CHAPTER I

A BRIEF SURVEY OF THE PHILOSOPHICAL DOCTRINES OF THE PROMINENT ADVAITA VEDANTINS UPTO ANANDABODHA

1.1 Significance of the term Vedanta

The term Vedanta is generally explained to mean the anta or concluding portion of the Veda, taking Veda, with the commentatores, to denote the <u>Samhitas</u> and Brahmanas¹ (including the Aranyakas and Upanisads of the different recensions of the Vedas). But all the Vedanta texts do not come at the end of the Brahmanas. Some, like the Isa or the Baskala, form portions of the Samhitas themselves, while others, like the Aitareya and the Taittiriya, come in the middle of the Aranyakas and not at their very end, as it is the case with the Chandodya or the Brhadaranyaka. As a consequence, another mode of interpreting the term Vedanta is at times resorted to whereby anta is taken to imply the final or ultimate teachings of the Veda. The major portion of the Vedic texts concern themselves with the details of the ritual, with the Karmakanda but the final teachings of the Veda i.e. Vedanta with salvation through knowledge. In this sense Vedanta is often styled the Uttaramimamsa, or the science of exegesis as applied to the latter

Mantrabrahmaņayzorzvedanamadheyam; Apastamba, Yajnaparibhāşāsūtra, I.34; Mantrabrahmaņayorvedasabdah, Kausitaki, Grhyasūtra, III.12.23

portion of the <u>Veda</u>, to distinguish it from the <u>Purva-mimānsā</u> which deals with the earlier or ritualistic part of the <u>Veda</u>. The name <u>Vedānta</u> does not signify one system only but it comprehends several systems differing from each other essentially in points of metaphysical doctrine, ranging from absolute idealism down to dualism, at the same time having some important features which are common and which may be the reason of their being designated by a common name, besides the fact of their professing to be based on the <u>Vedānta</u> or the Upanisads.

1.2. The Chief periods of Advaita Vedanta

Advaita philosophy is philosophia Perennis in its meaning and scope, in its theory and practice, in its ideology and methodology. Its tradition is oldest in the history of philosophy coming as it has been from the pre-historic days of the <u>Rgveda</u>. Radhakrishnan, the doyen of modern Indian philosophy divides² Indian philosophy in general and Advaita philosophy in particular into four periods, viz., the Vedic period, the Epic period, the Sutra period and the Scholastic period. Sangamlal Pandey has classified³ the same into five periods :-

Radhakrishnan, S. Indian Philosophy, Vol.I, pp.56-59.
 Pandey, Sangamlal. Pre-Samkara Advaita Philosophy, p.1.

- I. The Vedic period (2000 B.C. 500 B.C.)⁴
 II. The Upanisadic Period (700 B.C. 600 B.C.)⁵
 III. The Epic Period (600 B.C. 200 A.D.)⁶
 IV. The Aphoristic Period (200 A.D.⁷ 500 A.D.)
 V. The Commentatorial Period (200 A.D.⁸ 1400 A.D.)
 The last period i.e. the Commentatorial period, has been
 classified into the following three periods :-
 - I. The Pre-Sankara Period (500 A.D.⁹ 700 A.D.)
 - II. The period of Sankara $(788 A.D. 820 A.D.)^{10}$
 - III. The Post-Sankara Period (800 A.D.¹¹- 1400 A.D.)

1.3. The Vedic Period

The Vedanta philosophy begins with the Rgveda which includes about a dozen esoteric hymns like Rg.X.129, X.90, 1. 164, 46, 1.115.1, X.81-82, X.172, X.121, 11.12, and others. In these hymns, along with speculations on the universe and the creation, that great pantheistic idea of the Universal soul which is one with the universe, appears for the first time-an idea, which since that time has dominated

11. Ibid. p.418.

Winternitz, M. A History of Indian Literature, p.310.
 Dasgupta, S.N. A History of Indian Philosophy Vol.I.p.28.

^{6.} Radhakrishnan, S. Op.cit. p.57.

^{7.} Ibid. p.58.

^{8.} Ibid. p.59.

^{9.} Mahadevan, T.N.P. Gaudapada; A study of Early Advaita, p.13.

^{10.} Dasgupta, S.N. A History of Indian Philosophy Vol.I, p.418

the whole of Indian philosophy. In spite of the references to different deities like Indra, Varuna, Yama, Agni, Matarisvan, the underlying monistic current is unmistakable. In these philosophical hymns the great idea of universal unity is foreshadowed, the idea that everything which we see in Nature and which the popular belief designates as 'god', in reality is only the emanation of the one and only one; that all plurality is only imaginary - an idea which is clearly expressed in the RV.1.164.46, (indram mitram Varunamagnimaharatho divyah sa suparno gurutman ekam sadvipra bahudha Vadatyagnim Yamam matarizsvanamahu). There are other mantras advocating oneness of the reality, like, That One (tadekam) is not personal; it is neither male nor female; it is neuter. It is an impersonal principle. There was nothing other than it (RV., 10.129.1,2) "Thus already in certain hymns of the Rgveda, there emerges the thought with which philosophy begins, - the conception of the unity of the world, - which later rose up to Monism, perceiving through the veil of the manifold, the unity which underlies it."¹² In this connection may be particularly noticed the hymn X.121, where the Hiranyagarbha is described as existing in the beginning of the creation, the sole lord of beings, supporting heaven and earth and X.90 where the whole world is conceived as one being, the Viratapurusa who having pervaded the earth from all sides, still remains over and above the mundane universe.

I.4. The Upanişadic Period

The monism adumbrated in the Rgveda is developed into idealistic monism in the Upanisads, which regard Brahman the infinite, eternal, omnipresent, omniscient, and pure spirit as the ultimate reality. The temporal, spatial and causality bound world is the manifestation of this infinite and eternal spirit. Brahman is non-temporal, non-spatial, and non-causal. It is impersonal, transcendental, indefinable, incomprehensible and unknowable. Brahman is without before and after, and inside and outside. It is Atman. It is the one, undifferentiated, homogeneous consciousness without inside and outside. The main idea of the oldest of the Upanisads i.e. the chandogya, vi.2.1, the Brhadaranyaka, ii.4.14, IV.4.19, ii.5.13, the Mundaka and the katha can be summed up in the equation, Brahman = Atman = the world taken in the stricest and most literal sense from which it follows that the Atman is the only reality. (Brh.Up.I.4.10, II.5.1, 2.5.14; chā.Up.III.4.1). It is the metaphysical unity which is manifested in all the empirical plurality; all plurality thus by implication reducing itself to Maya, that it is the knowing subject within us and, as the knowing subject, is itself unknowable. Thus the doctrine of Maya that the universe is illusory is found in some of the Upanișads like Svetaśvetara 9-10.

1.5. The Epic Period

The <u>Mahābhārata</u> contains many and the varied developments of philosophy out of the Upanisads. It shows that the Upanisads gave rise, besides Vedānta, to several other schools of thought. <u>Brahmavidyā</u>, too, is not unknown to the Epic about which S.K. De has pertinently observed "Indeed, the idealistic Absolution of the Upanisads underlies most of the Epic teaching in its theoretic aspect".¹³ But "the main contribution of the Epic to Advaita is the <u>Bhagavadgītā</u>".¹⁴

The <u>Bhagavadgita</u> which forms part of the <u>Bhismaparva</u> of the <u>Mahābhārata</u> (25-42) is the most popular religious poem of Sanskrit literature. It is a book conveying lessons of philosophy, religion and ethics.¹⁵ The <u>Bhagavadgita</u> transforms the metaphysical doctrine of the Upanişads into the philosophy of universal ethics and religion. It contributes, among others, the following main doctrines to Advaita.

In the spirit of the Upanisads, the <u>Gita</u> identifies the two principles of the \overline{A} tman with the Brahman. Behind the fleeting senses and the physical body there is the \overline{A} tman; \overline{C}

13.	History of philosophy, Eastern and Western Vol.I ed. by Radhakrishnan and others, p.86.
14.	Pande Sangamalal, Pre-Śańkara Advaita Philosophy, p.18.
15.	Radhakrishnan, S. Indian Philosophy, V.I, p.519.

behind the fleeting objects of the world there is Brahman. The two are one, being of identical nature (XV.17, viii.22, viii.3).

The <u>Bhagavadgita</u> removes the conflict of all pathways, i.e. <u>jhānamārga</u>, <u>yogamārga</u>, <u>karmamārga</u> and <u>bhaktimārga</u> and maintains that they are in reality one and the same. Dividing all these pathways into two classes, <u>Sāmkhymārga</u> and <u>Yogamārga</u>, it establishes the Advaita view that "hewho sees <u>Sāmkhya</u> and <u>Yoga</u> as one, really sees" (BG, iv.2, vii, 21-23, ix.23, 5, 3). This unity of all pathways is explicitly maintained in the <u>Bhagavadgītā</u>.

The <u>Gitä</u> develops the doctrine of <u>māyā</u> (iv.6, vii.14, vii.15, 25) when it solves the problem of the transformations of the impersonal Absolute into a personal God by the supposition that it is due to <u>māyā</u> or cosmic illusion that such a transformation takes place. In other words, the <u>Bhagavadgītā</u> states that this transformation is a mistery and explains in the same way the relation of the Absolute to the world as the Upanisads do. Although Śrikrsna comes as a personalised God, the monistic principle is constantly kept in view in His utterences. The Gītā of Śrī Kṛṣṇa lights the way of the <u>Bhāgavata dharma</u> which assures all, irrespective/ of caste, class or sex, that they can achieve liberation by continuing their daily works and activities in a spirit of devotion and renunciation.

There is an allegorical interpretation of the <u>Gitā</u>. The blind Dhrtarāśtra represents ignorance, Arjuna is the Individual soul. Śri Kršna, the charioteer represents the universal soul, the indweller of the heart. Our body is the chariot, the sense motor organs being the horses. Mind, egoism, senses, <u>Samskāras</u>, desires, cravings, anger, hatred, lust, jealous, greed, pride and hypocricy are our enmies. These battles within are being faught continuously in life.

1.6. The Aphoristic Period

The <u>Brahmasūtra</u> written by <u>Badarayana is the main text</u> representing the aphoristic period in Indian philosophy. The <u>Brahmasūtra</u>, also called <u>Vedanta sūtra</u> is the exposition of the philosophy of the Upanisads. It is an attempt to systematise the various strands of the Upanisads which form the background of the orthodox systems of thought. All the commentators on the <u>Brahmasūtra</u> agree that the <u>Brahmasūtra</u> was intended to be a summary of the teaching of the Upanisads.

Badarayana declares that Brahman is the cause of the origin, subsistence and dissolution of the world (1.1.2), cause both material and instrumental (i.IV.23), the Brahman having created the world even though it exhibits qualities divergent from those of the cause from out of itself, unaided

by any extraneous means by the process of Parinama (progressive modification). The world so created is not new object coming into existence out of an absolutely non-existent state. The sutrakara propounds that the individual soul is distinct from intellect, mind, sense-organs, and life-breaths (II.3.15, II.3.30, II.3.32, II.4.1, II.4.17, IV.2.10) as also from both the gross physical body and the subtle transmigrating body conceived as a totality. Individual soul is minute in size (II.3.1) has his abode in the heart (II.3.24, I.3.14) where he dwels along with the Lord, the creator from whom he is distinct entity but is nevertheless related to Him like the drop to the ocean or the sparks to the fire. As the soul's essence is identical with that of Brahman, there is not creation of the soul as such. The soul is immortal and liable to transmigrations from life to life (II.3.19, III.1.1, III.1.13) until he is able to win his salvation through proper knowledge and discipline.

1.7. The Commentatorial Period

A) The Pre-Sankara Period

Gaudapāda (520-620 A.D.)¹⁶ occupies an important place in the history of Advaita Vedānta as he is its first systematic exponent. In the traditional salutation formula repeated daily

16. Sastri, Kuppuswami. Brahmasiddhi of Mandana Misra, Intro. p.LViii. by the followers of Sankarācārya, Gaudapāda stands as the grand preceptor (<u>Paramagura</u>) of Sankara. "Gaudapāda's teachings provides the firm foundation on which Sankarācārya and his successors in the Advaita field, built their edifice of detailed, analytical exposition of the Advaita theory.¹⁷ "In the history of Advaita his name will ever remain as that of a great pioneer who combined in himself a deep mysticism with a penetrating philosophy".

Gaudapāda is known as the author of the following works.¹⁸

- 1. Gaudapāda Kārikā or Māņdukyopanişad Kārikā
- 2. Bhāşya on the Sāmkhyakārikā of Isvarakrsna
- 3. <u>Uttaragītā</u>
- 4. Subhagodaya stuti
- 5. <u>Śri Vidyāraņya sūtra</u>

The Ultimate Reality, according to Gaudapada, is Brahman. Following the way of the Upanisads, he teaches the nature of Brahman both affirmatively and negatively. As related to and inclusive of the world, Brahman is <u>Isvara</u> who

Karmarkar, R.D. Gaudapādakārikā, Intro. p.XLVii.
 18. Ibid. p.IX.

is also called the lower (apara) Brahman. As Isvara, seated in the hearts of all; (G.K.I. 28) is the all-pervasive lord, impelling all beings to activity. It remains the same in the three states of experience, viz. Weaking, dream and sleep. The higher Brahman (parambrahma) i.e. Brahman per se, however, is not related to the world. It is devoid of sleep and dream; and transcends the three states of experience, and hence is called the fourth (turiya) (G.K.I.14) Gaudapada expounds the doctrine of non-duality (G.K.I.10, I.16, I.14) of the supreme spirit through citing important scriptural passages, and through reasoning. The creation-texts, he says, should not be interpreted literally; they are to be understood in a figurative sense, and should be regarded as providing an introduction to the texts which teach non-duality. Gaudapada gives an analysis of the three states of experience, and concludes as a result thereof that the real Self is not affected by the changing states, and that it is the constant unvarying non-dual reality. Gaudapada sets forth the doctrine of ajati (non-origination). The category of cause itself, which is the ground of the notion of origination, is unintelligible. Giving a critique of causality, "Gaudapada says that nothing could come out of nothing; nor could anything issue out of anything else. Asatkaryavada and Satkaryavada perish through mutual conflict, and point to the truth of non-origination, the truth

that nothing what so ever is born".¹⁹ Brahman, which is the eternal reality and is immutable, is neither an effect nor a cause. There is nothing other than it, either to produce it or to be produced by it. Gaudapada holds the view that the world of plurality is an appearance (mayamatra). The one self seems to be many through its own maya (GK 2.12). The world with its things is an illusory projection of Atma-maya (GK III.10). Gaudapada teaches the Vivartavada or, to use his terminology, the Vaitathya of the world. Maya which is the principle of illusion has not an indipendent ontological status. It is not an entity or reality having a substance of its own. As there are no real distinctions in ether and they are created by things like pot and pitches, similarly, according to Gaudapada, Brahman or the self which is pure consciousness is undivided and indivisible. The truth is that no jiva is ever born. There is neither destruction nor origination, neither the bound souls nor those who seek the means for release, neither mumuksu nor mukta (GK II.32). According to Gaudapada, moksa is not what is not what is attained (sadhya). What is called moksa is really the attainment of what is already attained. The path thereto is jhana. Gaudapada defines it as atmasatyanubodha (realisation of the truth of the self) (III.32). It is through the unborn knowledge that the unborn self is realised.

19. Mahadevan, T.M.P. Op.cit, p.235.

B) **Handana Misra (680 - 750 A.D.)**²⁰

In ranking philosophical writers according to their contributions to the liberation of the human mind Mandana Miśra, great authority on Mīmāmsā and Advaita Vedānta, occupies a prominent place after Gaudapāda in the pre-Šańkara Advaita Vedānta. He is the author of six works,²¹ viz. 1.<u>Mīmāńsānukramanikā</u>, 2. <u>Bhāvanāviveka</u>, 3. <u>Vidhiviveka</u>, 4. <u>Sphotasiddhi</u>, 5. <u>Vibhrama-Viveka</u> 6. <u>Brahmasiddhi</u>. Of these works, Mandana's <u>Brahmasiddhi</u> occupies a unique place among the works on <u>Advaita Vedānta</u> not only because of its comparative antiquity but also because of the comprehensive and elaborate treatment of the various aspects of the Advaita doctrine.

Mandana maintains the Sphotavāda and Šabdādvaita of Bhartrhari. He holds the view that the Upanisadic texts "Aum iti Brahma, Aum iti idamsarvam" should be understood as establishing the identity of Pranava with Brahman and as supporting the Sabdādvaita doctrine.²² Mandana gives a prominent and honoured

- 20. Potter, K.H. Op.cit. 19.
- 21. Sastri, S.K., Brahmasiddhi, Intro.
- 22. Sastri, S.K., Op.cit. p.XXVI.

place to the Bhatta theory of Viparitakhyati or anyathakhyati (BS. p.150, 143) which is the same as the Nyaya theory of anyathakhyati with a slight variation. He maintains that the theory of anyathakhyati is sound and when the nature of the object of erroneous cognition is examined, this theory reduces inevitably to a form in which it becomes hardly distinguishable from the anirvacaniyakhyati (Error of an indefinable object). Further, Mandana recognises two kinds of avidya (nescience), viz, non-apprehension (agrahama) and misapprehension (anyathagrahana) (BS, p.149-50). Mandana also utilises this distinction in explaining the purpose of meditation in his scheme of the attainment of the final liberating realisation of Brahman and considers meditation necessary for completely removing the second variety i.e. anyathagrahaga of nescience and for converting the first indirect knowledge of Brahman (paroksa jñana) into the direct Brahman realisation (aporoksabrahma-saksatkara). Mandana further avers that Jiva (the Individual soul) is the locus (asraya) and Brahman as its object (visaya) (BS:p.50). According to Mandana, the Upanisadic texts like 'Tattvamasi' (Cha.9.4, 10.2.3) reveal the identity of Brahman with Atman and give rise to the true knowledge of the one Absolute Real. The knowledge which arises from such texts, however, is indirect (BS.p.99) and mediate (paroksa) and necessarily involves relation in some manner (Samsrsta visaya), like any other cognition arising from a valid verbal

testimony (sabda-pramana). Mandana advocates that the direct realisation which springs from meditation based upon the indirect knowledge arising from the Upanisadic texts, is capable of bringing about the moksa, (the final beatitude).

7.ii The Period of Sankara

Sańkārācarya (780 - 7820 A.D.)²³ is one of the greatest systematic thinkers that India has ever produced. His Advaitism is a system of great speculative daring and logical sabtlety. From a purely philosophical point of view and apart from all theological considerations, Sankara's doctrine is the most important and interesting one which has arisen on Indian soil. In him all lines of thought converge : Idealism and realism, Pragmatism and rationalism, naturalism and mysticism, agnosticism and faith-philosophy. His system is one of the most valuable products of the genius of mankind in its search for the eternal truth, and won him a place among the immortals. The works of Sañkara include eleven commentaries like on the Brahmasutra, the BhagavadgIta and the prominent Upanisads etc., as well as five Prakarna granthas like Upadesasahasri, Aporoksanubhuti, Atmabodha etc., and eight stotras like Anandalahari, Dašasloki, Daksināmūrti, Satpadī etc. making a net total of twenty four.24

23. Dasgupta, S.N. A History of Indian Philosophy.

24. Belvalkar, S.K., Shree Gopal Basu Mallik Lectures on Vedanta Philosophy, p.230.

Sankara's doctrine is usually known by the name of Kevaladvaita (absolute monism) according to which the Supreme Reality i.e. the Brahman is the only transcendental, absolute, emanent power and everything else including the individual soul being false gets merged with it after attaining the true knowledge. The Brahman, intelligence, without form, without qualities, without any limitations of time, space or causality and underlying the unity is according to Sankara, the only absolute substratum of all ephemeral, and empirical plurality is itself without the slightest touch of plurality. Sankara's doctrine has two aspects, esoteric and exoteric, be it with reference to theology, cosmology or psychology. Esoterically the Brahman (the supreme spirit) is knowledge or realisation itself, without qualification and without possibility of change; exoterically it is qualified possessed of an infinite number of auspicious attributes, capable of producing this world from itself and reabsorbing in itself, - it is Isvara. The esoteric cosmology, however, says that all this is a mere appearance of truth. The manifold world is only an illusion, maya, a dream; and the reality is to be attained not by reasoning (tarka), but by introspective realisation (anubhava). According to the esoteric psychology, the <u>Jiva</u> is Brahman itself in full and total possession of eternity, omnipresence, omniscience, etc., but these godly qualities lie concealed with it as the fire in the wood and will appear only after the final deliverance of self-realisation.

The philosophical part of Sankara's doctrine may therefore be summed up as follows :-

- All plurality is false or unreal and superimposed upon one pure and eternal Brahman which is all-pervading; it is the <u>maya</u> which makes us see plurality where there is unity and which itself has not independent existence. (BSSB.I.3.5, I.3.5, I.3.5,16, II.2.2.IV.3.14, II.1.33, I.1.4., I.3.16. II.I.14.)
- 2) The Individual Soul is really nothing but Brahman. (BSSB.I.3.46, I.1.1, I.2.20, Brh.Up.SB.II.1.20).
- 3) Knowledge in the form of self-realisation of the identity of these two i.e. the Brahman and <u>Jivatman</u> is the only means to moksa (BSSB I.1.4. III.2.5, III.4.1, Brh.Up.SB, IV.3.1, IV.4.7, BGSB.XVIII.20).
- 4) The practical part of the doctrine amounts to this :-Actions must be performed only to purify the mind so as to make one fit to acquire the knowledge of this identity of Brahman and <u>Jīva</u>; but afterwards they must be all given up, since without complete abandonment (<u>sannyāsa</u>) of all actions, <u>mokṣa</u> is impossible; for, action (<u>Karma</u>) and knowledge (<u>jñāna</u>) are opposed to each other like darkness and light.

7.iii The Post - Sankara Period Suresvara (800 A.D.)²⁵

In the history of Advaita Vedanta Suresvara occupies an important place in as much as he has presented the Philosophy of Sackara in clear and systematic way. This eminent Mimamsaka is renowned as an immediate disciple of Sankara after the latter defeated him in a debate and converted the former into an Advaitin.

The works²⁶ of Suresvara :-

- 1. <u>Naiškarmyasiddhi</u>27
- 2. Brhadaranyaka Upanisad-bhasya-vartika²⁸
- 3. Taittiriya-Upanisad bhasya vartika
- 4. Manasollāsa
- 5. Pancikarana vartika

Sureśvara propounds the theory of semblance (<u>abhāsa-vāda</u>) according to which <u>Jīva</u> (Individual self) is the semblance (<u>abhāsa</u>) of the Brahman (NSK II.51; BBV.I.II.157,I.IV.1328, II.IV.4.24,25,III.IV.105). Sureśvara does not recognise any kind of differentiation between <u>āśraya</u> (locus) and visaya

28. ānandasrama, puna.

^{25.} Dasgupta, A History of Indian Philosophy, Vol. II. p. 98.

^{26.} Raghavachar, S.S. Naiskarmyasiddhi of Sri Sureśvaracarya, Int. p.IV.

^{27.} edited , p.19 with the commentaries Candrika and Vidyasurabhi, Bombay Sanskrit and Prakrit Series, No.38/1925.

of <u>avidyā</u>. (N.Sl.3). According to Sureśvara the immutable Brahman is the material cause of the world while the <u>māyā</u> is its secondary or mediate cause. Sureśvara maintains that the vedic texts are capable of producing immediate cognition of the self as Brahman and repudiates the necessity of meditation (<u>dhyānābhāsa</u>) or repetition (<u>prasaňkhyāna</u>) as a means of producing immediacy (<u>aparokṣatva</u>) (NSK III.89-93,III.123-126). This view of Sureśvara is called Śabdaparokṣavāda.

Suresvara holds that moksa has nothing to do with the performance of action (Karma). Moksa is not acquired by a combination of knowledge and performance of duties. (BBV.18, 28, 38, 39, 40, 73, NSI.1.54-79) When self-knowledge dawns, the experience of ego (ahamkāra) vanishes. Duality is caused by the effects of antahkarana, knowledge breaks the bond of objectivity and illusory appearance. Hence Jnāna (pure knowledge) is the only means for the attainment of self-realisation (N.S.K. 1.99).

Padmapada (820 A.D.)²⁹

Amongest the immediate disciples of Sañkara, Padmapāda is universally reputed for his substantial contribution to the development of Sañkara's Advaita doctrine. The only work which Padmapāda is reputed to have composed is a commentary on the

29. Dasgupta, S.N. A History of Indian Philosophy, Vol. II. p. 100.

Bhasya of Sankara called Pancapadika³⁰ as it relates to the first five padas of the Brahmasutra of Badarayana and unfortunately that too is not available in a complete form but upto first four Sutras. Padmapada propounds that maya, avyākrta, prakrti, agrahana, avyakta, tamah, karana, laya, karana, laya, sakti, mahāsupti, nidrā, ksara and akāsa are the terms synonymous with avidya (PP.p.98). It is this entity that obstructs the pure and independently self-revealing nature of Brahman, and thus, standing as the painted canvas (citra-bhitti) of ignorance (avidya), deeds (karma) and past impressions of knowledge (purvaprajha - samskara) produce the individual selves (PP. p.98-99). Undergoing its peculiar transformations with Brahman as its support, it manifests itself as the two powers of knowledge and activity (vijhana kriya - śakti dvayaśraya) and functions as the doer of all actions and the enjoyer of all experiences (PP. p.98-99). In association with the pure unchangeable light of Brahman it is the complex of these transformations which appears as the immediate ego (ahamkara) (PP. p.99). Through the association with this ego the pure self is falsely regarded as the enjoyer of experiences. This transformation is called antahkarana, manas, buddhi and the ego or the ego-feeler (aham-pratyayin)

30. Pañcapādikā of Padmapādācārya edit by Chandrasekharan, T. Madras Government Oriental Series, Madras, 1958. on the vibratory side of its activity, it is called Prana (biomotor functions). The association of the ego with the pure Ātman, like the association of the redness of a japā flower with a crystal, is a complex (granthi) which manifests the dual characteristics of activity of the <u>avidyā</u> stuff and the consciousness of the pure self (sambhinnobhaya-rūpatvāt) (PP. pp.100-102). padmapāda avers that <u>avidyā</u> manifests itself in the individual person by obstructing the real nature of the Brahman as pure, self-luminosity and that the Brahman by its limitation (<u>avaccheda</u>) through beginningless <u>avidyā</u> is the cause of the appearance of infinite individual selves.

Hastamalaka³¹

Hastāmalaka is one of the immediate disciples³² of Sankarācārya. He is known as the author of <u>Dvādaśaman-</u> jarīvyākhyā and <u>Hastāmalaka-śloka</u>. Hastāmalaka expounds that the self is eternally pure and it goes through transmigration (<u>samsrasti</u>) which is only temporary (<u>naimittika</u>). The cause of this <u>samsrsti</u> is <u>Avidyā</u> (nescience) residing in Ātman, its object. <u>Iśvara</u> is the reflection of <u>cidābhāsa</u> in <u>māyā</u> consisting of the three qualities, viz., <u>sattva</u>, <u>Rajas</u> and <u>Tamas</u> while <u>Jīva</u> is a reflection of the <u>Cidābhāsa</u> of <u>Sattvaguna</u> pradhāna

Raval, C.V., Srīmad Śańkarācāryanu Tattvajñāna, p.21-25.
 Potter, K.H. Op.cit. p.19.

<u>māyā</u> <u>Išvara</u>, the cause of this world is a reflection of the Highest Brahman called <u>Kutasthacidābhāsa</u> while the <u>Jīva</u> appears to be the further reflection of this <u>Išvara</u> in <u>Jīvamāyā</u> (limited nescience) influenced by <u>Rajas</u> (action) and <u>Tamas</u>.

Totaka (800 A.D.) 33

Totaka alias Ānandagiri is not a major author still he is recokned among the <u>Sişyacatuştay</u> (four pupils) of Sańkarācārya. He is credited with the authorship of two works, viz. <u>Totaka sloka and Kālanirņaya</u>.³⁴ Totaka propounds that the highest Reality is <u>drśirūpa</u> (comprising intelligence). <u>ananta</u> (infinite), <u>rta</u> (Highest Reality), <u>Viguna</u> (void of qualities) and <u>hrdayastha</u> (residing in heart). The plurality in the world is like the several appearances of the sun due to the distinction by water or like the ether-in-jar.³⁵

Vacaspati Miśra (841 - 900 A.D.)³⁶

After the four immediate disciples of Sankarācārya, Vācaspati Misra, a great Advaitin of versatile genius and encyclopaedic learning rose to fame. He is reputed as the

33.	Nachne, S.A., A survey of post-Sankara Advait,	p.237.
34.	Raval, C.V., Śrimat Śańkaracaryanu Tattvajnana,	p.21-25.
35.	Nachne, S.A., A Survey of Post-Sañkara Advaita, Unpublished ph.D. thesis, p.237.	•
36.	Rao, V.N.S. Vacaspati's Contribution to Advaite	. p.7.

propounder of a new sub-school of Advaita called "<u>BhamatI</u> school" by the name of his celebrated commentary <u>BhamatI</u> on the Brahma-sutra Sankara-bhasya, which is the first complete commentary in the entire history of post-Sankara Advaita Vedanta.

The following are the works³⁷ of Vacaspati : (1) Nyayakanika, a commentary on the Vidhiviveka of Mandana; (2) Tattvasamiksa, a commentary on Mandana's Brahmasiddhi (3) Tattvabindu, an independent treatise on Vakyartha; (4) Nyāya-Vārtika-tātparyatīkā is a commentary on Uddyotakara's Nyāyavārtika. It is an epistemological work which discusses in detail the nature of the Pramanas; it reconstructs Nyaya-Vaisesika philosophy on account of which Vacaspati became famous as Tatparyacarya. (5) Nyayasuchi, the Nyaya work written as a supplement to Tatparya; (6) Samkhya Tattva Kaumudi, a commentary on Isvarakrsna's Samkhyakarika; (7) Tattva-Vaisaradi, a commentary on Vyasa's Yogabhasya; (8) Bhamati, a commentary on the Brahmasutra Sankarabhasya. Besides these works, Vacaspati is supposed to have written other works like (1) Nyāya-Tattvāloka, (2) Nyāya-ratnatīkā (3) Brahmatattva Samhitoddīpinī, (4) Yuktidīpikā, a work on the Samkhya, (5) Vedanta-Tattva-Kaumudi. The Bhamati 38 like the Brahmasūtra-Sānkara bhāsya is known for its profoundity of spirit

37. Rao, V.N.S. Vacaspati's Contribution to Advaita. p.1-4.

With Brahmasūtra Šaňkara-Bhāşya, Kalpataru and Parimala by Anantakrishna Sastri, Nirnaya Sagar Press, Bombay, 1917.

and subtlety of thought. It gives a clear and precise account of the central ideas of Advaita, and expounds uncompromising non-dualism setting forth its basic principles in cogent terms. In spirit, his view of Advaita is marked by depth of insight. Vacaspati's other Vedantic work, viz., Brahmatattva-Samiksa, a commentary on Mandana's Brahmasiddhi has not found the light of the day. Vacaspati propounds that the locus (asraya) of avidya is the Individual Soul (Jiva) and Brahman is its object (Visaya) (Bha.l.IV.3.i.e.4.i.ii. t to 8). Avidya differs differs from individual to individual. It is positive (bhavarupa) and specific to each Jiva. In fact there are as many avidyas as there are Jivas. Vacaspati thus believes in a plurality of even mula-avidyas and accepts avarna-sakti (veiling power) alone as dominant in the case of avidya. Further, Vācaspati recognises two kinds of avidyā (1) Mūlā avidyā or Primal nescience (Kāraņa-avidyā) and (ii) Tulā avidyā or derivative nescience (Kārya-avidyā).

Vacaspati propounds the theory of limitation (<u>avached</u>-<u>avada</u>) according to which the Individual self (<u>Jīva</u>) is the limitation (<u>avacheda</u>) of the Brahman. (Bhā.II.iii.17; II.iii.28, II.iii.30).

Vacaspati holds the view that <u>Sabda</u> (verbal testimony) causes only mediate knowledge which is to be made direct and immediate through constant practice of rational contemplation (<u>manana</u>) and meditation (<u>nididhyāsana</u>). This view is technically called '<u>Prasamkhyāna</u>[†]. For Vācaspati, constant meditation becomes the primary means to mokṣa (salvation).

According to Vācaspati, what is veiled by nescience is the conditioned Brahman (<u>sopādhika Brahman</u>) in as much as the unconditioned Brahman is flawless; it cannot be veiled nor can it be revealed. What is revealed by final intuition must therefore, be conditioned Brahman (sopādhika Brahman).

Vacaspati advocates a distinct view as to the role of <u>Karma</u> in the scheme of Advaitic <u>sadhana</u>. <u>Karma</u>, says Vacaspati, only subserves the purpose of generating the desire to know Brahman (Vividisā sādhana), not as a means of the knowledge of Brahman (Bhā.III.4.6, III.4.34).

Vimuktatman (850-1050)³⁹

Another great writer who flourished after Vācaspati Misra is Vimuktātman. He is earlier than prakāšātman, the author of the <u>Paňcapādikāvivarana</u> wherein his view is referred by the latter. His successors like Prakāšātman, Sarvajňātman, Ānandabodha and others profusely refer to his views in their distinguished works like Paňcapādikāvivarana, Samksepašārīraka

39. Hiriyanna, M. Istasiddhi, intro, p.Xiii.

and <u>Nyāyamakaranda</u> respectively. Vimuktātman is known as the author of the two works, ⁴⁰ viz., <u>Istasiddhi⁴¹</u> and <u>Pramānavrtti-</u> <u>nirnaya</u>. The first work is published, while the second is still in the manuscript form.

Vimuktātman holds the view that the Ultimate Reality is pure intuitive consciousness (<u>anubhūti</u>). Nothing can be beginningless and external, except pure consciousness (IṢ.p.l). <u>Māyā</u> is indescribable (<u>anirvacanīya</u>) not different from both i.e. being and non-being (<u>sat & asat</u>), but as involving the characters of being and non-being (<u>sat & asat</u>). It is thus regarded as a power of ignorance (<u>avidyā-šakti</u>) which is the material cause of all objects of perception otherwise called matter (<u>sarva-jadopādānabhūtā</u>). (IṢ. p.69). But, just as fire springing from bamboos may burn up the same bamboos even to their very roots, so Brahman-knowledge, which is itself a product of ignorance and its processes, destroys the self-same ignorance from which it is produced and at last itself subsides and leaves the Brahman to shine in its own radiance (IṢ.p.69).

The functions of the pramanas, which are all mere processes of ignorance (ajñana or avidya), consist only in the removal of obstructions veiling the illumination of the selfluminous consciousness, just as the digging of a well means

40. Dasgupta, S.N. HIP. Vol.II. p.198.

41. Ista-Siddhi of Vimuktätman, with Vivarana, Cri.ed. by Hiriyanna, M. Oriental Institute, Baroda, 1933. the removal of all earth that is obstructing the omnipresent <u>ākaša</u> or space; the <u>Pramāņas</u> have thus no function of manifesting the self-Iuminous consciousness and only remove the veiling <u>ajnāna</u>. So Brahma-knowledge also means the removal of the last remnants of <u>ajnāna</u>, after which Brahman-knowledge, as conceptual knowledge, being the last vestige of <u>ajnāna</u>, also ceases. This cessation of <u>avidyā</u> is as inspeakable as <u>avidyā</u> itself (IŞ. p.366-375). Vimuktātman does not consider <u>avidyā</u> to be merely subjective but regards it as being both subjective and objective, involving within it not only all phenomena, but all their mutual relations and also the relation with which it is supposed to be related to the pure consciousness, which is in reality beyond all relations.

Sarvajnatma Muni (900 A.D.)42

Sarvajñātma Muni alias Sarvajñātman, is the author of three works - <u>SamksepašārIraka</u>⁴³ <u>Pañcaprakriyā</u>, and <u>Pramānalaksana</u>. Of these three works his <u>Samksepāšarīraka</u> is very famous which contains the gist of the <u>Brahma-sūtra-Śankara-</u> <u>bhāşya</u>. In his text Sarvajñātman tries to describe the fundamental problems of the Vedānta philosophy as explained by Śankara. He maintains that pure Brahman is the Ultimate cause of everything through the instrumentality of <u>ajñāna</u> (SS.I.2).

42. Dasgupta, S.N. HIP.Vol.II. p.III.

43. The SamksepasarIraka of Sarvajnatman, Cri.ed. with Intro. English translation by N.Veezhinathan, Madras University philosophical series, No.18. University of Madras, 1972.

The ajnana, which rests on the pure Self operates on it as its object (Visaya), covers its real nature and creates delusory appearances (Viksipti), thereby producing the threefold appearances of God, Soul, and the World. (SS.I.20,319). The ajnana has no independent existence and its effects are seen only through the pure self (cidatman) as its locus and object, (SS.III.8) and its creations are all false. The pure self is directly perceived in the state of dreamless sleep as being of the nature of pure bliss and happiness without the slightest touch of sorrow. (SS.I.23). The ajhana is defined as being positive in its nature (bhavarupa) and, though it rests on the pure Brahman, yet it melts away like butter in contact with fire (SS.I.317). The positive character of ajnana is felt in the world in its materiality and in ourselves as our ignorance. The real ground cause, however, is the pure Brahman, and the ajhana is only the instrument or the means by which it can become the cause of all appearances; but it is not the material cause of the world. (SS.I.322,323). When with the dawn of right knowledge pure Brahman as one is realised, ajnana disappears. It is only in the light of Brahman as underlying the individual souls that the ajnana is perceived, as when one says, "I do not know what you say"; so it is neither the Individual soul nor the pure one which is Brahman, but the pure light as it reveals itself through each and every Individual soul. (SS.II.211).

Soul (SS.II.211). Sarvajñatman maintains that the supreme self itself undergoes transmigration and attains release. There is the transmigration of the self by having the subtle body as the operating condition. And the state of remaining in the pure consciousness constitutes its liberation. The transmigration is caused by its own avidya, and liberation by the knowledge of its true nature (SS.III.7). The Individual soul is not accepted to be either a part of the supreme self or its transformation or different from it, for there would arise conflict with the group of Upanisadic statements conveying the identity of the supreme self and the Individual soul (SS.III.10). Sarvajnatman points out that Sravana, manana and nididhyasana along with Sama, dama, etc., When pursued by ascetics remove the impediments present in their minds, and the direct experience of Brahman-Atman, effective in dispelling avidya, arises in this life itself, provided there is no obstruction to its rise by a variety of Prarabdhakarma. If there is such obstruction, knowledge does arise to them in the next life irrespective of the stage of life which they lead then (SS, III, 361). Sarvajhatman speaks of asceticism as the necessary condition for attaining direct experience of Brahman.

Prakasatman (1000 A.D.)44

Another great Advaitin of the post-Sankara Vedanta is Prakāšātman who had brought Padmapāda's <u>Pancapādikā</u> to very great prominence. He is more famous as <u>Vivaranakāra</u> by his work named <u>Pancapādikāvivarana</u>.⁴⁵ Besides the <u>Vivarana</u> Prakāšātman is the author of another work entitled <u>Nyāyanirnaya</u>. But his <u>Pancapādikāvivarana</u> is the nucleus for the development of Advaitic thought known as "Vivarana <u>Prasthāna</u>".

According to the Vivarana school of Prakasatman Brahmannis is both the locus (aśraya) and object (Visaya) of avidya. He maintains that the <u>Jiva</u> (Individual Soul) cannot be the locus of <u>avidya</u> in as much as the former is a modification of <u>avidya</u>. To say that <u>Jiva</u> is the locus of <u>avidya</u> is to commit the falacy of mutual dependence (anyonyaśrayadoga), that is, without <u>avidya</u>, its effect, viz.,<u>Jiva</u> cannot be explained and without the <u>Jiva</u>, <u>avidya</u> cannot be explained. (PPV pp.210-219). Thus, the <u>Vivarana</u> school does not admit any distinction between the locus (<u>aśraya</u>) and the object (<u>Visaya</u>) of <u>avidya</u>.

^{44.} Gode, P.K. Studies in Indian Literary History, Vol.p.228; Hiriyanna, M., Outlines of Indian Philosophy, p.340.
45. Pancapādikā Vivarana with two commentaries ed. by S. Srirama Sastri and S.R.K. Sastri M.G.O.S. Madras, 1958.
46. Sengupta, B.K. A Critique on the Vivarana School, p.249.

The Vivarana school postulates only one avidya, that is common to all <u>Jivas</u> but has different modes or potencies (<u>sakti</u>) to bind the <u>Jivas</u>. The Vivarana school holds that in the case of <u>avidya</u>, both <u>avarana</u> (veiling) and <u>viksepa</u> (projecting) powers (<u>saktis</u>) are equally dominant. According to the Vivarana school <u>Avidya</u> is one (eka-avidya-vada) (PPV.p.693).

The Vivarana school advocates Pratibimbavada (the theory of reflection) that is, <u>Jiva</u> (Individual self) is the reflection (pratibimba) of the Brahman. (PPV.p.287,288,289,294,760).

The Vivarana school moves to reconcile the plurality of <u>Jiva</u> with the singleness of <u>avidya</u> by postulating many <u>saktis</u>, for the only one <u>avidya</u>.

According to the Vivarana-School sravana (hearing) is the principal cause of the realisation of the Ātman and <u>manana</u> (contemplation) <u>nididhyāsana</u> (meditation) are subservient to it (<u>phalopakāryānge</u>). The <u>manana</u> and <u>nididhyāsana</u>, in other words, only effect the concentration of the mind (PPV.p.38).

The mind is not an instrument for the realisation of Brahman, and by mere instruction immediate knowledge is effected. The Vivarana school holds that knowledge through verbal testimony (sabda pramāna) is only immediate (sāksāt) (PPV. p.452) Prakāšātman in his Vivarana asserts that though the Upanisadic texts impart immediate knowledge of Brahman, yet because of certain defects such as Visayabhogavāsanā, Pramāna-sambhāvanā and <u>Viparita-bhāvanā</u>, it appears to be mediate. When these defects are overcome by the cultivation of virtues like the control of the intellect (<u>buddhi</u>) etc., and by Vedāntic study, it ceases to be mediate and then gradually becomes immediate. For the <u>Vivarana</u> school <u>sabda</u> (verbal testimony) alone is the means to mokşa (PPV. p.403-408).

The <u>Vivarana</u> school maintains that pure Brahman unenveloped by any <u>Upadhi</u> (adjunct) is an object (<u>Visaya</u>) of <u>manovrtti</u>. (PPV. p.211, 213, 224). The non-delimited Brahman is the object of <u>akhandartha Vrtti</u>. Self-realisation, according to the <u>Vivarana</u> school, is possible only through the injunction (<u>vidhi</u>) '<u>Atma vare drstavyah</u> (Brh. Up.II. iv.5). It is at the root of studying and understanding the Vedanta. Prakasatman emphasises the realisation of the Self only through this injunction (vidhi) (PPV. pp.36-38).

In the text of Vivarana Prakāšātman advocates that "ātmāvare srotavyah" is a distinct vidhi. And, on the vidhi (injunction), the Vivarana school concludes that Śravana is the principal one and <u>manana</u> and <u>nidhidhyāsana</u> are auxiliary to it. (PPV. p.32, 33, 352). Thus, the Vivarana school is of the firm opinion that <u>vidhi</u> is plausible in the matter of selfrealisation and only because it is an injunction (<u>vidhi</u>) the aspirant of <u>mokşa</u> determines to move on the path of selfperfection. Further, the Vivarana-school propounds a distinct view as to the place of <u>karma</u> (action) in the scheme of Advaitic <u>sādhana</u>. <u>Karma</u>, according to the <u>Vivarana</u>-school, is useful in generating the knowledge of Brahman (<u>Vijñana</u>-<u>Sādhana</u>) and it is not conducive to the desire to know Brahman (<u>vividisā</u>) (PPV. p.37, 546, 554, 546). A Tabular Profile of the Prominent

Advaiting upto Vidyaranya

Gaudapāda (c. 520-620)

Govindabhagavatpada (C. 560-650 A.D.)

> Mandana ••• (C.680-750 A.D.)

Śańkara (780-820 A.D.)

Sureśvara	Padmapāda	Totaka	Hastāmalaka	
(C. 800 A.D.)	(C.800 A.D.)	(C.800 A.D.)	(C. 800 A.D.)	
-				
Sarvajñātman	Prakāšātman	Vācaspati		
-		-		
(C.900 A.D.)	(C.1000 A.D.)	(841-900 A.D.)		
	Ānandabodha			
	(C.1150 A.D.)			
Citsukha	Vidyāranya	Madhusudan	a Appayya Dīksita	
	•	Sarasvatī		
(1220 A.D.)	(1400 A.D.)	(1600 A.D.)) (1600 $A_{\bullet}D_{\bullet}$)	