Information Practice*, 5(4), 21–38. <https://doi.org/10.1086/667435>
- Carter, C. E. (2006). Evidence based library and information practice. *Evidence Based Library and Information Practice*, 5(4), 21–38. <https://doi.org/10.1086/667435>
- Cumaoglu, G. K., Sacici, E., & Torun, K. (2012). E-Book versus Printed Materials: University Student's Preferences. *International Conference on Communication , Media , Technology and Design*, (May), 157–161.
- Deshpande, Satish R. (2004) , ' E-Resources and User Education: A new challenge to library and information centres, ADINET , INFLIBNET , AMA, 28th August, 31-35.
- De Groote, S. L., &Dorsch, J. L. (2001). Online journals: impact on print journal usage. *Bulletin of the Medical Library Association*, 89(4), 372–378.
- De Groote, S. L. (2008). Citation patterns of online and print journals in the digital age. *Journal of the Medical Library Association : JMLA*, 96(4), 362–369. <https://doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.96.4.012>
- Dilevko, J., & Gottlieb, L. (2002). Print Sources in an Electronic Age: A Vital Part of the Research Process for Undergraduate Students. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 28(6), 381–392.
- Dowin, K. (1984). *Role of information in Electronic Age.* ' In *The Electronic Library: The promise and the process*. New York: Neal Schuman Publisher Inc.
- Foasberg, N. (2014). Student Reading Practices in Print and Electronic Media. *College and Research Libraries*, 2, 43.
- Fothergill, R. and Butchart, I.(1978). *Non-book Materials in Libraries: A Practicle Guide*. London: Clive Bingley.

- Gerke, J., & Maness, J. M. (2010). The Physical and the Virtual: The Relationship between Library as Place and Electronic Collections. *College & Research Libraries*, 71(1), 20–31. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.71.1.20>
- Gerke, J., & Maness, J. (2010). The Physical and the Virtual: The Relationship Between Library as Place and Electronic Collections. *Faculty Scholarship*. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.du.edu/libraries_facpub/7
- Gerlich, R. N. (2010). E-Readers V. Traditional Print Media on Campus: Gender Differences and Challenges To Be Overcome. *Allied Academics International Conference: Proceedings of the Academy Of Education Leadership*, 15(2), 47–51. [https://doi.org/Retrieved from http://www.alliedacademies.org/](https://doi.org/Retrieved%20from%20http://www.alliedacademies.org/)
- Jeong, H. (2012). A comparison of the influence of electronic books and paper books on reading comprehension, eye fatigue, and perception. *Electronic Library*, 30(3), 390–408. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640471211241663>
- Johnson, Q. (2004). User preferences in formats of print and electronic journals. *Collection Building*, 23(2), 73–77. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01604950410530408>
- Joint, N. (2009). Choosing between print or digital collection building in times of financial constraint. *Library Review*, 58(4), 264–271. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00242530910952819>
- Kacherki, U., &Thombare, M. J. (2010). Print vs e-Journal and Information Seeking Patterns of Users: A Case Study of SPJIMR. *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology*, 30(1), 22–25. <https://doi.org/10.14429/djlit.30.280>
- Kapoor, K. (2010). Print and electronic resources. *Program*, 44(1), 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00330331011019690>
- Kiess, H.O.(1989), Statistical Concepts for the Behavioural Science. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Kimball, R., Ives, G., & Jackson, K. (2009). Comparative Usage of Science E-book and Print Collections at Texas A&M University Libraries. *Collection Management*, 35(1), 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01462670903386182>
- Kumar, P. S. . (2003). *Historical foundation of Libraries.* ' In *Foundation of Libraries and Information Science*. New Delhi: B R Publication.

- Kumar, P.S.G (2004) *Research Methods and Statistical Techniques* Delhi.:B.R.Publishing, p.p. 243-248.
- Liu, Z. (2006). Print vs. electronic resources: A study of user perceptions, preferences, and use. *Information Processing and Management*, 42(2), 583–592. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2004.12.002>
- Lombardo, S. V, &Miree, C. E. (2003). Caught in the Web: The impact of library instruction on business students’ perceptions and use of print and online resources. *College and Research Libraries*, 64(1), 6–22. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.64.1.6>
- Lombardo, S. V, &Miree, C. E. (2003). Caught in the Web: The impact of library instruction on business students’ perceptions and use of print and online resources. *College and Research Libraries*, 64(1), 6–22. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.64.1.6>
- Mawindo, D. M. (2005). *EVALUATION OF STUDENTS ’ USE OF PRINT AND ELECTRONIC RESOURCES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF* by. University of Kwazulu- Natal.
- Microsoft office Excel 2003. Help Documentation.
- Pathak, P. J., Shravan Kumar, S., & Das, S. (2004). E-Product collection development: Selection, Acquisition, and processing (pp. 22–28). Ahmedabad: ADINET, INFLIBNET & AMA.
- Pather, R. (2004). *A Comperative study of the costs and benefit of journal ownership versus full-text access in the faculty of science at the university of natal, Durban Libraries*. University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg. Retrieved from https://researchspace.ukzn.ac.za/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10413/1739/Pather_R_oshini_2004.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- Prabha, C. (2009). Corrigendum to “Shifting from Print to Electronic Journals in ARL University Libraries” [Serials Review 33 (2007): 4-13] (DOI:10.1016/j.serrev.2006.12.001). *Serials Review*, 35(4), 318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.serrev.2009.08.016>
- Premchand-Mohammed, S. (2011). Bridging the gap between print and electronic resources at a multi-campus university library. *Vine*, 41(3), 315–333. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03055721111171591>

- Pullinger, D. (1999). Academics and the new information environment: the impact of local factors on use of electronic journals. *Journal of Information Science*, 25(2), 164–172. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016555159902500207>
- Reitz, J. (2014). ODLIS: Online Dictionary of Library and Information Science .Retrieved from <http://vlado.fmf.uni-lj.si/pub/networks/data/dic/odlis/odlis.pdf>
- Ritchie, A., &Genoni, P. (2007). Print v. electronic reference sources: implications of an Australian study. *The Electronic Library*, 25(4), 440–452. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640470710779853>
- Ritchie, A., &Genoni, P. (2007). Print v. electronic reference sources: Implications of an Australian study. *Electronic Library*, 25(4), 440–452. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640470710779853>
- Rojeski, M. (2012). User perceptions of ebooks versus print books for class reserves in an academic library. *Reference Services Review*, 40(2), 228–241. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00907321211228291>
- Sathe, N. A., Grady, J. L., &Giuse, N. B. (2002). Print versus electronic journals: a preliminary investigation into the effect of journal format on research processes. *Journal of the Medical Library Association : JMLA*, 90(2), 235–243. Retrieved from <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=100770&tool=pmc&entrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Schramm, W (1973) , Men, Message and Media- A look at Human Communication , Harper and Row Publishers, New York.
- Shannon , C.E and Weaver , W (1949). The Mathematical Theory of Communication Urban: University of Illinois Press.
- Shorrock,D.(1988) New Media: Communication Technologies for the 1990s London: Online Publications. 213 pp.
- Singh, S.P. Research Methods in Social Sciences: A Manual for Designing Questionnaires, New Delhi: Kanishka Publishers. P.p. 268.
- Slater, R. (2009). E-books or print books, “big deals” or local selections— What gets more use? *Library Collections, Acquisitions, & Technical Services*, 33(1), 31–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649055.2009.10766230>

- SPSS for Windows Release 11.0 Help Documentation.
- Stoop, J., Kreutzer, P., & Kircz, J. (2013). Reading and learning from screens versus print: a study in changing habits: Part 1 ??? reading long information rich texts. *New Library World*, 114(7–8), 284–300.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/NLW-01-2013-0012>
- Teague, S, John (1985). *Microform, Video and Electronic Media Librarianship*, London: Butterworths.
- Tenopir, C., King, D. w, & Bush, A. (2004). Medical faculty's use of print and electronic journals: changes over time and in comparison with scientists. *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, 92(2), 233–241. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=llf&AN=502926930&site=ehost-live%5Cnhttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC385305/pdf/i0025-7338-092-02-0233.pdf>
- Trivedi, M., & Joshi, A. (2009). Usage of electronic journals (e-journals) versus print journals by healthcare professionals in H M Patel Centre for Medical Care and Education (HMPCME). *Journal of Health Informatics in Developing Countries*, 3(1), 1–4.
- Tyagi, S., & Kumar, A. (2011). Measuring usage patterns of print and e-resources by scientist. *International Journal of Information Dissemination and Technology*, 1(3).
- Vaughan, K. T. L. (2003). Changing use patterns of print journals in the digital age: Impacts of electronic equivalents on print chemistry journal use. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 54(12), 1149–1152. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.10319>
- Williams, Frederick (1989), *The New Communications*. 2nd ed. California: Words Worth Publishing House.
- Wu, M. M. (2005). Why Print and Electronic Resources Are Essential to the Academic Law Library. *American Association of Law Libraries Law Library Journal*, 97 *Law Lib*(233), 1–19.
- Young, J. (2014). A study of print and computer-based reading to measure and compare rates of comprehension and retention. *New Library World*, 115(7–8), 376–393. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NLW-05-2014-0051>

- Zha, X., Zhang, J., & Yan, Y. (2014). Exploring the effect of individual differences on user perceptions of print and electronic resources. *Library Hi Tech*, 32(2), 346–367. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHT-07-2013-0099>
- Zimmerman, M. (2010). Periodicals: Print or electronic? *New Library World*, 111(9–10), 426–433. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03074801011089341>